

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No.	MO-0114529
Owner:	Holly Energy Partners – Operating, L.P. DBA Sinclair Transportation Company
Address:	2828 N. Harwood St., Ste. 1300, Dallas, TX 75201
Continuing Authority:	Holly Energy Partners – Operating, L.P.
Address:	2828 N. Harwood St., Ste. 1300, Dallas, TX 75201
Facility Name:	Carrollton Products Terminal
Facility Address:	26036 Old Highway 24, Carrollton MO 64633
Legal Description:	See following page(s)
UTM Coordinates:	See following page(s)
Receiving Stream:	See following page(s)
First Classified Stream and ID:	See following page(s)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	See following page(s)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

See following page(s)

This permit authorizes only wastewater or stormwater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Sections 640.013, 621.250, and 644.051.6 of the Law.

June 1, 2019
Effective Date

July 1, 2022
Modification Date

May 31, 2024
Expiration Date

Chris Wieberg, Director, Water Protection Program

FACILITY DESCRIPTION (CONTINUED)

OUTFALL #001 – Stormwater; SIC # 4613

Stormwater southern side of facility.

Legal Description:	Sec.34, T53N, R23W, Carroll County
UTM Coordinates:	X = 460283, Y = 4357121
Receiving Stream:	Tributary to Little Wakenda Creek
First Classified Stream and ID:	8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) 3960
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	Lower Missouri-Crooked (10300101-1008)
Actual Flow:	Dependent upon precipitation

OUTFALL # 002 – Stormwater; SIC # 4613

Stormwater from the northwest portion of the facility;

Legal Description:	Sec.34, T53N, R23W, Carroll County
UTM Coordinates:	X = 460542, Y = 4357292
Receiving Stream:	Tributary to Little Wakenda Creek
First Classified Stream and ID:	8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) 3960
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	Lower Missouri-Crooked (10300101-1008)
Actual Flow:	Dependent upon precipitation

OUTFALL #003 – Process Wastewater and stormwater; SIC # 4613

Hydrostatic Testing of Petroleum related Oil & Gas Pipelines & Storage Tanks and Stormwater

Legal Description:	Sec.34, T53N, R23W, Carroll County
UTM Coordinates:	X = 460283, Y = 4357121
Receiving Stream:	Tributary to Little Wakenda Creek
First Classified Stream and ID:	8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) 3960
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	Lower Missouri-Crooked (10300101-1008)
Actual Flow:	Dependent upon precipitation

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

OUTFALL #001, #002, #003 Stormwater Only	TABLE A-1 FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective on June 1, 2019 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:						
EFFLUENT PARAMETERS	UNITS	FINAL LIMITATIONS		BENCH- MARKS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	MONTHLY AVERAGE		MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
LIMIT SET: Q						
PHYSICAL						
Flow	MGD	*		-	once/quarter ◇	24 Hr Est.
Precipitation	inches	*		-	once/quarter ◇	measured
CONVENTIONAL						
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	*		-	once/quarter ◇	grab ∞
Oil & Grease	mg/L	**		10	once/quarter ◇	grab ∞
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	**		50	once/quarter ◇	grab ∞
PETROLEUM						
Benzene	µg/L	**		71	once/quarter ◇	grab ∞
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	**		320	once/quarter ◇	grab ∞
Toluene	µg/L	**	200,000	once/quarter ◇	grab ∞	
Xylene	µg/L	*	-	once/quarter ◇	grab ∞	
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE)	µg/L	*	-	once/quarter ◇	grab ∞	
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED QUARTERLY ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE OCTOBER 28, 2019 . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

OUTFALL #003 Hydrostatic Testing	TABLE A-4 FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective on June 1, 2019 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited, and monitored by the permittee as specified below:						
EFFLUENT PARAMETERS	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
LIMIT SET: OD						
PHYSICAL						
Flow (Note 1, Page 4)	MGD	*		*	once/per discharge	24 hr. total
CONVENTIONAL						
Chemical Oxygen Demand (Note 1, Page 4)	mg/L	*		*	once/per discharge	grab
Total Suspended Solids (Note 1, Page 4)	mg/L	100		100	once/per discharge	grab
pH ^Ω (Note 1, Page 4)	SU	6.5-9.0		6.5-9.0	once/per discharge	grab
PETROLEUM						
Benzene (Note 1, Page 4)	µg/L	*		*	once/per discharge	grab
Ethylbenzene (Note 1, Page 4)	µg/L	*		*	once/per discharge	grab
Toluene (Note 1, Page 4)	µg/L	*		*	once/per discharge	grab
Xylene (Note 1, Page 4)	µg/L	*		*	once/per discharge	grab
Oil and Grease (Note 1, Page 4)	mg/L	*		*	once/per discharge	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE JULY 28, 2019. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						

* Monitoring and reporting requirement only.

** Monitoring and reporting requirement with benchmark. See Special Conditions #4

Ω The facility will report the minimum and maximum values. pH is not to be averaged.

∞ All samples shall be collected from a discharge resulting from a precipitation event greater than 0.1 inches in magnitude and occurring at least 72 hours from the previously measurable precipitation event. If a discharge does not occur within the reporting period, report as no discharge. The total amount of precipitation should be noted from the event from which the samples were collected.

◇ Quarterly sampling.

MINIMUM QUARTERLY SAMPLING REQUIREMENTS			
QUARTER	MONTHS	QUARTERLY EFFLUENT PARAMETERS	REPORT IS DUE
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

Note 1- These parameters shall be tested at outfall #003 at least once per discharge of hydrostatic test water.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

In addition to specified conditions stated herein, this permit is subject to the attached Part I standard conditions dated August 1, 2014, respectively, and hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System

- (a) Discharge Monitoring Reporting Requirements. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data via the eDMR system. In regards to Standard Conditions Part I, Section B, #7, the eDMR system is currently the only Department approved reporting method for this permit.
Programmatic Reporting Requirements. The following reports (if required by this permit) must be electronically submitted as an attachment to the eDMR system until such a time when the current or a new system is available to allow direct input of the data:
 - (1) Sludge/Biosolids Annual Reports;
 - (2) Any additional report required by the permit excluding bypass reporting.After such a system has been made available by the Department, required data shall be directly input into the system by the next report due date.
- (b) Other actions. The following shall be submitted electronically after such a system has been made available by the Department:
 - (1) General Permit Applications/Notices of Intent to discharge (NOIs);
 - (2) Notices of Termination (NOTs);
- (c) Electronic Submission: access the eDMR system, via: <https://edmr.dnr.mo.gov/edmr/E2/Shared/Pages/Main/Login.aspx>.
- (d) Waivers from Electronic Reporting. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data and reports unless a waiver is granted by the department in compliance with 40 CFR Part 127. The permittee may obtain an electronic reporting waiver by first submitting an eDMR Waiver Request Form: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf>. The Department will either approve or deny this electronic reporting waiver request within 120 calendar days. Only permittees with an approved waiver request may submit monitoring data and reports on paper to the Department for the period the approved electronic reporting waiver is effective.

2. The facility's SIC code(s) or description is found in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14) and/or 10 CSR 20-6.200(2) hence shall implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) which must be prepared and implemented upon permit issuance. The SWPPP must be kept on-site and should not be sent to the Department unless specifically requested. The SWPPP must be reviewed and updated every five years or as site conditions change. The permittee shall select, install, use, operate, and maintain the Best Management Practices prescribed in the SWPPP in accordance with the concepts and methods described in: *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (EPA 833-B-09-002) published by the EPA in 2015 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-11/documents/swppp_guide_industrial_2015.pdf The purpose of the SWPPP and the Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed herein is the prevention of pollution of waters of the state. A deficiency of a BMP means it was not effective preventing pollution [10 CSR 20-2.010(56)] of waters of the state. Corrective action means the facility took steps to eliminate the deficiency.

The SWPPP must include:

- (a) A listing of specific contaminants and their control measures (or BMPs) and a narrative explaining how BMPs are implemented to control and minimize the amount of contaminants potentially entering stormwater.
- (b) A schedule for at least once per month site inspections and brief written reports. The inspection report must include precipitation information for the entire period since last inspection, as well as observations and evaluations of BMP effectiveness. Throughout coverage under this permit, the facility must perform ongoing SWPPP review and revision to incorporate any site condition changes.
 - i. Operational deficiencies must be corrected within seven (7) calendar days.
 - ii. Minor structural deficiencies must be corrected within fourteen (14) calendar days.
 - iii. Major structural deficiencies must be reported to the regional office within seven (7) days of discovery. The initial report shall consist of the deficiency noted, the proposed remedies, the interim or temporary remedies (including proposed timing of the placement of the interim measures), and an estimate of the timeframe needed to wholly complete the repairs or construction. The permittee will work with the regional office to determine the best course of action, including but not limited to temporary structures to control stormwater runoff. The facility shall correct the major structural deficiency as soon as reasonably achievable.
 - iv. All actions taken to correct the deficiencies shall be included with the written report, including photographs.
 - v. Inspection reports must be kept on site with the SWPPP and maintained for a period of five (5) years. These must be made available to Department and EPA personnel upon request. Electronic versions of the documents are acceptable.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (CONTINUED)

