STATE OF MISSOURI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No.	MO-0124699
Owner:	Missouri-American Water Company
Address:	727 Craig Road, St. Louis, MO 63141
Continuing Authority:	Same as above
Address:	Same as above
Facility Name:	Mehmert Place WWTF
Facility Address:	5021 Wardsville Road, Jefferson City, MO 65101
Legal Description:	NE ¹ / ₄ , NE ¹ / ₄ , Sec. 6, T43N, R11W, Cole County
UTM Coordinates:	X=572030 , Y= 4262566
Receiving Stream:	Unnamed tributary to Moreau River (U) (losing)
First Classified Stream and ID:	Moreau River (P) (0941)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	103001021207

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

<u>Outfall #001</u> - Domestic wastewater Non-POTW – SIC #4952 Facility description – Extended aeration / sock filter / year-round chlorination-dechlorination / sludge disposal by owner. No certified operator required. Design population equivalent is 192.4. Design flow is 14430 gallons per day. Actual flow is 7000 gallons per day. Design sludge production is 3.5 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

March 20, 2012

Effective Date

Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natura Resources

In Madios Director, Water Protection Program John M

March 19, 2017

Expiration Date

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

PAGE NUMBER 2 of 5

PERMIT NUMBER MO-0124699

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The interim effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until 364 days after the effective date of this permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND	UNITS		ERIM EFFLU LIMITATION		MONITORING REQUIREMENTS		
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	
Outfall #001							
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/quarter***	24 hr. estimate	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		15	10	once/quarter***	composite**	
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		20	15	once/quarter***	composite**	
E. coli (Note 1)	#/100 ml	126		126	once/quarter***	grab	
pH – Units	SU	****		****	once/ quarter***	grab	
Ammonia as N (April 1 – Sept 30) (Oct 1 – March 31)	mg/L	3.7 7.5		1.9 3.7	once/ quarter***	grab	
Total Residual Chlorine (Note 2)	μg/L	16.5		8.2	once/quarter***	grab	

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u>; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>July 28, 2012</u>. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED Parts I & III STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

PAGE NUMBER 3 of 5

PERMIT NUMBER MO-0124699

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective 365 days after the effective date of this permit and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND	UNITS	FINAL EFI	FLUENT LIM	ITATIONS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS		
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	onno	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	
Outfall #001							
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/quarter***	24 hr. estimate	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		15	10	once/quarter***	composite**	
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		20	15	once/quarter***	composite**	
E. coli (Note 1)	#/100 ml	126		126	once/quarter***	grab	
pH – Units	SU	****		****	once/quarter***	grab	
Ammonia as N (April 1 – Sept 30) (Oct 1 – March 31)	mg/L	4.7 7.7		1.4 2.8	once/quarter***	grab	
Total Residual Chlorine (Note 2)	μg/L	16.5		8.2	once/quarter***	grab	

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u>; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>July 28, 2012</u>. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED Parts I & III STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** A modified composite sample is made up from a minimum of four grab samples collected within a 24 hour period with a minimum of two hours between each grab sample.
- *** Sample once per quarter. See table below for quarterly sampling.

Sample discharge at least once for the months of	of: Report is due:
January, February, March (1st Quarter)	April 28
April, May, June (2nd Quarter)	July 28
July, August, September (3rd Quarter)	October 28
October, November, December (4th Quarter)	January 28

**** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is limited to the range of 6.5-9.0 pH units.

Note 1 – Final effluent limits of 126 cfu per 100 ml daily maximum and monthly average applicable year round due to losing stream designation.

Note 2 - This permit contains a Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) limit with the following conditions:

This effluent limit is below the minimum quantification level (ML) of the most common and practical EPA approved CLTRC methods. The Missouri Department of Natural Resources (the Department) has determined the current acceptable ML for total residual chlorine to be 130 μ g/L when using the DPD Colorimetric Method #4500 – CL G. from Standard Methods for the Examination of Waters and Wastewater. The permittee will conduct analyses in accordance with this method, or equivalent, and report actual analytical values. Measured values greater than or equal to the minimum quantification level of 130 μ g/L will be considered violations of the permit and values less than the minimum quantification level of 130 μ g/L will be considered to be in compliance with the permit limitation. The minimum quantification level does not authorize the discharge of chlorine in excess of the effluent limits stated in the permit.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- (a) Disinfection is required year-round.
- (b) Do not chemically dechlorinate if it is not needed to meet the limits in your permit.
- (c) If no chlorine was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as " $0 \mu g/L$ " TRC.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

- 1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D),
 - 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
 - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

