

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No. MO-0108430
Owner: Magellan Midstream Partners, L.P.
Address: One Williams Center, OTC-8, Tulsa, OK 74172

Continuing Authority: Same as above
Address: Same as above

Facility Name: Magellan Pipeline Company – Palmyra Terminal
Facility Address: 6789 County Road 312, Palmyra, MO 63461

Legal Description: Sec. 26, T59N, R06W, Marion County
UTM Coordinates: X = 625810, Y = 4415089

Receiving Stream: Tributary to South Fabius River
First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) WBID #3960
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: South Fabius; 07110003-0402

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Outfall #002:

Petroleum Storage Terminal; SIC # 4613 NAICS # 486910

Terminal ships and receives petroleum products via pipeline and tanker truck. Stormwater collects in an earthen containment berm and is then discharged to a retention basin. Tank exterior wash water is also discharged to the basin. This facility does not require a certified wastewater operator. Domestic wastewater is managed in a sub-surface system <3000 gallons/day.

Average Flow is dependent on precipitation.

Outfall #001-Eliminated in a previous permit. Outfall #003-Internal outfall, eliminated in this permit.

This permit authorizes only wastewater and stormwater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Sections 640.013, 621.250, and 644.051.6 of the Law.

January 1, 2020
Effective Date


Edward B. Galbraith, Director, Division of Environmental Quality

March 31, 2023
Expiration Date


Chris Wieberg, Director, Water Protection Program

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

OUTFALL #002 Settling Basin Outfall	TABLE A-1 FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective on January 1, 2020 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited, and monitored by the permittee as specified below:						
EFFLUENT PARAMETERS	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
LIMIT SET: Q						
PHYSICAL						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/quarter	24 hr. total
Precipitation	inches	*		*	once/quarter	measure
CONVENTIONAL						
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	120		90	once/quarter	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/quarter	grab
pH †	SU	6.5-9.0		-	once/quarter	grab
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	100		50	once/quarter	grab
OTHER						
Benzene	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>APRIL 28, 2020</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
LIMIT SET: WC						
OTHER						
Whole Effluent Toxicity, Chronic See Special Condition #1	TU _c	*			once/year	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>ANNUALLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>JANUARY 28, 2021</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						

* Monitoring and reporting requirement only

† pH: the facility will report the minimum and maximum values; pH is not to be averaged

◇ Quarterly sampling

MINIMUM QUARTERLY SAMPLING REQUIREMENTS			
QUARTER	MONTHS	QUARTERLY EFFLUENT PARAMETERS	REPORT IS DUE
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

In addition to specified conditions stated herein, this permit is subject to the attached Part I standard conditions dated August 1, 2014, and hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:
 - (a) Freshwater Species and Test Methods: Species and short-term test methods for estimating the acute toxicity of NPDES effluents are found in the most recent edition of *Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* (EPA/821/R-02/012; Table IA, 40 CFR Part 136). The permittee shall concurrently conduct 48-hour, static, non-renewal toxicity tests with the following species:
 - The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2000.0).
 - The daphnid, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2002.0).
 - (b) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control sample and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping. Where upstream receiving water is not available or known to be toxic, other approved control water may be used.
 - (c) Test conditions must meet all test acceptability criteria required by the EPA Method used in the analysis.
 - (d) The laboratory shall not chemically dechlorinate the sample.
 - (e) The Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) is 100%; the dilution series is: 6.25%, 12.5%, 25%, 50%, and 100%.
 - (f) All chemical and physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% effluent concentration.
 - (g) The facility must submit a full laboratory report for all toxicity testing. The report must include a quantification of acute toxic units ($TU_a = 100/LC_{50}$) reported according to the test methods manual chapter on report preparation and test review. The Lethal Concentration 50 Percent (LC_{50}) is the effluent concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the test organisms at a specific time.
2. Spills, Overflows, and Other Unauthorized Discharges.
 - (a) Any spill, overflow, or other discharge(s) not specifically authorized above are unauthorized discharges.
 - (b) Should an unauthorized discharge cause or permit any contaminants to discharge or enter waters of the state, the unauthorized discharge must be reported to the regional office as soon as practicable but no more than 24 hours after the discovery of the discharge. If the spill or overflow needs to be reported after normal business hours or on the weekend, the facility must call the Department's 24 hour spill line at 573-634-2436.
3. Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System.
 - (a) Discharge Monitoring Reporting Requirements. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data via the eDMR system. Standard Conditions Part I, Section B, #7 indicates the eDMR system is currently the only Department approved reporting method for this permit.
 - (b) Programmatic Reporting Requirements. All reports must be electronically submitted as an attachment to the eDMR system until such a time when the current or a new system is available to allow direct input of the data. After such a system has been made available by the Department, required data shall be directly input into the system by the next report due date
 - (1) Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Reports;
 - (2) Any additional report required by the permit excluding bypass reporting.
 - (c) The following shall be submitted electronically after such a system has been made available by the Department:
 - (1) General Permit Applications/Notices of Intent to discharge (NOIs);
 - (2) Notices of Termination (NOTs);
 - (3) No Exposure Certifications (NOEs);
 - (4) Low Erosivity Waivers, and Other Waivers from Stormwater Controls (LEWs); and
 - (5) Bypass reporting.
 - (d) Electronic Submission: access the eDMR system via: <https://edmr.dnr.mo.gov/edmr/E2/Shared/Pages/Main/Login.aspx>
 - (e) Electronic Reporting Waivers. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data and reports unless a waiver is granted by the Department in compliance with 40 CFR Part 127. The permittee may obtain an electronic reporting waiver by first submitting an eDMR Waiver Request Form: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf>. The Department will either approve or deny this electronic reporting waiver request within 120 calendar days. Only permittees with an approved waiver request may submit monitoring data and reports on paper to the Department for the period the approved electronic reporting waiver is effective.
4. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

The facility's SIC code or description is found in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14) and/or 10 CSR 20-6.200(2) and hence shall implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) which must be prepared and implemented upon permit effective date. The SWPPP must be kept on-site and should not be sent to the Department unless specifically requested. The SWPPP must be reviewed and updated annually or if site conditions affecting stormwater change. The permittee shall select, install, use, operate, and maintain the Best Management Practices prescribed in the SWPPP in accordance with the concepts and methods described in: *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (EPA 833-B-09-002) published by the EPA in 2015 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-11/documents/swppp_guide_industrial_2015.pdf. The purpose of the SWPPP and the Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed herein is the prevention of pollution of waters of the state.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, CONTINUED

Deficiency of a BMP means it was not effective at preventing pollution [10 CSR 20-2.010(56)] to waters of the state. Corrective action describes the steps the facility took to eliminate the deficiency.

The SWPPP must include:

- (a) A listing of specific contaminants and their control measures (or BMPs) and a narrative explaining how BMPs are implemented to control and minimize the amount of contaminants potentially entering stormwater.
 - (b) A map with all outfalls and structural BMPs marked.
 - (c) A schedule for at least once per month site inspections and brief written reports. The inspection report must include precipitation information for the entire period since last inspection, as well as observations and evaluations of BMP effectiveness. Throughout coverage under this permit, the facility must perform ongoing SWPPP review and revision to incorporate any site condition changes.
 - i. Operational deficiencies must be corrected within seven (7) calendar days.
 - ii. Minor structural deficiencies must be corrected within fourteen (14) calendar days.
 - iii. Major structural deficiencies (deficiencies projected to take longer than 14 days to correct) must be reported as an uploaded attachment through the eDMR system with the DMRs. The initial report shall consist of the deficiency noted, the proposed remedies, the interim or temporary remedies (including proposed timing of the placement of the interim measures), and an estimate of the timeframe needed to wholly complete the repairs or construction. If required by the Department, the permittee shall work with the regional office to determine the best course of action. The permittee should consider temporary structures to control stormwater runoff. The facility shall correct the major structural deficiency as soon as reasonably achievable.
 - iv. All actions taken to correct the deficiencies shall be included with the written report, including photographs, and kept with the SWPPP. Additionally, corrective action of major structural deficiencies shall be reported as an uploaded attachment through the eDMR system with the DMRs.
 - v. BMP failure causing discharge through an unregistered outfall is considered an illicit discharge and must be reported in accordance with Standard Conditions Part I.
 - vi. Inspection reports must be kept on site with the SWPPP and maintained for a period of five (5) years. These must be made available to Department personnel upon request. Electronic versions of the documents and photographs are acceptable.
 - (d) A provision for designating an individual to be responsible for environmental matters and a provision for providing training to all personnel involved in housekeeping, material handling (including but not limited to loading and unloading), storage, and staging of all operational, maintenance, storage, and cleaning areas. Proof of training shall be submitted upon request by the Department.
5. Site-wide minimum Best Management Practices (BMPs). At a minimum, the permittee shall adhere to the following:
- (a) Prevent the spillage or loss of fluids, oil, grease, fuel, etc. from vehicle maintenance, equipment cleaning, warehouse activities, and other areas, and thereby prevent the contamination of stormwater from these substances.
 - (b) Ensure adequate provisions are provided to prevent to protect embankments of basins from erosion.
 - (c) Provide collection facilities and arrange for proper disposal of waste products including but not limited to petroleum waste products, and solvents.
 - (d) Store all paint, solvents, petroleum products and petroleum waste products (except fuels), and storage containers (such as drums, cans, or cartons) so these materials are not exposed to stormwater or provide other prescribed BMPs such as plastic lids and/or portable spill pans to prevent the commingling of stormwater with container contents. Commingled water may not be discharged under this permit. Provide spill prevention control, and/or management sufficient to prevent any spills of these pollutants from entering waters of the state. Any containment system used to implement this requirement shall be constructed of materials compatible with the substances contained and shall also prevent the contamination of groundwater. Spill records should be retained on-site.
 - (e) Provide good housekeeping practices on the site to keep trash from entry into waters of the state.
 - (f) Provide sediment and erosion control sufficient to prevent or control sediment loss off of the property.
6. Petroleum Secondary Containment.
- Before releasing water accumulated in petroleum secondary containment areas, it must be examined for hydrocarbon odor and presence of sheen to protect the general criteria found at 10 CSR 20-7.031(4).
- (a) If odor or sheen is found, the water shall not be discharged without treatment and shall be disposed of in accordance with legally approved methods, such as being sent to an accepting wastewater treatment facility.
 - (b) If the facility wishes to discharge the accumulated stormwater with hydrocarbon odor or presence of sheen, the water shall be treated using an appropriate removal method. Following treatment and before release, the water shall be tested for oil and grease, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene using 40 CFR part 136 methods. All pollutant levels must be below the most protective, applicable standards for the receiving stream, found in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A before discharge is authorized. Records of all testing and treatment of water accumulated in secondary containment shall be stored in the SWPPP and be available on demand to the Department.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, CONTINUED

7. Oil/Water Separators. This site operates oil water separator tanks for the treatment of wastewater and falls under 10 CSR 26-2.010(2)(B). OWS, as disclosed by the permittee, are hereby authorized and shall be operated per manufacturer's specifications. The specifications and operating records must be made accessible to Department staff upon request. Oil water separator sludge is considered used oil; sludge must be disposed of in accordance with 10 CSR 25-11.279.
8. The full implementation of this operating permit, which includes implementation of any applicable schedules of compliance, shall constitute compliance with all applicable federal and state statutes and regulations in accordance with §644.051.16, RSMo, and the CWA section 402(k); however, this permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Clean Water Act Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), §304(b)(2), and §307(a) (2), if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or controls any pollutant not limited in the permit. This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, termination, notice of planned changes, or anticipated non-compliance does not stay any permit condition.
9. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
10. Report no discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period. It is a violation of this permit to report no-discharge when a discharge has occurred.
11. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Pollutant.
In addition to the reporting requirements under §122.41(1), all existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural dischargers must notify the Director as soon as they know or have reason to believe:
 - (a) That an activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following notification levels:
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile;
 - (3) Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol;
 - (4) One milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (5) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(g)(7); or
 - (6) The notification level established by the Department in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f).
 - (b) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
 - (1) Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L);
 - (2) One milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with §122.21(g)(7).
 - (4) The level established by the Director in accordance with §122.44(f).
12. Reporting of Non-Detects.
 - (a) An analysis conducted by the permittee or their contracted laboratory shall be conducted in such a way the precision and accuracy of the analyzed result can be enumerated.
 - (b) The permittee shall not report a sample result as "non-detect" without also reporting the detection limit of the test or the reporting limit of the laboratory. Reporting as "non-detect" without also including the detection/reporting limit will be considered failure to report, which is a violation of this permit.
 - (c) The permittee shall report the non-detect result using the less than "<" symbol and the laboratory's detection/reporting limit (e.g. <6).
 - (d) See sufficiently sensitive method requirements in Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, #4 regarding proper detection limits used for sample analysis.
 - (e) When calculating monthly averages, one-half of the minimum detection limit (MDL) should be used instead of a zero. Where all data are below the MDL, the "<MDL" shall be reported as indicated in item (C).
13. Failure to pay fees associated with this permit is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law (644.055 RSMo).
14. This permit does not cover land disturbance activities.
15. This permit does not authorize the placement of fill materials in flood plains, placement of solid materials into any waterway, the obstruction of stream flow, or changing the channel of a defined drainage course. The facility must contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) to determine if a CWA §404 Department of Army permit is required.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, CONTINUED

16. Renewal Application Requirements.

- (a) This facility shall submit an appropriate and complete application to the Department no less than 180 days from the expiration date listed on page 1 of the permit.
- (b) Application materials shall include complete Form A and Form C. If the form names have changed, then the facility should assure they are submitting the correct forms as required by regulation.
- (c) The facility must sample the stormwater outfalls and provide analysis for every parameter contained in the permit at all outfalls at the site in accordance with 10 CSR 20-6.200(2)(C)1.E(I) and (II)

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FACT SHEET
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL
OF
MO-0108430
MAGELLAN PIPELINE – PALMYRA

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of stormwater from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified for less.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)(A)2.] a factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (MSOP or operating permit) listed below. A factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

PART I. FACILITY INFORMATION

Facility Type: Industrial – Wastewater < 1MGD
SIC Code(s): 4613
NAICS Code(s): 486910
Application Date: 06/10/2019
Expiration Date: 08/31/2019
Last Inspection: 07/22/2015

FACILITY DESCRIPTION:

Petroleum Storage Terminal; SIC # 4613 NAICS # 486910

Terminal ships and receives petroleum products via pipeline and tanker truck. Stormwater collects in an earthen containment berm and is then discharged to a retention pond. Tank exterior wash water is also discharged to the basin. Average Flow from the basin is dependent on precipitation. The facility reported a design flow for the basin of 0.5 MGD; however, the facility has reported no discharge from the basin since the last permit renewal. Sludge in the basin (accumulated in the basin as a result of treating stormwater and wash water) is managed by being retained in the basin and being removed by a hauler as necessary. This facility does not require a certified wastewater operator. Domestic wastewater is managed in a sub-surface system <3000 gallons/day.