- (c) A provision for designating an individual to be responsible for environmental matters.
 - (d) A provision for providing training to all personnel involved in housekeeping, material handling (including but not limited to loading and unloading), storage, and staging of all operational, maintenance, storage, and cleaning areas. Proof of training shall be submitted upon request by the Department.
3. Permittee shall adhere to the following minimum Best Management Practices (BMPs):
- (a) Prevent the spillage or loss of fluids, oil, grease, fuel, etc. from vehicle maintenance, equipment cleaning, warehouse activities, and other areas and thereby prevent the contamination of stormwater from these substances.
 - (b) Provide collection facilities and arrange for proper disposal of waste products including but not limited to petroleum waste products, and solvents.
 - (c) Store all paint, solvents, petroleum products and petroleum waste products (except fuels), and storage containers (such as drums, cans, or cartons) so these materials are not exposed to stormwater or provide other prescribed BMPs such as plastic lids and/or portable spill pans to prevent the commingling of stormwater with container contents. Commingled water may not be discharged under this permit. Provide spill prevention control, and/or management sufficient to prevent any spills of these pollutants from entering waters of the state. Any containment system used to implement this requirement shall be constructed of materials compatible with the substances contained and shall also prevent the contamination of groundwater. Any spills should be noted in the SWPPP.
 - (d) Provide good housekeeping practices on the site to keep trash from entry into waters of the state.
 - (e) Provide sediment and erosion control sufficient to prevent or control sediment loss off of the property
 - (f) Ensure adequate provisions are provided to prevent and to protect embankments from erosion.
4. This permit stipulates pollutant benchmarks applicable to your discharge. The benchmarks do not constitute direct numeric effluent limitations; therefore, a benchmark exceedance alone is not a permit violation. Benchmark monitoring and visual inspections shall be used to determine the overall effectiveness of the SWPPP and to assist you in knowing when additional corrective action may be necessary to protect water quality. If a sample exceeds a benchmark concentration you must review your SWPPP and your BMPs to determine what improvements or additional controls are needed to reduce the pollutant in your stormwater discharge(s).
- Any time a benchmark exceedance occurs, a Corrective Action Report (CAR) must be completed. A CAR is a document recording the efforts undertaken by the facility to improve BMPs to meet benchmarks in future samples. CARs must be retained with the SWPPP and be available to the Department upon request. If the efforts taken by the facility are not sufficient and subsequent exceedances of a benchmark occur, the facility must contact the Department if a benchmark value cannot be achieved. Failure to take corrective action to address a benchmark exceedance and failure to make measureable progress towards achieving the benchmarks is a permit violation.
5. To protect the general criteria found at 10 CSR 20-7.031(4), before releasing water accumulated in secondary containment areas, it must be examined for hydrocarbon odor and presence of sheen. If odor or sheen is indicated, the water shall be treated using an appropriate method or disposed of in accordance with legally approved methods, such as being sent to a wastewater treatment facility. Following treatment, the water shall be tested for oil and grease, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene using 40 CFR part 136 methods. All pollutant levels must be below the most protective, applicable standards for the receiving stream, found in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Tables A1-B3. Records of all testing and treatment of water accumulated in secondary containment shall be stored in the SWPPP to be available on demand to Department and EPA personnel.
6. The full implementation of this operating permit, which includes implementation of any applicable schedules of compliance, shall constitute compliance with all applicable federal and state statutes and regulations in accordance with §644.051.16, RSMo, and the CWA section 402(k); however, this permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), §304(b)(2), and §307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
7. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (CONTINUED)

8. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Pollutant

In addition to the reporting requirements under §122.41(1), all existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural dischargers must notify the Director as soon as they know or have reason to believe:

- (a) That an activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following notification levels:
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile;
 - (3) Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol;
 - (4) One milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (5) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(g)(7); or
 - (6) The notification level established by the Department in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f).
- (b) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following “notification levels”:
 - (1) Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L);
 - (2) One milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with §122.21(g)(7).
 - (4) The level established by the Director in accordance with §122.44(f).

9. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period. It is a violation of this permit to report no-discharge when a discharge has occurred.

10. Reporting of Non-Detects

- (a) An analysis conducted by the permittee or their contracted laboratory shall be conducted in such a way the precision and accuracy of the analyzed result can be enumerated.
- (b) The permittee shall not report a sample result as “non-detect” without also reporting the detection limit of the test or the reporting limit of the laboratory. Reporting as “non-detect” without also including the detection/reporting limit will be considered failure to report, which is a violation of this permit.
- (c) The permittee shall report the non-detect result using the less than “<” symbol and the laboratory’s detection/reporting limit (e.g. <6).
- (d) Where the permit contains a Minimum Level (ML) and the permittee is granted authority in the permit to report zero in lieu of the < ML for a specified parameter, then zero (0) is reported for the parameter.
- (e) See Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, #4 regarding proper detection limits used for sample analysis.
- (f) When calculating monthly averages, one-half of the minimum detection limit (MDL) should be used instead of a zero. Where all data are below the MDL, the “<MDL” shall be reported as indicated in item (C).

11. Failure to pay fees associated with this permit is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law (644.055 RSMo).

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
STATEMENT OF BASIS
MO-0114529
CARROLLTON PRODUCTS TERMINAL

This Statement of Basis (Statement) gives pertinent information regarding minor modification(s) to the above listed operating permit without the need for a public comment process. A Statement is not an enforceable part of a Missouri State Operating Permit.

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: Stormwater only; #4613

Facility Description: Outfalls #001 and #002 receive only stormwater under current operations. This facility no longer discharges hydrostatic testing water. It was disclosed when hydrostatic testing is performed on the pipeline, any water that was used is collected in tank # 3214 and is retained. The test water is then reused when the pipeline is tested again. This allows for the used test water to be sent back to the northern end of the pipeline segment being tested where it is again contained and then hauled to an offsite treatment facility for disposal.

Stormwater from the loading rack is sent to an oil water separator. The water that leaves the oil water separator is sent to a tank for containment. This water is then pumped and hauled for treatment and disposal off site. Oil from the oil water separator is pumped to tank 3201 and saved to be reused. The loading rack area is covered by a roof and walls on two sides. Trucks pull in to be loaded.

At the time of renewal, this facility requested to maintain the outfall for hydrostatic testing should it be needed in the future. As a result, a limit set associated with hydrostatic testing is being maintained for Outfall #003. Hydrostatic test water is contained in tank 3214. In the event of a discharge, hydrostatic test water would be discharged from this tank to a grassy low area directly north west of the storage tank area and would be caught by a berm to the west. After retention in this basin, water would discharge through outfall #003. The permit writer has determined keeping the outfall location the same and separating these discharges temporally to be effective at protecting narrative and numeric Missouri water quality criteria.

Part II – Modification Rationale

This operating permit is hereby modified to reflect a change in ownership from Sinclair Transportation Company to Sinclair Transportation Company DBA Holly Energy Partners – Operating, L.P. Holly Energy Partners – Operating, L.P. has bought Sinclair Transportation Company out entirely; however, their preference is to have Sinclair Transportation Company DBA Holly Energy Partners – Operating, L.P. as the owner and just Holly Energy Partners – Operating, L.P. as the continuing authority.

No other changes were made at this time.

Part III – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit.

DATE OF STATEMENT OF BASIS: JUNE 23, 2022

COMPLETED BY:

JESSICA VITALE, ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYST
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
OPERATING PERMITS SECTION - DOMESTIC WASTEWATER UNIT
(573) 522-2575
Jessica.Vitale@dnr.mo.gov

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FACT SHEET
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL
OF
MO-0114529
SINCLAIR TRANSPORTATION COMPANY CARROLLTON STATION

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of stormwater from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified for less.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)(A)2.] a factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (MSOP or operating permit) listed below. A factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

PART I. FACILITY INFORMATION

Facility Type:	Industrial –Bulk Petroleum Pipeline Terminal
SIC Code(s):	4613
NAICS Code(s):	424710
Application Date:	November 1, 2018
Expiration Date:	April 30, 2019
Last Inspection:	October 29, 2015

FACILITY DESCRIPTION:

Outfalls #001 and #002 receive only stormwater under current operations. This facility no longer discharges hydrostatic testing water. It was disclosed when hydrostatic testing is performed on the pipeline, any water that was used is collected in tank # 3214 and is retained. The test water is then reused when the pipeline is tested again. This allows for the used test water to be sent back to the northern end of the pipeline segment being tested where it is again contained and then hauled to an offsite treatment facility for disposal.

Stormwater from the loading rack is sent to an oil water separator. The water that leaves the oil water separator is sent to a tank for containment. This water is then pumped and hauled for treatment and disposal off site. Oil from the oil water separator is pumped to tank 3201 and saved to be reused. The loading rack area is covered by a roof and walls on two sides. Trucks pull in to be loaded.

At the time of renewal, this facility requested to maintain the outfall for hydrostatic testing should it be needed in the future. As a result, a limit set associated with hydrostatic testing is being maintained for Outfall #003. Hydrostatic test water is contained in tank 3214. In the event of a discharge, hydrostatic test water would be discharged from this tank to a grassy low area directly north west of the storage tank area and would be caught by a berm to the west. After retention in this basin, water would discharge through outfall #003. The permit writer has determined keeping the outfall location the same and separating these discharges temporally to be effective at protecting narrative and numeric Missouri water quality criteria.

The charter number for the continuing authority for this facility is F00719850; this number was verified by the permit writer to be associated with the facility and precisely matches the continuing authority reported by the facility.

PERMITTED FEATURES TABLE:

OUTFALL	AVERAGE FLOW	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE
#001	Dependent of Precipitation	BMP's	Stormwater Only
#002	Dependent of Precipitation	BMP's	Stormwater Only
#003	Dependent of Precipitation	BMP's	Stormwater and Hydrostatic Test Water Only

FACILITY PERFORMANCE HISTORY & COMMENTS:

The electronic discharge monitoring reports were reviewed for the last five years. No effluent limit exceedances were reported during this time period. This facility was last inspected on October 29, 2015. At the time of inspection the facility was found to be in compliance.

PART II. RECEIVING WATERBODY INFORMATION

RECEIVING WATERBODY'S WATER QUALITY:

The receiving waterbody has no concurrent water quality data available.

303(d) LIST:

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires each state identify waters not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock, and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of impaired waters not addressed by normal water pollution control programs. <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/waterquality/303d/303d.htm>

✓ Not applicable; this facility does not discharge to an impaired segment of a 303(d) listed stream.

TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant a water body can absorb before its water quality is affected; hence, the purpose of a TMDL is to determine the pollutant loading a specific waterbody can assimilate without exceeding water quality standards. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan or TMDL may be developed. The TMDL shall include the WLA calculation. <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/tmdl/>

✓ Applicable; This facility discharges to a stream within the Missouri River Watershed which is associated with the (2002) EPA approved TMDL for PCB and Chlordane.

- This facility is not considered to be a source of the above listed pollutant(s) or considered to contribute to the impairment.