- 2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
- 3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability.
- 4. Water Quality Standards
 - (a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
 - (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
 - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.
- 5. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 μ g/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 μg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 μg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
 - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.
- 6. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.
- 7. It is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law to fail to pay fees associated with this permit (644.055 RSMo).
- 8. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. If a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9 is needed, the permittee shall submit a written request to the Department for review and, if deemed necessary, approval.
- 9. The permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permittee shall submit a report annually in January to the Northeast Regional Office with the Discharge and Monitoring reports which address measures taken to locate and eliminate sources of infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility.
- Bypasses are not authorized at this facility and are subject to 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)(i), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2.b. Bypasses are to be reported to the Northeast Regional Office.
- 11. At least one sign shall appear on the fence on each side of each facility. Minimum wording shall be "SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITY KEEP OUT", in letters at least 2 inches high.
- 12. An Operation and Maintenance (O & M) manual shall be maintained by the permittee and made available to the operator. The O & M manual shall include key operating procedures and a brief summary of the operation of the facility.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources FACT SHEET FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL OF MO-0124699 MEHMERT PLACE WWTF

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of stormwater from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of <u>five</u> (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.], a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Major \square , Minor \boxtimes , Industrial Facility \square ; Variance \square ; Master General Permit \square ; General Permit Covered Facility \square ; and/or permit with widespread public interest \square .

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type:NON-POTWFacility SIC Code(s):3704

Facility Description:

This facility uses extended aeration with a sock filter and year-round chlorination-dechlorination. The design flow is 14,430 gallons per day with an actual flow of 7,000 gallons per day.

Have any changes occurred at this facility or in the receiving water body that effects effluent limit derivation? - Yes; (please provide simple description or reference appropriate location in the Fact Sheet.

 \square - res, (pleas \square , - No.

Application Date:	07/01/2011		
Expiration Date:	01/11/2011		
Last Inspection:	11/26/2007	In Compliance 🛛;	Non-Compliance

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

	OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
Ī	001	.02	Tertiary	Domestic Wastewater	0.2

Outfall #001 Legal Description: ¹/₄ NE ¹/₄ NE, Sec 6, 43N, R11W UTM Coordinates: X = 572030, Y = 4262566 Receiving Stream: Unnamed tributary to Moreau River (U) First Classified Stream and ID: Moreau River (P) (941) USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: 103001021207

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History:

This facility discharges to an unnamed tributary of the Moreau River. The receiving stream is a losing stream. Inspection of the facility indicates that discharge leaving Outfall #001 enters a depression below the pipe and does not reemerge before reaching the Moreau River.

Northeast Regional Office staff inspected this facility once on November 26, 2007. The facility was clean and properly functioning on this date. The facility was in compliance with Missouri Clean Water Law, the Clean Water Commission Regulations and Missouri State Operating Permit #MO-0124699. However, the facility has reported exceedances of effluent limits in recent history (see table below).

[Sample Date	Parameter	Unit	DML	Actual Conc.	AML	Actual Conc.
	03/31/2010	TRC	mg/L	0.017	0.9	0.008	0.9
	06/30/2010	Ammonia	mg/L	3.7	4.2	1.9	4.2
	09/30/2010	Ammonia	mg/L	3.7	3.9	1.9	3.9
	09/30/2010	TRC	mg/L	0.017	0.36	0.008	0.36
ĺ	09/30/2011	Ammonia	mg/L	3.7	5.2	1.90	5.2

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ; This facility is not required to have a certified operator. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

The permit application states that a Level A Operator currently oversees this facility.

Operator's Name:	Aaron Lachowicz
Certification Number:	4885
Certification Level:	А

The listing of the operator above only signifies that staff drafting this operating permit have reviewed appropriate Department records and determined that the name listed on the operating permit has the stated Certification Level.

Part III – Receiving Stream Information

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]:	
Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]:	
Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]:	
Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]:	
Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]:	
Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]:	
All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:	

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	WBID DESIGNATED USES*		EDU**
Unnamed tributary to Moreau R.	U		General Criteria	103001021207	Ozark/ Moreau/
Moreau River	P 0941 LWW, AQL, WBC, SCR		103001021207	Loutre	

* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND), Groundwater (GRW).

** - Écological Drainage Unit

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:

$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{D}}$	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)				
RECEIVING STREAM (U, C, P)	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10		
Unnamed tributary to Moreau R. (U)	0.0	0.0	0.0		

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS:

Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(a)]. Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable \boxtimes :

The facility does discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], however, it is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

🖂 - Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(0) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.