The charter number for the continuing authority for this facility is LF0012110; this number was verified by the permit writer to be associated with the facility and precisely matches the continuing authority reported by the facility.

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(f)(6), the Department evaluated the other permits currently held by this facility; none were found. Additionally, the permittee reported no other permits.

PERMITTED FEATURES TABLE:

OUTFALL	AVERAGE FLOW	DESIGN FLOW	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE
#001	Dependent on precipitation	0.5 MGD	BMPs	Stormwater, wash water


FACILITY PERFORMANCE HISTORY & COMMENTS:

The electronic discharge monitoring reports were reviewed for the last permit term. The permittee reported no discharge for all reporting periods. The facility was found to be in compliance during the last inspection. This facility previously had an internal outfall designated at #003 for technology-only limitations, however, combining the outfalls was appropriate due to the lack of Effluent Limitation Guideline or necessity to sample internally for this facility.

FACILITY MAPS:




FACILITY MAPS, CONTINUED:



NOTE: REFER TO SPCC FOR CONTENT AND CAPACITIES OF BULK STORAGE TANKS.
NOTE: REFER TO EPA SENSITIVITY MAPS FOR SURFACE WATER RECEIVING STREAMS.

WATER BALANCE DIAGRAM:



PART II. RECEIVING WATERBODY INFORMATION

RECEIVING WATERBODY'S WATER QUALITY:

The receiving waterbody has no relevant water quality data available.

303(D) LIST:

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires each state identify waters not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock, and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of impaired waters not addressed by normal water pollution control programs. <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/waterquality/303d/303d.htm>

✓ Not applicable; this facility does not discharge to an impaired segment of a 303(d) listed stream.

TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant a water body can absorb before its water quality is affected; hence, the purpose of a TMDL is to determine the pollutant loading a specific waterbody can assimilate without exceeding water quality standards. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan or TMDL may be developed. The TMDL shall include the WLA calculation. <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/tmdl/>

✓ Not applicable; this facility does not discharge to a waterbody/watershed with a TMDL.

UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM IMPAIRMENTS:

The permit writer has reviewed upstream and downstream stream segments of this facility for impairments.

- ✓ This facility is located at the top of the watershed therefore no upstream is present at this location/outfalls.
- ✓ The permit writer has noted downstream of the facility the stream had a Use Attainability Assessment done which determined the South Fabian River is not attaining the use of AQL. The AQL impairment was noted to come from channelization of the stream. The facility does not contribute to this issue, as their discharge likely does not impact the hydrology of the South Fabian River.

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

Per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015(1)(B)], waters of the state are divided into seven categories. This facility is subject to effluent limitations derived on a site specific basis which are presented in each outfall's effluent limitation table and further discussed in Part IV: Effluents Limits Determinations.

- ✓ All Other Waters

RECEIVING WATERBODY TABLE:

OUTFALL	WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES	DISTANCE TO SEGMENT	12-DIGIT HUC
#002	Tributary to South Fabius River	n/a	n/a	GEN	0.0 mi	South Fabius 07110003-0402
#002	100K Extent-Remaining Stream	C	3960	GEN, HHP, IRR, LWW, SCR, WBC-B, WWH (ALP)	0.46 mi	

n/a not applicable

Classes are hydrologic classes as defined in 10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(F). L1: Lakes with drinking water supply - wastewater discharges are not permitted to occur to L1 watersheds per 10 CSR 20-7.015(3)(C); L2: major reservoirs; L3: all other public and private lakes; P: permanent streams; C: streams which may cease flow in dry periods but maintain pools supporting aquatic life; E: streams which do not maintain surface flow; and W: wetland. Losing streams are defined in 10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(O) and are designated on the Losing Stream dataset or determined by the Department to lose 30% or more of flow to the subsurface.

WBID = Waterbody Identification: Missouri Use Designation Dataset per 10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(Q) and (S) as 100K Extant-Remaining Streams or newer; data can be found as an ArcGIS shapefile on MSDIS at ftp://msdis.missouri.edu/pub/Inland_Water_Resources/MO_2014_WQS_Stream_Classifications_and_Use.shp.zip; New C streams described on the dataset per 10 CSR 20-7.031(2)(A)3. as 100K Extent Remaining Streams.

Per 10 CSR 20-7.031, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission's water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses are to be maintained in the receiving streams in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)]. Uses which may be found in the receiving streams table, above:

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)1.: **ALP** = Aquatic Life Protection (formerly AQL; current uses are defined to ensure the protection and propagation of fish shellfish and wildlife, further subcategorized as: WWH = Warm Water Habitat; CLH = Cool Water Habitat; CDH = Cold Water Habitat; EAH = Ephemeral Aquatic Habitat; MAH = Modified Aquatic Habitat; LAH = Limited Aquatic Habitat. This permit uses ALP effluent limitations in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A1-A2 for all habitat designations unless otherwise specified.

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)2.: Recreation in and on the water

WBC = Whole Body Contact recreation where the entire body is capable of being submerged;

WBC-A = whole body contact recreation supporting swimming uses and has public access;

WBC-B = whole body contact recreation not supported in WBC-A;

SCR = Secondary Contact Recreation (like fishing, wading, and boating)

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)3. to 7.:

HHP (formerly HHF) = Human Health Protection as it relates to the consumption of fish and drinking of water;

IRR = irrigation for use on crops utilized for human or livestock consumption

LWW = Livestock and Wildlife Watering (current narrative use is defined as LWP = Livestock and Wildlife Protection);

DWS = Drinking Water Supply

IND = industrial water supply

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)8-11.: Wetlands (10 CSR 20-7.031 Tables A1-B3 currently does not have corresponding habitat use criteria for these defined uses): WSA = storm- and flood-water storage and attenuation; WHP = habitat for resident and migratory wildlife species; WRC = recreational, cultural, educational, scientific, and natural aesthetic values and uses; WHC = hydrologic cycle maintenance.

10 CSR 20-7.031(6): **GRW** = Groundwater

RECEIVING WATERBODY MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

No receiving water monitoring requirements are recommended at this time.

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS:

For all outfalls, mixing zone and zone of initial dilution are not allowed per 10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(I)(a) and (b), as the base stream flow does not provide dilution to the effluent.

PART III. RATIONALE AND DERIVATION OF PERMIT CONDITIONS

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

- ✓ Not applicable; the facility does not discharge to a losing stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], and is an existing facility.

ANTIBACKSLIDING:

Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(l)] require a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions. Backsliding (a less stringent permit limitation) is only allowed under certain conditions.

- ✓ Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.
 - ✓ Information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance (other than revised regulations, guidance, or test methods) which would have justified the application of a less stringent effluent limitation.
 - Toluene, xylene, and ethylbenzene were monitoring is removed. Benzene is retained as an indicator pollutant for these pollutants. DMR data showed no RP for these pollutants to exceed WQS.
 - TPH limits and monitoring are removed. There was no data submitted for review to the permit writer; however, the permit writer reviewed application materials and inspections for this facility and determined no RP for this pollutant to violate narrative criteria. The site has an oil water separator treating the effluent discharged to the basin. Additionally, this pollutant has no Missouri Water Quality Standards and no 40 CFR part 136 compliant testing method. For this reason, results for this test have no reliable interpretation, making their contributions to the permitting process minimal. The oil and grease parameter measures many of the same pollutants, has part 136 compliant test methods, and Missouri water quality standards. Oil and grease is retained with monitoring and limits in this permit.
 - The previous permit had a special condition which stated: “Any pesticide discharge from any point source shall comply with the requirements of Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 136 et. seq.) and the use of such pesticides shall be in a manner consistent with its label.” The permit writer has determined this special condition was outside the scope of NPDES permitting and was removed.
 - The previous permit had a special condition which stated: “Release of a hazardous substance must be reported to the department in accordance with 10 CSR 24-3.010. A record of each reportable spill shall be retained with the SWPPP and made available to the department upon request.” The permit writer has determined this special condition was outside the scope of NPDES permitting and was removed.
 - Precipitation monitoring and reporting is removed from the permit; however, the permittee is required to record the daily precipitation at the site and store it with the site inspection/SWPPP materials onsite. Only the requirement to report the measurements to the Department is removed. The permit writer determined the basin at the site negates the need to know the precipitation event associated with discharge, as the basin may discharge after a series of events, or the permittee may choose to sample by opening a valve at any time.
 - The permit writer removed outfall #003 and transferred the applicable limits to outfall #002. Outfall #003 was an internal outfall, and was not required to protect water quality. The site reported no discharge for the last permit cycle; however, the permit writer believes the limits on outfall #002 are achievable, as they are achievable at other, similar sites; therefore, a schedule of compliance was not granted to meet these limits.

ANTIDEGRADATION REVIEW:

Process water discharges with new, altered, or expanding flows, the Department is to document, by means of antidegradation review, if the use of a water body’s available assimilative capacity is justified. In accordance with Missouri’s water quality regulations for antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], degradation may be justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharge after determining the necessity of the discharge. Facilities must submit the antidegradation review request to the Department prior to establishing, altering, or expanding discharges. See <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/antideg-implementation.htm>

- ✓ Not applicable; the facility has not submitted information proposing expanded or altered process water discharge; no further degradation proposed therefore no further review necessary.

This permit requires the development and implementation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) which must include an alternative analysis (AA) of the BMPs. The SWPPP must be developed, implemented, updated, and maintained at the facility. Failure to implement and maintain the chosen alternative, is a permit violation. The AA is a structured evaluation of BMPs to determine which are reasonable and cost effective. Analysis should include practices designed to be 1) non-degrading, 2) less degrading, or 3) degrading water quality. The chosen BMP will be the most reasonable and cost effective while ensuring the highest statutory and regulatory requirements are achieved and the highest quality water attainable for the facility is discharged. The analysis must demonstrate why “no discharge” or “no exposure” are not feasible alternatives at the facility. Existing facilities with established SWPPPs and BMPs need not conduct an additional alternatives analysis unless new BMPs are established to address BMP failures or benchmark exceedances. This structured analysis of BMPs serves as the antidegradation review, fulfilling the requirements of 10 CSR

20-7.015(9)(A)5 and 7.031(3). For stormwater discharges with new, altered, or expanding discharges, the stormwater BMP chosen for the facility, through the AA performed by the facility, must be implemented and maintained at the facility. Failure to implement and maintain the chosen BMP alternative is a permit violation; see SWPPP.

✓ Applicable; the facility must review and maintain stormwater BMPs as appropriate.

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES:

Minimum site-wide best management practices are established in this permit to assure all permittees are managing their sites equally to protect waters of the state from certain activities which could cause negative effects in receiving water bodies. While not all sites require a SWPPP because the SIC codes are specifically exempted in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14), these best management practices are not specifically included for stormwater purposes. These practices are minimum requirements for all industrial sites to protect waters of the state. If the minimum best management practices are not followed, the facility may violate general criteria [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)]. Statutes are applicable to all permitted facilities in the state, therefore pollutants cannot be released unless in accordance with RSMo 644.011 and 644.016 (17).

CHANGES IN DISCHARGES OF TOXIC POLLUTANT:

This special condition reiterates the federal rules found in 40 CFR 122.44(f) and 122.42(a)(1). In these rules, the facility is required to report changes in amounts of toxic substances discharged. Toxic substances are defined in 40 CFR 122.2 as "...any pollutant listed as toxic under section 307(a)(1) or, in the case of "sludge use or disposal practices," any pollutant identified in regulations implementing section 405(d) of the CWA." Section 307 of the clean water act then refers to those parameters found in 40 CFR 401.15. The permittee should also consider any other toxic pollutant in the discharge as reportable under this condition.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

✓ Not applicable; the permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

DOMESTIC WASTEWATER, SLUDGE, AND BIOSOLIDS:

Domestic wastewater is defined as wastewater (i.e., human sewage) originating primarily from the sanitary conveyances of bathrooms and kitchens. Domestic wastewater excludes stormwater, animal waste, process waste, and other similar waste.

✓ Not applicable; this facility discharges domestic wastewater subsurface with flows of 3,000 gallons per day or less as calculated in accordance with 19 CSR 20-3.060(1)(E) and tables 2A and 2B. The domestic wastewater system is jurisdiction of the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services or Local Public Health Agency. This permit does not authorize any wastewater for introduction into the sub-surface system.

Sewage sludge is solid, semi-solid, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment meeting federal and state criteria for productive use (i.e. fertilizer) and after having pathogens removed.

Additional information: <http://extension.missouri.edu/main/DisplayCategory.aspx?C=74> (WQ422 through WQ449).

✓ Not applicable; domestic wastewater at this site falls under the jurisdiction of the Department of Health and Senior Services; see above.

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS:

Effluent limitations derived and established for this permit are based on current operations of the facility and applied per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(A). Any flow through the outfall is considered a discharge and must be sampled and reported as provided in the permit. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions which supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit. Daily maximums and monthly averages are required per 40 CFR 122.45(d)(1) for continuous discharges (not from a POTW).

EFFLUENT LIMITATION GUIDELINE:

Effluent Limitation Guidelines, or ELGs, are found at 40 CFR 400-499. These are limitations established by the EPA based on the SIC code and the type of work a facility is conducting. Most ELGs are for process wastewater and some address stormwater. All are technology based limitations which must be met by the applicable facility at all times.

✓ The facility does not have an associated ELG.

ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (EDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a final rule on October 22, 2015, to modernize Clean Water Act reporting for municipalities, industries, and other facilities by converting to an electronic data reporting system. The final rule requires

regulated entities and state and federal regulators to use information technology to electronically report data required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program instead of filing paper reports. To comply with the federal rule, the Department is requiring all permittees to begin submitting discharge monitoring data and reports online.