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

Per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015(1)(B)], waters of the state are divided into seven categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's effluent limitation table and further discussed in Part IV: Effluents Limits Determinations

✓ All Other Waters

RECEIVING WATERBODY TABLE:

OUTFALL	WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES	DISTANCE TO SEGMENT	12-DIGIT HUC
#001	Tributary to Little Wakenda Creek	n/a	n/a	GEN	0.0 mi	10300101-1008 Lower Missouri - Crooked
#001	8-20-13 MUDD V1.0	C	3960	GEN, HHP, IRR, LWW, SCR, WBC-B, WWH (ALP)	0.09 mi	

OUTFALL	WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES	DISTANCE TO SEGMENT	12-DIGIT HUC
#002	Tributary to Little Wakenda Creek	n/a	n/a	GEN	0.0 mi	10300101-1008 Lower Missouri - Crooked
#002	8-20-13 MUDD V1.0	C	3960	GEN, HHP, IRR, LWW, SCR, WBC-B, WWH (ALP)	0.09 mi	

OUTFALL	WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES	DISTANCE TO SEGMENT	12-DIGIT HUC
#003	Tributary to Little Wakenda Creek	n/a	n/a	GEN	0.0 mi	10300101-1008 Lower Missouri - Crooked
#003	8-20-13 MUDD V1.0	C	3960	GEN, HHP, IRR, LWW, SCR, WBC-B, WWH (ALP)	0.17 mi	

n/a not applicable

Classes are hydrologic classes as defined in 10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(F). L1: Lakes with drinking water supply - wastewater discharges are not permitted to occur to L1 watersheds per 10 CSR 20-7.015(3)(C); L2: major reservoirs; L3: all other public and private lakes; P: permanent streams; C: streams which may cease flow in dry periods but maintain pools supporting aquatic life; E: streams which do not maintain surface flow; and W: wetland. Losing streams are defined in 10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(O) and are designated on the Losing Stream dataset or determined by the Department to lose 30% or more of flow to the subsurface.

WBID = Waterbody Identification: Missouri Use Designation Dataset per 10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(Q) and (S) as 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 or newer; data can be found as an ArcGIS shapefile on MSDIS at http://msdis.missouri.edu/pub/Inland_Water_Resources/MO_2014_WQS_Stream_Classifications_and_Use_shp.zip; New C streams described on the dataset per 10 CSR 20-7.031(2)(A)3. as 100K Extent Remaining Streams.

Per 10 CSR 20-7.031, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission's water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses are to be maintained in the receiving streams in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)]. Uses which may be found in the receiving streams table, above:

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)1.: **ALP** = Aquatic Life Protection (formerly AQL; current uses are defined to ensure the protection and propagation of fish shellfish and wildlife, further subcategorized as: WWH = Warm Water Habitat; CLH = Cool Water Habitat; CDH = Cold Water Habitat; EAH = Ephemeral Aquatic Habitat; MAH = Modified Aquatic Habitat; LAH = Limited Aquatic Habitat. This permit uses ALP effluent limitations in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A1-A2 for all habitat designations unless otherwise specified.

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)2.: Recreation in and on the water

WBC = Whole Body Contact recreation where the entire body is capable of being submerged;

WBC-A = whole body contact recreation supporting swimming uses and has public access;

WBC-B = whole body contact recreation not supported in WBC-A;

SCR = Secondary Contact Recreation (like fishing, wading, and boating)

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)3. to 7.:

HHP (formerly HHF) = Human Health Protection as it relates to the consumption of fish and drinking of water;

IRR = irrigation for use on crops utilized for human or livestock consumption

LWW = Livestock and Wildlife Watering (current narrative use is defined as LWP = Livestock and Wildlife Protection);

DWS = Drinking Water Supply

IND = industrial water supply

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)8-11.: Wetlands (10 CSR 20-7.031 Tables A1-B3 currently does not have corresponding habitat use criteria for these defined uses): WSA = storm- and flood-water storage and attenuation; WHP = habitat for resident and migratory wildlife species; WRC = recreational, cultural, educational, scientific, and natural aesthetic values and uses; WHC = hydrologic cycle maintenance.

10 CSR 20-7.031(6): **GRW** = Groundwater

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS:

For all outfalls, mixing zone and zone of initial dilution are not allowed per 10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(I)(a) and (b), as the base stream flow does not provide dilution to the effluent.

RECEIVING WATERBODY MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

No receiving water monitoring requirements are recommended at this time.

PART III. RATIONALE AND DERIVATION OF EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS & PERMIT CONDITIONS

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

- ✓ Not applicable; the facility does not discharge to a losing stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTIBACKSLIDING:

Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(l)] require a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions. Backsliding (a less stringent permit limitation) is only allowed under certain conditions.

- ✓ Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.
- ✓ The Department determined technical mistakes or mistaken interpretations of law were made in issuing the permit under section 402(a)(1)(b).
 - The previous permit limits for outfall #001, #002, and #003 were established in error, based on limits for process wastewater, however, these are primarily a stormwater outfalls, with additional considerations implemented for hydrostatic testing wastewater which also flows through outfall #003. Five years of DMR data support limit conversion to benchmarks. Also, the previous permit included monthly averages for the stormwater outfall; however, stormwater is not continuous pursuant to 40 CFR 122.45(d) therefore monthly averages are not implemented; stormwater discharges vary widely in frequency, magnitude, and duration. This renewal establishes benchmarks appropriate for stormwater discharges. There will be no changes to industrial activities onsite or the composition of the stormwater discharge as a result of this renewal. The benchmark concentrations and required corrective actions within this permit are protective of the receiving stream's uses to be maintained. The permit writer has determined there is no reasonable potential to cause or contribute to water quality
 - pH limits have been removed from outfall #001 and #002. Review of previous discharge monitoring reports submitted to the department identify this facility does not have reasonable potential to cause an instream excursion from water quality standards for pH.
 - Monthly averages were not implemented for stormwater discharges from outfalls #001, #002, and #003 in this permit as the discharge consists primarily of stormwater which is not continuous pursuant to 40 CFR 122.45(d). Further, average monthly limitations are impracticable measures of non-continuous stormwater discharges because they vary widely in frequency, magnitude, and duration. This permit applies only acute short-term or daily maximum measures which represent stormwater discharges which are acute and sporadic in nature. Discharges of industrial stormwater rarely persist for long durations, making them impracticable to assess using measures with long term exposures or averaging periods. Last, the instream water quality target remains unchanged and the conditions of this permit are protective of both narrative and numeric water quality criteria.
 - Monitoring requirements for TPH oil range, gasoline range, and diesel range was removed from this permit. BTEX (Benzene, ethylene, ethylbenzene and Xylene) has been determined to be a better parameter set for pollutants from petroleum products. In addition TPH groups listed in the previous permit did not have a water quality standard to compare reported values.
 - Monitoring requirement for temperature has been removed. This facility is not expected to cause an instream excursion from water quality standards for Temperature.
 - Monitoring requirements of Ammonia as N has been removed. Review of the previous five years of discharge monitoring reports has illustrated this facility does not have reasonable potential to cause an excursion from water quality standards for ammonia. As a result, ammonia parameters have been removed from this permit.
 - The previous permit special conditions contained a specific set of prohibitions related to general criteria found in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4); however, there was no determination as to whether the discharges have reasonable potential to cause or contribute to excursion of those general water quality criteria in the previous permit. Federal regulations 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(iii) requires instances where reasonable potential (RP) to cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard exists, a numeric limitation must be included in the permit. Rather than conducting the appropriate RP determination, the previous permit simply placed the prohibitions in the permit. These conditions were removed from the permit. Appropriate reasonable potential determinations were conducted for each general criterion listed in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A) through (I) and effluent limitations were placed in the permit for those general criteria where it was determined the discharge had reasonable potential to cause or contribute to excursions of the general criteria. Specific effluent limitations were not included for those general criteria where it was determined the discharges will not cause or contribute to excursions of general criteria. Removal of the prohibitions does not reduce the protections of the permit or allow for impairment of the receiving stream. The permit maintains sufficient effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and best management practices to protect water quality. See GENERAL CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS below.

ANTIDEGRADATION REVIEW:

Process water discharges with new, altered, or expanding flows, the Department is to document, by means of antidegradation review, if the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. In accordance with Missouri's water quality regulations for antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], degradation may be justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharge after determining the necessity of the discharge. Facilities must submit the antidegradation review request to the Department prior to establishing, altering, or expanding discharges. See <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/antideg-implementation.htm>

- ✓ Not applicable; the facility has not submitted information proposing expanded or altered process water discharge; no further degradation proposed therefore no further review necessary.

For stormwater discharges with new, altered, or expanding discharges, the stormwater BMP chosen for the facility, through the antidegradation analysis performed by the facility, must be implemented and maintained at the facility. Failure to implement and maintain the chosen BMP alternative is a permit violation; see SWPPP.

- ✓ Applicable; the facility must review and maintain stormwater BMPs as appropriate.

CHANGES IN DISCHARGES OF TOXIC POLLUTANT:

This special condition reiterates the federal rules found in 40 CFR 122.44(f) and 122.42(a)(1). In these rules, the facility is required to report changes in amounts of toxic substances discharged. Toxic substances are defined in 40 CFR 122.2 as "...any pollutant listed as toxic under section 307(a)(1) or, in the case of "sludge use or disposal practices," any pollutant identified in regulations implementing section 405(d) of the CWA." Section 307 of the clean water act then refers to those parameters found in 40 CFR 401.15. The permittee should also consider any other toxic pollutant in the discharge as reportable under this condition.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

- ✓ Not applicable; the permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

EFFLUENT LIMITATION GUIDELINE:

Effluent Limitation Guidelines, or ELGs, are found at 40 CFR 400-499. These are limitations established by the EPA based on the SIC code and the type of work a facility is conducting. Most ELGs are for process wastewater and some address stormwater. All are technology based limitations which must be met by the applicable facility at all times.

- ✓ The facility does not have an associated ELG.

GENERAL CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS:

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), effluent limitations shall be placed into permits for pollutants determined to cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or to contribute to an excursion above any State water quality standard, including State narrative criteria for water quality. The rule further states pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above a narrative criterion within an applicable State water quality standard, the permit shall contain a numeric effluent limitation to protect the specified narrative criterion. The previous permit included the narrative criteria as special conditions included in the permit absent any discussion of the discharge's reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the criterion. In order to comply with this regulation, the permit writer has completed a reasonable potential determination on whether the discharge has reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of the general criteria listed in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). These specific requirements are listed below followed by derivation and discussion (the lettering matches the rule itself, under 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)). In instances where reasonable potential exists, the permit includes numeric limitations to address the reasonable potential. In instances where reasonable potential does not exist, the permit may include monitoring to later determine the discharges potential to impact the receiving stream's narrative criteria. Finally, all of the previous permit narrative criteria prohibitions have been removed from the permit given they are addressed by numeric limits where reasonable potential exists. It should also be noted Section 644.076.1, RSMo as well as Section D – Administrative Requirements of Standard Conditions Part I of this permit state it shall be unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri is in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any standard, rule, or regulation promulgated by the commission.