ANTIDEGRADATION:

In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)], the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. Degradation is justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharging activity after determining the necessity of the discharge.

⊠ - Renewal no degradation proposed and no further review necessary.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)], ... An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, a statement waiving preferential status from each existing higher preference authority, providing the waiver does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.

BIOSOLIDS & SEWAGE SLUDGE:

Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address: http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pub/index.html, items WQ422 through WQ449.

☑ - The facility removes and transports sludge/biosolids to the City of Jefferson Wastewater Treatment Facility.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ;

The permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ;

The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any give pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

Applicable \boxtimes ;

A RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see APPENDIX #1 RPA RESULTS.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals.

Not Applicable \square ; Influent monitoring is not being required to determine percent removal.

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) AND INFLOW AND INFILTRATION (I&I):

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(11)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSO's have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to (1) enter and overload the collection system, and (2) overload the treatment facility. Additionally, SSO's can be also be caused by lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Additionally, Missouri RSMo §644.026.1 mandates that the Department require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities.

 \square - Not applicable. This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Applicable \boxtimes ;

The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under Interim Effluent Limitation and Final Effluent Limitations were established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(10)]. Additional time is needed for the facility to comply with final ammonia effluent limitations.

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities: (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (Document number EPA 833-B-09-002) [published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in February 2009], BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Storm Water Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ; This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable \boxtimes ;

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(Cs \times Qs) + (Ce \times Qe)}{(Qe + Qs)}$$
(EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5)

Where C = downstream concentration

Cs = upstream concentration

Qs = upstream flow Ce = effluent concentration

Qe = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular WLA and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ;

A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility.

40 CFR 122.41(M) - BYPASSES:

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from "bypassing" untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass, which includes blending, is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-2.010(11) defines a bypass as the diversion of wastewater from any portion of wastewater treatment facility or sewer system to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri's Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b.

 \square – Not Applicable; This facility does not bypass.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Not Applicable \boxtimes ; This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

Part V – Effluent Limits Determination

Outfall #001 - Main Facility Outfall

Effluent limitations derived and established in the below Effluent Limitations Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit.

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	WEEKLY Average	Monthly Average	Modifie D	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	GPD	1	*		*	No	*
BOD ₅	MG/L	1		15	10	No	15/10
TSS	MG/L	1		20	15	No	20/15
РН	SU	1	6.5-9.0		6.5-9.0	YES	6.0-9.0
Ammonia as N (April 1 – Sept 30)	MG/L	1,2,5	4.7		1.4	YES	3.7/1.9
Ammonia as N (Oct 1 – March 31)	MG/L	1,2,5	7.7		2.8	YES	7.5/3.7
ESCHERICHIA COLI	***	1	126		126	YES	1000 FECAL
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL	μG/L	1,3	16.5		8.2	No	0.0165/0.0082mg/L

* - Monitoring requirement only.

** - For DO the Daily Maximum is a Daily Minimum and the Monthly Average is a Monthly Average Minimum.

*** - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for E. coli is a geometric mean.

**** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- State or Federal Regulation/Law 1
- Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) 2
- 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
 - Lagoon Policy
- 4. Ammonia Policy 5.
- Antidegradation Review 6

- **OUTFALL #001 DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:**
 - Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅). Effluent limitations from the previous state operating permit have been reassessed and verified that they are still protective of the receiving stream's Water Quality. Therefore, effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE subsection of the Receiving Stream Information.
 - Total Suspended Solids (TSS). Effluent limitations from the previous state operating permit have been reassessed and verified that they are still protective of the receiving stream's Water Quality. Therefore, effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE subsection of the **Receiving Stream Information**.
 - pH. Effluent limitations have been added from previous state operating permit, please see the APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE sub-section of the Receiving Stream Information. Per 10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(B)3.
 - Total Ammonia Nitrogen. Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C. & Table B3] default pH 7.8 SU Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L.

	Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)		
Ī	Summer	26	7.8	1.5	12.1		
	Winter	6	7.8	3.1	12.1		

Summer: April 1 - September 30

Chronic WLA: $C_e = ((0.02 + 0.0)1.5 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.02$ $C_e = 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$

Acute WLA: $C_e = ((0.02 + 0.0)12.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.02$ $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

 $LTA_c = 1.5 \text{ mg/L} (0.706) = 1.1 \text{ mg/L}$ $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.236) = 2.9 \text{ mg/L}$ $[CV = 0.852, 99^{th} Percentile, 30 day avg.]$ $[CV = 0.852, 99^{th} Percentile]$

