STATE OF MISSOURI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION

MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law (Chapter 644 RSMo, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No.:	MO-0099163
Owner:	City of Ozark
Address:	205 North 1st Street, P.O. Box 295, Ozark, MO 65721
Continuing Authority:	Same as above
Address:	Same as above
Facility Name:	Ozark WWTF
Facility Address:	301 South 22nd Street, Ozark, MO 65721
Legal Description:	See Page 2
UTM Coordinates:	See Page 2
Receiving Stream:	See Page 2
First Classified Stream and ID:	See Page 2
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	See Page 2

authorizes activities pursuant to the terms and conditions of this permit in accordance with the Missouri Clean Water Law and/or the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated activities.

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

See Page 2

October 1, 2023
Effective Date

April 1, 2026
Modification Date

September 30, 2028
Expiration Date

Heather S. Peters, Director, Water Protection Program

FACILITY DESCRIPTION (continued):

Outfall #001 – POTW
The use or operation of this facility shall be by or under the supervision of a Certified "A" Operator.
Mechanical bar screen / manual bar screen / grit removal / peak flow equalization basin / 2 parallel treatment trains each consisting of: selector basins, oxidation ditch, and 2 clarifiers / chemical addition to facilitate phosphorus removal / 3 tertiary cloth filters / UV disinfection / gravity thickener belt / 3 aerated sludge holding tanks / biosolids are land applied or hauled to a permitted landfill / facility does not have materials stored or conduct operations in a manner that would cause the discharge of pollutants via stormwater.

Design population equivalent is 21,000.
Design flow is 2.1 MGD.
Actual flow is 1.378 MGD.
Design sludge production is 560 dry tons/year.

Legal Description:	Sec. 28, T27N, R21W, Christian County
UTM Coordinates:	X=479104, Y=4096897
Receiving Stream:	Finley Creek (P)
First Classified Stream and ID:	Finley Creek (P) (2352)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	(11010002-0208)

Permitted Feature INF – Influent Monitoring Location – Headworks

Legal Description:	Sec. 28, T27N, R21W, Christian County
UTM Coordinates:	X=479166, Y=4097047

Permitted Feature SM2 – Instream Monitoring – Downstream – southwest edge of facility property – See Special Condition #18

Legal Description:	Sec. 28, T27N, R21W, Christian County
UTM Coordinates:	X=478991, Y=4096868
Receiving Stream:	Finley Creek (P)
First Classified Stream and ID:	Finley Creek (P) (2352)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	(11010002-0208)

OUTFALL #001

TABLE A-1.
INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. In accordance with 10 CSR 20-7.031, the final effluent limitations outlined in **Table A-2** must be achieved as soon as possible but no later than **October 1, 2035**. These interim effluent limitations in **Table A-1** are effective beginning **April 1, 2026** and remain in effect through **September 30, 2035** or as soon as possible. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
eDMR Limit Set: M						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/weekday***	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$	mg/L		15	10	once/week	composite**
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		20	15	once/week	composite**
E. coli (**Note 1**)	#/100mL		630	126	once/week	grab
Ammonia as N (January)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (February)	mg/L	10.4		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (March)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (April)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (May)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (June)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (July)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (August)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (September)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (October)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (November)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (December)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		0.5	once/week	composite**
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/week	composite**
Nitrite + Nitrate	mg/L	*		*	once/week	composite**
Aluminum, Total Recoverable (Note 2)	µg/L	775.6		360.0	once/month	composite**

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **MONTHLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE MAY 28, 2026.

* Monitoring requirement only.

** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

*** Once each weekday means: Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday.

Note 1 – Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

Note 2 – If no Aluminum was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as "AG – Conditional Monitoring Not Required this Period".

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-1. (Continued) INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. In accordance with 10 CSR 20-7.031, the final effluent limitations outlined in **Table A-2** must be achieved as soon as possible but no later than **October 1, 2035**. These interim effluent limitations in **Table A-1** are effective beginning **April 1, 2026** and remain in effect through **September 30, 2035** or as soon as possible. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		MINIMUM		MAXIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
eDMR Limit Set: M						
pH – Units****	SU	6.0		9.0	once/week	grab

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$ – Percent Removal (**Note 3**)	%	85	once/month	calculated
Total Suspended Solids – Percent Removal (**Note 3**)	%	85	once/month	calculated

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONTHLY AVERAGE		MONTHLY TOTAL §	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Total Nitrogen **(Note 4)**	mg/L	*			once/week	calculated
Total Nitrogen	lbs.			*	once/week	calculated

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **MONTHLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE MAY 28, 2026.

eDMR Limit Set: A

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	ANNUAL AVERAGE ¥		ANNUAL TOTAL Φ	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	*			once/year	calculated
Total Nitrogen	lbs.			*	once/year	calculated

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **ANNUALLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE JANUARY 28, 2027.

* Monitoring requirement only.

** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

**** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.

Note 3 – Influent sampling for BOD_5 and TSS is not required when the facility does not discharge effluent during the reporting period. Samples are to be collected prior to any treatment process. Calculate Percent Removal by using the following formula: [(Average Influent –Average Effluent) / Average Influent] x 100% = Percent Removal. Influent and effluent samples are to be taken during the same month. The Average Influent and Average Effluent values are to be calculated by adding the respective values together and dividing by the number of samples taken during the month. Influent samples are to be collected as a 24-hour composite sample, composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

Note 4 – Total Nitrogen consists of Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Nitrate + Nitrite.

§ - The facility shall calculate pounds per month by using the monthly average concentration in mg/L multiplied by 8.34 and multiplied by the total monthly flow in MG.

Φ - Annual Total is calculated as the sum of the 12 calendar months (January 1st through December 31st) of monthly samples in pounds (lbs.).

¥ - Annual Average is calculated as the average of the 12 calendar months (January 1st through December 31st) of weekly samples in mg/L.

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-2. FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations in **Table A-2** shall become effective on **October 1, 2035**. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
eDMR Limit Set: M						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/weekday***	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$	mg/L		15	10	once/week	composite**
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		20	15	once/week	composite**
E. coli (**Note 1**)	#/100mL		630	126	once/week	grab
Ammonia as N (January)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (February)	mg/L	10.4		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (March)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (April)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (May)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (June)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (July)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (August)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (September)	mg/L	3.6		1.5	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (October)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (November)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Ammonia as N (December)	mg/L	12.1		2.7	once/week	composite**
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		0.5	once/week	composite**
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/week	composite**
Nitrite + Nitrate	mg/L	*		*	once/week	composite**
Aluminum, Total Recoverable (**Note 2**)	µg/L	775.6		360.0	once/month	composite**

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **MONTHLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE NOVEMBER 28, 2035.

* Monitoring requirement only.
** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.
*** Once each weekday means: Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday.

Note 1 – Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

Note 2 – If no Aluminum was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as "AG – Conditional Monitoring Not Required this Period".

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-2. (Continued) FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations in **Table A-2** shall become effective on **October 1, 2035**. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		MINIMUM		MAXIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
eDMR Limit Set: M						
pH – Units****	SU	6.0		9.0	once/week	grab

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$ – Percent Removal (**Note 3, Page 4**)	%	85	once/month	calculated
Total Suspended Solids – Percent Removal (**Note 3, Page 4**)	%	85	once/month	calculated

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONTHLY AVERAGE		MONTHLY TOTAL §	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Total Nitrogen (**Note 4, Page 4**)	mg/L	*			once/week	calculated
Total Nitrogen	lbs.			*	once/week	calculated
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **MONTHLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE NOVEMBER 28, 2035.						
eDMR Limit Set: A						
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	**UNITS**	**ANNUAL AVERAGE GOAL ¥**		**ANNUAL TOTAL Φ**	**MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY**	**SAMPLE TYPE**
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	10			once/year	calculated
Total Nitrogen	lbs.			*	once/year	calculated
Annual Nitrogen Credit (+ or -) **€**						
Point Source Credits	lbs.			*	once/year	documented
Nonpoint Source Credits	lbs.			*	once/year	documented
Nitrogen 12-Month Total, After Credit Adjustment **Ϫ**	lbs.			63,926.10	once/year	calculated
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **ANNUALLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE MARCH 28, 2036.						

* Monitoring requirement only.

** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

**** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.

§ - The facility shall calculate pounds per month by using the monthly average concentration in mg/L multiplied by 8.34 and multiplied by the total monthly flow in MG.

Φ - Annual Total is calculated as the sum of the 12 calendar months (January 1st through December 31st) of monthly samples in pounds (lbs.).

¥ - Annual Average is calculated as the average of the 12 calendar months (January 1st through December 31st) of weekly samples in mg/L.

€ - See Special Condition 2. The annual nitrogen credit will document a permittee's credit sales and purchases.

Ϫ - The Nitrogen 12-Month Total, After Credit Adjustment (ACA) value is calculated by increasing or decreasing the facility's actual annual effluent nitrogen load for the previous 12 calendar months (January 1st through December 31st) by the documented point and/or nonpoint nutrient annual credits (sold or purchased). The ACA is the value evaluated for compliance.

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-4. FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations in **Table A-5** shall become effective on **April 1, 2026** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
eDMR Limit Set: Q						
Cadmium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter β	composite**
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter β	grab
Selenium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter β	composite**
Silver, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter β	composite**
Zinc, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter β	composite**
Oil & Grease	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter β	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **QUARTERLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE JULY 28, 2026.

* Monitoring requirement only.
** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.
β See table below for quarterly sampling requirements.

Quarterly Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	**Months**	**Quarterly Effluent Parameters**	**Report is Due**
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28th

PERMITTED FEATURE INF	TABLE B-1. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The monitoring requirements in **Table B-1** shall become effective on **April 1, 2026** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. The influent wastewater shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:

PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
eDMR Limit Set: IM						
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$ (**Note 2, Page 4**)	mg/L			*	once/month	composite**
Total Suspended Solids (**Note 2, Page 4**)	mg/L			*	once/month	composite**
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*		*	once/month	composite**
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/month	composite**
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/month	composite**
Nitrite + Nitrate	mg/L	*		*	once/month	composite**

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **MONTHLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE MAY 28, 2026.

* Monitoring requirement only.
** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

PERMITTED FEATURE SM2	TABLE C-1. INSTREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				
The monitoring requirements in **Table C-1** shall become effective on **April 1, 2026** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. The stream shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:					
PARAMETER(S)	**UNITS**	**MONITORING REQUIREMENTS**			
		DAILY MAXIMUM		**MONTHLY AVERAGE**	**MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY**	**SAMPLE TYPE**
eDMR Limit Set: DM						
Hardness, Total	mg/L	*		*	once/month	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **MONTHLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE MAY 28, 2026.						

* Monitoring requirement only.

D. SCHEDULES OF COMPLIANCE

Total Nitrogen
The facility shall attain compliance with final effluent limitations for Total Nitrogen as soon as possible but in no case later than **twelve (12) years** of the effective date of this permit.

1. Within six months of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall report progress made in attaining compliance with the final effluent limits for Total Nitrogen.

2. The permittee shall submit interim progress reports detailing progress made in attaining compliance with the final effluent limits every 12 months from the effective date of this permit. The **October 1, 2028** annual report shall detail the permittee's compliance approach to meet final limits (i.e. installation of technology, purchase TN credits, or hybrid including installation of technology and purchase of TN credits).

3. Within **twelve (12) years** of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall attain compliance with the final effluent limits for Total Nitrogen.

Please submit progress reports to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources via the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System.

E. STANDARD CONDITIONS

In addition to specified conditions stated herein, this permit is subject to the attached Parts I, II, & III standard conditions dated August 1, 2014, May 1, 2013, and August 1, 2019, and hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein. Annual reports required per Standard Conditions Part III Section K shall be submitted online to the Department via the Department's eDMR system as an attachment. This supersedes Standard Conditions Part III Section K #4. EPA reports shall continue to be submitted online via the Central Data Exchange system.

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System. Per 40 CFR Part 127 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, reporting of effluent monitoring data and any report required by the permit (unless specifically directed otherwise by the permit) shall be submitted by the permittee via an electronic system to ensure timely, complete, accurate, and nationally consistent set of data about the NPDES program. All reports uploaded into the system shall be reasonably named so they are easily identifiable, such as "WET Test Chronic Outfall 002 Jan 2023," or "Outfall 004 Daily Data Mar 2025."
   (a) eDMR Registration Requirements. The permittee must register with the Department's eDMR system through the Missouri Gateway for Environmental Management (MoGEM) before the first report is due. Registration and other information regarding MoGEM can be found at https://dnr.mo.gov/data-e-services/missouri-gateway-environmental-management-mogem. Information about the eDMR system can be found at https://dnr.mo.gov/water/business-industry-other-entities/reporting/electronic-discharge-monitoring-reporting-system-edmr. The first user shall register as an Organization Official and the association to the facility must be approved by the Department. Regarding Standard Conditions Part I, Section B, #7, the eDMR system is currently the only Department approved reporting method for this permit unless a waiver is granted by the Department. See paragraph (c) below.
   (b) Electronic Submissions. To access the eDMR system, use the following link in your web browser: https://apps5.mo.gov/mogems/welcome.action. If you experience difficulties with using the eDMR system you may contact edmr@dnr.mo.gov or call 855-789-3889 or 573-526-2082 for assistance.
   (c) Waivers from Electronic Reporting. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data and reports unless a waiver is granted by the Department in compliance with 40 CFR Part 127. The permittee may obtain an electronic reporting waiver by first submitting an eDMR Waiver Request Form: https://dnr.mo.gov/document-search/electronic-discharge-monitoring-report-waiver-request-form-mo-780-2692. The Department will either approve or deny this electronic reporting waiver request within 120 calendar days.