- (A) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses.
- For all outfalls, there is no RP for putrescent bottom deposits preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates putrescent wastewater would be discharged from the facility.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for unsightly or harmful bottom deposits preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates unsightly or harmful bottom deposits would be discharged from the facility.
- (B) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses.
- For all outfalls, the permit writer has determined there is RP for oil. As this facility is engaged in the activity of storing, transporting, and transferring petroleum products oil and grease benchmarks have been implemented as well as the requirements to continuously maintain and monitor the effectiveness of BMP's.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates scum and floating debris will be present in sufficient amounts to impair beneficial uses.
- (C) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses.
- For all outfalls, a benchmark of 50 mg/L has been included for Suspended solids on the stormwater outfalls
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for offensive odor in sufficient amounts preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates offensive odor will be present in sufficient amounts to impair beneficial uses.
- (D) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life.
- The permit writer considered specific toxic pollutants when writing this permit. Numeric effluent limitations are included for those pollutants could be discharged in toxic amounts. These effluent limitations are protective of human health, animals, and aquatic life.
- (E) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water.
- This criterion is very similar to (D) above. See Part IV, Effluent Limits Derivation below.
- (F) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering.
- This criterion is very similar to (D) above. See Part IV, Effluent Limits Derivation below.
- (G) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community.
- For all outfalls, there is no RP for physical changes that would impair the natural biological community because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates physical changes that would impair the natural biological community.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for chemical changes that would impair the natural biological community because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates chemical changes are occurring impairing the natural biological community.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates hydrologic changes would impair the natural biological community.
- (H) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.
- There are no solid waste disposal activities or any operation which has reasonable potential to cause or contribute to the materials listed above being discharged through any outfall.

GROUNDWATER MONITORING:

Groundwater is a water of the state according to 10 CSR 20-2.010(82), and is subject to regulations at 10 CSR 20-7.015(7) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(6) and must be protected accordingly.

✓ This facility is not required to monitor groundwater for the water protection program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL (RP):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants which are (or may be) discharged at a level causing or have the reasonable potential to cause (or contribute to) an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standards. Per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4), general criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times; however, acute toxicity criteria may be exceeded by permit in zones of initial dilution, and chronic toxicity criteria may be exceeded by permit in mixing zones. If the permit writer determines any given pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for the pollutant per 40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(iii) and the most stringent limits per 10 CSR 20-7.031(9)(A).

✓ The permit writer reviewed application materials, DMR data, past inspections, and other site specific factors to evaluate general and narrative water quality reasonable potential for this facility. Per the permit writer's best professional judgment, based on available data and any disclosure on application materials, this facility demonstrates reasonable potential for excursions from the general or narrative water quality criteria. See Part IV: Effluent Limit Determinations for specific parameter RP.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, effluent limits, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit. SOC's are allowed under 40 CFR 122.47 providing certain conditions are met. A SOC is not allowed:

- For effluent limitations based on technology-based standards established in accordance with federal requirements, if the deadline for compliance established in federal regulations has passed. 40 CFR § 125.3.
- For a newly constructed facility in most cases. Newly constructed facilities must meet applicable effluent limitations when discharge begins, because the facility has installed the appropriate control technology as specified in a permit or antidegradation review. A SOC is allowed for a new water quality based effluent limit not included in a previously public noticed permit or antidegradation review, which may occur if a regulation changes during construction.
- To develop a TMDL, UAA, or other study associated with development of a site specific criterion. A facility is not prohibited from conducting these activities, but a SOC may not be granted for conducting these activities.

In order to provide guidance in developing SOC's, and to attain a greater level of consistency, the department issued a policy on development of SOC's on October 25, 2012. The policy provides guidance to permit writers on standard time frames for schedules for common activities, and guidance on factors to modify the length of the schedule.

✓ Not applicable; this permit does not contain a SOC.

SPILL REPORTING:

Per 10 CSR 24-3.010, any emergency involving a hazardous substance must be reported to the Department's 24 hour Environmental Emergency Response hotline at (573) 634-2436 at the earliest practicable moment after discovery. The Department may require the submittal of a written report detailing measures taken to clean up a spill. These reporting requirements apply whether or not the spill results in chemicals or materials leaving the permitted property or reaching waters of the state. This requirement is in addition to the noncompliance reporting requirement found in Standard Conditions Part I. <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/esp/spillbill.htm>

SLUDGE – DOMESTIC BIOSOLIDS:

Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment meeting federal and state criteria for beneficial use (i.e. fertilizer). Sewage sludge is solid, semi-solid, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information: <http://extension.missouri.edu/main/DisplayCategory.aspx?C=74> (WQ422 through WQ449).

✓ Not applicable; this condition is not applicable to the permittee for this facility.

SLUDGE – INDUSTRIAL:

Industrial sludge is solid, semi-solid, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of industrial process wastewater in a treatment works; including but not limited to, scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; scum and solids filtered from water supplies and backwashed; and a material derived from industrial sludge.

- ✓ Permittee is not authorized to land apply industrial sludge. Any sludge produced would come from the oil water separator serving the loading rack. The contents are all reclaimed and sent to a storage tank on site.

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

The standard conditions Part I attached to this permit incorporate all sections of 40 CFR 122.41(a) through (n) by reference as required by law. These conditions, in addition to the conditions enumerated within the standard conditions should be reviewed by the permittee to ascertain compliance with this permit, state regulations, state statutes, federal regulations, and the Clean Water Act.

STORMWATER PERMITTING: LIMITATIONS AND BENCHMARKS:

Because of the fleeting nature of stormwater discharges, the Department, under the direction of EPA guidance, has determined monthly averages are capricious measures of stormwater discharges. The *Technical Support Document for Water Quality Based Toxics Control* (EPA/505/2-90-001; 1991) Section 3.1 indicates most procedures within the document apply only to water quality based approaches, not end-of-pipe technology-based controls. Hence, stormwater-only outfalls will generally only contain a maximum daily limit (MDL), benchmark, or monitoring requirement determined by the site specific conditions, the BMPs in place, past performance of the facility, and the receiving water's current quality.

Sufficient rainfall to cause a discharge for one hour or more from a facility would not necessarily cause significant flow in a receiving stream. Acute Water Quality Standards (WQSs) are based on one hour of exposure, and must be protected at all times. Therefore, industrial stormwater facilities with toxic contaminants present in the stormwater may have the potential to cause a violation of acute WQSs if toxic contaminants occur in sufficient amounts. In this instance, the permit writer may apply daily maximum limitations.

Conversely, it is unlikely for rainfall to cause a discharge for four continuous days from a facility; if this does occur however, the receiving stream will also likely sustain a significant amount of flow providing dilution. Most chronic WQSs are based on a four-day exposure with some exceptions. Under this scenario, most industrial stormwater facilities have limited potential to cause a violation of chronic water quality standards in the receiving stream.

A standard mass-balance equation cannot be calculated for stormwater from this facility because the stormwater flow and flow in the receiving stream cannot be determined for conditions on any given day or storm event. The amount of stormwater discharged from the facility will vary based on current and previous rainfall, soil saturation, humidity, detention time, BMPs, surface permeability, etc. Flow in the receiving stream will vary based on climatic conditions, size of watershed, amount of surfaces with reduced permeability (houses, parking lots, and the like) in the watershed, hydrogeology, topography, etc. Decreased permeability may increase the stream flow dramatically over a short period of time (flash).

Numeric benchmark values are based on site specific requirements taking in to account a number of factors but cannot be applied to any process water discharges. First, the technology in place at the site to control pollutant discharges in stormwater is evaluated. The permit writer also evaluates other similar permits for similar activities. A review of the guidance forming the basis of Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) *Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity* (MSGP) may also occur. Because precipitation events are sudden and momentary, benchmarks based on state or federal standards or recommendations use the Criteria Maximum Concentration (CMC) value, or acute standard may also be used. The CMC is the estimate of the highest concentration of a material in surface water to which an aquatic community can be exposed briefly without resulting in an unacceptable effect. The CMC for aquatic life is intended to be protective of the vast majority of the aquatic communities in the United States.

40 CFR 122.44(b)(1) requires the permit implement the most stringent limitations for each discharge, including industrially exposed stormwater; and 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(i) and (iii) requires the permit to include water-quality based effluent limitations where reasonable potential has been found; however, because of the non-continuous nature of stormwater discharges, staff are unable to perform statistical Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA). Reasonable potential determinations (RPDs; see REASONABLE POTENTIAL above) using best professional judgment are performed.

Benchmarks require the facility to monitor, and if necessary, replace and update stormwater control measures. Benchmark concentrations are not effluent limitations. A benchmark exceedance, therefore, is not a permit violation; however, failure to take corrective action is a violation of the permit. Benchmark monitoring data is used to determine the overall effectiveness of control measures and to assist the permittee in knowing when additional corrective actions may be necessary to comply with the conditions of the permit.

BMP inspections typically occur more frequently than sampling. Sampling frequencies are based on the facility's ability to comply with the benchmarks and the requirements of the permit. Inspections should occur after large rain events and any other time an issue is noted; sampling after a benchmark exceedance may need to occur to show the corrective action taken was meaningful.

When a permitted feature or outfall consists of only stormwater, a benchmark may be implemented at the discretion of the permit writer, if there is no RP for water quality excursions.

✓ Applicable, this facility has stormwater-only outfalls.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k), Best Management Practices (BMPs) must be used to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: 1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; 2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of stormwater discharges; 3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or 4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA. In accordance with the EPA's *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (EPA 833-B-09-002) published by the EPA in 2015 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-11/documents/swppp_guide_industrial_2015.pdf, BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering waters of the state from a permitted facility. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure. Additionally in accordance with the Stormwater Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to 1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and 2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges. Additional information can be found in *Stormwater Management for Industrial Activities: Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices* (EPA 832-R-92-006; September 1992).