MDL = 1.1 mg/L (4.24) = 4.7 mg/L	$[CV = 0.852, 99^{th} Percentile]$
AML = 1.1 mg/L (1.27) = 1.4 mg/L	$[CV = 0.852, 95^{th} Percentile, n = 30]$

- 7. Antidegradation Policy 8. Water Quality Model
 - 9. Best Professional Judgment
 - 10. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL

- 11. WET Test Policy

Winter: October	<u>1 – March 31</u>							
Chronic WLA:	$C_e = ((0.02 + 0.0)3.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.02$							
	$C_{e} = 3.1 \text{ mg/L}$							
Acute WLA:	$C_{\rm e} = ((0.02 + 0.0)12.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.02$							
	$C_{e} = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$							
$LTA_c = 3.1 \text{ mg/L}$	(0.773) = 2.4 mg/L	$[CV = 0.623, 99^{th}$ Percentile, 30 day avg.]						
	L(0.311) = 3.8 mg/L	$[CV = 0.623, 99^{th} Percentile]$						
MDL = 2.4 mg/L	(3.22) = 7.7 mg/L	$[CV = 0.623, 99^{\text{th}} \text{Percentile}]$						
-	· · · · ·	2.4 mg/L $[CV = 0.623, 99^{th} Percentile, 30 day avg.]$ 3.8 mg/L $[CV = 0.623, 99^{th} Percentile]$ 7 mg/L $[CV = 0.623, 99^{th} Percentile]$						
ANIL -2.4 mg/L	(1.20) = 2.9 mg/L	[0, v = 0.023, 95] i ciccinitic, ii -50						

- <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli)</u>. Discharges to losing streams shall not exceed 126 per 100 ml as a Daily Maximum and Monthly Average at any time, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C).
- <u>Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)</u>. Warm-water Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = $10 \mu g/L$, CMC = $19 \mu g/L$ [10 CSR 20-7.031, Table A]. Background TRC = $0.0 \mu g/L$.

Chronic WLA:	$C_{e} = ((0.02 + 0.0)10 - (0.0 * 0.0))/0.02$ $C_{e} = 10 \ \mu g/L$	
Acute WLA:	$C_{e} = ((0.02 + 0.0)19 - (0.0 * 0.0))/0.02$ $C_{e} = 19 \ \mu g/L$	
$LTA_{c} = 10 (0.52)$ $LTA_{a} = 19 (0.321)$		$[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile]$ $[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile]$
MDL = 5.3 (3.11 AML = 5.3 (1.55		$[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile]$ $[CV = 0.6, 95^{th} Percentile, n = 4]$

Total Residual Chlorine effluent limits of 0.017 mg/L daily maximum, 0.008 mg/L monthly average are recommended if chlorine is used as a disinfectant. Standard compliance language for TRC, including the minimum level (ML), should be included in the permit

PART VI: Finding of Affordability

Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo., the Department is required to determine whether a permit or decision is affordable and makes a finding of affordability for certain permitting and enforcement decisions. This requirement applies to discharges from combined or separate sanitary sewer systems or publically-owned treatment works.

Not Applicable;

The Department is not required to determine findings of affordability because the facility is not a **combined or separate sanitary** sewer system for a publically-owned treatment works.

Part VII – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing.

The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit.

For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

 \boxtimes - The Public Notice period for this operating permit is tentatively scheduled to begin in January 6, 2012.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: NOVEMBER 30, 2011

COMPLETED BY:

AMANDA SAPPINGTON, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST III NPDES PERMITS UNIT PERMITTING AND ENGINEERING SECTION WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM (573) 751-8728 AMANDA.SAPPINGTON@DNR.MO.GOV

Part VII – Appendix

APPENDIX #1 - RPA RESULTS

Parameter	CMC*	RWC Acute*	CCC*	RWC Chronic*	n**	Range max/min	CV***	MF	RP Yes/No
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Summer) mg/L	12.10	22.70	1.50	22.70	10	5.2/0.02	.8525	4.246	Yes
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Winter) mg/L	12.10	9.40	3.10	9.40	10	3.0/0.42	.622824	3.2.15	Yes

N/A - Not Applicable

* Units are (μ g/L) unless otherwise noted.

** If the number of samples is 10 or greater, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.

*** Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the sample set by the Mean of the same sample set.

RWC – Receiving Water Concentration. It is the concentration of a toxicant or the parameter toxicity in the receiving water after mixing (if applicable).

n - Is the number of samples.

MF - Multiplying Factor. 99% Confidence Level and 99% Probability Basis.

RP – Reasonable Potential. It is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors including, as a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii).

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2). A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request.