2. The full implementation of this operating permit, which includes implementation of any applicable schedules of compliance, shall constitute compliance with all applicable federal and state statutes and regulations in accordance with §644.051.16, RSMo, and the Clean Water Act (CWA) section 402(k); however, this permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued:
   (a) To comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the CWA, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
      (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
      (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
   (b) To incorporate an approved pretreatment program or modification thereto pursuant to 40 CFR 403.8(c) or 40 CFR 403.18(e), respectively.

3. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field. This does not include instream monitoring locations.

4. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period. For instream samples, report as "C – No Discharge" if no stream flow occurs during the report period.

5. Reporting of Non-Detects:
   (a) An analysis conducted by the permittee or their contracted laboratory shall be conducted in such a way that the precision and accuracy of the analyzed result can be enumerated.
   (b) See sufficiently sensitive test method requirements in Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, No. 4 regarding proper testing and method minimum levels used for sample analysis.
   (c) The permittee shall not report a sample result as "Non-Detect" without also reporting the method minimum level of the test. Reporting as "Non Detect" without also including the method minimum level, will be considered failure to report, which is a violation of this permit.
   (d) The permittee shall provide the "Non-Detect" sample result using the less than symbol and the method minimum level (e.g., <50 µg/L, if the method minimum level for the parameter is 50 µg/L).
   (e) Where the permit contains a Department determined Minimum Quantification Level (ML) and the permittee is granted authority in the permit to report zero in lieu of the < ML for a specified parameter (conventional, priority pollutants, metals, etc.), then zero (0) is to be reported for that parameter.
   (f) For the daily maximum, the facility shall report the highest value. If the highest value was a non-detect, use the less than "<" symbol and the laboratory's highest method minimum level.
   (g) For reporting an average based on all non-detected values, remove the "<" sign from the values, average the values, and then add the "<" symbol back to the resulting average.
   (h) For reporting an average based on a mix of detected and non-detected values (not including *E. coli*), assign a value of "0" for all non-detects for that reporting period and report the average of all the results.

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (Continued)

(i) When *E. coli* is not detected above the method minimum level, the permittee must report the data qualifier signifying less than detection limit for that parameter (e.g., <1 #/100mL, if the method minimum level is 1 #/100mL). For reporting a geometric mean based on a mix of detected and non-detected values, use one-half of the detection limit (instead of zero) for non-detects when calculating geometric means.
(j) See the Fact Sheet Appendix - Non-Detect Example Calculations for further guidance.

6. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. To request a modification of the operational control testing requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, the permittee shall submit a permit modification application and fee to the Department requesting a deviation from the operational control monitoring requirements. Upon approval of the request, the Department will modify the permit.

7. The permittee shall continue to implement and update if necessary, the program for maintenance and repair of its collection system. The permittee may compare collection system performance results and other data with the benchmarks used in the Departments' Capacity, Management, Operation, And Maintenance (CMOM) Model, located at https://dnr.mo.gov/document-search/capacity-management-operations-maintenance-plan-editable-template. Additional information regarding the Departments' CMOM Model is available at https://dnr.mo.gov/print/document-search/pub2574.

   The permittee shall also submit a report via the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System annually, by January 28th, for the previous calendar year. The permittee may choose to use the annual report form available at https://dnr.mo.gov/document-search/annual-inflow-infiltration-report-mo-780-2690. The report shall contain the following information:
   (a) A summary of the efforts to locate and eliminate specific sources of excessive infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
   (b) A summary of the general maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
   (c) A summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the upcoming calendar year. This list shall include locations (GPS, 911 address, manhole number, etc.) and actions to be taken.

8. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility unless they meet the criteria in 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2. Bypasses are to be reported within 24 hours of discovery of the bypass to the Southwest Regional Office during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response spill-line at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours, and by using the online Sanitary Sewer Overflow / Bypass Reporting Application through the Missouri Gateway for Environmental Management (MoGEM) located at: https://dnr.mo.gov/data-e-services/missouri-gateway-environmental-management-mogem. All bypasses must be reported electronically via MoGEM. Blending, which is the practice of combining a partially treated wastewater process stream with a fully-treated wastewater process stream prior to discharge, is not considered a form of bypass. If the permittee wishes to utilize blending, the permittee shall file an application to modify this permit to facilitate the inclusion of appropriate monitoring conditions.

9. The facility must be sufficiently secured to restrict entry by children, livestock and unauthorized persons as well as to protect the facility from vandalism.

10. An Operation and Maintenance (O & M) manual shall be maintained by the permittee and made available to the operator. The O & M manual shall include key operating procedures and a brief summary of the operation of the facility.

11. An all-weather access road to the treatment facility shall be maintained.

12. The outfall sewer shall be protected and maintained against the effects of floodwater, ice, or other hazards as to reasonably ensure its structural stability, freedom from stoppage, and that a sample of the effluent can be obtained at a point after the final treatment process and before the discharge mixes with the receiving waters.

13. The permittee shall perform a minimum of four whole effluent toxicity tests in the four and one-half year period prior to the next permit renewal application. The four tests shall consist of three acute toxicity tests and one chronic toxicity test in accordance with Special Conditions #14 and #15.

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (Continued)

14. Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:
    (a) Freshwater Species and Test Methods: Species and short-term test methods for estimating the acute toxicity of NPDES effluents are found in the most recent edition of *Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* (EPA/821/R-02/012; Table IA, 40 CFR Part 136). The permittee shall concurrently conduct 48-hour, static, non-renewal toxicity tests with the following species:
        i. The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2000.0).
        ii. The daphnid, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2002.0).
    (b) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control sample and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping. Where upstream receiving water is not available or known to be toxic, other approved control water may be used.
    (c) Test conditions must meet all test acceptability criteria required by the EPA Method used in the analysis.
    (d) The laboratory shall not chemically dechlorinate the sample.
    (e) The Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) is) is 100%; the dilution series is: 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25%.
    (f) All chemical and physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% effluent concentration.
    (g) The facility must submit a full laboratory report for all toxicity testing. The report must include a quantification of acute toxic units ($TU_a = 100/LC_{50}$) reported according to the test methods manual chapter on report preparation and test review. The Lethal Concentration 50 Percent (LC_{50}) is the effluent concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the test organisms at a specific time.

15. Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:
    (a) Freshwater Species and Test Methods: Species and short-term test methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of NPDES effluents are found in the most recent edition of *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms (EPA/821/R-02/013; Table IA, 40 CFR Part 136).* The permittee shall concurrently conduct 7-day, static renewal toxicity tests with the following species:
        i. The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (Survival and Growth Test Method 1000.0).
        ii. The daphnid, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Survival and Reproduction Test Method 1002.0).
    (b) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control sample and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping. Where upstream receiving water is not available or known to be toxic, other approved control water may be used.
    (c) Test conditions must meet all test acceptability criteria required by the EPA Method used in the analysis.
    (d) The laboratory shall not chemically dechlorinate the sample.
    (e) The Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) is 100%, the dilution series is: 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25%.
    (f) All chemical and physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% effluent concentration.
    (g) The facility must submit a full laboratory report for all toxicity testing. The report must include a quantification of chronic toxic units ($TU_c = 100/IC_{25}$) reported according to the *Methods for Measuring the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* chapter on report preparation and test review. The 25 percent Inhibition Effect Concentration (IC_{25}) is the toxic or effluent concentration that would cause 25 percent reduction in mean young per female or in growth for the test populations.

16. Expanded Effluent Testing
    Permittee must sample and analyze for the pollutants listed in Form B2 – Application for Operating Permit for Facilities That Receive Primarily Domestic Waste And Have A Design Flow More Than 100,000 Gallons Per Day (MO-780-1805 dated 10-20), Part D – Expanded Effluent Testing Data, #18. The permittee shall provide this data with the permit renewal application. A minimum of three samples taken within four and one-half years prior to the date of the permit application must be provided. Samples must be representative of the seasonal variation in the discharge from each outfall. Approved and sufficiently sensitive testing methods listed in 40 CFR 136.3 must be utilized. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when; 1) The method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter; or 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in a facility's discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in the discharge; or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 40 CFR part 136. These methods are also required for parameters listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if numeric limitations need to be established.

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (Continued)

17. Receiving Water Monitoring Conditions
    (a) Downstream receiving water samples should be taken at the location(s) specified on Page 2 of this permit. In the event that a safe, accessible location is not present at the location(s) listed, a suitable location can be negotiated with the Department. Samples should be taken at least four feet from the bank or from the middle of the stream (whichever is less) and 6-inches below the surface if possible.
    (b) When conducting in-stream monitoring, the permittee shall record observations that include: the time of day, weather conditions, unusual stream characteristics (e.g., septic conditions, algae growth, etc.), the stream segment (e.g., riffle, pool or run) from where the sample was collected. These observations shall be submitted with the sample results.
    (c) Samples shall not be collected from areas with especially turbulent flow, still water or from the stream bank, unless these conditions are representative of the stream reach or no other areas are available for sample collection. Sampling should not be made when significant precipitation has occurred recently. The sampling event should be terminated and rescheduled if any of the following conditions occur:
        (1) If turbidity in the stream increases notably; or
        (2) If rainfall over the past two weeks exceeds 2.5 inches or exceeds 1 inch in the last 24 hour.
    (d) Always use the correct sampling technique and handling procedure specified for the parameter of interest. Please refer to the latest edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater for further discussion of proper sampling techniques. All analyses must be conducted in accordance with an approved EPA method. Meters shall be calibrated immediately (within 1 hour) prior to the sampling event.
    (e) Please contact the Department if you need additional instructions or assistance.

18. Nutrient Removal: The permittee should strive to operate the treatment facility to maximize the level of nutrient removal to achieve the following target goals and limits:
    a) Facilities with design flow or actual flow ≥ 100,000 gpd:

Total Nitrogen (as TKN and Nitrate + Nitrite)	≤ 63,926.10 lbs./year as a 12-month total limit
Total Nitrogen (as TKN and Nitrate + Nitrite)	≤ 10 mg/L as an annual average goal

    The target goals for concentration (mg/L) are not to be considered as effluent limits for this permit. However, the Total Nitrogen mass loading limits (lbs./year) are enforceable. The Department reserves the right to reopen this permit to impose limits for nutrients pursuant to Missouri Law after such criteria or a TMDL limiting nutrients is adopted.

    A TMDL for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen in the James River Watershed has been adopted, and the value for Total Nitrogen mass (lbs/year) is a limit to be imposed through the Special Condition and Schedule of Compliance of this permit.

19. Trading. The watershed permittees are authorized to participate in nutrient trading for the purpose of complying with the TN allocations listed in Appendix B. Additionally, the James River TMDL authorizes nutrient trading as a means of achieving the cumulative TN wasteload allocations established by the TMDL.

20. Watershed Compliance. Through treatment, other pollutant reductions at the facility, or point and/or nonpoint source nutrient trading, the individual watershed permittees must meet mass-based loads for TN as stated in Appendix B. If trading is the chosen method, the permittee must purchase point source credits from authorized sellers and/or obtain nonpoint source nutrient credits within the watershed in an amount sufficient to compensate for the discharge of TN that is in excess of TN allocations stated in the watershed permittee list. Nonpoint pollutant reduction credits are available as specified in the Nonpoint Source Offset Implementation Plan or approved amendments thereof.

    The Nitrogen 12-Month Total, After Credit Adjustment (ACA) value is calculated by increasing or decreasing the facility's actual annual effluent nitrogen load for the previous 12 calendar months (January 1st through December 31st) by the documented point and/or nonpoint nutrient annual credits (sold or purchased) from the previous year. The ACA is the value evaluated for compliance.
    (a) For any calendar year in which a watershed permittee exceeds its TN Limitation and/or fails to obtain sufficient credits, shall be in violation of this permit, and the Department may take appropriate enforcement action against the watershed permittee for such exceedance.
    (b) Termination, regionalization, consolidation of dischargers, purchases, sales, trades, leases, and the transaction(s) affecting the TN allocations shall not limit the Department's authority to enforce the terms and conditions of this permit nor shall it relieve the watershed permittees of their responsibility to comply with any other applicable federal, state, or local law, rule, standard, ordinance, order, judgment, or decree.