A SWPPP must be prepared by the permittee if the SIC code is found in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14) and/or 10 CSR 20-6.200(2). A SWPPP may be required of other facilities where stormwater has been identified as necessitating better management. The purpose of a SWPPP is to comply with all applicable stormwater regulations by creating an adaptive management plan to control and mitigate stream pollution from stormwater runoff. Developing a SWPPP provides opportunities to employ appropriate BMPs to minimize the risk of pollutants being discharged during storm events. The following paragraph outlines the general steps the permittee should take to determine which BMPs will work to achieve the benchmark values or limits in the permit. This section is not intended to be all encompassing or restrict the use of any physical BMP or operational and maintenance procedure assisting in pollution control. Additional steps or revisions to the SWPPP may be required to meet the requirements of the permit.

Areas which should be included in the SWPPP are identified in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14). Once the potential sources of stormwater pollution have been identified, a plan should be formulated to best control the amount of pollutant being released and discharged by each activity or source. This should include, but is not limited to, minimizing exposure to stormwater, good housekeeping measures, proper facility and equipment maintenance, spill prevention and response, vehicle traffic control, and proper materials handling. Once a plan has been developed the facility will employ the control measures determined to be adequate to achieve the benchmark values discussed above. The facility will conduct monitoring and inspections of the BMPs to ensure they are working properly and re-evaluate any BMP not achieving compliance with permitting requirements. For example, if sample results from an outfall show values of TSS above the benchmark value, the BMP being employed is deficient in controlling stormwater pollution. Corrective action should be taken to repair, improve, or replace the failing BMP. This internal evaluation is required at least once per month but should be continued more frequently if BMPs continue to fail. If failures do occur, continue this trial and error process until appropriate BMPs have been established.

For new, altered, or expanded stormwater discharges, the SWPPP shall identify reasonable and effective BMPs while accounting for environmental impacts of varying control methods. The antidegradation analysis must document why no discharge or no exposure options are not feasible. The selection and documentation of appropriate control measures shall serve as an alternative analysis of technology and fulfill the requirements of antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)]. For further guidance, consult the antidegradation implementation procedure (<http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/docs/AIP050212.pdf>).

Alternative Analysis (AA) evaluation of the BMPs is a structured evaluation of BMPs which are reasonable and cost effective. The AA evaluation should include practices designed to be: 1) non-degrading; 2) less degrading; or 3) degrading water quality. The glossary of AIP defines these three terms. The chosen BMP will be the most reasonable and effective management strategy while ensuring the highest statutory and regulatory requirements are achieved and the highest quality water attainable for the facility is discharged. The AA evaluation must demonstrate why "no discharge" or "no exposure" is not a feasible alternative at the facility. This structured analysis of BMPs serves as the antidegradation review, fulfilling the requirements of 10 CSR 20-7.031(3) Water Quality Standards and *Antidegradation Implementation Procedure* (AIP), Section II.B.

If parameter-specific numeric benchmark exceedances continue to occur and the permittee feels there are no practicable or cost-effective BMPs which will sufficiently reduce a pollutant concentration in the discharge to the benchmark values established in the permit, the permittee can submit a request to re-evaluate the benchmark values. This request needs to include 1) a detailed explanation of why the facility is unable to comply with the permit conditions and unable to establish BMPs to achieve the benchmark values; 2) financial data of the company and documentation of cost associated with BMPs for review and 3) the SWPPP, which should contain adequate documentation of BMPs employed, failed BMPs, corrective actions, and all other required information. This will allow the Department to conduct a cost analysis on control measures and actions taken by the facility to determine cost-effectiveness of BMPs. The request shall be submitted in the form of an operating permit modification; the application is found at:

<https://dnr.mo.gov/forms/#WaterPollution>

✓ Applicable; a SWPPP shall be developed and implemented for this facility.

UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL (UIC):

The UIC program for all classes of wells in the State of Missouri is administered by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources and approved by EPA pursuant to section 1422 and 1425 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) and 40 CFR 147 Subpart AA. Injection wells are classified based on the liquids which are being injected. Class I wells are hazardous waste wells which are banned by RSMo 577.155; Class II wells are established for oil and natural gas production; Class III wells are used to inject fluids to extract minerals; Class IV wells are also banned by Missouri in RSMo 577.155; Class V wells are shallow injection wells; some examples are heat pump wells and groundwater remediation wells. Domestic wastewater being disposed of sub-surface is also considered a Class V well. In accordance with 40 CFR 144.82, construction, operation, maintenance, conversion, plugging, or closure of injection wells shall not cause movement of fluids containing any contaminant into Underground Sources of Drinking Water (USDW) if the presence of any contaminant may cause a violation of drinking water standards or groundwater standards under 10 CSR 20-7.031, or other health based standards, or may otherwise adversely affect human health. If the director finds the injection activity may endanger USDWs, the Department may require closure of the injection wells, or other actions listed in 40 CFR 144.12(c), (d), or (e). In accordance with 40 CFR 144.26, the permittee shall submit a Class V Well Inventory Form for each active or new underground injection well drilled, or when the status of a well changes, to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Program, P.O. Box 250, Rolla, Missouri 65402. The Class V Well Inventory Form can be requested from the Geological Survey Program or can be found at the following web address: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-1774-f.pdf>

✓ Not applicable; the permittee has not submitted materials indicating the facility will be performing UI at this site.

VARIANCE:

Per the Missouri Clean Water Law §644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

✓ Not applicable; this permit is not drafted under premise of a petition for variance.

WATER QUALITY STANDARD REVISION:

In accordance with section 644.058, RSMo, the Department is required to utilize an evaluation of the environmental and economic impacts of modifications to water quality standards of twenty-five percent or more when making individual site-specific permit decisions.

✓ This operating permit does not contain requirements for a water quality standard that has changed twenty-five percent or more since the previous operating permit.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the WLA is the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed to discharge into the receiving stream without endangering water quality. Two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs) are reviewed. If one limit does not provide adequate protection for the receiving water, then the other must be used per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(A).

- Applicable; wasteload allocations were calculated where relevant using water quality criteria or water quality model results and by applying the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(Cs \times Qs) + (Ce \times Qe)}{(Qe + Qs)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration
 Cs = upstream concentration
 Qs = upstream flow
 Ce = effluent concentration
 Qe = effluent flow

- Acute wasteload allocations designated as daily maximum limits (MDL) were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).
- Chronic wasteload allocations designated as monthly average limits (AML) were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ).
- Water quality based MDL and AML effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's *Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control* or TSD EPA/505/2-90-001; 3/1991.
- Number of Samples "n": In accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance which should be, at a minimum, targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For total ammonia as nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

WLA MODELING:

Permittees may submit site specific studies to better determine the site specific wasteload allocations applied in permits.

- Not applicable; a WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

PART IV. EFFLUENT LIMITS DETERMINATIONS

Effluent limitations derived and established for this permit are based on current operations of the facility and applied per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(A). Any flow through the outfall is considered a discharge and must be sampled and reported as provided below. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions which supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit. Daily maximums and monthly averages are required per 40 CFR 122.45(d)(1) for continuous discharges (not from a POTW).

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE #001, #002, AND #003:

PARAMETERS	UNIT	DAILY MAXIMUM LIMIT	BENCH- MARK	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITS	MINIMUM SAMPLING FREQUENCY	MINIMUM REPORTING FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
PHYSICAL							
FLOW	MGD	*	-	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	24 HR. ESTIMATE
PRECIPITATION	inches	*	-	NEW	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	24 HR. TOT
CONVENTIONAL							
COD	mg/L	*	-	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
OIL & GREASE	mg/L	**	10	LIMIT	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
TSS	mg/L	**	50	LIMIT	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
PETROLEUM							
BENZENE	µg/L	**	71	LIMIT	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
ETHYLBENZENE	µg/L	**	320	LIMIT	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
TOLUENE	µg/L	**	200,000	LIMIT	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
XYLENE	µg/L	*	-	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
METHYL TERTIARY BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	µg/L	*	-	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
REMOVED							
TPH- DIESEL RANGE	mg/L	10	-	REMOVED	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
TPH- GASOLINE RANGE	mg/L	10	-	REMOVED	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
TPH- OIL RANGE	mg/L	10	-	REMOVED	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
AMMONIA AS N	mg/L	*	-	REMOVED	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
TEMPERATURE	°C	*	-	REMOVED	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB
pH (#001 AND #002 ONLY)	SU	6.5-9.0		REMOVED	ONCE/QUARTER	QUARTERLY	GRAB

* Monitoring and reporting requirement only

** Monitoring with associated benchmark

Ω Report the minimum and maximum pH values; pH is not to be averaged

Ψ DO – the Daily Maximum is a Daily Minimum and the Monthly Average is a Monthly Average Minimum

€ # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for *E. coli* is a geometric mean

NEW Parameter not established in previous state operating permit

TR Total Recoverable

DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

PHYSICAL:

Flow

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification. The facility will report the total flow in millions of gallons per day (MGD).

Precipitation

Monitoring only requirement; measuring the amount of precipitation [(10 CSR 20-6.200(2)(C)1.E(VI)] during an event is necessary to ensure adequate stormwater management exists at the site. Knowing the amount of potential stormwater runoff can provide the permittee a better understanding of any specific control measures be employed to ensure protection of water quality. The facility will provide the 24 hour accumulation value of precipitation from the day of sampling the other parameters. The SWPPP requirement may be different.

CONVENTIONAL:

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)

Monitoring requirement only. There is no numeric water quality standard for COD; however, increased oxygen demand may impact instream water quality. COD is also a valuable indicator parameter. COD monitoring allows the permittee to identify increases in COD may indicate materials/chemicals coming into contact with stormwater causing an increase in oxygen demand. Increases in COD may indicate a need for maintenance or improvement of BMPs. The benchmark value falls within the range of values implemented in other permits having similar industrial activities and is achievable through proper BMP controls.