Per 40 CFR 127.15 and 127.24, permitted facilities may request a temporary waiver for up to 5 years or a permanent waiver from electronic reporting from the Department. To obtain an electronic reporting waiver, a permittee must first submit an eDMR Waiver Request Form: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf>. A request must be made for each facility. If more than one facility is owned or operated by a single entity, then the entity must submit a separate request for each facility based on its specific circumstances. An approved waiver is not transferable.

The Department must review and notify the facility within 120 calendar days of receipt if the waiver request has been approved or rejected [40 CFR 124.27(a)]. During the Department review period as well as after a waiver is granted, the facility must continue submitting a hard-copy of any reports required by their permit. The Department will enter data submitted in hard-copy from those facilities allowed to do so and electronically submit the data to the EPA on behalf of the facility.

To assist the facility in entering data into the eDMR system, the permit describes limit sets in each table in Part A of the permit. The data entry personnel should use these identifiers to assure data entry is being completed appropriately.

- ✓ The permittee/facility is currently using the eDMR data reporting system.

GENERAL CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS:

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), effluent limitations shall be placed into permits for pollutants determined to cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or to contribute to, an excursion above any water quality standard, including narrative water quality criteria. In order to comply with this regulation, the permit writer has completed a reasonable potential determination on whether discharges have reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of the general criteria listed in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). In instances where reasonable potential exists, the permit includes limitations within the permit to address the reasonable potential. In discharges where reasonable potential does not exist, the permit may include monitoring to later determine the discharge's potential to impact the narrative criteria. Additionally, §644.076.1, RSMo as well as Section D – Administrative Requirements of Standard Conditions Part I of this permit state it shall be unlawful for any person to cause or allow any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any standard, rule, or regulation promulgated by the commission.

- ✓ Not applicable; this permit does not contain effluent limitations based on the narrative criteria.

GROUNDWATER MONITORING:

Groundwater is a water of the state according to RSMo 644.016(27), is subject to regulations at 10 CSR 20-7.015(7) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(6), and must be protected accordingly.

- ✓ This facility is not required to monitor groundwater for the water protection program.

LAND APPLICATION:

Land application of wastewater or sludge is performed by facilities to maintain a basin as no-discharge.

- ✓ Not applicable; this permit does not authorize operation of a land application system to disperse wastewater or sludge.

MAJOR WATER USER:

Any surface or groundwater user with a water source and the equipment necessary to withdraw or divert 100,000 gallons (or 70 gallons per minute) or more per day combined from all sources from any stream, river, lake, well, spring, or other water source is considered a major water user in Missouri. All major water users are required by law to register water use annually (Missouri Revised Statutes Chapter 256.400 Geology, Water Resources and Geodetic Survey Section). <https://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2236.htm>

- ✓ Not applicable; this permittee cannot withdraw water from the state in excess of 70 gpm/0.1 MGD.

OIL/WATER SEPARATORS:

Oil water separator (OWS) tank systems are frequently found at industrial sites where process water and stormwater may contain oils and greases, oily wastewaters, or other immiscible liquids requiring separation. Food industry discharges typically require pretreatment prior to discharge to municipally owned treatment works. Per 10 CSR 26-2.010(2)(B), all oil water separator tanks must be operated according to manufacturer's specifications and authorized in NPDES permits per 10 CSR 26-2.010(2) or may be regulated as a petroleum tank.

- ✓ Applicable; the OWS, as disclosed by the permittee, discharge to outfall #001, and this outfall contains appropriate parameters as determined by the permit writer. Sludge generated by OWS is subject to Special Conditions. See SLUDGE – INDUSTRIAL below.
Oil collected is identified as used oil and must be disposed of according to 10 CSR 25-11.279.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL (RP):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants which are (or may be) discharged at a level causing or have the reasonable potential to cause (or contribute to) an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water

quality standards. Per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4), general criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times; however, acute toxicity criteria may be exceeded by permit in zones of initial dilution, and chronic toxicity criteria may be exceeded by permit in mixing zones. If the permit writer determines any given pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for the pollutant per 40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(iii) and the most stringent limits per 10 CSR 20-7.031(9)(A). Permit writers may use mathematical reasonable potential analysis (RPA) using the Technical Support Document for Water Quality Based Toxics Control (TSD) methods (EPA/505/2-90-001) as found in Section 3.3.2, or may also use reasonable potential determinations (RPD) as provided in Sections 3.1.2, 3.1.3, and 3.2 of the TSD.

- ✓ Applicable; the permit writer conducted an RPD on applicable parameters within the permit. See Part IV: Effluent Limits Determinations below.
- ✓ Not applicable; a mathematical RPA was not conducted for this facility. No data was available for an RPA.
- ✓ Permit writers use the Department's permit writer's manual (<http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/manual/permit-manual.htm>), the EPA's permit writer's manual (<https://www.epa.gov/npdes/npdes-permit-writers-manual>), program policies, and best professional judgment. For each parameter in each permit, the permit writer carefully considers all applicable information regarding: technology based effluent limitations, effluent limitation guidelines, water quality standards, stream flows and uses, and all applicable site specific information and data gathered by the permittee through discharge monitoring reports and renewal (or new) application sampling. Best professional judgment is based on the experience of the permit writer, cohorts in the Department and resources at the EPA, research, and maintaining continuity of permits if necessary. For stormwater permits, the permit writer is required per 10 CSR 6.200(6)(B)2 to consider: A. application and other information supplied by the permittee; B. effluent guidelines; C. best professional judgment of the permit writer; D. water quality; and E. BMPs. Part IV provides specific decisions related to this permit.

SAMPLING FREQUENCY JUSTIFICATION:

Sampling and reporting frequency was generally retained from previous permit. 40 CFR 122.45(d)(1) indicates all continuous discharges shall be permitted with daily maximum and monthly average limits.

SAMPLING TYPE JUSTIFICATION:

Sampling type was continued from the previous permit. The sampling types are representative of the discharges, and are protective of water quality. Discharges with altering effluent should have composite sampling; discharges with uniform effluent can have grab samples. Grab samples are usually appropriate for stormwater. Parameters which must have grab sampling are: pH, ammonia, *E. coli*, total residual chlorine, free available chlorine, hexavalent chromium, dissolved oxygen, total phosphorus, volatile organic compounds, and others.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, effluent limits, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit. SOC's are allowed under 40 CFR 122.47 and 10 CSR 20-7.031(11) providing certain conditions are met.

A SOC is not allowed:

- For effluent limitations based on technology-based standards established in accordance with federal requirements, if the deadline for compliance established in federal regulations has passed. 40 CFR § 125.3.
- For a newly constructed facility in most cases. Newly constructed facilities must meet applicable effluent limitations when discharge begins, because the facility has installed the appropriate control technology as specified in a permit or antidegradation review. A SOC is allowed for a new water quality based effluent limit not included in a previously public noticed permit or antidegradation review, which may occur if a regulation changes during construction.
- To develop a TMDL, UAA, or other study associated with development of a site specific criterion. A facility is not prohibited from conducting these activities, but a SOC may not be granted for conducting these activities.

In order to provide guidance in developing SOC's, and to attain a greater level of consistency, the Department issued a policy on development of SOC's on October 25, 2012. The policy provides guidance to permit writers on standard time frames for schedules for common activities, and guidance on factors to modify the length of the schedule.

- ✓ Not applicable; this permit does not contain a SOC. Limits were moved to a new outfall, but since the limits were already in place prior to treatment, the permit writer determines the facility can likely meet the limits after further treatment. Additionally, other facilities operating the same treatment system as this facility have met the limits in this permit.

SPILLS, OVERFLOWS, AND OTHER UNAUTHORIZED DISCHARGE REPORTING:

Per 260.505 RSMo, any emergency involving a hazardous substance must be reported to the Department's 24 hour Environmental Emergency Response hotline at (573) 634-2436 at the earliest practicable moment after discovery. The Department may require the submittal of a written report detailing measures taken to clean up a spill. These reporting requirements apply whether or not the spill results in chemicals or materials leaving the permitted property or reaching waters of the state. This requirement is in addition to the noncompliance reporting requirement found in Standard Conditions Part I. <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/esp/spillbill.htm>

Any other spills, overflows, or unauthorized discharges reaching waters of the state must be reported to the regional office during normal business hours, or after normal business hours, to the Department's 24 hour Environmental Emergency Response spill line at 573-634-2436.

SLUDGE – INDUSTRIAL:

Industrial sludge is solid, semi-solid, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of industrial process or non-process wastewater in a treatment works; including but not limited to, scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; scum and solids filtered from water supplies and backwashed; and any material derived from industrial sludge.

✓ Applicable; sludge is generated in the oil water separator, which is hauled by a contract hauler.

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

The standard conditions Part I attached to this permit incorporate all sections of 40 CFR 122.41(a) through (n) by reference as required by law. These conditions, in addition to the conditions enumerated within the standard conditions should be reviewed by the permittee to ascertain compliance with this permit, state regulations, state statutes, federal regulations, and the Clean Water Act. Standard Conditions Part III, if attached to this permit, incorporate requirements dealing with domestic wastewater, sludge, and land application.

STORMWATER PERMITTING: LIMITATIONS AND BENCHMARKS:

Because of the fleeting nature of stormwater discharges, the Department, under the direction of EPA guidance, has determined monthly averages are capricious measures of stormwater discharges. The *Technical Support Document for Water Quality Based Toxics Control* (EPA/505/2-90-001; 1991) Section 3.1 indicates most procedures within the document apply only to water quality based approaches, not end-of-pipe technology-based controls. Hence, stormwater-only outfalls will generally only contain a maximum daily limit (MDL), benchmark, or monitoring requirement as dictated by site specific conditions, the BMPs in place, past performance of the facility, and the receiving water's current quality.

Sufficient rainfall to cause a discharge for one hour or more from a facility would not necessarily cause significant flow in a receiving stream. Acute Water Quality Standards (WQSs) are based on one hour of exposure, and must be protected at all times. Therefore, industrial stormwater facilities with toxic contaminants present in the stormwater may have the potential to cause a violation of acute WQSs if toxic contaminants occur in sufficient amounts. In this instance, the permit writer may apply daily maximum limitations.

Conversely, it is unlikely for rainfall to cause a discharge for four continuous days from a facility; if this does occur however, the receiving stream will also likely sustain a significant amount of flow providing dilution. Most chronic WQSs are based on a four-day exposure with some exceptions. Under this scenario, most industrial stormwater facilities have limited potential to cause a violation of chronic water quality standards in the receiving stream.

A standard mass-balance equation cannot be calculated for stormwater because stormwater flow and flow in the receiving stream cannot be determined for conditions on any given day or storm event. The amount of stormwater discharged from the facility will vary based on current and previous rainfall, soil saturation, humidity, detention time, BMPs, surface permeability, etc. Flow in the receiving stream will vary based on climatic conditions, size of watershed, area of surfaces with reduced permeability (houses, parking lots, and the like) in the watershed, hydrogeology, topography, etc. Decreased permeability may increase the stream flow dramatically over a short period of time (flash).

Numeric benchmark values are based on site specific requirements taking in to account a number of factors but cannot be applied to any process water discharges. First, the technology in place at the site to control pollutant discharges in stormwater is evaluated. The permit writer also evaluates other similar permits for similar activities. A review of the guidance forming the basis of Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) *Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity* (MSGP) may also occur. Because precipitation events are sudden and momentary, benchmarks based on state or federal standards or recommendations use the Criteria Maximum Concentration (CMC) value, or acute standard may also be used. The CMC is the estimate of the highest concentration of a material in surface water to which an aquatic community can be exposed briefly without resulting in an unacceptable effect. The CMC for aquatic life is intended to be protective of the vast majority of the aquatic communities in the United States. If a facility has not disclosed BMPs applicable to the pollutants for the site, the permittee may not be eligible for benchmarks.

40 CFR 122.44(b)(1) requires the permit implement the most stringent limitations for each discharge, including industrially exposed stormwater; and 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(i) and (iii) requires the permit to include water-quality based effluent limitations where reasonable potential has been found. However, because of the non-continuous nature of stormwater discharges, staff are unable to perform statistical Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA) under most stormwater discharge scenarios. Reasonable potential determinations (RPDs; see REASONABLE POTENTIAL above) using best professional judgment are performed.

Benchmarks require the facility to monitor, and if necessary, replace and update stormwater control measures. Benchmark concentrations are not effluent limitations. A benchmark exceedance, therefore, is not a permit violation; however, failure to take

corrective action is a violation of the permit. Benchmark monitoring data is used to determine the overall effectiveness of control measures and to assist the permittee in knowing when additional corrective actions may be necessary to comply with the conditions of the permit.

BMP inspections typically occur more frequently than sampling. Sampling frequencies are based on the facility's ability to comply with the benchmarks and the requirements of the permit. Inspections should occur after large rain events and any other time an issue is noted; sampling after a benchmark exceedance may need to occur to show the corrective action taken was meaningful.

When a permitted feature or outfall consists of only stormwater, a benchmark may be implemented at the discretion of the permit writer, if there is no RP for water quality excursions.

✓ Not applicable; this facility does not have any stormwater-only outfalls.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k), Best Management Practices (BMPs) must be used to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: 1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; 2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of stormwater discharges; 3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or 4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA. In accordance with the EPA's *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (EPA 833-B-09-002) published by the EPA in 2015 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-11/documents/swppp_guide_industrial_2015.pdf, BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering waters of the state from a permitted facility. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure. Additionally in accordance with the Stormwater Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to 1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and 2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges. Additional information can be found in *Stormwater Management for Industrial Activities: Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices* (EPA 832-R-92-006; September 1992).

A SWPPP must be prepared by the permittee if the SIC code is found in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14) and/or 10 CSR 20-6.200(2). A SWPPP may be required of other facilities where stormwater has been identified as necessitating better management. The purpose of a SWPPP is to comply with all applicable stormwater regulations by creating an adaptive management plan to control and mitigate stream pollution from stormwater runoff. Developing a SWPPP provides opportunities to employ appropriate BMPs to minimize the risk of pollutants being discharged during storm events. The following paragraph outlines the general steps the permittee should take to determine which BMPs will work to achieve the benchmark values or limits in the permit. This section is not intended to be all encompassing or restrict the use of any physical BMP or operational and maintenance procedure assisting in pollution control. Additional steps or revisions to the SWPPP may be required to meet the requirements of the permit.