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (Continued)

21. Nonpoint Source Credit Generation Plan. Prior to initiating credit offset projects, watershed permittees shall develop a project implementation plan for Department review, approval, and incorporation into the operating permit. Implementation plans must at a minimum include the following information:
    (a) Overview of the offset project, including specific BMPs to be implemented;
    (b) Projected Total Nitrogen credits that will be generated;
    (c) Proposed Trading ratio(s) calculations;
    (d) Implementation and credit tracking plans (i.e. legal agreements, credit tracking, annual review process, process for mitigating failing BMPs);
    (e) Relevant financial analyses (i.e. implementation cost, external funding opportunities)
    (f) Project implementation schedule; and
    (g) Inspection and on-going maintenance requirements of nonpoint source BMPs

    Only those pollutant reduction credits established in the project implementation plan approved by the Department may be used by the permittee to demonstrate compliance with the total nitrogen limits. The plan may be amended, however, Department approval must be obtained prior to initiating work associated with the change.

22. Aggregated Assessment. An owner or continuing authority of two or more facilities with a total nitrogen wasteload allocation (WLA) or derived from the James River TMDL may apply for and receive an aggregated assessment reflecting the total WLA for such facilities.
    (a) The permittee (and all individual facilities covered under the aggregated limit) shall be deemed in compliance when the aggregate mass load discharged by the facilities is less than the aggregate load limit.
    (b) If aggregated mass load limit is exceeded, facilities that achieve individual WLA load limits in Appendix B shall be deemed in compliance.
    (c) The permittee will be eligible to generate credits only if the aggregate mass load discharged by the facilities is less than the total of the WLA assigned to any of the affected facilities.
    (d) Point and/or nonpoint source nutrient trading may be used to meet the aggregated mass load limit.
    (e) The aggregation of mass load limits shall not affect any requirement to comply with local water quality-based limitations.

23. Required Elements and Reporting Requirements. Any permittee seeking to meet their mass-based permitted effluent limit for TN is required to submit to the Department the following information along with a completed permit application.

    Permittees planning to acquire credits through more than one of these three options must submit completed plans for each option.

    All annual reporting documents are due on March 28th. In addition, new trading plans or modifications of existing trading plans for the upcoming calendar year must be submitted for Department review and approval by March 28th.

    (a) **For Point Source to Point Source Trading Plans:**
        i. Completed Point Source to Point Source Trading Plan listing all permitted point sources within the trading zone that the permittee would consider as potential credit suppliers. The plan should list potential contingencies for compliance if sufficient credits are unavailable.
            - List of Facility Names and Permit Numbers.
        ii. Annual Reporting Requirements:
            - Completed Annual Trade Accounting Worksheet
            - Completed Private Agreements, or evidence thereof, whether in the form of a Legal Contract to Trade executed by Buyer and Seller, or receipt of sale, for all credit purchases.

    (b) **For Point Source to Point Source Aggregated Assessment Plans:**
        i. Spreadsheet displaying all facilities within the designated trading zone owned by the permittee that are to operate under this individual Aggregated Assessment Plan.
            - List of Facility Names and Permit Numbers.
            - Each participating facility's annual mass-based limits for the pollutant(s) to be traded.
            - Each participating facility's actual annual discharge in pounds for the most recent January 1 – December 31 period.
            - Display of credits generated or needed from each facility.
            - Total aggregated sums of point B through D above.
        ii. Annual Reporting Requirements:
            - Completed Annual Trade Accounting Worksheet

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (Continued)

(c) **Point Source to Nonpoint Source Trades:**

i. Nonpoint Source Credit Generation Plan that includes the following:
- Overview of the offset project;
- Projected credits that will be generated;
- Proposed trade ratio(s) and calculations;
- Implementation and offset tracking plans (i.e. legal agreements, tracking offsets and credits, annual review process, process for mitigating failing BMPs);
- Relevant financial analyses (i.e. implementation cost, external funding opportunities)
- Project implementation schedule; and
- Inspection and on-going maintenance requirements of nonpoint source BMPs

ii. Annual Reporting Requirements:
- Completed Annual Trade Accounting Worksheet;
- Completed Private Agreements, or evidence thereof, whether in the form of a Legal Contract to Trade executed by Buyer and Seller, or receipt of sale, for all credit purchases Verification and evidence of completed and installed practice;
- Evidence of existing Maintenance Agreements for existing Nonpoint Source Best Management Practices

24. Renewal Application Requirements.

(a) Submit a renewal application in accordance with Standard Conditions Part I – General Conditions.

(b) Application materials shall include a completed Form B2.

(1) For Part B2, Additional Application Information #14 Effluent Testing Data, the permittee shall submit at a minimum, effluent testing data based on at least three samples for each outfall through which effluent is discharged. The samples must be no more than four and one-half years apart.

i. Sufficiently sensitive analytical methods must be used. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when; 1) the method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the pollutant or, 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility's discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015. These methods are also required for parameters that are listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure that the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive. The facility shall ensure that the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations that are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031.

(2) For Part D, Expanded Effluent Testing Data #18, the permittee shall submit at a minimum, effluent testing data based on at least three pollutant scans for each outfall through which effluent is discharged. The pollutant scans must be performed no more than four and one-half years prior to the date of the permit application submittal.

i. Sufficiently sensitive analytical methods must be used. See Special Condition 25(b)(i)1 above for more information.

(3) For Part E, Toxicity Testing Data #19, the facility shall submit at a minimum, either 4 quarterly tests for a 12-month period within the past one year using multiple species (minimum of two species), or the results from four tests performed at least annually in the four and one-half years prior to the date of the permit application submittal, for each of the facility's discharge points.

(4) For Part F, Industrial User Discharges and RCRA/CERCLA Wastes, if the treatment works accepts process wastewater from any significant industrial users, also known as SIUs, or receives a RCRA or CERCLA wastes, the permittee shall complete the applicable portions of #20, #21, #22, and/or #23 for each SIU and/or remedial waste accepted.

i. SIUs are defined as:

1. All Categorical Industrial Users, or CIUs, subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards under 40 Code of Federal Regulations 403.6 and 40 Code of Federal Regulations 403.6 and 40 CFR Chapter 1, Subchapter N.
2. Any other industrial user that meets one or more of the following:
   a. Discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the treatment works (with certain exclusions).
   b. Contributes a process waste stream that makes up 5% or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the treatment plant.
   c. Is designated as an SIU by the control authority.
   d. Is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the information.

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (Continued)

(5) Permittees subject to Total Phosphorus reduction requirements in 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(B)2. shall submit the following on the next renewal application:

- Chosen compliance method.
  - If implementing compliance option 2, and the facility is a combined sewer system, permittees can request alternative considerations or calculations.
  - If implementing compliance option 3, at least two years of influent and effluent monitoring data is required.
  - If implementing compliance option 4, sufficient and representative data is required.
- Alternative implementation date, if applicable.
- Application for nutrient trading, if utilizing.

(c) Complete the Financial Questionnaire (https://dnr.mo.gov/document-search/financial-questionnaire-mo-780-2511) and submit it with your application.

G. REOPEN, MODIFY, OR REVOKE PROVISION

The Department may, for any reason provided by law, by summary proceedings or otherwise, revoke or suspend this permit or reopen and modify it to establish any appropriate conditions, schedules of compliance, or other provisions which may be necessary to protect human health or the environment or to implement the James River TMDL. In addition, the Department may modify or revoke and reissue the permit if the limits for Total Nitrogen no longer attain and maintain applicable water quality standards. The Department may also reopen and modify the permit to suspend the ability to trade credits to comply with the TN Allocations of this permit.

H. NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

If you were adversely affected by this decision, you may be entitled to pursue an appeal before the administrative hearing commission (AHC) pursuant to Sections 621.250 and 644.051.6 RSMo. To appeal, you must file a petition with the AHC within thirty days after the date this decision was mailed or the date it was delivered, whichever date was earlier. If any such petition is sent by registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is mailed; if it is sent by any method other than registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is received by the AHC. Any appeal should be directed to:

Administrative Hearing Commission
U.S. Post Office Building, Third Floor
131 West High Street, P.O. Box 1557
Jefferson City, MO 65102-1557
Phone: 573-751-2422
Fax: 573-751-5018
Website: https://ahc.mo.gov

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
STATEMENT OF BASIS
MO-0099163
OZARK WWTF

This Statement of Basis (Statement) gives pertinent information regarding modification(s) to the above listed operating permit. A Statement is not an enforceable part of a Missouri State Operating Permit.

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type and Description: POTW - Mechanical bar screen / manual bar screen / grit removal / peak flow equalization basin / 2 parallel treatment trains each consisting of: selector basins, oxidation ditch, and 2 clarifiers / chemical addition to facilitate phosphorus removal / 3 tertiary cloth filters / UV disinfection / gravity thickener belt / 3 aerated sludge holding tanks / biosolids are land applied or hauled to a permitted landfill / facility does not have materials stored or conduct operations in a manner that would cause the discharge of pollutants via stormwater.

Part II – Modification Rationale

This operating permit is hereby modified to reflect a change in the facility description to permit biosolids to be hauled to a permitted landfill. The final effluent limit for aluminum became effective October 1, 2024. As part of this modification, the final effluent limits for aluminum were moved to Tables A-1 and A-2 for consistency with other parameters. As a result, Tables A-3 and A-4 were removed. The special conditions were updated to include the revision of the bypass reporting condition, the revision of special condition #7 to include an optional form for I&I, the removal of the fees condition (now located in new factsheets), and the addition of the renewal application condition.

No other changes were made at this time.

Part III – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PUBLIC NOTICE:
The department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing. The department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit. For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

- ✓ The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from December 19, 2025, to January 19, 2026. Responses to the Public Notice of this operating permit did not warrant the modification of effluent limits and/or the terms and conditions of this permit.

DATE OF STATEMENT OF BASIS: FEBRUARY 20, 2026

COMPLETED BY:

STEPHANIE GARCIA, ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ANALYST
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
OPERATING PERMITS SECTION – MAJOR DOMESTIC WASTEWATER UNIT
(573) 751-1399
stephanie.garcia@dnr.mo.gov

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FACT SHEET
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL
OF
MO-0099163
OZARK WWTF

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of stormwater from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)(A)2.], a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

Part I – Facility Information

Application Date: 03/05/20
Expiration Date: 09/30/20

Facility Type and Description: POTW - Mechanical bar screen / manual bar screen / grit removal / peak flow equalization basin / 2 parallel treatment trains each consisting of: selector basins, oxidation ditch, and 2 clarifiers / chemical addition to facilitate phosphorus removal / 3 tertiary cloth filters / UV disinfection / gravity thickener belt / 3 aerated sludge holding tanks / biosolids are land applied / facility does not have materials stored or conduct operations in a manner that would cause the discharge of pollutants via stormwater.

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE
#001	3.255	Tertiary	Domestic

Comments:
Changes in this permit for Outfall #001 include; the addition of Nitrate + Nitrite, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Cadmium, Cyanide, Selenium, Silver, and Zinc; the revision of the February daily maximum for Ammonia as N; Aluminum limits were established with a one year Schedule of Compliance; Iron monitoring was removed; the removal of Acute and Chronic WET test annual reporting, however special conditions still require these tests for the renewal application of the next permit cycle; Oil & Grease was moved from a limit to monitoring requirement; and the revision of Total Nitrogen to require annual goals and final effluent limits following a 12 year Schedule of Compliance. Permitted Feature SM1 was removed as upstream monitoring for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen were removed. Permitted Feature SM2 is established for monthly downstream hardness monitoring. Changes in this permit for Permitted Feature INF include the addition of monitoring for Ammonia as N, Total Phosphorus, Nitrate + Nitrite, and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen. Additionally, the level required for the certified operator increased from level B to A, but facility has already met this requirement. See Part II of the Fact Sheet for further information regarding the addition, revision, and removal of influent, instream, and effluent parameters.

Special conditions were updated to include the removal of EPA's 2013 Ammonia criteria as it has not been incorporated into Missouri's Water Quality Standards at this time, the removal of the requirement to cease discharge and connect to a higher continuing authority with an approved area-wide management plan due to no such authority being located within the county, the removal of general criteria as a special condition as the permit writer evaluated each narrative statement in Part II – Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements for reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the criteria and established numeric effluent limitations where necessary, the removal of changes in discharges of toxic substances but this facility is still subject to Standard Conditions Part 1 Section B(1), the revision of reporting non-detects, the revision of the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System, the removal of special conditions requiring gates and warning signs, but the facility must remain

sufficiently secured to restrict access per special condition 10, the removal of SWPPP requirements due to the submittal of a no exposure certification, the addition of expanded effluent testing as the facility failed to test to sufficiently sensitive enough levels for some parameters, and the addition of special conditions for the James River TMDL and new Total Nitrogen requirements including nutrient removal, trading, watershed compliance, nonpoint source credit generation plan, aggregated assessment, and required elements and reporting requirements. Additionally, a clause allowing the Department to reopen, modify, or revoke this permit was included to ensure the requirements of the TMDL are met.

DEFINITIONS

After Credit Adjustment (ACA) Value: Is calculated by increasing or decreasing the facility's actual annual effluent nitrogen load for the previous 12 months by the documented nutrient annual credit (sold or purchased) from the previous year. The ACA is the value evaluated for compliance.

