STATE OF MISSOURI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92^{nd} Congress) as amended,

Permit No.	MO-0100625
Owner: Address:	Doris Overton 1908 Fairview Road, Columbia, MO 65203
Continuing Authority: Address:	Same as above Same as above
Facility Name: Facility Address:	High Hill Circle MHP Wastewater Treatment Facility 5950 South Rolling Hills Road, Columbia, MO 65201
Legal Description: UTM Coordinates:	SW ½, SW ¼, SE ¼, Sec. 34, T48N, R12W, Boone County X=564345.798, Y=4304937.108
Receiving Stream: First Classified Stream and ID: USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	Unnamed tributary to Gans Creek (U) Gans Creek (C) (01004) (10300102-0903)
is authorized to discharge from the facility das set forth herein:	described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements
FACILITY DESCRIPTION Outfall #001 – Mobile Home Park - SIC #65 Two-cell aerated lagoon/lift station/sludge red Design population equivalent is 435. Design flow is 28,000 gallons per day. Actual flow is 23,000 gallons per day. Design sludge production is 6.6 dry tons/year	etained in lagoon
Elimination System; it does not apply to oth	charges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge er regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of
February 10, 2012 Effective Date	Sara Parker Fauley Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources

Irene Crawford, Regional Director, Northeast Regional Office

February 9, 2017
Expiration Date

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

PAGE NUMBER 2 of 8

PERMIT NUMBER MO-0100625

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The interim effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until three (3) years from the date of issuance of this permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND	UNITS	INTERIM I	EFFLUENT LI	MITATIONS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	OTATIS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #001						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/quarter***	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		65	45	once/quarter***	grab
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		120	80	once/quarter***	grab
pH – Units	SU	**		**	once/quarter***	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
E. coli (Note 1)	#/100mL	630		126	once/quarter***	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u>; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>APRIL 28, 2012</u>. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test	% Survival	See Special Conditions #22	once/permit cycle	grab
------------------------------------	------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE MARCH 28, 2017.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I & III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is to be maintained at or above 6.5 pH units.
- *** See table below for quarterly sampling

Sample discharge at least once for	or the months of:	Report is due:
January, February, March	(1st Quarter)	April 28
April, May, June	(2nd Quarter)	July 28
July, August, September	(3rd Quarter)	October 28
October, November, December	(4th Quarter)	January 28

Note 1 - Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. First Quarter sampling for E. coli is not required. Fourth quarter sampling for E. coli must occur in the month of October.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

PAGE NUMBER 3 of 8

PERMIT NUMBER MO-0100625

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective two (2) years before the date of expiration of this permit and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND	UNITS	FINAL EI	FFLUENT LIM	ITATIONS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)			WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #001						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/quarter***	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		65	45	once/quarter***	grab
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		120	80	once/quarter***	grab
pH – Units	SU	**		**	once/quarter***	grab
Ammonia as N (May 1 – Oct 31) (Nov 1 – April 30)	mg/L	3.6 7.5		1.4 2.9	once/quarter***	grab
E. coli (Notes 1 & 2)	#/100mL	630		126	once/quarter***	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u>; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>APRIL 28, 2015</u>. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test % Survival See Special Conditions #22 once/permit cycle grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE MARCH 28, 2017.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I, II, & III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED <u>October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994</u>, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is to be maintained at or above 6.5 pH units.
- *** See table below for quarterly sampling

Sample discharge at least once for	or the months of:	Report is due:
January, February, March	(1st Quarter)	April 28
April, May, June	(2nd Quarter)	July 28
July, August, September	(3rd Quarter)	October 28
October, November, December	(4th Quarter)	January 28

Note 1 - Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. First Quarter sampling for E. coli is not required. Fourth quarter sampling for E. coli must occur in the month of October.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

- 1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
 - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

- 2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
- 3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability. The permittee shall obtain department approval for closure or alternate use of the facility.
- 4. There shall be no discharge of toxic pollutants at levels which would cause an exceedance of water quality standards.
- 5. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 μ g/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 μ g/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 μ g/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
 - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.
- (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.
- 6. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.