Areas which should be included in the SWPPP are identified in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14). Once the potential sources of stormwater pollution have been identified, a plan should be formulated to best control the amount of pollutant being released and discharged by each activity or source. This should include, but is not limited to, minimizing exposure to stormwater, good housekeeping measures, proper facility and equipment maintenance, spill prevention and response, vehicle traffic control, and proper materials handling. Once a plan has been developed the facility will employ the control measures determined to be adequate to achieve the benchmark values discussed above. The facility will conduct monitoring and inspections of the BMPs to ensure they are working properly and re-evaluate any BMP not achieving compliance with permitting requirements. For example, if sample results from an outfall show values of TSS above the benchmark value, the BMP being employed is deficient in controlling stormwater pollution. Corrective action should be taken to repair, improve, or replace the failing BMP. This internal evaluation is required at least once per month but should be continued more frequently if BMPs continue to fail. If failures do occur, continue this trial and error process until appropriate BMPs have been established.

For new, altered, or expanded stormwater discharges, the SWPPP shall identify reasonable and effective BMPs while accounting for environmental impacts of varying control methods. The antidegradation analysis must document why no discharge or no exposure options are not feasible. The selection and documentation of appropriate control measures shall serve as an alternative analysis of technology and fulfill the requirements of antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)]. For further guidance, consult the antidegradation implementation procedure (<http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/docs/AIP050212.pdf>).

Alternative Analysis (AA) evaluation of the BMPs is a structured evaluation of BMPs which are reasonable and cost effective. The AA evaluation should include practices designed to be: 1) non-degrading; 2) less degrading; or 3) degrading water quality. The glossary of AIP defines these three terms. The chosen BMP will be the most reasonable and effective management strategy while ensuring the highest statutory and regulatory requirements are achieved and the highest quality water attainable for the facility is discharged. The AA evaluation must demonstrate why "no discharge" or "no exposure" is not a feasible alternative at the facility. This structured analysis of BMPs serves as the antidegradation review, fulfilling the requirements of 10 CSR 20-7.031(3) Water Quality Standards and *Antidegradation Implementation Procedure* (AIP), Section II.B.

If parameter-specific numeric benchmark exceedances continue to occur and the permittee feels there are no practicable or cost-effective BMPs which will sufficiently reduce a pollutant concentration in the discharge to the benchmark values established in the permit, the permittee can submit a request to re-evaluate the benchmark values. This request needs to include 1) a detailed explanation of why the facility is unable to comply with the permit conditions and unable to establish BMPs to achieve the benchmark values; 2) financial data of the company and documentation of cost associated with BMPs for review and 3) the SWPPP, which should contain adequate documentation of BMPs employed, failed BMPs, corrective actions, and all other required information. This will allow the Department to conduct a cost analysis on control measures and actions taken by the facility to determine cost-effectiveness of BMPs. The request shall be submitted in the form of an operating permit modification, which includes an appropriate fee; the application is found at: <https://dnr.mo.gov/forms/#WaterPollution>

✓ Applicable; a SWPPP shall be developed and implemented for this facility.

SUFFICIENTLY SENSITIVE ANALYTICAL METHODS:

Please review Standard Conditions Part 1, section A, number 4. The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 and/or 40 CFR 136 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is “sufficiently sensitive” when; 1) the method quantifies the pollutant below the level of the applicable water quality criterion or; 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility’s discharge is high enough the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015 and or 40 CFR 136. These methods are also required for parameters listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if numeric limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive. 40 CFR 136 lists the approved methods accepted by the Department. Tables A1-B3 at 10 CSR 20-7.031 shows water quality standards.

UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL (UIC):

The UIC program for all classes of wells in the State of Missouri is administered by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources and approved by EPA pursuant to section 1422 and 1425 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) and 40 CFR 147 Subpart AA. Injection wells are classified based on the liquids which are being injected. Class I wells are hazardous waste wells which are banned by RSMo 577.155; Class II wells are established for oil and natural gas production; Class III wells are used to inject fluids to extract minerals; Class IV wells are also banned by Missouri in RSMo 577.155; Class V wells are shallow injection wells; some examples are heat pump wells and groundwater remediation wells. Domestic wastewater being disposed of sub-surface is also considered a Class V well. In accordance with 40 CFR 144.82, construction, operation, maintenance, conversion, plugging, or closure of injection wells shall not cause movement of fluids containing any contaminant into Underground Sources of Drinking Water (USDW) if the presence of any contaminant may cause a violation of drinking water standards or groundwater standards under 10 CSR 20-7.031, or other health based standards, or may otherwise adversely affect human health. If the director finds the injection activity may endanger USDWs, the Department may require closure of the injection wells, or other actions listed in 40 CFR 144.12(c), (d), or (e). In accordance with 40 CFR 144.26, the permittee shall submit a Class V Well Inventory Form for each active or new underground injection well drilled, or when the status of a well changes, to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Program, P.O. Box 250, Rolla, Missouri 65402. The Class V Well Inventory Form can be requested from the Geological Survey Program or can be found at the following web address: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-1774-f.pdf>

✓ Not applicable; the permittee has not submitted materials indicating the facility will be performing UIC at this site. Single family residential septic systems and non-residential septic systems used solely for sanitary waste and having the capacity to serve fewer than 20 persons a day are excluded from the UIC requirements (40 CFR 144.81(9)).

VARIANCE:

Per the Missouri Clean Water Law §644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

✓ Not applicable; this permit is not drafted under premise of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010; definitions], the WLA is the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed to discharge into the receiving stream without endangering water quality. Two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs) are reviewed. If one limit does not provide adequate protection for the receiving water, then the other must be used per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(A). Total Maximum Daily Loads, if required for this facility, were also reviewed.

✓ Not applicable; wasteload allocations were either not calculated or were not based on TSD methods.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATION (WLA) MODELING:

Permittees may submit site specific studies to better determine the site specific wasteload allocations applied in permits.

✓ Not applicable; a WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARD REVISION:

In accordance with section 644.058, RSMo, the Department is required to utilize an evaluation of the environmental and economic impacts of modifications to water quality standards of twenty-five percent or more when making individual site-specific permit decisions.

✓ This operating permit does not contain requirements for a water quality standard that has changed twenty-five percent or more since the previous operating permit.

PART IV. EFFLUENT LIMITS DETERMINATIONS

OUTFALL #002 – WASH WATER AND STORMWATER

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETERS	UNIT	DAILY MAX	MONTHLY AVG.	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITS	MINIMUM SAMPLING FREQUENCY	REPORTING FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
PHYSICAL							
FLOW	MGD	*	*	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	24 Hr. Tot
PRECIPITATION	MONITORING REMOVED						
CONVENTIONAL							
COD	mg/L	120	90	NEW**	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
OIL & GREASE	mg/L	15	10	NEW	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
pH [†]	SU	6.5-9.0	-	6.0-9.0	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
SETTLABLE SOLIDS	MONITORING REMOVED						
TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (TSS)	mg/L	100	50	NEW**	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
OTHER							
BENZENE	µg/L	*	-	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
ETHANOL	MONITORING REMOVED						
ETHYLBENZENE	MONITORING REMOVED						
TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS	MONITORING REMOVED						
TOLUENE	MONITORING REMOVED						
XYLENE	MONITORING REMOVED						
WET TEST - ACUTE	TUa	*	-	NEW	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB

* monitoring and reporting requirement only

** These parameters were previously limited at outfall #003, the internal technology outfall. The limit has not changed from that outfall, but is not applied after treatment in the basin, rather than prior.

† report the minimum and maximum pH values; pH is not to be averaged

new parameter not established in previous state operating permit

DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

PHYSICAL:

Flow

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification. The facility will report the total flow in millions of gallons per day (MGD), quarterly monitoring continued from previous permit.

Precipitation

Precipitation monitoring and reporting is removed from the permit; however, the permittee is required to record the daily precipitation at the site and store it with the site inspection/SWPPP materials onsite. Only the requirement to report the

measurements to the Department is removed. The permit writer determined the basin at the site negates the need to know the precipitation event associated with discharge, as the basin may discharge after a series of events, or the permittee may choose to sample by opening a valve at any time.

CONVENTIONAL:

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)

Monitoring with 120 mg/L daily maximum limit and 90 mg/L monthly average limit is transferred to this outfall from outfall #003. Outfall #003 was an internal “technology” outfall, and outfall #002 had a benchmark of 90 mg/L rather than water quality based limits. However; this separation into water quality and technology outfalls has led to the facility taking duplicative samples. The permit writer has determined limits may be applied to outfall #002 and outfall #003 may be removed with no detrimental effects on water quality. The limits at outfall #002 are the same as the technology limits previously applied before treatment; therefore the permit writer has determined a schedule of compliance is not required to meet these limits. There is no numeric water quality standard for COD; however, increased oxygen demand may impact instream water quality. COD is also a valuable indicator parameter. COD monitoring allows the permittee to identify increases in COD may indicate materials/chemicals coming into contact with stormwater causing an increase in oxygen demand. Increases in COD may indicate a need for maintenance or improvement of BMPs. The benchmark value falls within the range of values implemented in other permits having similar industrial activities and is achievable through proper BMP controls.

Oil & Grease

15 mg/L daily maximum; 10 mg/L monthly average. These limits are new on this permit, and are applied to replace limits of daily maximum and monthly average of 10 mg/L for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) found in the previous permit. As TPH are a constituent of oil and grease, it is in the permit writer’s best professional judgement the facility can meet these limits without a schedule of compliance. Additionally, these limits are known to be achievable across a wide variety of industries using the technologies employed by this facility. There was no data submitted for this outfall in the previous permit cycle, therefore the permit writer believes continuing a limit for oil and grease (petroleum) pollutants to be protective at this time, as backsliding cannot be justified through data. Oil and grease is considered a conventional pollutant. Oil and grease is a comprehensive test which measures for gasoline, diesel, crude oil, creosote, kerosene, heating oils, heavy fuel oils, lubricating oils, waxes, and some asphalt and pitch. The test can also detect some volatile organics such as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, or toluene, but these constituents are often lost during testing due to their boiling points. Oils and greases of different densities will possibly form sheen or unsightly bottom deposits at levels which vary from 10 mg/L. To protect the general criteria, it is the responsibility of the permittee to visually observe the discharge and receiving waters for sheen or bottom deposits.

pH

6.5 to 9.0 SU – instantaneous grab sample. Water quality limits [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(E)] are applicable to this outfall. pH is a fundamental water quality indicator. Additionally, metals leachability and ammonia availability in wastewater is dependent on pH. Limitations in this permit will protect against aquatic organism toxicity, downstream water quality issues, human health hazard contact, and negative physical changes in accordance with the general criteria at 10 CSR 20-7.031(4) and the Clean Water Act’s (CWA) goal of 100% fishable and swimmable rivers and streams. Previous permit limits were based on technology and are not protective enough of the stream’s water quality, therefore water quality limitations are implemented. The facility is able to meet the new limits therefore no schedule of compliance is afforded.

Settleable Solids (SS)

Removed from monitoring. The previous permit required a daily maximum benchmark of 1.0 mL/L/hr. The permit writer has reviewed other facilities operated in the manner of this facility and determined the basin treatment used by this facility to be sufficient for treatment of settleable solids, and it is unlikely high levels of settleable solids will be released from this outfall. As it is believed the treatment will be sufficient for these pollutants, the monitoring with a benchmark has been removed. Monitoring has been continued for total suspended solids, which the permit writer has determined is a better indicator pollutant for this site.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

A daily maximum limit of 100 mg/L, with a monthly average limit of 50 mg/L is transferred to this outfall from outfall #003. Outfall #003 was an internal “technology” outfall, and outfall #002 had a benchmark of 50 mg/L rather than water quality based limits. However; this separation into water quality and technology outfalls has led to the facility taking duplicative samples. The permit writer has determined limits may be applied to outfall #002 and outfall #003 may be removed with no detrimental effects on water quality. The limits at outfall #002 are the same as the technology limits previously applied before treatment; therefore the permit writer has determined a schedule of compliance is not required to meet these limits. There is no numeric water quality standard for TSS; however, sediment discharges can negatively impact aquatic life habitat. TSS is also a valuable indicator parameter. TSS monitoring allows the permittee to identify increases in TSS indicating uncontrolled materials leaving the site. Increased suspended solids in runoff can lead to decreased available oxygen for aquatic life and an increase of surface water temperatures in a receiving stream. Suspended solids can also be carriers of toxins, which can adsorb to the suspended particles; therefore, total suspended solids are a valuable indicator parameter for other pollution.

OTHER:

Benzene

Monitoring continued from the previous permit. Benzene is a pollutant of concern in petroleum products and can likely be found in nearly all petroleum based products stored at this site in varying amounts.

Ethanol

Monitoring is removed for this pollutant. Ethanol is a polar solvent which dissolves completely in water, and volatilizes readily when exposed to the air. Ethanol is typically a short-lived compound in surface waters and degrades quickly in the environment. The largest concern with ethanol in surface waters is during degradation, when an oxygen deficiency may occur. The amount of ethanol released by this facility is not expected to be substantial enough to cause an effect in oxygen demand in the receiving stream; however, COD is monitored and limited in this permit, which protects for this issue. Ethanol is removed per the best professional judgment of the permit writer due to its rapid degradation in the environment. After treatment in a basin, it is likely any ethanol released at this site would be eliminated via volatilization or other means.

Ethylbenzene, Toluene, Xylene

Monitoring for these pollutants is removed. Monitoring for benzene is continued in this permit, and it is the best professional judgment of the permit writer any detections of benzene may indicate the presence of these parameters. If future detections of benzene occur, these pollutants may need to be added to the permit to determine their reasonable potential for exceedances of the water quality standards. As benzene's water quality standard is lower than any of these pollutants, it is reasonable to consider benzene an indicator parameter for these pollutants; therefore, they are removed from monitoring on a regular basis.

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)

Monitoring and limits for this parameter are removed from the permit. A limit has been placed on oil and grease, which is a test which will include petroleum hydrocarbons in the pollutants it measures. Oil and grease has water quality standards in the state of Missouri, which TPH does not. Additionally, there is no 40 CFR Part 136 compliant test method for TPH, leading to variable results from analysis. The permit writer uses best professional judgment to determine oil and grease is a better parameter to monitor and limit in this permit.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test -Acute

Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for the discharge to cause toxicity within the receiving stream. A WET test is a quantifiable method to determine discharges from the facility cause toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with, or through synergistic responses, when mixed with receiving stream water. WET testing was removed in the previous permit cycle by the previous permit writer; however, it is believed that this was an error. This permit writer has determined that WET testing continues to be required as the permittee discharges wastewater and oily wash water to the receiving basin. Bleach solution is added to the effluent, which is not treated by an oil water separator. A WET test ensures the whole effluent mixture of stormwater and wastewater is not toxic on release.