Allocation (or "TN Allocation"): The mass quantity (as of TN) that a discharger is potentially allowed to release to surface waters in accordance with this permit. TN Allocations may be expressed as active or reserve allocation.

Baselines: The discharge or loading limits expected of the source that would apply in the absence of trading. This applies to both buyers and sellers of credits. An example of a point source baseline is a permitted effluent limit. An example of nonpoint baselines are the nutrient discharge conditions prior to the installation of best management practices.

Best Management Practice (BMP): An action that reduces pollutant discharge to waters of the state. The eligibility and nutrient trading value of any proposed practice will be subject to approval by the Department's Water Protection Program.

Consolidation: The transfer of ownership and/or operational authority of an independent wastewater system to a larger one.

Credit: A credit is a unit of pollutant reduction measured in pounds. Credits can be generated by a point source over-controlling its discharge or by a nonpoint source installing best management practices (BMPs) that are different than or in addition to its baseline.

Discharge TN Allocation: TN Allocation specified as applying at the point of discharge (or "end-of-pipe").

Discharge TN Load: Actual TN Load measured at a watershed permittee member's point of discharge (or "end-of-pipe").

Limitation (or "TN Limitation" or "TN Load Limitation"): The mass quantity of TN specified as the maximum that an individual discharger is authorized to discharge to surface waters.

Load (or "TN Load"): The actual mass quantity (as of TN) that a discharger releases into surface waters of the James River watershed (upstream of the TMDL compliance point at Galena, MO).

Nonpoint Source: Pollutants generally resulting from land runoff, precipitation, atmospheric deposition, drainage, seepage or hydrologic modification. Nonpoint source pollution, unlike pollution from industrial and sewage treatment plants, comes from many diffuse sources.

Point Source: Any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, or vessel or other floating craft, from which pollutants are or may be discharged. Point source does not include agricultural storm water discharges and return flows from irrigated agriculture.

Regionalization: When (1) an independent wastewater system directly connects to an existing regional wastewater district or (2) when two or more independent wastewater systems combine to form a single area-wide wastewater district.

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL): is a watershed planning tool that identifies the maximum amount of a pollutant that a water body can receive and still attain applicable water quality standards. This maximum loading is then allocated to the various sources in the watershed, and these allocations serve as targets for restoring water quality. In the context of this permit, refers to Phase III of the Total Maximum Daily Load for TN to the James River watershed, upstream of the TMDL compliance point at Galena, MO.
Total Nitrogen (TN): The sum of the Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrite Nitrogen, and Nitrate Nitrogen.

Trading Zone: A defined geographical area (most often a watershed) within which pollutant credits can be bought and sold, and which permittees are authorized to use credits to meet mass-based permitted effluent limits. Trading zones are designated or subject to approval by the Department's Water Protection Program and identified in eligible permits. The trading zone for this framework is identified in the TMDL as the James River Watershed, upstream of Galena, MO.

NUTRIENT TRADING

Trading terms and information are as follows:

(1) **Aggregate Assessment Plans for Point Source Continuing Authorities:** One flexibility offered to permittees who serve as continuing authorities for multiple permitted facilities is the option to provide an Aggregate Assessment Plan when planning and reporting for point source offsetting and trading between two or more of their facilities. In addition to providing a more streamlined method for reporting annual compliance through multiple trades, the Aggregated Assessment removes the need to provide documented legal agreements, receipts, or other such contracts between facilities owned by the same permittee.

These continuing authorities may submit an Aggregate Assessment in place of a Point Source Trading Plan as part of the permit application process to begin trading. However, if the continuing authority also seeks credits from any other point source to meet a permit obligation for any given permit, they must submit a Point Source Trading Plan for the permits in question. Likewise for nonpoint source trading, all applications for nonpoint source trading must be accompanied by Nonpoint Source Credit Generation Plans. An optional credit accounting worksheet is provided by the Department to assist permittees develop their plans.

Note: Facilities owned by the same continuing authority that wish to participate in trading in order to meet a permit requirement must still be located in the same Trading Zone for the type of credit that is being traded.

(2) **Annual Reconciliation Period:** An Annual Reconciliation Period (also known as a "true-up" period) will occur between January 1 and March 28 of every year. Permittees will have until March 28 to use or purchase any necessary credits to meet the annual mass-based effluent limit for the annual compliance period that ended December 31.

Permittees also have until March 28 to update or modify Point Source Trading Plans, Aggregate Assessment Plans, or Nonpoint Source Credit Generation Plans that address compliance for the current and upcoming annual compliance periods.

(3) **Attenuation's Influence on Credit Determination:** The Department may calculate general estimates of nutrient attenuation in streams using observed rates of nutrient reduction measured during low-flow wasteload allocation studies completed for wastewater treatment facilities located in a representative watershed. For this approach, the observed percentage of nutrient loss for a given distance measured in the wasteload allocation study is applied for the entire extent from the wastewater treatment facility outfall to the subject water body. This approach assumes that streams having similar hydrology and are located in watersheds having similar land use, climate, and geology have similar kinetic rates related to instream nutrient loss. Due to the inherent uncertainty associated with this approach, additional conservative assumptions (i.e., trade ratios) may be employed to ensure pollutant reduction goals are achieved. Additionally, uncertainty may be reduced through the completion of site-specific low-flow wasteload allocation studies. Such studies should be completed in consultation with the Department and following the Department's quality assurance procedures for data collection.

Attenuation calculations would be employed across all permits within a designation trading zone. The Department will make this determination on a zone by zone basis. When attenuation is used, credits and credit baselines are calculated at the receiving waterbody identified in the attenuation study as opposed to directly at the point source outfall. When employed in this fashion, mass-based load limitations become more equitable across the trading zone and increases the ease of credit tracking from point sources.

For the purposes of the James River Permitting Framework, attenuation has already been considered and utilized during the development of the final permitted limits. Therefore, no further attenuation calculations will be employed for credits generated from nonpoint source BMPs or for the aggregation of mass load limits.

(4) **Centralized Trading Ledger:** To facilitate trade negotiations and provide centralized, transparent, and timely information regarding available credits in the trading zone, the Department will establish and maintain a Nutrient Trading Ledger (Ledger) unique to each respective trading zone. The Department will update the Ledger with TN data submitted by each permittee participating in a trading agreement on an annual basis. The Ledger will display each permittee in the trading zone, their permit limitations, their reported pollutant discharge in mass, and a positive or negative "credit balance." The Ledger will be maintained on the Department's website. *Disclaimer: Updates to the ledger are only as accurate and timely as what has been provided to the Department by the permittees pursuant to their reporting requirements.*

(5) **Credit Generation and Sale:** Permittees that maintain an annual discharge of TN below their permitted mass-based effluent limit for that respective pollutant are authorized to sell those pollutant reductions as "credits" to authorized credit buyers within their designated trading zone. One credit is equal to one pound of pollutant reduction. The designated trading zone is subject to approval by the Water Protection Program and will be identified in the permit.

(6) **Credit Generation and Sale (without permitted limits):** Facilities without permitted nutrient effluent limitations are also offered the opportunity to generate nutrient reduction credits. Facilities without limits established in their permits may elect to submit 5 years of representative effluent data in order to determine the facility's effluent baseline conditions. Therefore, any nutrient reductions below these baseline conditions are authorized to sell as credits. Facilities that choose to participate in trading in this way will be required to conduct weekly effluent monitoring. If the participating facility's permit does not already include weekly monitoring for nutrients, the permit must be modified in order to incorporate weekly monitoring for the parameter(s) that are to be traded. The modification must be approved and issued before credits can be sold.

(7) **Credit Use and Purchasing:** Permittees may purchase available credits from other permittees within the designated trading zone to meet the mass-based TN and/or TP limits within their permit. TN credits can only be used to meet mass-based TN limits.

(8) **Nonpoint Source Load Allocation:** "Where a TMDL has been approved or established by EPA, the applicable point source waste load allocation or nonpoint source load allocation would establish the baselines for generating credits" (EPA, 2003). Therefore, all nonpoint source practices, or combinations thereof, must first achieve the nonpoint source load allocations according to their respective land use category before generating credits within a TMDL zone. The ability of established nonpoint source Best Management Practices (BMPs) to generate nutrient reductions will be determined on a per-treated acre or per field basis, as appropriate. Only nutrient reductions achieved below the nonpoint source load allocation (represented in annual average pounds per acre) will be eligible for trading to a permittee to meet a permitted effluent limit.

Nonpoint source load allocations, determined by the Department, are unique to each TMDL and are consistent with the assumptions and requirements upon which each respective TMDL is established. These load allocations that nonpoint source nutrient reduction practices will have to meet before being allowed to generate nutrient credits will be identified in each respective TMDL.



(9) **Trading Permit Goals:** The TN goals listed in the permit are not to be considered as effluent limits for this permit, they are incorporated to further encourage reductions in the watershed. Nutrient credits cannot be purchased for meeting goals, however they can be purchased for meeting a permitted limit.

If a facility <100,000 gpd would like to sell credits when meeting a goal, they must submit applicable information explained in the Credit Generation and Sale (without permitted limits) paragraph of this section**.**

(10) **Time Terms for Credits:** All credits must be earned/generated before they can be traded or sold. Therefore, any credits purchased or used as offsets directly translate to pollutant reductions that have already occurred in the trading zone. The total loads of each trading zone, along with any reductions, credits, and offsets are verified annually at the end of the Annual Reconciliation Period on March 28th. The Time Terms will be defined in each permit along with the permittee's trading zone.

In trading zones with established Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for nutrients or chlorophyll-a, credits have a total of two years to be traded or sold from the date the credit is reported. Once a credit is purchased or traded, the buyer can use the

credit as an offset for the reporting period that just ended, or claim the credit as an offset towards their annual load limit for the current reporting period. Nutrient credits generated in trading zones with established nutrient or chlorophyll-a TMDLs cannot be used to offset any load that occurs more than two annual reporting periods from the one in which the credit was generated.

Limitations established by the Department on the Time Terms for Credits are intended to ensure consistency with the assumptions and requirements of any established TMDL wasteload allocation, water quality standard, or nutrient reduction target in the trading zone. Any allowance of credit banking beyond the designated term increases the potential that the purchase and use of banked credits would allow for excursions of collective wasteload allocations, water quality standards, or nutrient reduction targets.

(11) **Trade Negotiations:** For all trades, it is the responsibility of the permittee to negotiate trades and obtain executed trade agreements prior to applying to the Department to meet a permit limitation. Trade negotiations and agreements shall take place without the involvement of the Department. Copies of legally binding agreements shall be provided to the Department pursuant to the permit application process for any facility that is seeking to offset any nutrient load through trading.

(12) **Trade Ratios:** A mechanism applied to trades to adjust for uncertainty associated with measuring the effectiveness of non-point source nutrient reductions. The trade ratio for point source to point source trades within this trading zone will be 1:1. The trade ratios for nonpoint source trades will be approved on a case by case basis and should be addressed in each approved Nonpoint Source Credit Generation Plan. In order to safeguard the attainment of water quality standards, TMDL requirements, and/or water quality goals, the Department reserves the right to make final determinations on trade ratios associated with any given trade or practice used to meet a permitted effluent limitation.

WATERSHED PERMITTEES AND TN LIMITATIONS

Threshold Applicability. Statewide nutrient monitoring requirements in 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)8. establish a threshold for point sources that have the design capacity of greater than one hundred thousand (100,000) gpd that typically discharge nitrogen and phosphorus. The James River TMDL establishes the TN wasteload allocation to point sources in the James River watershed to be 3,949 pounds per day. The Department has determined that facilities >100,000 gpd in the James River Watershed encompass 99.4% of the watershed TN loading. Upon implementation of the final effluent limits stated in the permit, collectively as a group this will attain the goals of the TMDL. Facilities less than one hundred thousand (100,000) gpd will be required to optimize their treatment facilities to meet a TN goal of 15 mg/L, this action will further the reductions in the watershed beyond the TMDL target.

This permit authorizes wastewater discharges of Total Nitrogen from wastewater treatment facilities located in the James River Watershed. Although not all facilities in the watershed will be required to meet final TN effluent limits, three categories of facilities are required to follow conditions of this permit:

- Wastewater treatment facilities authorized to discharge less than 100,000 gallons per day to the James River Watershed. These facilities have already been identified during the development of the James River Watershed TN Permitting Framework; further these facilities have been assigned TN concentration goals, as an annual average in this permit.

- Wastewater treatment facilities authorized to discharge 100,000 gallons or more per day to the James River Watershed. These facilities have already been identified during the development of the James River Watershed TN Permitting Framework; further, these facilities have been assigned waste load allocations for TN, to be regulated as annual total limits in this permit.

- Wastewater treatment facilities that, as a result of new construction or expansion, are proposed to discharge to the James River Watershed, that have not commenced the discharge prior to (**DATE of approved framework**). Any discharger with a permitted flow of 100,000 gallons or more per day that proposes an expansion to their facility, TN discharge limits shall not exceed a concentration of 10 mg/L. Any discharger with a permitted flow of less than 100,000 gallons per day that proposes an expansion to their facility, TN discharge limits shall not exceed a concentration of 15 mg/L. These facilities will not receive a waste load allocation for the new or increased discharges and will be required to offset any new TN load.