7. Water Quality Standards

- (a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
- (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
 - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- 8. It is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law to fail to pay fees associated with this permit (644.055 RSMo).
- 9. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. If a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9 is needed, the permittee shall submit a written request to the department for review and, if deemed necessary, approval.
- 10. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility and are subject to 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)(i), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2.b. Bypasses are to be reported to the Northeast Regional Office.
- 11. The facility must be fenced sufficiently to restrict entry by children, livestock and unauthorized persons as well as to protect the facility from vandalism. The fence shall be a minimum of five feet (5') in height. Fences shall be located far enough back from all treatment processes to permit easy access for operation and maintenance and for access of mowing equipment, sludge trucks and similar equipment.
- 12. A least one gate, constructed of materials comparable to the fence, must be provided to access the lagoon and provide for maintenance and mowing. The gate shall remain locked except when opened by the permittee to perform operational monitoring, sampling, maintenance or mowing, and for inspections by the Department.
- 13. At least one (1) warning sign shall be placed on each side of the facility enclosure in such positions as to be clearly visible from all directions of approach. There shall also be one (1) sign placed for every five hundred feet (500') (150 m) of the perimeter fence. A sign shall also be placed on each gate. Minimum wording shall be "SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITY KEEP OUT". Signs shall be made of durable material with characters at least two inches (2") high and shall be securely fastened to the fence, equipment or other suitable locations.
- 14. An Operation and Maintenance (O & M) manual shall be maintained by the permittee and made available to the operator. The O & M manual shall include key operating procedures and a brief summary of the operation of the facility.
- 15. The inner and outer berm slopes shall not be steeper than three to one (3:1). Inner berm slopes shall not be flatter than four to one (4:1). Consideration may be given to steeper inner slopes provided special attention is given to stabilizing the slope with rip-rap, concrete, or other rigid materials.
- 16. The berms of storage basins shall be mowed and kept free of any trees, muskrat dens, or other potential sources of damage to the berms.
- 17. An all-weather access road shall be provided from a public right-of-way to the treatment facility. Sufficient room shall be provided at the site to permit turning vehicles around. Gravel roads to be used by heavy vehicles shall have a minimum depth of six inches (6") of crushed rock material with a bottom layer of four inches (4") of two to three inch (2-3") size material and a top layer two inches (2") thick of three-fourths inch (3/4") size material. In general, the grade of the access road shall not exceed twelve percent (12%).
- 18. The discharge from the lagoon system shall be conveyed to the receiving stream via a closed pipe or a paved or rip-rapped open channel. Sheet or meandering drainage is not acceptable. The outfall sewer shall be protected against the effects of floodwater, ice or other hazards as to reasonably insure its structural stability and freedom from stoppage. The outfall shall be maintained so that a sample of the effluent can be obtained at a point after the final treatment process and before the discharge mixes with the receiving stream.
- 19. A minimum of two (2) feet freeboard must be maintained in the lagoon cell.
- 20. The facility shall ensure that adequate provisions are provided to prevent surface water intrusion in to the lagoon and to divert stormwater runoff around the lagoon and protect embankments from erosion.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- 21. Sludge and Biosolids Use For Domestic Wastewater Treatment Facilities
 - (a) Permittee shall comply with the pollutant limitations, monitoring, reporting, and other requirements in accordance with the attached permit Standard Conditions.
 - (b) If sludge is not removed by a contract hauler, permittee is authorized to land apply biosolids. Permit Standard Conditions, Part III shall apply to the land application of biosolids. Permittee shall notify the department at least 180 days prior to the planned removal of biosolids. The department may require submittal of a biosolids management plan for department review and approval as determined appropriate on a case-by-case basis.
- 22. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:

SUMMARY OF ACUTE WET TESTING FOR THIS PERMIT					
OUTFALL	AEC	FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	MONTH	
001	100%	ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE	grab	Any	

				Dilution S	Series	
100% effluent	50% effluent	25% effluent	12.5% effluent	6.25% effluent	(Control) 100% upstream, if available	(Control) 100% Lab Water, also called synthetic water