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing is also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures the provisions in 10 CSR 20-6 and the Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7 are being met. Under 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4, the Department may require other terms and conditions it deems necessary to assure compliance with the CWA and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. The following Missouri Clean Water Laws (MCWL) apply: §644.051.3. requires the Department to set permit conditions complying with the MCWL and CWA; §644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits); and §644.051.5. is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET tests are required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:

- ✓ Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances toxic in large amounts

Annual testing is the minimum testing frequency; monitoring requirements promulgated in 40 CFR 122.44(i)(2) state "requirements to report monitoring results shall be established on a case-by-case basis with a frequency dependent on the nature and effect of the discharge, but in no case less than once per year."

The standard Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) for facilities discharging to unclassified, Class C, Class P (with default mixing considerations), or lakes [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] is 100%. The standard dilution series for facilities discharging to waterbodies with no mixing considerations is 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, & 6.25%.

OUTFALL #003 – INTERNAL HYDROSTATIC TEST WATER MONITORING

Outfall #003 is removed from monitoring in this permit. It was an internal outfall to monitor wastewater from hydrostatic test water. This is unnecessary to permitting, as the water quality compliance point is after the treatment basin. Monitoring is continued at outfall #002 and adequately captures all discharges from this site.

PART V. ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:

The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together will all expire in the same fiscal year. <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/cpp/docs/watershed-based-management.pdf>. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the Department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future. Renewal applications must continue to be submitted within 180 days of expiration, however, in instances where effluent data from the previous renewal is less than two years old, such data may be re-submitted to meet the requirements of the renewal application. If the permit provides a schedule of compliance for meeting new water quality based effluent limits beyond the expiration date of the permit, the time remaining in the schedule of compliance will be allotted in the renewed permit.

✓ This permit will attain synchronization by expiring the end of the 1st quarter, 2023.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending.

<http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/pn/index.html>. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in or with water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing.

The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit.

For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

✓ The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from 10/25/2019 to 11/25/2019. No responses were received. The permit and factsheet were changed after public notice to correctly identify Outfall #002, which during public notice was referred to as Outfall #001. Outfall #001 was eliminated in the previous permit, and outfall #002 is the correct designation for the discharging outfall at this site.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: 10/16/2019

COMPLETED BY:

AMBERLY SCHULZ, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
OPERATING PERMITS SECTION – STORMWATER AND CERTIFICATION UNIT
(573) 751-8049
Amberly.schulz@dnr.mo.gov



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

These Standard Conditions incorporate permit conditions as required by 40 CFR 122.41 or other applicable state statutes or regulations. These minimum conditions apply unless superseded by requirements specified in the permit.

Part I – General Conditions

Section A – Sampling, Monitoring, and Recording

1. **Sampling Requirements.**
 - a. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
 - b. All samples shall be taken at the outfall(s) or Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) approved sampling location(s), and unless specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other body of water or substance.
2. **Monitoring Requirements.**
 - a. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - i. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - ii. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - iii. The date(s) analyses were performed;
 - iv. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - v. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - vi. The results of such analyses.
 - b. If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit at the location specified in the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 CFR subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reported to the Department with the discharge monitoring report data (DMR) submitted to the Department pursuant to Section B, paragraph 7.
3. **Sample and Monitoring Calculations.** Calculations for all sample and monitoring results which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in the permit.
4. **Test Procedures.** The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure that the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations that are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is “sufficiently sensitive” when; 1) the method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the pollutant or, 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility’s discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015. These methods are also required for parameters that are listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure that the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive.
5. **Record Retention.** Except for records of monitoring information required by the permit related to the permittee’s sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years (or longer as required by 40 CFR part 503), the permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.

6. **Illegal Activities.**
 - a. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or both.
 - b. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person or who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both. Second and successive convictions for violation under this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

Section B – Reporting Requirements

1. **Planned Changes.**
 - a. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility when:
 - i. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
 - ii. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42;
 - iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee’s sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan;
 - iv. Any facility expansions, production increases, or process modifications which will result in a new or substantially different discharge or sludge characteristics must be reported to the Department 60 days before the facility or process modification begins. Notification may be accomplished by application for a new permit. If the discharge does not violate effluent limitations specified in the permit, the facility is to submit a notice to the Department of the changed discharge at least 30 days before such changes. The Department may require a construction permit and/or permit modification as a result of the proposed changes at the facility.
2. **Non-compliance Reporting.**
 - a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Relevant information shall be provided orally or via the current electronic method approved by the Department, within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall be reported to the appropriate Regional Office during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) business days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

- b. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
 - i. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - ii. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - iii. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit required to be reported within 24 hours.
 - c. The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under paragraph 2. b. of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.
3. **Anticipated Noncompliance.** The permittee shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The notice shall be submitted to the Department 60 days prior to such changes or activity.
 4. **Compliance Schedules.** Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of the permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. The report shall provide an explanation for the instance of noncompliance and a proposed schedule or anticipated date, for achieving compliance with the compliance schedule requirement.
 5. **Other Noncompliance.** The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs 2, 3, and 6 of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph 2. a. of this section.
 6. **Other Information.** Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
 7. **Discharge Monitoring Reports.**
 - a. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the permit.
 - b. Monitoring results must be reported to the Department via the current method approved by the Department, unless the permittee has been granted a waiver from using the method. If the permittee has been granted a waiver, the permittee must use forms provided by the Department.
 - c. Monitoring results shall be reported to the Department no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of the reporting period.
- b. Notice.
 - i. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
 - ii. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 5 (24-hour notice).
 - c. Prohibition of bypass.
 - i. Bypass is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 1. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 2. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 3. The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 2. b. of this section.
 - ii. The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Department determines that it will meet the three (3) conditions listed above in paragraph 2. c. i. of this section.
3. **Upset Requirements.**
 - a. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 3. b. of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
 - b. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - i. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - ii. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
 - iii. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 2. b. ii. (24-hour notice).
 - iv. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Section D – Administrative Requirements, paragraph 4.
 - c. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

Section C – Bypass/Upset Requirements

1. **Definitions.**
 - a. *Bypass*: the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending.
 - b. *Severe Property Damage*: substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
 - c. *Upset*: an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
2. **Bypass Requirements.**
 - a. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2. b. and 2. c. of this section.

Section D – Administrative Requirements

1. **Duty to Comply.** The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law and Federal Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.
 - a. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$25,000 per day for each violation. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

- imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of \$2,500 to \$25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one (1) year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of \$5,000 to \$50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than three (3) years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than six (6) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can be fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.
- c. Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the EPA Director for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed \$25,000. Penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed \$125,000.
- d. It is unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law, or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission. In the event the commission or the director determines that any provision of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or standard, rules, limitations or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, or permits issued by, or any final abatement order, other order, or determination made by the commission or the director, or any filing requirement pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any other provision which this state is required to enforce pursuant to any federal water pollution control act, is being, was, or is in imminent danger of being violated, the commission or director may cause to have instituted a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction for the injunctive relief to prevent any such violation or further violation or for the assessment of a penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day, or part thereof, the violation occurred and continues to occur, or both, as the court deems proper. Any person who willfully or negligently commits any violation in this paragraph shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both. Second and successive convictions for violation of the same provision of this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.
2. **Duty to Reapply.**
- a. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit.
- b. A permittee with a currently effective site-specific permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 180 days before the expiration date of the existing permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Department. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
- c. A permittee with currently effective general permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 30 days before the existing permit expires, unless the permittee has been notified by the Department that an earlier application must be made. The Department may grant permission for a later submission date. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
3. **Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense.** It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
4. **Duty to Mitigate.** The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
5. **Proper Operation and Maintenance.** The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
6. **Permit Actions.**
- a. Subject to compliance with statutory requirements of the Law and Regulations and applicable Court Order, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked in whole or in part during its term for cause including, but not limited to, the following:
- i. Violations of any terms or conditions of this permit or the law;
- ii. Having obtained this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully any relevant facts;
- iii. A change in any circumstances or conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
- iv. Any reason set forth in the Law or Regulations.
- b. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.
7. **Permit Transfer.**
- a. Subject to 10 CSR 20-6.010, an operating permit may be transferred upon submission to the Department of an application to transfer signed by the existing owner and the new owner, unless prohibited by the terms of the permit. Until such time the permit is officially transferred, the original permittee remains responsible for complying with the terms and conditions of the existing permit.
- b. The Department may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Missouri Clean Water Law or the Federal Clean Water Act.
- c. The Department, within 30 days of receipt of the application, shall notify the new permittee of its intent to revoke or reissue or transfer the permit.
8. **Toxic Pollutants.** The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
9. **Property Rights.** This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

10. **Duty to Provide Information.** The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
11. **Inspection and Entry.** The permittee shall allow the Department, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Department), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
 - a. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
 - c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Federal Clean Water Act or Missouri Clean Water Law, any substances or parameters at any location.
12. **Closure of Treatment Facilities.**
 - a. Persons who cease operation or plan to cease operation of waste, wastewater, and sludge handling and treatment facilities shall close the facilities in accordance with a closure plan approved by the Department.
 - b. Operating Permits under 10 CSR 20-6.010 or under 10 CSR 20-6.015 are required until all waste, wastewater, and sludges have been disposed of in accordance with the closure plan approved by the Department and any disturbed areas have been properly stabilized. Disturbed areas will be considered stabilized when perennial vegetation, pavement, or structures using permanent materials cover all areas that have been disturbed. Vegetative cover, if used, shall be at least 70% plant density over 100% of the disturbed area.
13. **Signatory Requirement.**
 - a. All permit applications, reports required by the permit, or information requested by the Department shall be signed and certified. (See 40 CFR 122.22 and 10 CSR 20-6.010)
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months per violation, or by both.
 - c. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both.
14. **Severability.** The provisions of the permit are severable, and if any provision of the permit, or the application of any provision of the permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of the permit, shall not be affected thereby.



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
**FORM A – APPLICATION FOR NONDOMESTIC PERMIT UNDER MISSOURI
CLEAN WATER LAW**

FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

CHECK NUMBER

DATE RECEIVED

FEE SUBMITTED

JET PAY CONFIRMATION NUMBER

**PLEASE READ ALL THE ACCOMPANYING INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM.
SUBMITTAL OF AN INCOMPLETE APPLICATION MAY RESULT IN THE APPLICATION BEING RETURNED.**

IF YOUR FACILITY IS ELIGIBLE FOR A NO EXPOSURE EXEMPTION:

Fill out the No Exposure Certification Form (Mo 780-2828): <https://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2828-f.pdf>

1. REASON FOR APPLICATION:

- ☐ a. This facility is now in operation under Missouri State Operating Permit (permit) MO – _____, is submitting an application for renewal, and there is no proposed increase in design wastewater flow. Annual fees will be paid when invoiced and there is no additional permit fee required for renewal.
- ☐ b. This facility is now in operation under permit MO – _____, is submitting an application for renewal, and there is a proposed increase in design wastewater flow. Antidegradation Review may be required. Annual fees will be paid when invoiced and there is no additional permit fee required for renewal.
- ☐ c. This is a facility submitting an application for a new permit (for a new facility). Antidegradation Review may be required. New permit fee is required.
- ☐ d. This facility is now in operation under Missouri State Operating Permit (permit) MO – _____ and is requesting a modification to the permit. Antidegradation Review may be required. Modification fee is required.

2. FACILITY

NAME		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
ADDRESS (PHYSICAL)	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE

3. OWNER

NAME		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
EMAIL ADDRESS			
ADDRESS (MAILING)	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE

4. CONTINUING AUTHORITY

NAME		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
EMAIL ADDRESS			
ADDRESS (MAILING)	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE

5. OPERATOR CERTIFICATION

NAME	CERTIFICATE NUMBER	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
ADDRESS (MAILING)	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE

6. FACILITY CONTACT

NAME	TITLE	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
E-MAIL ADDRESS			

7. DOWNSTREAM LANDOWNER(S) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

NAME			
ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE

8. ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION**8.1** Legal Description of Outfalls. (Attach additional sheets if necessary.)*For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), use Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)*

001 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec T R County
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): Northing (Y):
002 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec T R County
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): Northing (Y):
003 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec T R County
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): Northing (Y):
004 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec T R County
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): Northing (Y):

8.2 Primary Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) and Facility North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) Codes.

Primary SIC and NAICS SIC and NAICS
SIC and NAICS SIC and NAICS

9. ADDITIONAL FORMS AND MAPS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THIS APPLICATION

- A. Is this permit for a manufacturing, commercial, mining, solid/hazardous waste, or silviculture facility? YES ☐ NO ☐
If yes, complete Form C.
- B. Is the facility considered a "Primary Industry" under EPA guidelines (40 CFR Part 122, Appendix A) : YES ☐ NO ☐
If yes, complete Forms C and D.
- C. Is wastewater land applied? YES ☐ NO ☐
If yes, complete Form I.
- D. Are sludge, biosolids, ash, or residuals generated, treated, stored, or land applied? YES ☐ NO ☐
If yes, complete Form R.
- E. Have you received or applied for any permit or construction approval under the CWA or any other environmental regulatory authority? YES ☐ NO ☐
If yes, please include a list of all permits or approvals for this facility.
- F. Do you use cooling water in your operations at this facility? YES ☐ NO ☐
If yes, please indicate the source of the water: _____
- G. Attach a map showing all outfalls and the receiving stream at 1" = 2,000' scale.

10. ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (eDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM

Per 40 CFR Part 127 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, reporting of effluent limits and monitoring shall be submitted by the permittee via an electronic system to ensure timely, complete, accurate, and nationally consistent set of data. **One of the following must be checked in order for this application to be considered complete.** Please visit <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/edmr.htm> to access the Facility Participation Package.

- ☐ - You have completed and submitted with this permit application the required documentation to participate in the eDMR system.
- ☐ - You have previously submitted the required documentation to participate in the eDMR system and/or you are currently using the eDMR system.
- ☐ - You have submitted a written request for a waiver from electronic reporting. See instructions for further information regarding waivers.