Nutrient Limit. The NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.45(d) require that all permit limits be expressed, unless impracticable, as both average monthly limits and maximum daily limits for all dischargers other than publicly owned treatment works (POTWs), and as average weekly limits and average monthly limits for POTWs.

In the March 3, 2004 EPA Memorandum with the subject of; Annual Permit Limits for Nitrogen and Phosphorus for Permits Designed to Protect Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries from Excess Nutrient Loading under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, the Office of Wastewater Management cautioned that the steady-state statistical procedures described in EPA's Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (TSD) were not applicable or appropriate for developing nutrient limits for the main stem of Chesapeake Bay and its tribal tributaries. The memo stated that developing permit limits for nutrients affecting Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries is different from setting limits for toxic pollutants because the exposure period of concern for

nutrients is longer than one month, and can be up to a few years, and the average exposure rather than the maximum exposure is of concern. The statistical derivation procedure described in the TSD for acute and chronic aquatic life protection is not applicable to exposure periods more than 30 days (see TSD page 105). The Office of Wastewater Management concluded that due to the characteristics of nutrient loading and its effects on the water quality in Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries and because the derivation of appropriate daily, weekly or monthly limits is not possible for the reasons described above, that it is therefore "impracticable" to express permit effluent limitations as daily maximum, weekly average, or monthly average effluent limitations. Due to the long term effects of nutrients on streams, an Annual Total Limit (ATL), an Annual Average Goal, and a Monthly Average and Monthly Total monitoring only requirement is applied. This value is consistent with the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL.

Nutrient monitoring will be conducted on at least a weekly basis, and the monthly mass load will be summarized based on the total flow during the month and reported as a monthly load.

Allocations. Upon timely and proper notification by the watershed permittees, as described elsewhere in this permit, the Department shall revise the watershed permittee list to incorporate changes in participation and/or allowable changes in TN limitations.

(a) Changes in participation.
   i. Participation. In the event that a new discharger, ≥100,000 gpd, is added in the James River watershed, the Department shall add the discharger and its TN limitations to the watershed permittee list as a watershed permittee. To comply with the James River Total Maximum Daily Load, the new discharge must completely offset its TN load through nutrient trading or a mutually acceptable wasteload allocation transfer between permittees. The addition will not result in an adjustment to the established TN wasteload allocations for the watershed.
   ii. Expansions. In the event that a discharger in the James River watershed expands its design average flow, the expansion will not result in an adjustment to the established TN wasteload allocation in Appendix B. Any additional loading of TN from the expansion must be offset through nutrient trading or a mutually acceptable wasteload allocation transfer between permittees.
   iii. Termination. In the event that a watershed permittee is terminated, the Department shall delete the departing watershed permittee and its TN limitations from the watershed permittee list.
   iv. Regionalization of dischargers. In the event that a watershed permittee with design flows ≥100,000 gpd regionalizes with another discharging facility with design flows ≥100,000 gpd in the watershed, the Department shall revise the watershed permittee list to incorporate the TN allocation adjustment to the receiving facility.
   v. Consolidation of dischargers. In the event that a watershed permittee with design flows ≥100,000 gpd consolidates with another discharging facility with design flows ≥100,000 gpd in the watershed, the TN allocation will remain with each facility's discharge location and no adjustment will be made to the TN allocations. However, the consolidated discharges may be permitted under an aggregated mass load limit.

(b) For the purposes of this permit, allowable reapportions in TN allocations include those resulting from purchase, sale, trade, or lease of allocation among the watershed permittees; and other transactions approved by the Department.

Nonpoint Source Load Allocation. The James River TMDL provides an annual TN loading target of 1,670,682 lbs/year for nonpoint sources. A common approach utilized in TMDLs for allocating loading to specific stormwater driven sources is to use an area-based approach. For nonpoint sources such allocations (i.e., baselines) may be based on land cover. Realizing that more natural areas (i.e. forest) are likely to contribute less nutrients, the department is implementing a more weighted approach in this permitting framework.

The results in the Table below give these values and are based on the proportion of existing overland loading as estimated using the Spreadsheet Tool for Estimating Pollutant Loads (STEPL). Baselines for nonpoint sources not included in Table 1 are based on existing conditions.

Table 1: TN LA by land cover type weighted by proportion of existing loading estimated by STEPL

Type	Sq. Miles	Acres	STEPL estimated TN load* (lbs/year)	STEPL estimated TN load* (lbs/acre/year)	Loading Proportion (%)	LA (lbs/year)	LA (lbs/acre/year)
Developed	148.19	94,839	430,530	4.5	14.30%	238,945	2.5
Hay/Pasture	521.14	333,531	2,357,263	7.1	78.31%	1,308,288	3.9
Forest	328.18	210,033	198,650	0.9	6.60%	110,251	0.5
Cropland	3.06	1,959	23,779	12.1	0.79%	13,197	6.7
Totals:	**1,000.57**	**640,362**	**3,010,222**	**NA**	**100%**	**1,670,682**	NA

* assumes no best management practices

Part II – Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

OUTFALL #001 – MAIN FACILITY OUTFALL

Effluent limitations derived and established in the below Effluent Limitations Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit.

OUTFALL #001 - RECEIVING STREAM INFORMATION

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATER-BODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	12-DIGIT HUC	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
Finley Creek	P	2352	AHP (WWH, CLH), IRR, LWP, HHP, SCR, WBC-A	11010002-0208	0

*As per 10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission's water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are in the receiving stream table in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)].

Uses found in the receiving streams table, above:

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)1.:

AHP = Aquatic Habitat Protection - To ensure the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife. AHP is further subcategorized as:

- **WWH** = Warm Water Habitat;
- **CLH** = Cool Water Habitat;
- **CDH**= Cold Water Habitat;
- **EAH** = Ephemeral Aquatic Habitat;
- **MAH** = Modified Aquatic Habitat;
- **LAH** = Limited Aquatic Habitat.

This permit uses Aquatic Life Protection effluent limitations in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A for all aquatic habitat designations unless otherwise specified.

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)2.: Recreation in and on the water

WBC = Whole Body Contact recreation where the entire body is capable of being submerged. WBC is further subcategorized as:

- **WBC-A** = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming uses and has public access;
- **WBC-B** = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming;

SCR = Secondary Contact Recreation (like fishing, wading, and boating).

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)3. to 7.:

HHP = Human Health Protection as it relates to the consumption of fish;
IRR = Irrigation - Application of water to cropland or directly to cultivated plants that may be used for human or livestock consumption;
LWP = Livestock and wildlife protection - Maintenance of conditions in waters to support health in livestock and wildlife;
DWS = Drinking water supply;
IND = Industrial water supply

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)8-11.: Wetlands (10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A currently does not have corresponding habitat use criteria for these defined uses)

WSA = Storm- and flood-water storage and attenuation;
WHP = Habitat for resident and migratory wildlife species;
WRC = Recreational, cultural, educational, scientific, and natural aesthetic values and uses;
WHC = Hydrologic cycle maintenance.

10 CSR 20-7.031(6):

GRW = Groundwater

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES:

RECEIVING STREAM	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)*		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Finley Creek	3.68	4.43	5.65

* Low flow values obtained from USGS StreamStats. https://streamstats.usgs.gov/ss/. See **APPENDIX: RECEIVING STREAM LOW-FLOW VALUES**.

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS TABLE:

MIXING ZONE (CFS) [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(II)(a)]			ZONE OF INITIAL DILUTION (CFS) [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(II)(b)]		
1Q10	7Q10	30Q10	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
0.92	1.1075	1.4125	0.092	0.11075	N/A

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

- ✓ This facility discharges to a stream with an EPA approved TMDL. The TMDL for the James River was approved on May 7, 2001. The pollutant of concern in the TMDL is nutrients. The effluent limits in this permit meet the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL.

CHANGES TO EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Ammonia as N (February)	mg/L	2, 3	10.4		2.7	12.1/2.7	1/week	monthly	C
Oil & Grease	mg/L	1, 3	*		*	15/10	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Aluminum, Total Recoverable	µg/L	2, 3	775.6		360.0	*/*	1/month	monthly	C
Cadmium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	12	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	C
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination	µg/L	12	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Selenium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	12	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	C
Silver, Total Recoverable	µg/L	12	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	C
Zinc, Total Recoverable	µg/L	12	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	C
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	1, 8	*		*	***	1/week	monthly	C
Nitrite + Nitrate	mg/L	1, 8	*		*	***	1/week	monthly	C

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Monthly Average		Monthly Total	Previous Permit Limit or Frequency	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Nitrogen, Total	mg/L	8	*			*/* 1/quarter	1/week	monthly	M
Nitrogen, Total	lbs.	8			*	***	1/week	monthly	M

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Annual Average Goal		Annual Total	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Nitrogen, Total (Interim)	mg/L	8	*			***	1/year	1/year	M
Nitrogen, Total (Final)	mg/L	8	10			***	1/year	1/year	M
Nitrogen, Total	lbs.	8			*	***	1/year	1/year	M
Annual Nitrogen Credit Point Source (Final)	lbs.	8			*	***	1/year	1/year	D
Annual Nitrogen Credit Nonpoint Source (Final)	lbs.	8			*	***	1/year	1/year	D
Nitrogen 12-month Total after Credit Adjustment (Final)	lbs.	8			63,926.10	***	1/year	1/year	M

* - Monitoring requirement only.
** - #/100mL; the Monthly Average for *E. coli* is a geometric mean.
*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

**** - C = 24-hour composite
G = Grab
M = Measured/calculated
D = Documented

Basis for Limitations Codes:

1. State or Federal Regulation/Law
2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)
3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
4. Antidegradation Review
5. Antidegradation Policy
6. Water Quality Model
7. Best Professional Judgment
8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL
9. WET Test Policy
10. Multiple Discharger Variance
11. Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan
12. Reasonable Potential Determination

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- **Flow**. In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.

- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_5)**. Operating permit retains 15 mg/L as a Weekly Average and 10 mg/L as a Monthly Average. Please see the attached Water Quality Review Sheet.

- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS)**. Operating permit retains 20 mg/L as a Weekly Average and 15 mg/L as a Monthly Average. Please see the attached Water Quality Review Sheet.

- ***Escherichia coli (E. coli)***. Monthly average of 126 per 100 mL as a geometric mean and Weekly Average of 630 per 100 mL as a geometric mean during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), for discharges within two miles upstream of segments or lakes with Whole Body Contact Recreation (A) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(B).
An effluent limit for both monthly average and weekly average is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d). The Geometric Mean is calculated by multiplying all of the data points and then taking the nth root of this product, where n = # of samples collected. For example: Five *E. coli* samples were collected with results of 1, 4, 6, 10, and 5 (#/100mL). Geometric Mean = 5^{th} root of (1)(4)(6)(10)(5) = 5^{th} root of 1,200 = 4.1 #/100mL.

- **Total Ammonia Nitrogen**. Operating permit retains 3.6 mg/L as a Daily Maximum and 1.5 mg/L as a Monthly Average for the months of April through September, with the exception of the Daily Maximum for February which is now 10.4 mg/L, and permit retains 12.1 mg/L as a Daily Maximum and 2.7 mg/L as a Monthly Average for the months of October through March. The effluent limits of the previous permit were compared to the Department's current method for derivation of ammonia limits, see table below. The limits from the Department's current ammonia derivation method were determined to be less stringent than the previous permit with the exception of the Daily Maximum for February. As such, the current derivation limits would not align with the need to make Total Nitrogen reductions, therefore the previous permit limits are retained to ensure the goals of the James River TMDL are met and to be protective of the receiving stream. The limit for the Daily Maximum for February under the Department's current derivation method was kept as it is more protective of the acute criterion.

Month	Previous permit MDL	Calculated MDL	Previous permit AML	Calculated AML
January	12.1	12.5	2.7	4.5
February	12.1	10.4	2.7	3.9
March	12.1	12.5	2.7	4.5
April	3.6	12.5	1.5	3.9
May	3.6	12.5	1.5	3.2
June	3.6	12.5	1.5	2.4
July	3.6	12.5	1.5	2.1
August	3.6	10.4	1.5	1.8
September	3.6	12.5	1.5	2.5
October	12.1	12.5	2.7	3.6
November	12.1	12.5	2.7	4.5
December	12.1	12.5	2.7	4.5

The Department's current method for derivation of ammonia:
Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(B)7.C. & Table B3]. Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L.