- (a) Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements
 - (1) Perform a MULTIPLE-dilution acute WET test in the months and at the frequency specified above. For tests which are successfully passed, submit test results using the Department's WET test report form #MO-780-1899 along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including copies of chain-of-custody forms within 30 calendar days of availability to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. If the effluent passes the test, do not repeat the test until the next test period.
 - (a) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping.
 - (b) Any and all chemical or physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% Effluent concentration in addition to analysis performed upon any other effluent concentration.
 - (c) All chemical analyses included in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources WET test report form #MO-780-1899 shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form.
 - (2) The WET test will be considered a failure if mortality observed in effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC is significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; p = 0.05) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available, synthetic laboratory control water may be used.
 - (3) All failing test results along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, INCLUDING THOSE TESTS CONDUCTED UNDER CONDITION (3) BELOW, shall be reported to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the results.
 - (4) If the effluent fails the test for BOTH test species, a multiple dilution test shall be performed for BOTH test species within 30 calendar days and biweekly thereafter (for storm water, tests shall be performed on the next and subsequent storm water discharges as they occur, but not less than 7 days apart) until one of the following conditions are met: Note: Written request regarding single species multiple dilution accelerated testing will be address by THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM on a case by case basis.
 - (i) THREE CONSECUTIVE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS PASS. No further tests need to be performed until next regularly scheduled test period.
 - (ii) A TOTAL OF THREE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS FAIL.
 - (5) Failure of a WET test is a violation of this permit. Follow-up tests do not negate an initial failed test. In addition, the failure of a follow-up test will constitute a separate permit violation.
 - (6) The permittee shall submit a summary of all test results for the test series along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the third failed test.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (7) Additionally, the following shall apply upon failure of the third follow up MULTIPLE DILUTION test. The permittee should contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 14 calendar days from availability of the test results to ascertain as to whether a TIE or TRE is appropriate. If the permittee does not contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM upon the third follow up test failure, a toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) is automatically triggered. The permittee shall submit a plan for conducting a TIE or TRE to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 60 calendar days of the date of the automatic trigger or DNR's direction to perform either a TIE or TRE. This plan must be approved by DNR before the TIE or TRE is begun. A schedule for completing the TIE or TRE shall be established in the plan approval.
- (8) Upon DNR's approval, the TIE/TRE schedule may be modified if toxicity is intermittent during the TIE/TRE investigations. A revised WET test schedule may be established by DNR for this period.
- (9) If a previously completed TIE has clearly identified the cause of toxicity, additional TIEs will not be required as long as effluent characteristics remain essentially unchanged and the permittee is proceeding according to a DNR approved schedule to complete a TRE and reduce toxicity. Regularly scheduled WET testing as required in the permit, without the follow-up requirements, will be required during this period.
- (10) When WET test sampling is required to run over one DMR period, each DMR report shall contain a copy of the Department's WET test report form that was generated during the reporting period.
- (11) Submit a concise summary in tabular format of all WET test results with the annual report.
- (b) Test Conditions
 - (1) Test Type: Acute Static non-renewal
 - (2) All tests, including repeat tests for previous failures, shall include both test species listed below unless approved by the department on a case by case basis.
 - (3) Test species: Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow). Organisms used in WET testing shall come from cultures reared for the purpose of conducting toxicity tests and cultured in a manner consistent with the most current USEPA guidelines. All test animals shall be cultured as described in the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.
 - (4) Test period: 48 hours at the "Allowable Effluent Concentration" (AEC) specified above.
 - (5) Upstream receiving stream water shall be used as dilution water. If upstream water is unavailable or if mortality in the upstream water exceeds 10%, "reconstituted" water will be used as dilution water. Procedures for generating reconstituted water will be supplied by the MDNR upon request.
 - (6) Tests will be run with 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent, and reconstituted water.
 - (7) If reconstituted-water control mortality for a test species exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun.
 - (8) If upstream control mortality exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun using reconstituted water as the dilutant.
 - (9) Whole-effluent-toxicity test shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms

D. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

- 1. The final daily maximum and monthly average Ammonia as N limits shall become effective three (3) years after the issue date of the permit. The Effluent Regulation, 10 CSR 20-7.031(10) allows the permittee up to three (3) years from the issuance date of this permit to comply with new or revised National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) or Missouri operating permit limitations based on criteria in the Clean Water Commission Regulations. It states that such compliance "shall be achieved with all deliberate speed and no later than three (3) years from the date of issuance of the permit." Therefore modification to the facilities must be made if required to meet the final effluent limits of this permit.
- 2. If modifications to the facility are required to meet the final effluent limits of this permit, Doris Overton shall submit engineering plans, engineering specifications, and a construction permit application by **February 10, 2013**, for changes to the High Hill Circle MHP Wastewater Treatment Facility so the discharge from the facility will meet the final effluent limits for Ammonia as N.
- 3. If completion of construction will be more than 1 year, Doris Overton shall submit interim progress reports every 12 months from **February 10, 2012**.

D. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (continued)

- 4. If Doris Overton determines that modifications to the facility are not needed to meet the final effluent limits of this permit, Doris Overton shall submit a letter to the department by **February 10, 2013** stating that modifications are not needed for the High Hill Circle MHP Wastewater Treatment Facility to meet the final effluent limitations of this permit.
- 5. The High Hill Circle MHP Wastewater Treatment Facility will meet final effluent limits by **February 10, 2015**.

PERMIT TRANSFER

This permit may be transferred to a new owner by submitting an "Application for Transfer of Operating Permit" signed by the seller and buyer of the facility, along with the appropriate modification fee.

PERMIT RENEWAL REQUIREMENTS

Unless this permit is terminated, the permittee shall submit an application for the renewal of this permit no later than six (6) months prior to the permit's expiration date. Failure to apply for renewal may result in termination of this permit and enforcement action to compel compliance with this condition and the Missouri Clean Water Law.

TERMINATION

In order to terminate this permit, the permittee shall notify the department by submitting Form J, included with the State Operating Permit. The permittee shall complete Form J and mail it to the department at the address noted in the cover letter of this permit. Proper closure of any storage structure is required prior to permit termination. A closure plan shall be submitted to the department and approved prior to initiating closure activities.

DUTY OF COMPLIANCE

The permittee shall comply with all conditions of this permit. Any noncompliance with this permit constitutes a violation of Chapter 644, Missouri Clean Water Law, and 10 CSR 20-6. Noncompliance may result in enforcement action, termination of this authorization, or denial of the permittee's request for renewal. This permit authorizes only the activities described in this permit.

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FACT SHEET FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL OF MO-0100625 HIGH HILLS CIRCLE MHP WWTF

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of storm water from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Minor X

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: NON-POTW – MHP

Facility SIC Code(s): 6515

Facility Description:

Two-cell aerated lagoon/lift station/sludge retained in lagoon

Have any changes occurred at this facility or in the receiving water body that effects effluent limit derivation?

🗆 - No

Application Date: 10/28/2011 Expiration Date: 03/01/2012 Last Inspection: 04/14/2009

Non-Compliance ⊠ - the facility failed to meet effluent limitations for Biochemical Oxygen Demand.

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (GPD)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
#001	28,000	Equivalent to Secondary	Domestic	1.23

Outfall #001

Legal Description: SW 1/4, SW 1/4, SE 1/4, Sec. 34, T48N, R12W, Boone County

UTM Coordinates: X=564345.798, Y=4304937.108 Receiving Stream: Unnamed tributary to Gans Creek (U) First Classified Stream and ID: Gans Creek (C) (01004) USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10300102-0903)

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History:

A low flow survey was conducted in 2006 and showed no impacts to the receiving stream. The facility exceeded effluent limits for Total Suspended Solids on the 1st Quarter 2008 and 2009 Discharge Monitoring Reports.

Comments:

The facility pumps its effluent via lift station and force main north to a location approximately 0.5 miles north of the facility. This was done so that the discharge did not go to a losing stream, but a gaining stream setting.

Part IIA - Operator Certification Requirements

Not Applicable \boxtimes ; This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

Part IIB- Operational Monitoring

As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4))], the facility is not required to conduct operational monitoring.

Part III - Receiving Stream Information

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:

 \boxtimes

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	Designated Uses*	8-Digit HUC	EDU**
Unnamed tributary to Gans Creek	U	NA	General Criteria	10300102	Ozark/Moreau/Loutre
Gans Creek	С	01004	LWW, AQL, WBC-A***	10300102	Ozark/Moreau/Loutre

^{* -} Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND), Groundwater (GRW).

Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ; The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

☑ - All limits in this operating permit are at least as protective as those previously established; therefore, backsliding does not apply.

^{** -} Ecological Drainage Unit

^{*** -} UAA conducted in 2005 and approved on for WBC-A designation

ANTIDEGRADATION:

In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)], the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. Degradation is justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharging activity after determining the necessity of the discharge.

□ - Renewal no degradation proposed and no further review necessary.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)], ...An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, a statement waiving preferential status from each existing higher preference authority, providing the waiver does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.

BIOSOLIDS, SLUDGE, & SEWAGE SLUDGE:

Bio-solids are solid materials resulting from wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sludge is any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility or any other such waste having similar characteristics and effect. Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address: http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pub/index.html, items WQ422 through WQ449.