Oil & Grease

Monitoring with a daily maximum benchmark of 10 mg/L. Previous permit contained a daily maximum limit of 15mg/L, as this is a stormwater discharge a benchmark is appropriate in place of an effluent limit. Oil and grease is considered a conventional pollutant. Oil and grease is a comprehensive test which measures for gasoline, diesel, crude oil, creosote, kerosene, heating oils, heavy fuel oils, lubricating oils, waxes, and some asphalt and pitch. The test can also detect some volatile organics such as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, or toluene, but these constituents are often lost during testing due to their boiling points. It is recommended to perform separate testing for these constituents if they are a known pollutant of concern at the site, i.e. aquatic life toxicity or human health is a concern. Results do not allow for separation of specific pollutants within the test, they are reported, totaled, as "oil and grease". Per 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A1: *Criteria for Designated Uses*; 10 mg/L is the standard for protection of aquatic life. This standard will also be used to protect the general criteria found at 10 CSR 20: 7.031 (4). 10 mg/L is the level at which sheen is expected to form on receiving waters. Oils and greases of different densities will possibly form sheen or unsightly bottom deposits at levels which vary from 10 mg/L. To protect the general criteria, it is the responsibility of the permittee to visually observe the discharge and receiving waters for sheen or bottom deposits. The benchmark is achievable through proper operational and maintenance of BMPs and falls within the range of values implemented in other permits having similar industrial activities.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

Monitoring with a daily maximum benchmark of 50 mg/L. Previous permit contained a limit of 50 mg/L as a daily maximum. As this is a stormwater discharge a benchmark is more appropriate than a daily maximum limit. There is no numeric water quality standard for TSS; however, sediment discharges can negatively impact aquatic life habitat. TSS is also a valuable indicator parameter. TSS monitoring allows the permittee to identify increases in TSS indicating uncontrolled materials leaving the site. Increased suspended solids in runoff can lead to decreased available oxygen for aquatic life and an increase of surface water temperatures in a receiving stream. Suspended solids can also be carriers of toxins, which can adsorb to the suspended particles; therefore, total suspended solids are a valuable indicator parameter for other pollution. The benchmark is achievable through proper operational and maintenance of BMPs and falls within the range of values implemented in other permits having similar industrial activities.

PETROLEUM:

Benzene

Monitoring with a daily maximum benchmark of 71 µg/L. Previous permit contained a daily maximum effluent limit of 71 µg/L. As this is a stormwater discharge a benchmark is more appropriate than a daily maximum limit. This facility stores, transports, distributes, and has the potential to hydrostatically test storage tanks and pipelines at this facility.

Ethylbenzene

Monitoring with a daily maximum benchmark of 320 µg/L. Previous permit contained a daily maximum effluent limit of 320 µg/L. As this is a stormwater discharge a benchmark is more appropriate than a daily maximum limit. This facility stores, transports, distributes, and has the potential to hydrostatically test storage tanks and pipelines at this facility.

Chronic WLA = MDL = 320 µg/L

Toluene

Monitoring with a daily maximum benchmark of 200,000 µg/L. Previous permit contained a daily maximum effluent limit of 200,000 µg/L. As this is a stormwater discharge a benchmark is more appropriate than a daily maximum limit.

Chronic WLA = MDL = 200,000 µg/L

Xylene

Monitoring requirements retained for Xylene in order to ensure effectiveness of BMP's. Protection of Aquatic Life (Human Health-Fish Consumption) Chronic Criteria = N/A [Table A, 10 CSR 20-7.031]. There are no applicable pollutant criteria for Xylene in the state regulations, although they do exist for drinking water and groundwater. Therefore, monitoring only will be retained from the previous permit.

Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE)

Monitoring retained from previous permit. In accordance with the EPA's Drinking Water Advisory: Consumer Acceptability Advice and Health Effects Analysis on MTBE, the facility shall meet an effluent limitation of 20 µg/L. Although this limit concerns streams that have been designated as drinking water supplies in order to prevent unpleasant taste and odors, the industrial activity at this facility may result in MTBE concentrations in the discharge. Therefore, the facility will be required to monitor only for this parameter. This sample data will be re-evaluated during the next permit renewal to determine if effluent limitations should again be implemented.

PARAMETERS REMOVED:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Diesel Range, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon -Gasoline Range, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon -Oil Range

TPH parameters have been removed. TPH parameters do not have a water quality standard that can be tied to a reported value. TPH is being replaced with BTEX parameters which are more easily compared to water quality standards and result in a better assessment of any potential water quality impacts.

Ammonia as N

Ammonia monitoring and reporting has been removed from these outfalls. Review of previously submitted discharge monitoring reports identifies no reasonable potential to exceed water quality standards for Ammonia. In addition, the facility has not disclosed any activities or processes taking place that would cause or contribute to an excursion from water quality standards for Ammonia. As a result, this parameter has been removed.

Temperature

Processes and activities at this site are not expected to cause the discharge of stormwater from this site to exceed water quality standards for temperature. In addition, nothing disclosed by the permittee regarding any activities or processes taking place at this site would be expected to cause an excursion from water quality standards for temperature.

pH

pH has been removed from outfalls #001 and #002. This is due to the facilities discharge reports identifying no reasonable potential to cause an instream excursion from water quality standards for pH. The last five years of discharge monitoring reports show no exceedances of pH. In addition, pH levels in the stormwater discharge have been consistently between 7.1 and 7.8 for both outfalls. Limits are not necessary for #001 and #002.

OUTFALL #003 – HYDROSTATIC TESTING**EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:**

PARAMETERS	UNIT	DAILY MAX	MONTHLY AVG	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITS	MINIMUM SAMPLING FREQUENCY	MINIMUM REPORTING FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
PHYSICAL							
FLOW	MGD	*	*	SAME	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	24 Hr. TOT
CONVENTIONAL							
COD	mg/L	*	*	NEW	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
OIL AND GREASE	µg/L	15	10	NEW	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (TSS)	mg/L	100	100	SAME	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
pH ^Ω	SU	6.5-9.0	6.5-9.0	SAME	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
PETROLEUM							
BENZENE	µg/L	*	*	NEW	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
ETHYLBENZENE	µg/L	*	*	NEW	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
TOLUENE	µg/L	*	*	NEW	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
XYLENE	µg/L	*	*	NEW	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
REMOVED							
TPH- DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	mg/L	10	10	REMOVED	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
TPH- GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS	mg/L	10	10	REMOVED	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB
TPH- OIL RANGE ORGANICS	mg/L	10	10	REMOVED	ONCE/DISCHARGE	ONCE/DISCHARGE	GRAB

* Monitoring and reporting requirement only

** Monitoring with associated benchmark

Ω Report the minimum and maximum pH values; pH is not to be averaged

NEW Parameter not established in previous state operating permit

same limits same as previous permit

TR Total Recoverable

PHYSICAL:**Flow**

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification. The facility will report the total flow in millions of gallons per day (MGD).

CONVENTIONAL:**Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)**

Monitoring requirement only. There is no numeric water quality standard for COD; however, increased oxygen demand may impact instream water quality. COD is also a valuable indicator parameter. COD monitoring allows the permittee to identify increases in COD may indicate materials/chemicals coming into contact with stormwater causing an increase in oxygen demand. Increases in COD may indicate a need for maintenance or improvement of BMPs. The benchmark value falls within the range of values implemented in other permits having similar industrial activities and is achievable through proper BMP controls.

Oil & Grease

15 mg/L daily maximum; 10 mg/L monthly average; conventional pollutant [10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A1]; *Criteria for Designated Uses and Health Advisory Levels*; 10 mg/L monthly average (chronic standard). The daily maximum was calculated using the *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control* (EPA/505/2-90-001). Section 5.4.2 indicates the waste load allocation can be set to the chronic standard. When the chronic standard is multiplied by 1.5, the daily maximum can be calculated. Hence, $10 * 1.5 = 15$ mg/L for the daily maximum.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

100 mg/L daily maximum and 100 mg/L monthly average. This limit is being retained from the previous permit. It has been demonstrated that this limit is achievable by the facility as well as protective of the environment.

6.5 to 9.0 SU – instantaneous grab sample. Water quality limits [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(E)] are applicable to this outfall. While pH has been removed for outfalls #001 and #002 there is no discharge data to identify that pH has no reasonable potential to cause an excursion from water quality standards from hydrostatic testing water. As pH in process water discharges can be highly variable it is the permit writers best professional judgement to retain effluent limits of 6.5-9.0

PETROLEUM:

Benzene

BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene) is being included in place of TPH parameters. Previous permit included TPH Oil Range, TPH Gas Range, and TPH Diesel Range all with limits of 10 mg/L as a daily maximum and 10 mg/L as a monthly average. As there is no water quality standard for TPH, BTEX is determined to be more appropriate. This facility has the capability to hydrostatically test pipelines at this facility. Effluent limits are included in order to ensure protection of water quality. Protection of Aquatic Life (Human Health-Fish Consumption) Chronic Criteria = 71 µg/L [Table A, 10 CSR 20-7.031]. In accordance with the EPA's Technical Support Document [Chapter 5, starting page 98] (TSD), the Chronic WLA will be implemented as the Maximum Daily Limit (MDL). From this, using a method from the TSD, the Average Monthly Limit (AML) has been calculated below.

Chronic WLA = MDL = 71 µg/L

Ethylbenzene

BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene) is being included in place of TPH parameters. Previous permit included TPH Oil Range, TPH Gas Range, and TPH Diesel Range all with limits of 10 mg/L as a daily maximum and 10 mg/L as a monthly average. As there is no water quality standard for TPH, BTEX is determined to be more appropriate. This facility has the capability to hydrostatically test pipelines at this facility. Effluent limits are included in order to ensure protection of water quality. Protection of Aquatic Life (Human Health-Fish Consumption) Chronic Criteria = 320 µg/L [Table A, 10 CSR 20-7.031]. In accordance with the EPA's Technical Support Document [Chapter 5, starting page 98] (TSD), the Chronic WLA will be implemented as the Maximum Daily Limit (MDL). From this, using a method from the TSD, the Average Monthly Limit (AML) has been calculated below.

Chronic WLA = MDL = 320 µg/L

Toluene

BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene) is being included in place of TPH parameters. Previous permit included TPH Oil Range, TPH Gas Range, and TPH Diesel Range all with limits of 10 mg/L as a daily maximum and 10 mg/L as a monthly average. As there is no water quality standard for TPH, BTEX is determined to be more appropriate. This facility has the capability to hydrostatically test pipelines at this facility. Effluent limits are included in order to ensure protection of water quality. Protection of Aquatic Life (Human Health-Fish Consumption) Chronic Criteria = 200,000 µg/L [Table A, 10 CSR 20-7.031]. In accordance with the EPA's Technical Support Document [Chapter 5, starting page 98] (TSD), the Chronic WLA will be implemented as the Maximum Daily Limit (MDL). From this, using a method from the TSD, the Average Monthly Limit (AML) has been calculated below.