The Department previously followed the 2007 Ammonia Guidance method for derivation of ammonia limits. However, the EPA's Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxic Controls (TSD) establishes other alternatives to limit derivation. The Department has determined that the approach established in Section 5.4.2 of the TSD, which allows for direct application of both the acute and chronic wasteload allocations (WLA) as permit limits for toxic pollutants, is more appropriate limit derivation approach. Using this method for a discharge to a waterbody where mixing is not allowed, the criterion continuous concentration (CCC) and the criterion maximum concentration (CMC) will equal the chronic and acute WLA respectively. The WLAs are then applied as effluent limits, per Section 5.4.2 of the TSD, where the CMC is the Daily Maximum and the CCC is the Monthly Average. The

direct application of both acute and chronic criteria as WLA is also applicable for facilities that discharge into receiving waterbodies with mixing considerations. The CCC and CMC will need to be calculated into WLA with mixing considerations using the mass-balance equation:

$$Ce = \frac{(Qe + Qs)C - (Qs \times Cs)}{(Qe)}$$

Where C = downstream concentration Ce = effluent concentration
Cs = upstream concentration Qe = effluent flow
Qs = upstream flow

In the event that mixing considerations derive an AML less stringent than the MDL, the AML and MDL will be equal and based on the MDL.

Month	Temp (˚C)*	pH (SU)*	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)
January	8.1	7.8	3.1	12.1
February	9.3	7.9	2.7	10.1
March	13.0	7.8	3.1	12.1
April	16.7	7.8	2.7	12.1
May	20.0	7.8	2.2	12.1
June	24.0	7.8	1.7	12.1
July	26.6	7.8	1.5	12.1
August	26.5	7.9	1.3	10.1
September	23.5	7.8	1.8	12.1
October	18.0	7.8	2.5	12.1
November	14.0	7.8	3.1	12.1
December	10.0	7.8	3.1	12.1

* Ecoregion data (Ozark Highlands)

January
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)3.1 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 4.5 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **4.5** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

February
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)2.7 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 3.9 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)10.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 10.4 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **3.9** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **10.4** mg/L

March
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)3.1 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 4.5 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **4.5** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

April
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)2.7 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 3.9 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **3.9** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

May
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)2.2 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 3.2 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **3.2** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

June
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)1.7 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 2.4 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **2.4** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

July
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)1.5 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 2.1 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **2.1** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

August
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)1.3 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 1.8 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)10.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 10.4 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **1.8** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **10.4** mg/L

September
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)1.8 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 2.5 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **2.5** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

October
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)2.5 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 3.6 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **3.6** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

November
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)3.1 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 4.5 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **4.5** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

December
Chronic WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 1.41)3.1 – (1.41 * 0.01))/3.255 = 4.5 mg/L

Acute WLA:
C_e = ((3.255 + 0.092)12.1 – (0.092 * 0.01))/3.255 = 12.5 mg/L

Chronic WLA = AML = **4.5** mg/L
Acute WLA = MDL = **12.5** mg/L

- **Oil & Grease**. During the drafting of this permit, the permit writer reviewed DMR data submitted by the permittee. Additionally, no evidence of an excursion of the water quality standard has been observed by the Department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other information related to the characteristics of the discharge on their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the water quality standard. As a result, monitoring requirements have been included in this permit to determine if the discharge has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the water quality standard. Data will be reviewed at renewal to reassess this determination.

- **Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen & Nitrate + Nitrite**. Effluent monitoring for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Nitrate + Nitrite are required per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)8.

- **pH**. 6.0-9.0 SU. The permit writer has made a reasonable potential determination the assimilative capacity of the receiving stream that the discharge will not cause or contribute to the excursion of the water quality standard for pH instream. Therefore, effluent limitations as required by 10 CSR 20-7.015 are substituted for the pH water quality criteria of 6.5-9.0 SU.

- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_5) Percent Removal**. In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133, removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to BOD_5 and TSS for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. This facility is required to meet 85% removal efficiency for BOD_5.

- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Percent Removal**. In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133, removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to BOD_5 and TSS for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. This facility is required to meet 85% removal efficiency for TSS.

Metals
Effluent limitations for total recoverable metals were developed using methods and procedures outlined in the "Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxic Controls" (EPA/505/2-90-001) and "The Metals Translator: Guidance For Calculating a Total Recoverable Permit Limit from a Dissolved Criterion" (EPA 823-B-96-007). General warm-water fishery criteria apply. Ecoregion water hardness for Ozark Highlands of 170 mg/L is used in the calculation below. This value represents the 50th percentile (median) for all watersheds in-stream hardness values through the Ecoregion.

Due to the absence of contemporaneous effluent and instream data for total recoverable metals, dissolved metals, hardness, and total suspended solids with which to calculate metals translators, partitioning between the dissolved and absorbed phases was assumed to be minimal (Section 5.7.3, EPA/505/2-90-001). Freshwater criteria conversion factors for dissolved metals were used as the metals translator as recommended in guidance (Section 1.3, 1.5.3, and Table 1, EPA 823-B-96-007). If concurrent site-specific data for total recoverable metals, dissolved metals, hardness, and total suspended solids are provided to the Department, partitioning evaluations may be considered and site-specific translators developed.

- **Aluminum, Total Recoverable**. Protection of Aquatic Life Acute Criteria = 750 µg/L. The hardness value of **170 mg/L** represents the 50th percentile (median) for all watersheds in-stream hardness values through the Ozark Highlands Ecoregion.

Acute WQS:	750 ÷ 1 = 750 µg/L	[Total Recoverable Conversion]
Acute WLA:	C_e = ((3.25 + 0.111)*750 – (0.111 * 0.0)) ÷ 3.25 = 775.6 µg/L	
LTA_a:	775.6 (0.281) = 218.2 µg/L	[CV = 0.7, 99th Percentile]
MDL:	218.2 (3.555) = **775.6** µg/L	[CV = 0.7, 99th Percentile]
AML:	218.2 (1.65) = **360** µg/L	[CV = 0.7, 95th Percentile, n = 4]

- **Cadmium, Total Recoverable**. Monitoring only requirements have been included in this permit. An RPA was conducted based on the current WQS and determined that there may be reasonable potential to violate the water quality standard for Cadmium, please see **Appendix – RPA Results.** However, due to the limited dataset and the three data points observed being below the water quality standard, monitoring is being required to provide the Department with sufficient data upon renewal to determine if limits are necessary**.** This determination will be reassessed at the time of renewal.

- **Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination**. Monitoring only requirements have been included in this permit. An RPA was conducted based on the current WQS and determined that there may be reasonable potential to violate the water quality standard for Cyanide, please see **Appendix – RPA Results.** However, due to the limited dataset and the three data points observed being below the water quality standard, monitoring is being required to provide the Department with sufficient data upon renewal to determine if limits are necessary**.** This determination will be reassessed at the time of renewal.

- **Selenium, Total Recoverable**. Monitoring only requirements have been included in this permit. An RPA was conducted based on the current WQS and determined that there may be reasonable potential to violate the water quality standard for Selenium, please see **Appendix – RPA Results.** However, due to the limited dataset and the three data points observed being below the water quality standard, monitoring is being required to provide the Department with sufficient data upon renewal to determine if limits are necessary**.** This determination will be reassessed at the time of renewal.

- **Silver, Total Recoverable**. Monitoring only requirements have been included in this permit. An RPA was conducted based on the current WQS and determined that there may be reasonable potential to violate the water quality standard for Silver, please see **Appendix – RPA Results.** However, due to the limited dataset and the three data points observed being below the water quality standard, monitoring is being required to provide the Department with sufficient data upon renewal to determine if limits are necessary**.** This determination will be reassessed at the time of renewal.

- **Zinc, Total Recoverable**. Monitoring only requirements have been included in this permit. An RPA was conducted based on the current WQS and determined that there may be reasonable potential to violate the water quality standard for Zinc, please see **Appendix – RPA Results.** However, due to the limited dataset and the three data points observed being below the water quality standard, monitoring is being required to provide the Department with sufficient data upon renewal to determine if limits are necessary**.** This determination will be reassessed at the time of renewal.

- **Total Phosphorus**.

  - ✓ To Table Rock Lake and Lake Taneycomo, 0.5 mg/L per 10 CSR 20-7.015 (3).

- **Total Nitrogen (Table A-1)**. Effluent monitoring for Total Nitrogen is required per 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(B).

- **Total Nitrogen (Table A-2)**. The NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.45(d) require that all permit limits be expressed, unless impracticable, as both average monthly limits and maximum daily limits for all dischargers other than publicly owned treatment works (POTWs), and as average weekly limits and average monthly limits for POTWs.

  In the March 3, 2004 EPA Memorandum with the subject of; Annual Permit Limits for Nitrogen and Phosphorus for Permits Designed to Protect Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries from Excess Nutrient Loading under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, the Office of Wastewater Management cautioned that the steady-state statistical procedures described in EPA's Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (TSD) were not applicable or appropriate for developing nutrient limits for the main stem of Chesapeake Bay and its tribal tributaries. The memo stated that developing permit limits for nutrients affecting Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries is different from setting limits for toxic pollutants because the exposure period of concern for nutrients is longer than one month, and can be up to a few years, and the average exposure rather than the maximum exposure is of concern. The statistical derivation procedure described in the TSD for acute and chronic aquatic life protection is not applicable to exposure periods more than 30 days (see TSD page 105). The Office of Wastewater Management

concluded that due to the characteristics of nutrient loading and its effects on the water quality in Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries and because the derivation of appropriate daily, weekly or monthly limits is not possible for the reasons described above, that it is therefore "impracticable" to express permit effluent limitations as daily maximum, weekly average, or monthly average effluent limitations. Therefore the Department has determined that the WLA provided in the TMDL will be applied as an Average Monthly Limit (AML) in concentration and also as a Maximum Daily Load (MDL) in lbs. Due to the long term effects of nutrients on streams, an Annual Total Limit (ATL), an Annual Average Goal (AAG), and a Monthly Average and Monthly Total monitoring only requirements applied. These values is consistent with the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL.

Total Nitrogen Annual Average Goal: The TN goal listed in the permit is not to be considered as an effluent limit for this permit, it is incorporated to further encourage reductions in the watershed. Nutrient credits cannot be purchased for meeting goals, however they can be purchased for meeting a permitted limit.

AAG = WLA = **10 mg/L**
ATL = MDL x 365 days
Concentration to Mass formula: Mass (lbs./day) = concentration (mg/L) x Flow (MGD) x Conversion Factor
MDL = 10 mg/L x 2.1 MGD x 8.34 = 175.14 lbs./day
ATL = 175.14 lbs./day x 365 days = **63,926.10 lbs.**

Annual Nitrogen Point Source Credits: Permittees may purchase available credits from other permittees located within the designated trading zone to meet the mass-based TN and/or TP limits within their permit. Permittees may also sell available credits to other permittees located within the designated trading zone for the other permittees to meet the mass-based TN and/or TP limits within their permit. TN credits can only be used to meet mass-based TN limits.

Sampling Frequency Justification: The Department has determined that previously established sampling and reporting frequency is sufficient to characterize the facility's effluent and be protective of water quality. Aluminum was increased from quarterly monitoring to monthly limits due to finding of reasonable potential to violate the water quality standard. Cadmium, Cyanide, Selenium, Silver, and Zinc were set to quarterly monitoring to provide the Department with sufficient data upon renewal. Weekly sampling is required for Total Phosphorus, Ammonia, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nitrite, and Total Nitrogen to ensure that adequate data is collected to ensure that the discharge is protective of the TMDL limits. Weekly sampling is required for *E. coli*, per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)7.A.

Sampling Type Justification: As per 10 CSR 20-7.015, samples collected for mechanical plants shall be a 24 hour composite sample. Grab samples, however, must be collected for pH, *E. coli*, Oil & Grease, and Cyanide in accordance with recommended analytical methods. For further information on sampling and testing methods please review 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D) 2.

PERMITTED FEATURE INF – INFLUENT MONITORING
The monitoring requirements established in the below Monitoring Requirements Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including the monitoring requirements listed in this table.

CHANGES TO INFLUENT MONITORING:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Ammonia as N	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/month	monthly	C
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/month	monthly	C
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/month	monthly	C
Nitrite + Nitrate	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/month	monthly	C

* - Monitoring requirement only.
*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.
**** - C = Composite

Basis for Limitations Codes:
1. State or Federal Regulation/Law
2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)
3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
4. Antidegradation Review
5. Antidegradation Policy
6. Water Quality Model
7. Best Professional Judgment
8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL
9. WET Test Policy
10. Multiple Discharger Variance
11. Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan

Influent Parameters

- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_5) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS)**. An influent sample is required to determine the removal efficiency. In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133, removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to BOD_5 and TSS for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals.

- **Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrite + Nitrate, and Ammonia**. Influent monitoring for Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrite + Nitrate, and Ammonia required per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)8.

Sampling Frequency Justification: The sampling and reporting frequencies for Total Phosphorus and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrite + Nitrate, and Ammonia parameters were established to match the required sampling frequency of these parameters in the effluent, per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)8. The sampling and reporting frequencies for influent BOD_5 and TSS have been established to match the required sampling frequency of these parameters in the effluent.

Sampling Type Justification: Sample types for influent parameters were established to match the required sampling type of these parameters in the effluent. Samples should be analyzed as soon as possible after collection and/or properly preserved according to method requirements.

PERMITTED FEATURE SM2 – INSTREAM MONITORING (DOWNSTREAM)
The monitoring requirements established in the below Monitoring Requirements Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including the monitoring requirements listed in this table.