11. FEES

Permit fees may be paid by attaching a check, or online by credit card or eCheck through the JetPay system. Use the URL provided to access JetPay and make an online payment: <https://magic.collectorsolutions.com/magic-ui/payments/mo-natural-resources/>

12. CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

NAME AND OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT)

TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE

SIGNATURE

DATE SIGNED

BEFORE MAILING, PLEASE ENSURE ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETED AND ADDITIONAL FORMS, IF APPLICABLE, ARE INCLUDED.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING FORM A - APPLICATION FOR NONDOMESTIC PERMIT

1. Check which option is applicable. **Do not check more than one item.** Nondomestic permit refers to permits issued by the Department of Natural Resources' Water Protection Program for all **nondomestic** wastewater treatment facilities, including all industry, stormwater, and Class IA Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO). **This includes all nondomestic wastewater treatment facilities that incorporate domestic wastewater into the operating permit.**

For some new or modified permits, a construction permit is required prior to beginning construction at the facility. For other permits, an exemption is provided from construction permit requirements. Please review the requirements at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/ww-construction-permitting.htm>. If the facility is for wastewater treatment and is designed for greater than 22,500 gallons per day, the engineering report must be submitted and approved prior to submittal of the application, fee, plans, and specifications. A summary of design data must be submitted with the engineering plans and specifications.

For new wastewater facilities, some wastewater permit modifications, and some permit renewals with proposed increase in design wastewater flow, an antidegradation review may be required. Please visit <https://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/antideg-implementation.htm> for more information

2. Facility - Provide the name by which this facility is known locally. Example: Southwest Sewage Treatment Plant, Country Club Mobile Home Park, etc. Also include the street address or location of the facility. If the facility lacks a street name or route number, give the names of the closest intersection, highway, county road, etc.
3. Owner - Provide the legal name and address of owner or company.
4. Continuing Authority – A continuing authority is a company, business, entity, or person(s) operating the facility and/or ensuring compliance with the permit requirements. A continuing authority is not, however, an entity or individual that is contractually hired by the permittee to sample or operate and maintain the system for a defined time period, such as a certified operator or analytical laboratory. To access the regulatory requirement regarding continuing authority, 10 CSR 20-6.010(2), please visit <https://s1.sos.mo.gov/cmsimages/adrules/csr/current/10csr/10c20-6.pdf>. A continuing authority's name must be listed **exactly** as it appears on the Missouri Secretary of State's (SoS's) webpage: <https://bsd.sos.mo.gov/BusinessEntity/BESearch.aspx?SearchType=0>, unless the continuing authority is an individual(s), government, or otherwise not required to register with the SoS.
5. Operator - Provide the name, certificate number, mailing address and telephone number of the person operating the facility, if required by regulation (10 CSR 20-9.020(2)). Most industrial facilities will not be required to have a certified wastewater operator.
6. Provide the name, title, and work telephone number of a person who is thoroughly familiar with the operation of the facility, with the facts reported in this application, and who can be contacted by the department, if necessary. This person will need to be available to respond to emails which will include pre-public notice drafts of permits.
7. Please provide the name and address of the first downstream landowner, different from that of the permitted facility, through whose property the discharge will flow. Also, please indicate the location on the map. For discharges that leave the permitted facility and flow under a road or highway, or along the right-of-way, the downstream property owner is the landowner that the discharge flows to after leaving the right-of-way. For no discharge facilities, provide this information for the location where discharge would flow if there was one. For land application sites, include the owners of the land application sites and all adjacent landowners.
- 8.1 An outfall is the point at which wastewater or stormwater is discharged. Outfalls should be given in terms of the legal description of the facility. Global Positioning System, or GPS, is a satellite-based navigation system. The department prefers a GPS receiver is used at the outfall pipe and the displayed coordinates submitted. If access to a GPS receiver is not available, please use a mapping system to approximate the coordinates.
- 8.2 List only your primary Standard Industrial Classification (SIC), and North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code for each outfall. The SIC system was devised by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget to cover all economic activities. To find the correct SIC code, an applicant may check his or her unemployment insurance forms or contact the Missouri Division of Employment Security, 573-751-3215. The primary SIC code is that of the operation that generates the most revenue. If this information is not available, the number of employees or, secondly, production rate may be used to determine your SIC code. Additional information for Standard Industrial Codes can be found at www.osha.gov/pls/imis/sicsearch.html and for the North American Industry Classification System at www.census.gov/naics or contact the appropriate Department of Natural Resources regional office.

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING FORM A - APPLICATION FOR NONDOMESTIC PERMIT
(CONTINUED)**

9. If you answer yes to A, B, C, D, or E, then you must complete and file the supplementary form(s) indicated. 40 CFR 122.21(f) and (g) requires the facility to submit the information requested herein. For 9.E., please include all permits or approvals, including construction, issued under the Hazardous Waste Management Program (RCRA), the Safe Drinking Water Act, Clean Air Act, or any other permits issued under the Clean Water Act.

A U.S. Geological Survey 1" = 2,000' scale map must be submitted with the permit application showing all outfalls, the receiving stream and the location of the downstream property owners. This type of map can be obtained from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources' Geological Survey in Rolla at 573-368-2100 or various online mapping applications.

10. Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System – Visit the eDMR site at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/edmr.htm> and click on the "Facility Participation Package" link. The eDMR Permit Holder and Certifier Registration Form and information about the eDMR system can be found in the Facility Participation Package.

Waivers from electronic reporting may be granted by the Department per 40 CFR 127.15 under certain, special circumstances. A written request must be submitted to the Department for approval. Waivers may be granted to facilities owned or operated by:

- A. Members of religious communities that choose not to use certain technologies or
- B. Permittees located in areas with limited broadband access. The National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) in collaboration with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) have created a broadband internet availability map: <http://www.broadbandmap.gov/>. Please contact the department if you need assistance.

11. Please visit <https://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2564.htm> for permit fees. This form must be submitted with the application fee if requesting a new permit, permit modification, or permit transfer.


Fee schedules are listed in regulation at 10 CSR 20-6.011, <https://s1.sos.mo.gov/cmsimages/adrules/csr/current/10csr/10c20-6.pdf>.

Incomplete permit applications and/or related engineering documents will be returned by the department if they are not completed in the time frame established in a comment letter from the department to the owner. Permit fees for returned applications shall be forfeited. Permit fees for applications being processed by the department that are withdrawn by the applicant shall be forfeited.

12. Certification/Signature - All applications must be signed as follows and the signature must be **original**:
- A. For a corporation, by an officer having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity or for environmental matters.
 - B. For a partnership or sole proprietorship, by a general partner or the proprietor.
 - C. For a municipal, state, federal or other public facility, by either a principal executive officer or by an individual having overall responsibility for environmental matters at the facility.

MAIL COMPLETED FORM AND FEES TO:
Missouri Department Of Natural Resources Water Protection Program Water Pollution Control Branch ATTN: Operating Permits Section P.O. BOX 176 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102-0176

If there are any questions concerning this form, contact the Department of Natural Resources' Water Protection Program, Operating Permits Section at 800-361-4827 or 573-522-4502.



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION CONTROL BRANCH
**FORM C – APPLICATION FOR DISCHARGE PERMIT – MANUFACTURING, COMMERCIAL,
MINING, SILVICULTURE OPERATIONS, AND STORMWATER**

GENERAL INFORMATION (PLEASE SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

1.0 NAME OF FACILITY

1.1 THIS FACILITY IS OPERATING UNDER MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT (MSOP) NUMBER:

1.2 IS THIS A NEW FACILITY? PROVIDE CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (CP) NUMBER IF APPLICABLE.

1.3 Describe the nature of the business, in detail. Identify the goods and services provided by the business. Include descriptions of all raw, intermediate, final products, byproducts, or waste products used in the production or manufacturing process, stored outdoors, loaded or transferred and any other pertinent information for potential sources of wastewater or stormwater discharges.

FLOWS, TYPE, AND FREQUENCY

2.0 Attach a line drawing showing the water flow through the facility. Indicate sources of intake water, operations contributing wastewater to the effluent, and treatment units labeled to correspond to the more detailed descriptions in item B. Construct a water balance on the line drawing by showing average and maximum flows between intakes, operations, treatment units, evaporation, public sewers, and outfalls. If a water balance cannot be determined (e.g., for certain mining activities), provide a pictorial description of the nature and amount of any sources of water and any collection or treatment measures.

2.1 For each outfall (1) below, provide: (2) a description of all operations contributing wastewater to the effluent, including process wastewater, sanitary wastewater, cooling water, stormwater runoff, and any other process or non-process wastewater, (3) the average flow and maximum flow (put max in parentheses) contributed by each operation and the sum of those operations, (4) the treatment received by the wastewater, and (5) the treatment type code. Continue on additional sheets if necessary.

1. OUTFALL NO.	2. OPERATION(S) CONTRIBUTING FLOW; INCLUDE ALL PROCESSES AND SUB PROCESSES AT EACH OUTFALL	3. AVERAGE FLOW AND (MAXIMUM FLOW), INCLUDE UNITS.	4. TREATMENT DESCRIPTION	5. TREATMENT CODES FROM TABLE A

Attach additional pages if necessary.

2.2 INTERMITTENT DISCHARGES

Except for stormwater runoff, leaks, or spills, are any of the discharges described in items 2.0 or 2.1 intermittent or seasonal?

☐ Yes (complete the following table)

☐ No (go to section 2.3)

1. OUTFALL NUMBER	2. OPERATION(S) CONTRIBUTING FLOW	3. FREQUENCY		4. FLOW				C. DURATION (in days)
				A. FLOW RATE (in mgd)		B. TOTAL VOLUME (specify with units)		
		A. DAYS PER WEEK (specify average)	B. MONTHS PER YEAR (specify average)	1. MAXIMUM DAILY	2. LONG TERM AVERAGE	4. LONG TERM DAILY	3. MAXIMUM AVERAGE	

2.3 PRODUCTION

A. Does an effluent limitation guideline (ELG) promulgated by EPA under section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility? Indicate the part and subparts applicable.

☐ Yes 40 CFR _____ Subpart(s) _____ ☐ No (go to section 2.5)

B. Are the limitations in the effluent guideline(s) expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation)? Describe in C below.

☐ Yes (complete C.) ☐ No (go to section 2.5)

C. If you answered "yes" to B, list the quantity representing an actual measurement of your maximum level of production, expressed in the terms and units used in the applicable effluent guideline and indicate the affected outfalls.

A. OUTFALL(S)	B. QUANTITY PER DAY	C. UNITS OF MEASURE	D. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify)

2.4 IMPROVEMENTS

A. Are you required by any federal, state, or local authority to meet any implementation schedule for the construction, upgrading, or operation of wastewater treatment equipment or practices or any other environmental programs which may affect the discharges described in this application? This includes, but is not limited to, permit conditions, administrative or enforcement orders, enforcement compliance schedule letters, stipulations, court orders, and grant or loan conditions.

☐ Yes (complete the following table)

☐ No (go to 2.6)

1. IDENTIFICATION OF CONDITION, AGREEMENT, ETC.	2. AFFECTED OUTFALLS	3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT	4. FINAL COMPLIANCE DATE	
			A. REQUIRED	B. PROJECTED

B. Optional: provide below or attach additional sheets describing water pollution control programs or other environmental projects which may affect discharges. Indicate whether each program is underway or planned, and indicate actual or planned schedules for construction. This may include proposed bmp projects for stormwater.

2.5 SLUDGE MANAGEMENT

Describe the removal of any industrial or domestic biosolids or sludges generated at your facility. Include names and contact information for any haulers used. Note the frequency, volume, and methods (incineration, landfilling, composting, etc) used. See Form A for additional forms which may need to be completed.

DATA COLLECTION AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR APPLICANTS

3.0 EFFLUENT (AND INTAKE) CHARACTERISTICS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

A. & B. See instructions before continuing – complete one Table 1 for **each outfall** (and intake) – annotate the outfall (intake) number or designation in the space provided. The facility is not required to complete intake data unless required by the department or rule.

C. Use the space below to list any pollutants listed in the instructions section 3.0 C. Table B which you know or have reason to believe is discharged or may be discharged from any outfall not listed in parts 3.0 A or B on Table 1. For every pollutant listed, briefly describe the reasons you believe it to be present and report any analytical data in your possession.

1. POLLUTANT	2. SOURCE	3. OUTFALL(S)	4. ANALYTICAL RESULTS (INCLUDE UNITS)

3.1 Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing

A. To your knowledge, have any Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests been performed on the facility discharges (or on receiving waters in relation to your discharge) within the last three years?

☐ Yes (go to 3.1 B)

☐ No (go to 3.2)

3.1 B

Disclose wet testing conditions, including test duration (chronic or acute), the organisms tested, and the testing results. Provide any results of toxicity identification evaluations (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluations (TRE) if applicable. Please indicate the conclusions of the test(s) including any pollutants identified as causing toxicity and steps the facility is taking to remedy the toxicity.

3.2 CONTRACT ANALYSIS INFORMATION

Were any of the analyses reported herein, above, or on Table 1 performed by a contract laboratory or consulting firm?

☐ Yes (list the name, address, telephone number, and pollutants analyzed by each laboratory or firm.) ☐ No (go to 4.0)

A. LAB NAME	B. ADDRESS	C. TELEPHONE (area code and number)	D. POLLUTANTS ANALYZED (list or group)

4.0 STORMWATER			
4.1 Do you have industrial stormwater discharges from the site? If so, attach a site map outlining drainage areas served by each outfall. Indicate the following attributes within each drainage area: pavement or other impervious surfaces; buildings; outdoor storage areas; material loading and unloading areas; outdoor industrial activities; structural stormwater control measures; hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal units; and wells or springs in the area.			
OUTFALL NUMBER	TOTAL AREA DRAINED (PROVIDE UNITS)	TYPES OF SURFACES (VEGETATED, STONE , PAVED, ETC)	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES EMPLOYED; INCLUDE STRUCTURAL BMPS AND TREATMENT DESIGN FLOW FOR BMPS DESCRIBE HOW FLOW IS MEASURED
4.2 STORMWATER FLOWS Provide the date of sampling with the flows, and how the flows were estimated.			
SIGNATORY REQUIREMENTS			
5.0 CERTIFICATION I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.			
NAME AND OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT)			TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE
SIGNATURE (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)			DATE SIGNED

SEE INSTRUCTIONS; PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE.

You may report some or all of this information on separate sheet *(use similar format)* instead of completing these pages.