Chronic WLA = MDL = 200,000 µg/L

Xylene

Monitoring requirements included for Xylene in order to ensure effectiveness of BMP's. Protection of Aquatic Life (Human Health-Fish Consumption) Chronic Criteria = N/A [Table A, 10 CSR 20-7.031]. There are no pollutant criteria for Xylene in the state regulations. Therefore, monitoring only will be included in this permit.

PARAMETERS REMOVED:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Diesel Range, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon -Gasoline Range, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon -Oil Range:

TPH parameters have been removed. The previous permit implemented Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene (BTEX) these parameters are utilized to monitor for a variety of petroleum contaminants. In addition TPH parameters do not have a water quality standard that can be tied to a reported value. Benzene, Toluene, and xylene all have a water quality standard.

PART V. SAMPLING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Refer to each outfall's derivation and discussion of limits section to review individual sampling and reporting frequencies and sampling type. Additionally, see Standard Conditions Part I attached at the end of this permit and fully incorporated within.

ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (EDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a final rule on October 22, 2015, to modernize Clean Water Act reporting for municipalities, industries, and other facilities by converting to an electronic data reporting system. The final rule requires regulated entities and state and federal regulators to use information technology to electronically report data required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program instead of filing paper reports. To comply with the federal rule, the Department is requiring all permittees to begin submitting discharge monitoring data and reports online.

Per 40 CFR 127.15 and 127.24, permitted facilities may request a temporary waiver for up to 5 years or a permanent waiver from electronic reporting from the Department. To obtain an electronic reporting waiver, a permittee must first submit an eDMR Waiver Request Form: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf>. A request must be made for each facility. If more than one facility is owned or operated by a single entity, then the entity must submit a separate request for each facility based on its specific circumstances. An approved waiver is not transferable.

The Department must review and notify the facility within 120 calendar days of receipt if the waiver request has been approved or rejected [40 CFR 124.27(a)]. During the Department review period as well as after a waiver is granted, the facility must continue submitting a hard-copy of any reports required by their permit. The Department will enter data submitted in hard-copy from those facilities allowed to do so and electronically submit the data to the EPA on behalf of the facility.

✓ The permittee/facility is currently using the eDMR data reporting system.

SAMPLING FREQUENCY JUSTIFICATION:

Sampling and reporting frequency was generally retained from previous permit. 40 CFR 122.45(d)(1) indicates all continuous discharges shall be permitted with daily maximum and monthly average limits. Minimum sampling frequency for all parameters is annually per 40 CFR 122.44(i)(2).

Sampling frequency for stormwater-only outfalls is typically quarterly even though BMP inspection occurs monthly. The facility may sample more frequently if additional data is required to determine if best management operations and technology are performing as expected.

SAMPLING TYPE JUSTIFICATION:

Sampling type was continued from the previous permit. The sampling types are representative of the discharges, and are protective of water quality. Discharges with altering effluent should have composite sampling; discharges with uniform effluent can have grab samples. Grab samples are usually appropriate for stormwater. Parameters which must have grab sampling are: pH, ammonia, *E. coli*, total residual chlorine, free available chlorine, hexavalent chromium, dissolved oxygen, total phosphorus, volatile organic compounds,

SUFFICIENTLY SENSITIVE ANALYTICAL METHODS:

Please review Standard Conditions Part 1, section A, number 4. The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 and/or 40 CFR 136 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when; 1) the method quantifies the pollutant below the level of the applicable water quality criterion or; 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility's discharge is high enough the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015 and or 40 CFR 136. These methods are also required for parameters listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if numeric limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive. 40 CFR 136 lists the approved methods accepted by the Department. Tables A1-B3 at 10 CSR 20-7.031 shows water quality standards.

PART VI. ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:

The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together will all expire in the same fiscal year. <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/cpp/docs/watershed-based-management.pdf>. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the Department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future. Renewal applications must continue to be submitted within 180 days of expiration, however, in instances where effluent data from the previous renewal is less than two years old, such data may be re-submitted to meet the requirements of the renewal application. If the permit provides a schedule of compliance for meeting new water quality based effluent limits beyond the expiration date of the permit, the time remaining in the schedule of compliance will be allotted in the renewed permit.

✓ This permit will maintain synchronization by expiring the end of the 2nd quarter, 2024.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending.

<http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/pn/index.html> Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in or with water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing.

The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit.

For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

✓ The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from March 8, 2019 to April 8, 2019. No comments were received during this time period.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: 01/08/2019

COMPLETED BY:

SHAWN MASSEY, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
OPERATING PERMITS SECTION - INDUSTRIAL UNIT
(573) 573-7511
Shawn.massey@dnr.mo.gov



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

These Standard Conditions incorporate permit conditions as required by 40 CFR 122.41 or other applicable state statutes or regulations. These minimum conditions apply unless superseded by requirements specified in the permit.

Part I – General Conditions

Section A – Sampling, Monitoring, and Recording

1. **Sampling Requirements.**
 - a. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
 - b. All samples shall be taken at the outfall(s) or Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) approved sampling location(s), and unless specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other body of water or substance.
2. **Monitoring Requirements.**
 - a. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - i. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - ii. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - iii. The date(s) analyses were performed;
 - iv. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - v. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - vi. The results of such analyses.
 - b. If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit at the location specified in the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 CFR subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reported to the Department with the discharge monitoring report data (DMR) submitted to the Department pursuant to Section B, paragraph 7.
3. **Sample and Monitoring Calculations.** Calculations for all sample and monitoring results which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in the permit.
4. **Test Procedures.** The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure that the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations that are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is “sufficiently sensitive” when; 1) the method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the pollutant or, 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility’s discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015. These methods are also required for parameters that are listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure that the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive.
5. **Record Retention.** Except for records of monitoring information required by the permit related to the permittee’s sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years (or longer as required by 40 CFR part 503), the permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.

6. **Illegal Activities.**
 - a. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or both.
 - b. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person or who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both. Second and successive convictions for violation under this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

Section B – Reporting Requirements

1. **Planned Changes.**
 - a. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility when:
 - i. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
 - ii. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42;
 - iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee’s sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan;
 - iv. Any facility expansions, production increases, or process modifications which will result in a new or substantially different discharge or sludge characteristics must be reported to the Department 60 days before the facility or process modification begins. Notification may be accomplished by application for a new permit. If the discharge does not violate effluent limitations specified in the permit, the facility is to submit a notice to the Department of the changed discharge at least 30 days before such changes. The Department may require a construction permit and/or permit modification as a result of the proposed changes at the facility.
2. **Non-compliance Reporting.**
 - a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Relevant information shall be provided orally or via the current electronic method approved by the Department, within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall be reported to the appropriate Regional Office during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) business days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

- b. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
 - i. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - ii. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - iii. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit required to be reported within 24 hours.
 - c. The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under paragraph 2. b. of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.
3. **Anticipated Noncompliance.** The permittee shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The notice shall be submitted to the Department 60 days prior to such changes or activity.
 4. **Compliance Schedules.** Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of the permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. The report shall provide an explanation for the instance of noncompliance and a proposed schedule or anticipated date, for achieving compliance with the compliance schedule requirement.
 5. **Other Noncompliance.** The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs 2, 3, and 6 of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph 2. a. of this section.
 6. **Other Information.** Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
 7. **Discharge Monitoring Reports.**
 - a. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the permit.
 - b. Monitoring results must be reported to the Department via the current method approved by the Department, unless the permittee has been granted a waiver from using the method. If the permittee has been granted a waiver, the permittee must use forms provided by the Department.
 - c. Monitoring results shall be reported to the Department no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of the reporting period.
- b. Notice.
 - i. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
 - ii. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 5 (24-hour notice).
 - c. Prohibition of bypass.
 - i. Bypass is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 1. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 2. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 3. The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 2. b. of this section.
 - ii. The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Department determines that it will meet the three (3) conditions listed above in paragraph 2. c. i. of this section.
3. **Upset Requirements.**
 - a. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 3. b. of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
 - b. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - i. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - ii. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
 - iii. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 2. b. ii. (24-hour notice).
 - iv. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Section D – Administrative Requirements, paragraph 4.
 - c. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

Section C – Bypass/Upset Requirements

1. **Definitions.**
 - a. *Bypass*: the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending.
 - b. *Severe Property Damage*: substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
 - c. *Upset*: an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
2. **Bypass Requirements.**
 - a. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2. b. and 2. c. of this section.

Section D – Administrative Requirements

1. **Duty to Comply.** The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law and Federal Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.
 - a. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$25,000 per day for each violation. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of \$2,500 to \$25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one (1) year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of \$5,000 to \$50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than three (3) years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than six (6) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can be fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.

- c. Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the EPA Director for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed \$25,000. Penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed \$125,000.
 - d. It is unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law, or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission. In the event the commission or the director determines that any provision of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or standard, rules, limitations or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, or permits issued by, or any final abatement order, other order, or determination made by the commission or the director, or any filing requirement pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any other provision which this state is required to enforce pursuant to any federal water pollution control act, is being, was, or is in imminent danger of being violated, the commission or director may cause to have instituted a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction for the injunctive relief to prevent any such violation or further violation or for the assessment of a penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day, or part thereof, the violation occurred and continues to occur, or both, as the court deems proper. Any person who willfully or negligently commits any violation in this paragraph shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both. Second and successive convictions for violation of the same provision of this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.
2. **Duty to Reapply.**
- a. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit.
 - b. A permittee with a currently effective site-specific permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 180 days before the expiration date of the existing permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Department. (The Department shall not grant permission

for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)

- c. A permittee with currently effective general permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 30 days before the existing permit expires, unless the permittee has been notified by the Department that an earlier application must be made. The Department may grant permission for a later submission date. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
3. **Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense.** It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
4. **Duty to Mitigate.** The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
5. **Proper Operation and Maintenance.** The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
6. **Permit Actions.**
- a. Subject to compliance with statutory requirements of the Law and Regulations and applicable Court Order, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked in whole or in part during its term for cause including, but not limited to, the following:
 - i. Violations of any terms or conditions of this permit or the law;
 - ii. Having obtained this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully any relevant facts;
 - iii. A change in any circumstances or conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
 - iv. Any reason set forth in the Law or Regulations.
 - b. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.
7. **Permit Transfer.**
- a. Subject to 10 CSR 20-6.010, an operating permit may be transferred upon submission to the Department of an application to transfer signed by the existing owner and the new owner, unless prohibited by the terms of the permit. Until such time the permit is officially transferred, the original permittee remains responsible for complying with the terms and conditions of the existing permit.
 - b. The Department may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Missouri Clean Water Law or the Federal Clean Water Act.
 - c. The Department, within 30 days of receipt of the application, shall notify the new permittee of its intent to revoke or reissue or transfer the permit.
8. **Toxic Pollutants.** The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
9. **Property Rights.** This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