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Total Hardness	mg/L	1, 3	*		*	***	1/month	monthly	G

* - Monitoring requirement only.
**** - G = Grab
*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

Basis for Limitations Codes:

1. State or Federal Regulation/Law
2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)
3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
4. Antidegradation Review
5. Antidegradation Policy
6. Water Quality Model
7. Best Professional Judgment
8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL
9. WET Test Policy
10. Multiple Discharger Variance
11. Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan

PERMITTED FEATURE SM2 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

- **Total Hardness**. Monitoring only requirement as the metals parameters contained in the permit are hardness based. This data will be used in the next permit renewal.

Sampling Frequency Justification: The sampling and reporting frequency for Total Hardness has been established to match the required sampling frequency of the metals parameters in the effluent.

Sampling Type Justification: For the purposes of instream data collection, and as the downstream water quality should be consistent over a 24 hour period, grab samples are sufficient. Samples should be analyzed as soon as possible after collection and/or properly preserved according to method requirements.

OUTFALL #001 – GENERAL CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS:
In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), effluent limitations shall be placed into the permit for those pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any State water quality standard, including State narrative criteria for water quality. The rule further states that pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above a narrative criterion within an applicable State water quality standard, the permit shall contain a numeric effluent limitation to protect that narrative criterion. In order to comply with this regulation, the permit writer will complete reasonable potential determinations on whether the discharge will violate any of the general criteria listed in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). These specific requirements are listed below followed by derivation and discussion (the lettering matches that of the rule itself, under 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)). It should also be noted that Section 644.076.1, RSMo as well as Section D – Administrative Requirements of Standard Conditions Part I of this permit states that it shall be unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri that is in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission.

(A) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. The discharge from this facility is made up of treated domestic wastewater. Based upon review of the Report of Compliance Inspection for the inspection conducted on May 23-24, 2017, no evidence of an excursion of this criterion has been observed by the Department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other information related to the characteristics of the discharge on their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this narrative criterion. Additionally, this facility utilizes tertiary treatment technology and is currently in compliance with the effluent limits that are more stringent than the secondary treatment technology based effluent limits established in this permit and there has been no indication to the Department that the stream has had issues maintaining beneficial uses as a result of this discharge. Based on the information reviewed during the drafting of this permit, these final effluent limitations appear to have protected against the excursion of this criterion in the past. Therefore, the discharge does not have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this criterion.

(B) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.

(C) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.

(D) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life. This permit contains final effluent limitations which are protective of both acute and chronic toxicity for various pollutants that are either expected to be discharged by domestic wastewater facilities or that were disclosed by this facility on the application for permit coverage. Based on the information reviewed during the drafting of this permit, it has been determined if the facility meets final effluent limitations established in this permit, there is no reasonable potential for the discharge to cause an excursion of this criterion.

(E) Waters shall provide for the attainment and maintenance of water quality standards downstream including waters of another state. Please see (D) above as justification is the same.

(F) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water. Please see (D) above as justification is the same.

(G) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering. Please see (D) above as justification is the same.

(H) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.

(I) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247. The discharge from this facility is made up of treated domestic wastewater. No evidence of an excursion of this criterion has been observed by the Department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other information related to the characteristics of the discharge on their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this narrative criterion. Additionally, any solid wastes received or produced at this facility are wholly contained in appropriate storage facilities, are not discharged, and are disposed of offsite. This discharge is subject to Standard Conditions Part III, which contains requirements for the management and disposal of sludge to prevent its discharge. Therefore, this discharge does not have reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this criterion.

Part III – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

✓ The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(40)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(O)].

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(o); 40 CFR Part 122.44(l)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

✓ Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.

- o Information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance (other than revised regulations, guidance, or test methods) and which would have justified the application of a less stringent effluent limitation at the time of permit issuance.

  - **Removal of Upstream Permitted Feature SM1 (Nutrient Monitoring)**. The previous permit had Permitted Feature SM1, which contained upstream monitoring requirements for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen. The Department has made a determination that monitoring of background nutrients is not needed. This permit is still protective of water quality and this determination will be reassessed at the time of renewal. Also, the removal of the permitted feature meets the requirements of the safety clause, as the removal of the permitted feature will not result in a violation of a water quality standard.

- **Total Recoverable Iron**. The facility no longer uses Iron for phosphorus removal, as such, it was determined that there is no reasonable potential to cause an excursion of water quality standards for Iron in the receiving stream, and this parameter was removed from the permit. A Reasonable Potential Analysis was not conducted as the facility has not tested for Iron, as allowed by the previous permit, due to lack of use. This backsliding is justified as there is information available which was not available at the time of the previous permit issuance (facility operations). This new information justifies the removal of the monitoring requirements at the time of permit issuance. Also, the removal of the monitoring requirements also meets the requirements of the safety clause, as the removal of the monitoring requirements will not result in a violation of a water quality standard.

- **Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test**. The previous permit included requirements to conduct an Acute WET test once per year. The permit writer conducted a reasonable potential determination for all anticipated pollutants and established numeric effluent limitations where reasonable potential exists. Also, the facility has passed previous Acute WET tests. The permit writer determined the facility does not have reasonable potential to exceed narrative water quality standards for acute toxicity at this time and the Acute WET testing requirements have been removed from this permit. Acute WET testing is still required to complete the renewal application. This backsliding is justified as there is information available which was not available at the time of the previous permit issuance (previous passing WET tests). This new information justifies the removal of the test at the time of permit issuance. Also, the removal of the test also meets the requirements of the safety clause, as the removal will not result in a violation of a water quality standard.

- **Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test**. The previous permit included requirements to conduct a Chronic WET test once during the permit cycle. The permit writer conducted a reasonable potential determination for all anticipated pollutants and established numeric effluent limitations where reasonable potential exists. Also, the facility has passed a previous Chronic WET test. The permit writer determined the facility does not have reasonable potential to exceed narrative water quality standards for chronic toxicity at this time and the Chronic WET testing requirements have been removed from this permit. Chronic WET testing is still required to complete the renewal application. This backsliding is justified as there is information available which was not available at the time of the previous permit issuance (previous passing WET tests). This new information justifies the removal of the test at the time of permit issuance.

  Also, the removal of the test also meets the requirements of the safety clause, as the removal will not result in a violation of a water quality standard.

- **Oil and Grease**. The permit writer conducted a reasonable potential determination using new DMR data. The previous permit had final effluent limits of 15 mg/L as a daily maximum and 10 mg/L as a monthly average. During the drafting of this permit, the permit writer reviewed DMR data submitted by the permittee. Additionally, no evidence of an excursion of the water quality standard has been observed by the Department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other information related to the characteristics of the discharge on their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the water quality standard. Therefore, the permit writer has made a determination that the discharge does not have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the standard and has removed the final effluent limits from this permit and added monitoring only requirements. This backsliding is justified as there is information available which was not available at the time of the previous permit issuance (new DMR data). This new information justifies the application of a less stringent effluent limitation at the time of permit issuance. Also, the removal of the effluent limit and addition of a monitoring only requirement also meets the requirements of the safety clause, as the revision will not result in a violation of a water quality standard.

o The Department determines that technical mistakes or mistaken interpretations of law were made in issuing the permit under section 402(a)(1)(b).

  ✓ **General Criteria**. The previous permit contained a special condition which described a specific set of prohibitions related to general criteria found in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). In order to comply with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), the permit writer has conducted reasonable potential determinations for each general criterion and established numeric effluent limitations where reasonable potential exists. While the removal of the previous permit special condition creates the appearance of backsliding, since this permit establishes numeric limitations where reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the general criteria exists the permit maintains sufficient effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in order to protect water quality, this permit is equally protective as compared to the previous permit. Therefore, given this new information, and the fact that the previous permit special condition was not consistent with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), an error occurred in the establishment of the general criteria as a special condition of the previous permit. Please see Part II – Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements for more information regarding the reasonable potential determinations for each general criterion related to this facility.

  - The previous permit indicated “There Shall Be No Discharge of Floating Solids or Visible Foam in Other Than Trace Amounts” under each table. The statement was not evaluated against actual site conditions therefore, this general criteria was re-assessed. It was determined that this facility does not discharge solids or foam in amounts which would indicate reasonable potential, therefore the statement was removed. Each general criteria was assessed for this facility.

ANTIDEGRADATION:
In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], for domestic wastewater discharge with new, altered, or expanding discharges, the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. In accordance with Missouri's water quality regulations for antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], degradation may be justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharge after determining the necessity of the discharge. Facilities must submit the antidegradation review request to the Department prior to establishing, altering, or expanding discharges. See https://dnr.mo.gov/document-search/antidegradation-implementation-procedure.

When new, altered, or expanding discharges to the James River Watershed are proposed, special considerations for antidegradation relative to nutrient trading depend on the tier of protection applied to the waterbody. The James River Watershed is a Tier 1 waterbody. The Department's Antidegradation Implementation Procedure explains that TMDLs developed for Tier 1 protection shall be designed to achieve compliance with the water quality criteria. The procedure further explains the minimum effluent requirements include meeting any limits established by a TMDL or limits established under watershed remediation projects.

- ✓ No degradation was proposed in this permit action and no further review necessary. Facility did not apply for authorization to increase pollutant loading or to add additional pollutants to their discharge. A Water Quality Review Sheet was completed in 2005 prior to the Department conducting antidegredation reviews. See the Water Quality Review Sheet appendix and the Derivation and Discussion of Limits section of the Fact Sheet for effluent limits established by the WQRS.

For stormwater discharges, the stormwater BMP chosen for the facility, through the antidegradation analysis performed by the facility, must be implemented and maintained at the facility. Failure to implement and maintain the chosen BMP alternative is a permit violation; see SWPPP.

- ✓ The stormwater outfalls onsite have no industrial exposure.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:
As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(2)(C)], an applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority when a higher level authority is available by submitting information as part of the application to the Department for review and approval, provided it does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.

BIOSOLIDS & SEWAGE SLUDGE:
Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works.

- ✓ Permittee is authorized to land apply biosolids in accordance with Standard Conditions III. If other methods to remove and dispose (landfill, haul to another permitted treatment facility, etc.) of sludge/biosolids are needed and that method is not listed in the current permit, the permittee must modify the operating permit to add any biosolids/sludge disposal method to the facility description of the operating permit. For time sensitive situations, the permittee may contact the Department to see about approval for a one-time removal and disposal of sludge/biosolids that are not identified in the facility description of the operating permit.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:
Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

Facility Performance History:

- ✓ The facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action. This facility was last inspected on May 23-24, 2017. The inspection showed the following unsatisfactory features: laboratory procedures did not conform to Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, the 2016 annual sludge report form was not submitted, and failure to operate and maintain facility. Sufficient response was received regarding corrective actions on July 5, 2017.

CONTINUING AUTHORITY:
Each application for an operating permit shall identify the person, as that term is defined in section 644.016(15), RSMo, that is the owner of, operator of, or area-wide management authority for a water contaminant source, point source, wastewater treatment facility, or sewer collection system. This person shall be designated as the continuing authority and shall sign the application. By doing so, the person designated as the continuing authority acknowledges responsibility for compliance with all permit conditions.

10 CSR 20-6.010(2) establishes preferential levels for continuing authorities: Levels 1 through 5 (with Level 1 as the highest level), and generally requires permits to be issued to a higher preference continuing authority if available. A Level 3, 4, or 5 applicant may constitute a continuing authority by showing that Level 1 and Level 2 authorities are not available; do not have jurisdiction; are forbidden by state statute or local ordinance from providing service to the person; or that the Level 3, 4, or 5 applicant has met one of the requirements listed in paragraphs (2)(C)1.–7. of 10 CSR 20-6.010(2). The seven options in paragraphs (2)(C)1.–7. for a lower-level authority to demonstrate that it is the valid continuing authority are:

1. A waiver from the existing higher authority declining the offer to accept management of the additional wastewater or stormwater;
2. A written statement or a demonstration of non-response from the higher authority;
3. A to-scale map showing all parts of the legal boundary of the facility's property are beyond 2000 feet from the collection (sewer) system operated by the higher preference authority;
4. A proposed connection or adoption charge by the higher authority that would equal or exceed what is economically feasible for the applicant, which may be in the range of one hundred twenty percent (120%) of the applicant's cost for constructing or operating a wastewater treatment system;
5. A proposed service fee on the users of the system by the higher authority that is above what is affordable for existing homeowners in that area;
6. Terms for connection or adoption by the higher authority that would require more than two (2) years to achieve full sewer service; or
7. A demonstration that the terms for connection or adoption by the higher authority are not viable or feasible to homeowners in the area.

Permit applicants that are Levels 3, 4, and 5 must, as part of their application, identify their method of compliance with this regulation. The following are the methods to comply.