FORM C TABLE 1 FOR 3.0 - ITEMS A AND B

EFFLUENT (AND INTAKE) CHARACTERISTICS								THIS OUTFALL IS:		OUTFALL NO.	
3.0 PART A – You must provide the results of at least one analysis for every pollutant in Part A. Complete one table for each outfall or proposed outfall. See instructions.											
1. POLLUTANT	2. VALUES							3. UNITS <i>(specify if blank)</i>			
	A. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		B. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUES		C. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUES		D. NO. OF ANALYSES	A. CONCENTRATION	B. MASS		
	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS					
A. Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-day (BOD ₅)											
B. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)											
C. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)											
D. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)											
E. Ammonia as N											
F. Flow	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE			MILLIONS OF GALLONS PER DAY (MGD)			
G. Temperature <i>(winter)</i>	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE			°F			
H. Temperature <i>(summer)</i>	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE			°F			
I. pH	MINIMUM		MAXIMUM		AVERAGE			STANDARD UNITS (SU)			
3.0 PART B – Mark “X” in column 2A for each pollutant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark “X” in column 2B for each pollutant you believe to be absent. If you mark Column 2A for any pollutant, you must provide the results for at least one analysis for the pollutant. Complete one table for each outfall (intake). Provide results for additional parameters not listed here in Part 3.0 C.											
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER <i>(if available)</i>	2. MARK “X”		3. VALUES						4. UNITS		
	A. BELIEVED PRESENT	B. BELIEVED ABSENT	A. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		B. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUES		C. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUES		D. NO. OF ANALYSES	A. CONCENTRATION	B. MASS
			CONCENTRATION	MASS	CONCENTRATION	MASS	CONCENTRATION	MASS			
Subpart 1 – Conventional and Non-Conventional Pollutants											
A. Alkalinity (CaCO ₃)			MINIMUM		MINIMUM		MINIMUM				
B. Bromide (24959-67-9)											
C. Chloride (16887-00-6)											
D. Chlorine, Total Residual											
E. Color											
F. Conductivity											
F. Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination											

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER <i>(if available)</i>	2. MARK "X"		3. VALUES							4. UNITS	
	A. BELIEVED PRESENT	B. BELIEVED ABSENT	A. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		B. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE		C. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		D. NO. OF ANALYSES	A. CONCENTRATION	B. MASS
			CONCENTRATION	MASS	CONCENTRATION	MASS	CONCENTRATION	MASS			
Subpart 1 – Conventional and Non-Conventional Pollutants (Continued)											
G. <i>E. coli</i>											
H. Fluoride (16984-48-8)											
I. Nitrate plus Nitrate (as N)											
J. Kjeldahl, Total (as N)											
K. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as N)											
L. Oil and Grease											
M. Phenols, Total											
N. Phosphorus (as P), Total (7723-14-0)											
O. Sulfate (as SO ⁴) (14808-79-8)											
P. Sulfide (as S)											
Q. Sulfite (as SO ³) (14265-45-3)											
R. Surfactants											
S. Trihalomethanes, Total											
Subpart 2 – Metals											
1M. Aluminum, Total Recoverable (7429-90-5)											
2M. Antimony, Total Recoverable (7440-36-9)											
3M. Arsenic, Total Recoverable (7440-38-2)											
4M. Barium, Total Recoverable (7440-39-3)											
5M. Beryllium, Total Recoverable (7440-41-7)											
6M. Boron, Total Recoverable (7440-42-8)											
7M. Cadmium, Total Recoverable (7440-43-9)											
8M. Chromium III Total Recoverable (16065-83-1)											
9M. Chromium VI, Dissolved (18540-29-9)											
10M. Cobalt, Total Recoverable (7440-48-4)											

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER <i>(if available)</i>	2. MARK "X"		3. VALUES							4. UNITS	
	A. BELIEVED PRESENT	B. BELIEVED ABSENT	A. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		B. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE		C. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		D. NO. OF ANALYSES	A. CONCEN- TRATION	B. MASS
			CONCENTRATION	MASS	CONCENTRATION	MASS	CONCENTRATION	MASS			
Subpart 2 – Metals (Continued)											
11M. Copper, Total Recoverable (7440-50-8)											
12M. Iron, Total Recoverable (7439-89-6)											
13M. Lead, Total Recoverable (7439-92-1)											
14M. Magnesium, Total Recoverable (7439-95-4)											
15M. Manganese, Total Recoverable (7439-96-5)											
16M. Mercury, Total Recoverable (7439-97-6)											
17M. Methylmercury (22967926)											
18M. Molybdenum, Total Recoverable (7439-98-7)											
19M. Nickel, Total Recoverable (7440-02-0)											
20M. Selenium, Total Recoverable (7782-49-2)											
21M. Silver, Total Recoverable (7440-22-4)											
22M. Thallium, Total Recoverable (7440-28-0)											
23M. Tin, Total Recoverable (7440-31-5)											
24M. Titanium, Total Recoverable (7440-32-6)											
25M. Zinc, Total Recoverable (7440-66-6)											
Subpart 3 – Radioactivity											
1R. Alpha Total											
2R. Beta Total											
3R. Radium Total											
4R. Radium 226 plus 228 Total											

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILLING OUT APPLICATION FOR NPDES DISCHARGE PERMIT – FORM C –
MANUFACTURING, COMMERCIAL, MINING, SILVICULTURE OPERATIONS, PROCESS WASTEWATER, NON-
PROCESS WASTEWATER, AND INDUSTRIAL STORMWATER DISCHARGES.

All applicable sections must be filled in when the application is submitted. The form must be signed as indicated. This application is to be completed only for facilities with a discharge. Non-discharging (land application facilities) should fill out the appropriate forms for the activity. Include any area with potential discharge, even if there is normally no discharge. If this form is not adequate for you to describe your existing operations, then sufficient information should be attached so an evaluation of the discharges can be made. Attach additional sheets as necessary for any additional information. If an applicant believes previous outfalls are no longer applicable to the facility, please indicate so. Certain parts of the application may be submitted electronically, such as extensive analytical data, or project plans relating to improvements. This may be included using a thumb drive or CD. If extensive data is submitted without an electronic copy, the department may request the submission at a later time so the permit writer can mathematically evaluate the data. If you have any questions regarding this form please contact the Water Protection Program Operating Permits Administrative Assistant at 800-361-4827 or 573-571-6825 and you will be directed to a permit writer.

GENERAL INFORMATION

1.0 Name of Facility – By what title or name is this facility known? Has the official name changed? Please indicate both the previous and current name you wish to be listed on the permit.


1.1 Operating permit number as assigned (MO-#####)

1.2 Indicate if this is a new facility or if there are any new discharges. Has the facility completed an antidegradation review? Is this facility being moved from a general permit to a site specific permit? If so, indicate general permit number.

1.3 Self-explanatory.

FLOWS, TYPE, AND FREQUENCY

2.0 The line drawing should show the route taken by water in your facility from intake to discharge. Show all operations contributing wastewater, including process and production areas, sanitary flows, cooling water, and stormwater runoff. Indicate any alternate treatment trains available. You may group similar operations into a single unit labeled to correspond to the more detailed listing. More than one drawing may be required depending on the complexity of the system. The water balance should show average and maximum flows. Show all significant losses of water to: products, atmosphere, public sewer systems; both storm sewer and sewer. You should use actual measurements whenever available; otherwise, use your best estimate. An example of an acceptable line drawing appears below.



2.1 List all sources of wastewater to each outfall. Operations may be described in general terms (for example, “dye-making reactor” or a “distillation tower”). You may estimate the flow contributed by each source if no data is available, and for stormwater, you may use any reasonable measure of duration, volume, or frequency. For each treatment unit, indicate its size, flow rate, and retention time, and describe the ultimate disposal of any solid or liquid wastes not discharged. Treatment units should be listed in order and you should select the proper code from Table A to fill in column 3B for each treatment unit. Insert “XX” into column 3B if no code corresponds to a treatment unit you list.

TABLE A – CODES FOR TREATMENT UNITS			
PHYSICAL TREATMENT PROCESSES			
1-A	Ammonia Stripping	1-M	Grit Removal
1-B	Dialysis	1-N	Microstraining
1-C	Diatomaceous Earth Filtration	1-O	Mixing
1-D	Distillation	1-P	Moving Bed Filters
1-E	Electrodialysis	1-Q	Multimedia Filtration
1-F	Evaporation	1-R	Rapid Sand Filtration
1-G	Flocculation	1-S	Reverse Osmosis (Hyper Filtration)
1-H	Flotation	1-T	Screening
1-I	Foam Fractionation	1-U	Sedimentation (Settling)
1-J	Freezing	1-V	Slow Sand Filtration
1-K	Gas-Phase Separation	1-W	Solvent Extraction
1-L	Grinding (Comminutors)	1-X	Sorption
CHEMICAL TREATMENT PROCESSES			
2-A	Carbon Absorption	2-G	Disinfection (Ozone)
2-B	Chemical Oxidation	2-H	Disinfection (Other)
2-C	Chemical Precipitation	2-I	Electrochemical Treatment
2-D	Coagulation	2-J	Ion Exchange
2-E	Dechlorination	2-K	Neutralization
2-F	Disinfection (Chlorine)	2-L	Reduction
BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT PROCESSES			
3-A	Activated Sludge	3-E	Pre-Aeration
3-B	Aerated Lagoons	3-F	Spray Irrigation/Land Application
3-C	Anaerobic Treatment	3-G	Stabilization Ponds
3-D	Nitrification-Denitrification	3-H	Trickling Filtration
OTHER PROCESSES			
4-A	Discharge to Surface Water	4-C	Reuse/Recycle of Treated Effluent
4-B	Ocean Discharge Through Outfall	4-D	Underground Injection
SLUDGE TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL PROCESSES			
5-A	Aerobic Digestion	5-M	Heat Drying
5-B	Anaerobic Digestion	5-N	Heat Treatment
5-C	Belt Filtration	5-O	Incineration
5-D	Centrifugation	5-P	Land Application
5-E	Chemical Conditioning	5-Q	Landfill
5-F	Chlorine Treatment	5-R	Pressure Filtration
5-G	Composting	5-S	Pyrolysis
5-H	Drying Beds	5-T	Sludge Lagoons
5-I	Elutriation	5-U	Vacuum Filtration
5-J	Flotation Thickening	5-V	Vibration
5-K	Freezing	5-W	Web Oxidation
5-L	Gravity Thickening		

2.2 A discharge is intermittent unless it occurs without interruption during the operating hours of the facility, except for infrequent shutdowns for maintenance, process changes, or other similar activities. A discharge is seasonal if it occurs only during certain parts of the year. Fill in every applicable column in this item for each source of intermittent or seasonal discharges. Base your answers on actual data whenever available; otherwise, provide your best estimate. Report the highest daily value for flow rate and total volume in the “Maximum Daily” columns. Report the average of all daily values measures during days when discharge occurred within the last year in the “Long Term Average” columns.

PRODUCTION

2.3 A. All effluent limitation guidelines (ELGs) promulgated by EPA appear in the Federal Register and are published annually in 40 CFR Subchapter N (400-499). A guideline applies to you based on the applicability sections within each subpart. If you are unsure you are covered by an ELG, check with your Missouri Department of Natural Resources' Regional Office. You must check yes if an applicable effluent guideline has been promulgated, even if the guideline limitations are being contested in court. If you believe a promulgated effluent guideline has been remanded for reconsideration by a court and does not apply to your operations, you may check no. The ELG number and subpart(s) must be included.

2.3 B. An ELG is expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation) if the limitations are expressed as mass of pollutant per operational parameter; for example, "pounds of BOD per cubic foot of logs from which bark is removed," or "pounds of TSS per megawatt hour of electrical energy consumed by smelting furnace." An example of a guideline not expressed in terms of a measure of operation is one which limits the concentration of pollutants, or requires no discharge of the wastewater.

2.3 C. This item must be completed if you checked "yes" to item B. The production information requested here is necessary to apply effluent guidelines to your facility and you may not claim it as confidential. However, you do not have to indicate how the reported information was calculated. Report quantities and the units of measurement used in the applicable effluent guideline. The data provided must be a measure of actual operation over a one month period, such as the production for the highest month during the last twelve months, or the monthly average production for the highest year of the last five years, or other reasonable measure of actual operation, but may not be based on design capacity or on predictions of future increases in operation. This data must be concurrent of facility operations.

2.4 IMPROVEMENTS If you check yes to this question, complete all parts of the table, or attach a copy of any previous submission you have made containing the same information. You are not required to submit a description of future pollution control projects if you do not wish to, or if none are planned.

2.5 SLUDGE MANAGEMENT If the facility generates any sludge or biosolids, please indicate where the sludge accumulates (lagoon, tank, etc.) and the methods of disposal. Please include the volume and frequency of sludge removal/disposal and any haulers used. Please indicate if the facility composts, incinerates, landfills, stores, sells, or other methods of eliminating the sludge from lagoons or holding tanks. Consider submitting a sludge or biosolids management plan electronically if additional description is needed.

DATA COLLECTION AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR APPLICANTS

3.0 This section requires collection and reporting of data on pollutants discharged from each outfall, including stormwater outfalls, non-process wastewater, and any intake data you wish to provide. Parts A, B, and C address different sets of pollutants and must be completed in accordance with the specific instructions for the part. All data must be reported as a concentration **and** as total mass. You may report some or all of the required data by attaching separate sheets of paper.

3.0 A. and B. These sections are found on Table 1. Complete a separate table for each outfall and intake.

3.0 A. Requires reporting at least one analysis for each pollutant. Part A must be completed by all applicants for all outfalls, including outfalls containing only noncontact cooling water, stormwater runoff, or other discharges; intake values are not required in this Part. Upon written request, (email is suitable) prior to submitting the application, the department may waive the requirements to test for one or more of these pollutants upon determining testing for the pollutant(s) is not applicable for your effluent.

3.0 B. Mark "X" in either "Believed Present", Column 2A, or "Believed Absent", Column 2B, for each pollutant, based on your best estimate, and test those you believe present. Base your determination a pollutant is present in, or absent from, your discharge on your knowledge of your raw materials, source water, maintenance chemicals, intermediate, byproduct, and final products, and any previous analyses known to you of the facility's effluent, or of any similar effluent. If either chloride or sulfate is believed present, the department asks you to test for both chloride and sulfate. If you expect a pollutant is present as a result your intake water, you should mark "Believed Present" and analyze for the pollutant. Provide analysis of the intake or source water as well; this includes water withdrawn from wells or obtained from a potable water source. Presence of a pollutant in the discharge from sourced water does not eliminate disclosure requirements. If a

pollutant is reported as not present, the pollutant will be considered “believed absent” for the purposes of application shield.