10. **Duty to Provide Information.** The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
11. **Inspection and Entry.** The permittee shall allow the Department, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Department), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
 - a. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
 - c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Federal Clean Water Act or Missouri Clean Water Law, any substances or parameters at any location.
12. **Closure of Treatment Facilities.**
 - a. Persons who cease operation or plan to cease operation of waste, wastewater, and sludge handling and treatment facilities shall close the facilities in accordance with a closure plan approved by the Department.
 - b. Operating Permits under 10 CSR 20-6.010 or under 10 CSR 20-6.015 are required until all waste, wastewater, and sludges have been disposed of in accordance with the closure plan approved by the Department and any disturbed areas have been properly stabilized. Disturbed areas will be considered stabilized when perennial vegetation, pavement, or structures using permanent materials cover all areas that have been disturbed. Vegetative cover, if used, shall be at least 70% plant density over 100% of the disturbed area.
13. **Signatory Requirement.**
 - a. All permit applications, reports required by the permit, or information requested by the Department shall be signed and certified. (See 40 CFR 122.22 and 10 CSR 20-6.010)
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months per violation, or by both.
 - c. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both.
14. **Severability.** The provisions of the permit are severable, and if any provision of the permit, or the application of any provision of the permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of the permit, shall not be affected thereby.



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF OPERATING PERMIT

FOR AGENCY USE ONLY	
CHECK NO.	20033962
DATE RECEIVED	5/20/22
FEE SUBMITTED	\$100.00

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS (1 – 4) ARE TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CURRENT OWNER.
SEE INSTRUCTIONS FOR APPROPRIATE FEE TO BE SUBMITTED WITH APPLICATION.

1. FACILITY

NAME Carrollton Station		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE (660) 542-3316	
ADDRESS (PHYSICAL) 26036 Old Highway 24	CITY Carrollton	STATE MO	ZIP 64633
PERMIT NUMBER #MO- 0114529	COUNTY Carroll		

2. CURRENT OWNER


NAME Sinclair Transportation Company		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE (801) 524-2700	
ADDRESS 550 East South Temple		CITY Salt Lake City	STATE UT
		ZIP 84102	

3. CONTINUING AUTHORITY: Permanent organization that will serve as the continuing authority for the operation, maintenance and modernization of the facility. (If same as current owner, respond "same")

NAME Holly Energy Partners - Operating, L.P.		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE (214) 954-6712	
ADDRESS 2828 N. Harwood St., Ste. 1300		CITY Dallas	STATE Texas
		ZIP 75201	

4. CERTIFICATION

I certify I am familiar with the information contained in the application, that to the best of my knowledge and belief such information is true, complete and accurate, and upon transfer approval, I agree to abide by the Missouri Clean Water Law and all rules, regulations, orders and decisions, subject to any legitimate appeal available under the Missouri Clean Water Law. Further, I certify I have read the existing permit and agree to abide by the terms and conditions once the transfer is complete.

NAME (TYPE OR PRINT) Mark Petersen	OFFICIAL TITLE Vice President	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 801-524-2852
SIGNATURE 		DATE SIGNED 4-29-22

MO 780-1517 (09-16)

PAGE 1 OF 2

RECEIVED

MAY 20 2022

Water Protection Program

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS (5 – 10) WILL APPLY AFTER THE COMPLETION OF TRANSFER (SALE) AND ARE TO BE COMPLETED BY THE APPLICANT FOR TRANSFER OF OPERATING PERMIT (BUYER) OR AUTHORIZED AGENT.

5. FACILITY (IF DIFFERENT THAN ABOVE)

NAME Carrollton Products Terminal	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE (214) 954-6712
--------------------------------------	---

6. FUTURE OWNER

NAME Holly Energy Partners - Operating, L.P.	EMAIL ADDRESS robert.jamieson@hollyenergy.com	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
ADDRESS 2828 N. Harwood St., Ste. 1300	CITY Dallas	STATE Texas	ZIP 75201

7. CONTINUING AUTHORITY: Permanent organization that will serve as the continuing authority for the operation, maintenance and modernization of the facility. (If same as future owner, respond "same")

NAME same	EMAIL ADDRESS same	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
ADDRESS same	CITY same	STATE same	ZIP same

8. FACILITY CONTACT

NAME Trevor Baird	TITLE Environmental Manager		
EMAIL ADDRESS trevor.baird@hollyenergy.com	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE (214) 954-6712		
ADDRESS 2828 N. Harwood St., Ste. 1300	CITY Dallas	STATE Texas	ZIP 75201

9. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

9.1 Anticipated effective date of transfer of ownership: 3/14/2022

9.2 Are any changes in production, in raw materials, or in the quantity of discharges from this facility planned or anticipated?
☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, explain (Attach sheets as necessary)

10. ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (eDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM

Per 40 CFR Part 127 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, reporting of effluent limits and monitoring shall be submitted by the permittee via an electronic system to ensure timely, complete, accurate, and nationally-consistent set of data. **One of the following must be checked in order for this application to be considered complete.** Please visit <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/edmr.htm> to access the Facility Participation Package.

☐ - You have completed and submitted with this permit application the required documentation to participate in the eDMR system.


☒ - You have previously submitted the required documentation to participate in the eDMR system and/or you are currently using the eDMR system.

☐ - You have submitted a written request for a waiver from electronic reporting. See instructions for further information regarding waivers.

11. CERTIFICATION

I certify I am familiar with the information contained in the application, that to the best of my knowledge and belief such information is true, complete and accurate, and upon transfer approval, I agree to abide by the Missouri Clean Water Law and all rules, regulations, orders and decisions, subject to any legitimate appeal available under the Missouri Clean Water Law. Further, I certify I have read the existing permit and agree to abide by the terms and conditions once the transfer is complete.

NAME (TYPE OR PRINT) Robert I. Jamieson	OFFICIAL TITLE Vice President - Pipeline Operations	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE
--	--	---------------------------------

SIGNATURE 	DATE SIGNED 05/18/2022
--	---------------------------



SINCLAIR TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

550 East South Temple
P.O. Box 30825 (84130-0825)
Salt Lake City, Utah 84102
801-524-2700
www.sinclairoil.com

March 11, 2022

VIA FedEx

Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality
200 W 17th St
Cheyenne, WY 82001

7762 8546 4412

Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
1410 N Hilton St
Boise, ID 83706

7762 8552 5705

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
4300 Cherry Creek S Dr
Glendale, CO 80246

7762 8556 6175

Kansas Department of Health and Environment
1000 SW Jackson
Suite 400
Topeka, KS 66612

7762 8559 0434

Iowa Department of Natural Resources
502 East 9th Street
Des Moines, IA 50319-0034

7762 8563 1081

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
1101 Riverside Dr.
Jefferson City, MO 65101

7762 8568 7030

Re: Transfer of Ownership
Sinclair Transportation Company LLC
Holly Energy Partners, L.P

To Whom It May Concern:

On August 3, 2021, HollyFrontier Corporation (HollyFrontier) and Holly Energy Partners, L.P. (HEP) entered into definitive agreements under which HollyFrontier and HEP will acquire Sinclair Oil Corporation and Sinclair Transportation Company from The Sinclair Companies. Effective upon closing, which is expected to occur in 2022, HEP will acquire Sinclair Transportation Company LLC. Holly Energy Partners – Operating, L.P. (a wholly owned subsidiary of HEP) will operate the assets identified in the attachment to this letter.

This letter serves to notify you of the change in ownership and that Holly Energy Partners – Operating, L.P. will become the permittee on all applicable permits.



SINCLAIR TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

550 East South Temple
P.O. Box 30825 (84130-0825)
Salt Lake City, Utah 84102
801-524-2700
www.sinclairoil.com

CC:

Trevor O. Baird
Environmental Manager
Holly Energy Partners

Via Email
Trevor.Baird@hollyenergy.com

Theresa Millege
Director, Environmental
HollyFrontier

Via Email
Theresa.Millege@hollyfrontier.com

Lori Coupland
Vice President, Compliance EHS
Holly Energy Partners

Via Email
Lori.Coupland@hollyenergy.com

Paul Conrad
Manager Corporate Environmental
Sinclair Transportation Company

Via Email
PConrad@Sinclairoil.com

Stephen Gill
Vice-President Safety & Regulatory Excellence
Sinclair Transportation Company

Via Email
Stephen.Gill@Sinclairoil.com



SINCLAIR TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

550 East South Temple
P.O. Box 30825 (84130-0825)
Salt Lake City, Utah 84102
801-524-2700
www.sinclairoil.com

Attachment

Impacted Facilities



SINCLAIR TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

550 East South Temple
P.O. Box 30825 (84130-0825)
Salt Lake City, Utah 84102
801-524-2700
www.sinclairoil.com

Facility Name	State	Location
Bairoil Station	Wyoming	42.23470, -107.51520
Bear Creek Station	Wyoming	42.34090, -105.04763
Casper Station	Wyoming	42.86101, -106.41180
Casper Station – Pathfinder	Wyoming	42.85800, -106.40600
Cheyenne Station	Wyoming	41.10499, -104.83256
Guernsey Station	Wyoming	42.22846, -104.69078
LARCO Junction Station	Wyoming	42.86222, -106.24173
Medicine Bow Station	Wyoming	41.78200, -107.11633
Sand Draw Station	Wyoming	42.75905, -108.18257
Natural Bridge Station	Wyoming	42.79141, -105.61652
Boise Products Terminal - South	Idaho	43.61080, -116.25245
Boise Tank Farm – North	Idaho	43.60776, -116.25474
Burley Products Terminal	Idaho	42.51596, -113.71344
Denver Products Terminal	Colorado	39.87158, -104.88755
Kansas City Products Terminal	Kansas	39.08695, -94.66640
Montrose Products Terminal	Iowa	40.58089, -91.42933
Carrollton Products Terminal	Missouri	39.36248, -93.46040