☐ - Sludge/biosolids are stored in the lagoon.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

Not Applicable \(\subseteq \); The permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any give pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

Applicable ⊠; A RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see APPENDIX A – RPA RESULTS.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD $_5$) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm.

Not Applicable ⊠; Influent monitoring is not being required to determine percent removal.

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) AND INFLOW AND INFILTRATION (I&I):

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(11)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSO's have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to (1) enter and overload the collection system, and (2) overload the treatment facility. Additionally, SSO's can be also be caused by lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Additionally, Missouri RSMo §644.026.1 mandates that the Department require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities.

☑ - Not applicable. This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Applicable ⊠; The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under Interim Effluent Limitation and Final Effluent Limitations were established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(10)] A Schedule of Compliance was included in the permit for Ammonia as N.

VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

Not Applicable \(\subseteq \); This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable \(\subseteq \); Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{\left(Cs \times Qs\right) + \left(Ce \times Qe\right)}{\left(Qe + Qs\right)}$$
 (EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5)

Where C = downstream concentration

Cs = upstream concentration

Qs = upstream flow

Ce = effluent concentration

Oe = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

Not Applicable \(\subseteq \); A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable []; Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing is also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following MCWL apply: §§§644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; 644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc...); and 644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:

	Facility is a designated Major.
	Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
	Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
	Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts
	Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH ₃)
\boxtimes	Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow ≥ 22,500 gpd.

40 CFR 122.41(M) - BYPASSES:

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from "bypassing" untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass, which includes blending, is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-2.010(11) defines a bypass as the diversion of wastewater from any portion of wastewater treatment facility or sewer system to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri's Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar.

✓ - Not Applicable, this facility does not bypass.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation
Not Applicable ☐; This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

Part V – Effluent Limits Determination

Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall

Effluent limitations derived and established in the below Effluent Limitations Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supercedes the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit.

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	Modified	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS		
Flow	MGD	1	* * NO		S				
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L	1/4		65 45		NO	S		
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	1/4		120	80	NO	S		
pH	SU	1/4	≥ 6.5		≥ 6.5	NO	S		
Ammonia as N (Interim)	mg/L	2/3/5	*		*	NO	S		
Ammonia as N (Final) (May 1 – Oct 31)	mg/L	2/3/5	3.6		1.4	YES	*		
Ammonia as N (Final) (Nov 1 – Apr 30)	mg/L	2/3/5	7.5		2.9	YES	*		
ESCHERICHIA COLI	**	1/9	630		126	YES	PREVIOUSLY FECAL COLIFORM		
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test	% Survival	11	Please see WET Test in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						
Monitoring Frequency	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.								

^{* -} Monitoring requirement only.

N/A – Not applicable

S – Same as previous operating permit

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law
- 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)
- 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
- 4. Lagoon Policy
- 5. Ammonia Policy
- 6. Dissolved Oxygen Policy

- 7. Antidegradation Policy
- 8. Water Quality Model
- 9. Best Professional Judgment
- 10. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL
- 11. WET Test Policy
- 12. Antidegradation Review

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- <u>Flow</u>. In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.
- <u>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_5)</u>. Effluent limitations from the previous state operating permit have been reassessed and verified that they are still protective of the receiving stream's Water Quality. Therefore, effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the <u>APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE</u> sub-section of the Receiving Stream Information.
- <u>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</u>. Effluent limitations from the previous state operating permit have been reassessed and verified that they are still protective of the receiving stream's Water Quality. Therefore, effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the <u>APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE</u> sub-section of the <u>Receiving Stream Information</u>.

^{** - #} of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for *E. coli* is a geometric mean.

- **pH**. Effluent limitation range is \geq 6.5 Standard pH Units (SU), as per the applicable section of 10 CSR 20-7.015. pH is not to be averaged.
- Total Ammonia Nitrogen. Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C. & Table B3] default pH 7.8 SU Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L.

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)		
Summer	26	7.8	1.5	12.1		
Winter	6	7.8	3.1	12.1		

Summer: May 1 – October 31

Chronic WLA: $C_e = ((0.04 + 0.0)1.5 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.04$

 $C_e = 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$

 $C_e = ((0.04 + 0.0)12.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.04$ Acute WLA:

 $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

 $LTA_c = 1.5 \text{ mg/L } (0.780) = 1.17 \text{ mg/L}$

 $[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile, 30 day avg.]$

[CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile] $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L } (0.321) = 3.89 \text{ mg/L}$

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a.