3.0 A and B Continued

Use the following abbreviations (or other as applicable) in Column 4, “Units”. Mass must be specified as per day, month, or year.

CONCENTRATION		MASS	
ppm	parts per million	lbs	pounds
mg/L	milligrams per liter	ton	tons (English tons)
ppb	parts per billion	mg	Milligrams
ug/L	micrograms per liter	g	grams
pCi/L	picocuries per liter	kg	kilograms
		T	tonnes (metric tons)

MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE. If you measure a pollutant only once, complete only the “Maximum Daily Value” columns and insert “1” into the “number of analyses” in Column D. The Missouri Department of Natural Resources may require you to conduct additional analyses to further characterize your discharge. If the pollutant is sampled but not detected, a less than “<” symbol should be used next to the detection limit (or laboratory reporting limit). Simply stating “below detection limits” without quantifying the limit of detection may not be appropriate and additional information may be required.

MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUES. “Maximum 30 Day Values” are not compulsory but should be filled out if data is available. The department suggests at least 4 samples (one per week) be collected over a one month period for averaging purposes, but is not required. Determine the average of all daily values taken during one calendar month, and report the highest average of all daily values taken during all calendar months, and report the highest average in Column B. Column D must show the number of samples used in the calculation.

LONG TERM AVERAGES. “Long Term Average Values” are not compulsory but should be filled out if data is available. Determine the long term average of all the data and report in Column C. Column D must show the number of samples used in the calculations. The facility should include a statement describing the timeframe of the data used in the calculations. Consider including an electronic copy of the data with the application.

SAMPLING. The collection of samples for analyses should be supervised by a person experienced in performing sampling of industrial wastewater and/or stormwater. You may contact your Missouri Department of Natural Resources’ Regional Office for detailed guidance on sampling techniques and for answers to specific questions. Any specific requirements contained in the applicable analytical methods should be followed for sample containers, sample preservation, holding times, the collection of duplicate or blank samples, etc. The time when you sample should be representative of your normal operation, with all processes contributing wastewater in normal operation, and with your treatment system operating properly with no system upsets. Samples should be collected from the center of the flow channel, at a site specified in your present permit, or for new discharges, at any site adequate for the collection of a representative sample.

GRAB SAMPLE. An individual sample of sufficient volume for analysis, collected at a randomly selected time, over a period not exceeding 15 minutes, which is representative of the discharge. Grab samples must be used for temperature, pH, total residual chlorine, oil and grease, *E. coli*, and any pollutant considered to be volatile. Grab samples are typically appropriate for stormwater.

COMPOSITE SAMPLE. Use composite sampling (if available) for all pollutants (except above). A combination of at least eight sample aliquots of at least 100 milliliters, collected at periodic intervals during the operating hours of a facility over a 24 hour period. For volatile pollutants, aliquots must be combined in the laboratory immediately before analysis. The composite must be proportional; either time interval proportional, or flow proportional. Aliquots may be collected manually or automatically.

ANALYSIS. You must use test methods promulgated in 40 CFR Part 136 for all analyses. The facility must use a sufficiently sensitive method to determine compliance with Missouri Water Quality Standards in accordance with Standard Conditions Part I. If no method has been promulgated for a particular pollutant, you may use any suitable method for measuring the level of the pollutant in your discharge. If there is no promulgated method, your attached description should include the preservation techniques, sample holding times, the quality control measures which you used, and any other

pertinent information, such as filtering or what fraction the method detects. For obscure methods or new contaminants, consider including an electronic copy of the method with the application and the laboratory analysis sheets.

IDENTICAL OUTFALL CONSIDERATION. If you have two or more substantially identical outfalls, you may submit the results of the analysis for one substantially identical outfall in its place. Identify which outfall you did test and describe why the outfalls which you did not test are substantially identical to the outfall you did test.

REPORTING OF INTAKE DATA. You are not required to report intake data unless you wish apply for “net” effluent limitations for one or more pollutants. Net limitations are technology limits adjusted by subtracting the level of the pollutant present in the intake water from the discharge. National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) regulations allow net limitations only in certain circumstances. To demonstrate eligibility, report the maximum and average of the results of analyses on the intake water, attach a statement the intake water is drawn from the same body of water into which the discharge is made, and a statement how the pollutant level is reduced by the wastewater treatment. When applicable, a demonstration to the extent the pollutants in the intake vary physically, chemically, or biologically from the pollutants contained in the discharge; for example, when the pollutant represents a class of compounds.

3.0. C. requires listing any pollutants from “TABLE B – TOXIC POLLUTANTS AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES REQUIRED TO BE IDENTIFIED BY APPLICANTS IF EXPECTED TO BE PRESENT” you believe to be present and explain why you believe them to be present. If you have analytical data, you must report it. You may include other pollutants not listed below but present in your discharge in 3.0 C. Please provide Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) numbers for any additional pollutants described. If the facility is required to complete Form D, duplication of the parameters here is not required.

TABLE B – TOXIC POLLUTANTS AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES REQUIRED TO BE IDENTIFIED BY APPLICANTS IF EXPECTED TO BE PRESENT		
TOXIC POLLUTANT	HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES	HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES
Asbestos	Dimethylamine	Napthenic acid
HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES	Dintrobenzene	Nitrotoluene
Acetaldehyde	Diquat	Parathion
Allyl alcohol	Disulfoton	Phenolsulfonate
Allyl chloride	Diuron	Phosgene
Amyl acetate	Epichlorohydrin	Propargite
Aniline	Ethion	Propylene oxide
Benzonitrile	Ethylene diamine	Pyrethrins
Benzyl chloride	Ethylene dibromide	Quinoline
Butyl acetate	Formaldehyde	Resorcinol
Butylamine	Furfural	Strontium
Captan	Guthion	Strychnine
Carbaryl	Isoprene	Sytrene
Carbofuran	Isopropanolamine	2,4,5-T (2,4,5-Trichloro-phenoxyacetic acid)
Carbon disulfide	Kelthane	TDE (Tetrachlorodiphenyl ethane)
Chlorpyrifos	Kepone	2, 4, 5-TP (2-(2,4,5-Trichloro-phenoxy) propanoic acid)
Coumaphos	Malathion	Trichlorofon
Cresol	Mercaptodimethur	Triethanolamine
Crotonaldehyde	Methoxychlor	Triethaylamine
2,4-D (2,4-Dichloro-Phenoxyacetic acid)	Methyl mercaptan	Uranium
Diazinon	Methyl parathion	Vanadium
Dicamba	Mevinphos	Vinyl acetate
Dichlobenil	Mexacarbate	Xylene
2,2-Dichloropropionic acid	Monethyl amine	Xylenol
Dichlorvos	Monomethyl amine	Zirconium
Diethylamine	Nalad	

3.1 Self-explanatory.

3.2 Self-explanatory.

4.0 STORMWATER [10 CSR 20-6.200(2)(C)1.]

In accordance with 10 CSR 20-6.200(2)(C)1.E(I) and (II), the facility must sample the stormwater for any pollutant listed in the permit for process wastewater discharges and/or the applicable Effluent Limitation Guideline. All industrial stormwater must be sampled for parameters listed in 10 CSR 20-6.200(2)(C)1.E.(III); these are: oil and grease, pH, biochemical oxygen demands (BOD₅), chemical oxygen demands (COD), total suspended solids (TSS), conductivity, total phosphorus, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, and nitrate plus nitrite nitrogen.


4.1 Indicate the outfall numbers for industrial stormwater discharges. Provide the area drained by each outfall. Indicate the type and percentages of surface(s), for example: 60% grass or vegetated areas, 10% non-vegetated soils, 30% pavement, etc., the outfall drains. The facility must indicate any structural best management practices, such as settling/retention, rain garden/infiltration, filter socks, etc, employed at each outfall.


4.2 Describe the method used to determine the flow rate in accordance with 10 CSR 20-6.200(2)(C)1., and the flow rate; submit the date and duration of the storm event from which the samples were taken.

5.0 SIGNATORY REQUIREMENTS The Clean Water Act provides for severe penalties for submitting false information on this application form. Section 309(c)(2) of the Clean Water Act provides "Any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any application . . . shall upon conviction, be punished by a fine of no more \$10,000 or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or both.

All applications must be signed as follows and the signature must be original. For a corporation: by an officer having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity or for environmental matters. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or the proprietor. For a municipal, state, federal or other public facility: by either a principal executive officer or by an individual having overall responsibility for environmental matters at the facility.

**MDNR FORM C.2.0
WATER BALANCE
MAGELLAN PIPELINE COMPANY
PALMYRA, TERMINAL**





- LEGEND:
- ABOVE GROUND TANK
 - BELOW GROUND TANK
 - ABOVE GROUND DRAINAGE
 - - - - - BELOW GROUND DRAINAGE
 - x- FENCE
 - - - - - PROPERTY BOUNDARY
 - ⊠ DRAIN
 - ⊠ FIRE EXTINGUISHER
 - ⊠ SHUT-OFF VALVES
 - ⊠ SPILL OVER
 - ⊠ DRAIN VALVE
 - ⊠ SWING ARM VALVE
 - ⊠ SATELLITE DISH
 - ⊠ TRANSFORMER
 - ⊠ MANHOLE
 - ⊠ FIRE HYDRANT

NOTE: REFER TO SPCC FOR CONTENT AND CAPACITIES OF BULK STORAGE TANKS.

NOTE: REFER TO EPA SENSITIVITY MAPS FOR SURFACE WATER RECEIVING STREAMS.


0 50' 100'

SCALE IN FEET

MDNR Form C.4.1

DRAINAGE DIAGRAM
PALMYRA TERMINAL
PALMYRA, MISSOURI

THIS DRAWING (INCLUDING PROPERTY LINES, STRUCTURES, AND LOCATION OF BURIED UTILITIES) IS NOT EXACT. FOR PRECISE LOCATION CONSULT A REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR, OWNER AND/OR APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANIES.



LEGEND

— APPROXIMATE PROPERTY BOUNDRIES

0 2000' 4000'
SCALE IN FEET

MDNR FORM A.9.G
OUTFALL LOCATION MAP
MAGELLAN PIPELINE COMPANY
PALMYRA, MO

8. ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION**8.1 Legal Description of Outfalls. (Attach additional sheets if necessary.)**

For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), use Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)

001 SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 26 T 59N R 06W Marion County
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): 625816 Northing (Y): 4415086
002 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec T R County
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): Northing (Y):
003 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec T R County
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): Northing (Y):
004 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec T R County
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): Northing (Y):

8.2 Primary Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) and Facility North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) Codes.

Primary SIC 4613 and NAICS 486910 SIC and NAICS
SIC and NAICS SIC and NAICS

9. ADDITIONAL FORMS AND MAPS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THIS APPLICATION

- A. Is this permit for a manufacturing, commercial, mining, solid/hazardous waste, or silviculture facility? YES ☒ NO ☐
If yes, complete Form C.
- B. Is the facility considered a "Primary Industry" under EPA guidelines (40 CFR Part 122, Appendix A): YES ☐ NO ☒
If yes, complete Forms C and D.
- C. Is wastewater land applied? YES ☐ NO ☒
If yes, complete Form I.
- D. Are sludge, biosolids, ash, or residuals generated, treated, stored, or land applied? YES ☐ NO ☒
If yes, complete Form R.
- E. Have you received or applied for any permit or construction approval under the CWA or any other environmental regulatory authority? YES ☐ NO ☒
If yes, please include a list of all permits or approvals for this facility.
- F. Do you use cooling water in your operations at this facility? YES ☐ NO ☒
If yes, please indicate the source of the water: _____
- G. Attach a map showing all outfalls and the receiving stream at 1" = 2,000' scale.

10. ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (eDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM

Per 40 CFR Part 127 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, reporting of effluent limits and monitoring shall be submitted by the permittee via an electronic system to ensure timely, complete, accurate, and nationally consistent set of data. **One of the following must be checked in order for this application to be considered complete.** Please visit <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/edmr.htm> to access the Facility Participation Package.

- ☐ - You have completed and submitted with this permit application the required documentation to participate in the eDMR system.
- ☒ - You have previously submitted the required documentation to participate in the eDMR system and/or you are currently using the eDMR system.
- ☐ - You have submitted a written request for a waiver from electronic reporting. See instructions for further information regarding waivers.

11. FEES

Permit fees may be paid by attaching a check, or online by credit card or eCheck through the JetPay system. Use the URL provided to access JetPay and make an online payment: <https://magic.collectorsolutions.com/magic-ui/payments/mo-natural-resources/>

12. CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

NAME AND OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT)


Jeff Myers, Director of Operations

SIGNATURE

TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE

913-310-7730

DATE SIGNED

4.0 STORMWATER			
4.1 Do you have industrial stormwater discharges from the site? If so, attach a site map outlining drainage areas served by each outfall. Indicate the following attributes within each drainage area: pavement or other impervious surfaces; buildings; outdoor storage areas; material loading and unloading areas; outdoor industrial activities; structural stormwater control measures; hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal units; and wells or springs in the area.			
OUTFALL NUMBER	TOTAL AREA DRAINED (PROVIDE UNITS)	TYPES OF SURFACES (VEGETATED, STONE , PAVED, ETC)	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES EMPLOYED; INCLUDE STRUCTURAL BMPS AND TREATMENT DESIGN FLOW FOR BMPS DESCRIBE HOW FLOW IS MEASURED
001	6.8 acres	Asphalt, 17.6%	Earthen containment discharges to retention pond through a manually
		Crushed Rock, 82.4%	operated, normally closed valve via a rip-rap lined drainage ditch.
			Storm water is allowed to collect in the retention pond until the
			pond needs to be drained. Flow from Outfall 001 is variable depending
			on rainfall events.
4.2 STORMWATER FLOWS Provide the date of sampling with the flows, and how the flows were estimated. Storm water sampling conducted only during retention pond discharges, which is variable upon rainfall amounts.			
SIGNATORY REQUIREMENTS			
5.0 CERTIFICATION I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.			
NAME AND OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT)			TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE
Jeff Myers, Director of Operations			913-310-7730
SIGNATURE (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)			DATE SIGNED
			4/4/19