MDL = 1.2 mg/L (3.11) = 3.6 mg/LAML = 1.2 mg/L (1.19) = 1.4 mg/L $[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile]$

 $[CV = 0.6, 95^{th} Percentile, n = 30]$

Winter: November 1 – April 30

 $C_e = ((0.04 + 0.0)3.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.04$ Chronic WLA:

 $C_e = 3.1 \text{ mg/L}$

$$\begin{split} C_e &= ((0.04 + 0.0)12.1 - (0.0*0.01))/0.04 \\ C_e &= 12.1 \text{ mg/L} \end{split}$$
Acute WLA:

 $[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile, 30 day avg.]$ $LTA_c = 3.1 \text{ mg/L } (0.780) = 2.42 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile] $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L } (0.321) = 3.89 \text{ mg/L}$

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a.

 $[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile]$ MDL = 2.42 mg/L (3.11) = 7.5 mg/L

 $[CV = 0.6, 95^{th} Percentile, n = 30]$ AML = 2.42 mg/L (1.19) = 2.9 mg/L

- Escherichia coli (E. coli). Monthly average of 126 per 100 ml as a geometric mean and Daily Maximum of 630 during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), to protect Whole Body Contact Recreation (A) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C). Daily Maximum effluent variability will be evaluated in development of a future effluent limit. An effluent limit for both monthly average and daily maximum is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d).
- WET Test. WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring. It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.
 - Acute Acute
 - No less than **ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE**:

 \bowtie Municipality or domestic facility with a design flow $\geq 22,500$ gpd, but less than 1.0 MGD.

Acute and/or Chronic Allowable Effluent Concentrations (AECs) for facilities that discharge to unclassified, Class C, Class P (with default Mixing Considerations), or Lakes [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] are 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, & 6.25%.

Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements. Sampling and reporting frequency requirements have been retained from previous state operating permit. The permit allows for sampling to occur in any month of the quarter unlike the previous permit which limited sampling to the months of March, June, September, and December.

PART VI: Finding of Affordability

Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo., the Department is required to determine whether a permit or decision is affordable and makes a finding of affordability for certain permitting and enforcement decisions. This requirement applies to discharges from combined or separate sanitary sewer systems or publically-owned treatment works.

The Department is not required to determine findings of affordability because the facility is not a **combined or separate sanitary sewer system for a publically-owned treatment works.**

Part VII – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing.

The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit.

For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

☐ - The Public Notice period for this operating permit is tentatively scheduled to begin on December 30, 2011 or is in process.
☐ - The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from (DATE) to (DATE). Responses to the Public Notice of this operating permit warrant the modification of effluent limits and/or the terms and conditions of this permit. (Please explain). (Also if applicable – Due to the major modifications of this permit, this operating permit is to be placed on Public Notice again, which is tentatively scheduled to begin on (DATE) or is in process.
☐ - The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from (DATE) to (DATE). No responses received or responses to the Public Notice of this operating permit do not warrant the modification of effluent limits and/or the terms and conditions of this permit.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: NOVEMBER 30, 2011

Submitted by

Brant Farris, Environmental Specialist Northeast Regional Office (660) 385-8000 brant.farris@dnr.mo.gov Reviewed by

Joe Bowdish, Environmental Specialist Northeast Regional Office (660) 385-8000 joe.bowdish@dnr.mo.gov

Part VII – Appendices

APPENDIX A – RPA RESULTS:

Parameter	CMC*	RWC Acute*	CCC*	RWC Chronic*	n**	Range max/min	CV***	MF	RP Yes/No
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Summer) mg/L	12.1	68.1	1.5	68.1	10	22.7/4.2	0.6	3	Yes
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Winter) mg/L	12.1	90.42	3.1	90.42	8	27.4/10.6	0.6	3.3	Yes

N/A – Not Applicable

- ** If the number of samples is greater than 10, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.
- *** Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the sample set by the Mean of the same sample set.

RWC – Receiving Water Concentration. It is the concentration of a toxicant or the parameter toxicity in the receiving water after mixing (if applicable).

n - Is the number of samples.

MF – Multiplying Factor. 99% Confidence Level and 99% Probability Basis.

RP – Reasonable Potential. It is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors including, as a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii).

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2). A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request.

^{* -} Units are $(\mu g/L)$ unless otherwise noted.