- o No higher level authorities are available to the facility;

- o No higher level authorities have jurisdiction;

- o Higher level authorities are forbidden by state statute or local ordinance from providing service to the person;

- o The existing higher level authority is available to the facility, however the facility has proposed the use of a lower preference continuing authority and has submitted one of the following as part of their application provided it does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Clean Water Act or by the Missouri Clean Water Commission. (See Fact Sheet Appendix - Continuing Authority for more information on these options):
  - A waiver from the existing higher authority;
  - A written statement or a demonstration of non-response from the higher authority;
  - A to-scale map showing all parts of the legal boundary of the facility's property are beyond 2000 feet from the collection (sewer) system operated by the higher preference authority;
  - Documentation that the proposed connection or adoption charge by the higher authority would equal or exceed what is economically feasible for the applicant, which may be in the range of one hundred twenty percent (120%) of the applicant's cost for constructing or operating a wastewater treatment system;
  - Documentation that the proposed service fee on the users of the system by the higher authority is above what is affordable for existing homeowners in that area;
  - Documentation that the terms for connection or adoption by the higher authority would require more than two (2) years to achieve full sewer service;
  - A demonstration that the terms for connection or adoption by the higher authority are not viable or feasible to homeowners in the area;

- ✓ The continuing authority listed on the application is a municipality, and therefore a Level 3 Authority. There is no approved Clean Water Act Section 208 plan in Christian County. The applicant has shown that:

  - o A higher level authority is not available to the facility.

ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (EDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a final rule on October 22, 2015, to modernize Clean Water Act reporting for municipalities, industries, and other facilities by converting to an electronic data reporting system. This final rule requires regulated entities and state and federal regulators to use information technology to electronically report data required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program instead of filing paper reports. To comply with the federal rule, the Department is requiring all permittees to begin submitting discharge monitoring data and reports online. In an effort to aid facilities in the reporting of applicable information electronically, the Department has created several new forms including operational control monitoring forms and an I&I location and reduction form. These forms are optional and can be provided upon request to the Department.

Per 40 CFR 127.15 and 127.24, permitted facilities may request a temporary waiver for up to 5 years or a permanent waiver from electronic reporting from the Department. To obtain an electronic reporting waiver, a permittee must first submit an eDMR Waiver Request Form: https://dnr.mo.gov/document-search/electronic-discharge-monitoring-report-waiver-request-form-mo-780-2692. Each facility must make a request. If a single entity owns or operates more than one facility, then the entity must submit a separate request for each facility based on its specific circumstances. An approved waiver is non-transferable.

The Department must review and notify the facility within 120 calendar days of receipt if the waiver request has been approved or rejected [40 CFR 124.27(a)]. During the Department review period as well as after a waiver is granted, the facility must continue submitting a hard-copy of any reports required by their permit. The Department will enter data submitted in hard-copy from those facilities allowed to do so and electronically submit the data to the EPA on behalf of the facility.

✓ The permittee/facility is currently using the eDMR data reporting system.

NUMERIC LAKE NUTRIENT CRITERIA:

✓ This facility discharges into a lake watershed (Table Rock Lake) where numeric lake nutrient criteria are applicable and a TMDL has established nutrient limits.

OPERATOR CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS:
As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], the permittee shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems with population equivalents greater than 200 and are owned or operated by or for municipalities, public sewer districts, counties, public water supply districts, private sewer companies regulated by the Public Service Commission and state or federal agencies.

✓ This facility is required to have a certified operator as it has a population equivalent greater than 200 and is owned or operated by or for a municipality, public sewer district, county, public water supply district, private sewer company regulated by the PSC, state or federal agency.

This facility currently requires a chief operator with a(n) A Certification Level. Please see **Appendix - Classification Worksheet**. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

Operator's Name: Jason Jameson
Certification Number: 14261
Certification Level: WW-A

The listing of the operator above only signifies that staff drafting this operating permit have reviewed appropriate Department records and determined that the name listed on the operating permit application has the correct and applicable Certification Level.

OPERATIONAL CONTROL TESTING:
Missouri Clean Water Commission regulation 10 CSR 20-9.010 requires certain publicly owned treatment works and privately owned facilities regulated by the Public Service Commission to conduct internal operational control monitoring to further ensure proper operation of the facility and to be a safeguard or early warning for potential plant upsets that could affect effluent quality. This requirement is only applicable if the publicly owned treatment works and privately owned facilities regulated by the Public Service Commission has a calculated Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200).

10 CSR 20-9.010(3) allows the Department to modify the monitoring frequency required in the rule based upon the Department's judgement of monitoring needs for process control at the specified facility.

✓ As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4))], the facility is required to conduct operational monitoring. These operational monitoring reports are to be submitted to the Department along with the MSOP discharge monitoring reports.

- The facility is a mechanical plant and is required to conduct operational control monitoring as follows:

Operational Monitoring Parameter*	Frequency
Precipitation	Daily (M-F)
Flow – Influent or Effluent	Daily (M-F)
pH – Influent	Daily (M-F)
Temperature (Aeration basin)	Daily (M-F)
TSS – Influent	Weekly
TSS – Mixed Liquor	Weekly

Operational Monitoring Parameter*	Frequency
Settleability – Mixed Liquor	Daily (M-F)
Dissolved Oxygen – Mixed Liquor	Daily (M-F)
Temperature – Mixed Liquor (sample contact and reaeration basins for contact stabilization)	Daily (M-F)

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

✓ The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL (RP):
Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] and State Regulation [10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(A)2] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any given pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

A reasonable potential analysis (RPA) is a numeric RP decision calculated using effluent data provided by the facility for parameters that have a numeric Water Quality Standard (WQS).

Reasonable potential determinations (RPD) are based on physical conditions of the site as provided in Sections 3.1.2, 3.1.3, and 3.2 of the TSD using best professional judgement. An RPD consists of evaluating visual observations for compliance with narrative criteria, non-numeric information, or small amounts of numerical data (such as 3 data points supplied in the application). Narrative criteria with RP typically translate to a numeric WQS, so a parameter's establishment being based on narrative criteria does not necessarily make the decision an RPD vs RP—how the data is collected does, however.
When insufficient data is received to make a determination on RP based on numeric effluent data, the RPD decisions are based on best professional judgment considering the sources of influent wastewater, type of treatment, and historical overall management of the site.

✓ An RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see **APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS.**

✓ A RPD was made for Cadmium, Cyanide, Selenium, Silver, and Zinc that a potential to violate water quality standards exists. Please see Derivation and Discussion of Limits.

✓ A RPD was made for Oil & Grease that a potential to violate water quality standards does not exist. Please see Derivation and Discussion of Limits.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:
Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD_5) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals.

✓ Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) AND INFLOW AND INFILTRATION (I&I):
Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as untreated sewage releases and are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(12)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSOs result from a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that can either allow wastewater to backup within the collection system during dry weather conditions or allow excess stormwater and groundwater to enter and overload the collection system during wet weather conditions. SSOs can also result from lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs include overflows out of manholes, cleanouts, broken pipes, and other into waters of the state and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations. Inflow and Infiltration (I&I) is defined as unwanted intrusion of stormwater or groundwater into a collection system. This can occur from points of direct connection such as sump pumps, roof drain downspouts, foundation drains, and storm drain cross-connections or through cracks, holes, joint failures, faulty line connections, damaged manholes, and other openings in the collection system itself. I&I results from a variety of causes including line breaks, improperly sealed connections, cracks caused by soil erosion/settling, penetration of vegetative roots, and other sewer defects. In addition, excess stormwater and groundwater entering the collection system from line breaks and sewer defects have the potential to negatively impact the treatment facility.

Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(13) mandates that the Department issue permits for discharges of water contaminants into the waters of this state, and also for the operation of sewer systems. Such permit conditions shall ensure compliance with all requirements as established by sections 644.006 to 644.141. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains provisions requiring proper operation and maintenance of all facilities and systems of treatment and control. Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(15) instructs the Department to require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual

waste from all such facilities. To ensure that public health and the environment are protected, any noncompliance which may endanger public health or the environment must be reported to the Department within 24 hours of the time the permittee becomes aware of the noncompliance. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains the reporting requirements for the permittee when bypasses and upsets occur. The permit also contains requirements for permittees to develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permit requires that the permittee submit an annual report to the Department for the previous calendar year that contains a summary of efforts taken by the permittee to locate and eliminate sources of excess I & I, a summary of general maintenance and repairs to the collection system, and a summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system for the upcoming calendar year.

- ✓ At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA's Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs at Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002) or the Departments' CMOM Model located at https://dnr.mo.gov/document-search/capacity-management-operations-maintenance-plan-editable-template. For additional information regarding the Departments' CMOM Model, see the CMOM Plan Model Guidance document at https://dnr.mo.gov/print/document-search/pub2574. The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used to evaluate a collection system's management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium, and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

Per 644.051.4 RSMo, a permit may be issued with a Schedule of Compliance (SOC) to provide time for a facility to come into compliance with new state or federal effluent regulations, water quality standards, or other requirements. Such a schedule is not allowed if the facility is already in compliance with the new requirement, or if prohibited by other statute or regulation. A SOC includes an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit. *See also* Section 502(17) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR §122.2. For new effluent limitations, the permit may include interim monitoring for the specific parameter to demonstrate the facility is not already in compliance with the new requirement.
Per 40 CFR § 122.47(a)(1), 10 CSR 20-7.031(11), and 10 CSR 20-7.015(9), compliance must occur as soon as possible. If the permit provides a schedule for meeting new water quality based effluent limits, a SOC must include an enforceable, final effluent limitation in the permit even if the SOC extends beyond the life of the permit.

A SOC is not allowed:

- For effluent limitations based on technology-based standards established in accordance with federal requirements, if the deadline for compliance established in federal regulations has passed. 40 CFR § 125.3.
- For a newly constructed facility in most cases. Newly constructed facilities must meet applicable effluent limitations when discharge begins, because the facility has installed the appropriate control technology as specified in a permit or antidegradation review. A SOC is allowed for a new water quality based effluent limit that was not included in a previously public noticed permit or antidegradation review, which may occur if a regulation changes during construction.
- To develop a TMDL, UAA, or other study that may result in site-specific criteria or alternative effluent limits. A facility is not prohibited from conducting these activities, but a SOC may not be granted for conducting these activities.

In order to provide guidance to Permit Writers in developing SOCs, and attain a greater level of consistency, on April 9, 2015 the Department issued an updated policy on development of SOCs. This policy provides guidance to Permit Writers on the standard time frames for schedules for common activities, and guidance on factors that may modify the length of the schedule such as a Cost Analysis for Compliance.

- ✓ The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under Interim Effluent Limitation and Final Effluent Limitations were established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(11)]. The facility has been given a schedule of compliance to meet final effluent limits for TN. The twelve year schedule of compliance allowed for this facility should provide adequate time to evaluate operations, obtain an engineering report, hold a bond election, obtain a construction permit and implement upgrades required to meet effluent limits.
- ✓ This permit also contains a one (1) year schedule of compliance to meet final effluent limitations for Aluminum. The one year schedule allowed for this facility should provide adequate time for the facility to evaluation operations and balance chemical dosing for phosphorus removal with phosphorus and Aluminum effluent limitations.

The following suggested milestones can be used by the permittee as a timeline toward compliance with new permit requirements.

Suggested Milestones during the 12 Year Schedule of Compliance for Total Nitrogen

Year	Milestone(s)
1	**Hire engineer and conduct rate survey, submit application for Engineering Report Grant for I&I evaluations**
2	**Implement rate survey recommendations, optimization, I&I work**
3	**Optimization, I&I work**
4	**Optimization, I&I work. Annual report shall detail the permittee's compliance approach to meet final limits (i.e. installation of technology, purchase TN credits, or hybrid including installation of technology and purchase of TN credits)**
5	**Submit renewal application, hold bond election, I&I work**
6	**Submit funding application, submit facility plan/Antidegradation, develop construction permit application, I&I work**
7	**Submit construction permit application, operating permit modification application, technical plans and specifications and summary of design**
8	**Construction permit application review, start construction**
9	**Construction**
10	**Construction, submit renewal application**
11	**Construction**
12	**Construction complete, submit Statement of Work Complete, meet limits**

SEWER EXTENSION AUTHORITY SUPERVISED PROGRAM:

✓ The permittee does not have a Department approved Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

✓ The City of Ozark submitted to the Department a No Exposure Certification for Exclusion from NPDES Stormwater Permitting on April 12, 2023. As a result of the submittal of the certification, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP at this time. This exclusion will be reevaluated at the time of renewal or during a Department inspection.

VARIANCE:

✓ This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(86)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

✓ Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$Ce = \frac{(Qe + Qs)C - (Qs \times Cs)}{(Qe)}$$ (EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5)

Where C = downstream concentration Ce = effluent concentration
Cs = upstream concentration Qe = effluent flow
Qs = upstream flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":
Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

WLA MODELING:

✓ A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

✓ At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility. The previous permit included requirements to conduct an Acute WET test once per year and a Chronic WET test once per permit cycle. The permit writer determined the facility does not have reasonable potential to exceed narrative water quality standards for acute and chronic toxicity at this time and the Acute and Chronic WET testing requirements have been removed from this permit. Acute and Chronic WET testing is still required to complete the renewal application per Special Condition #14.

40 CFR 122.41(M) - BYPASSES:

✓ This facility does not anticipate bypassing.

Part IV – Cost Analysis for Compliance

Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo, when issuing permits under this chapter that incorporate a new requirement for discharges from publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer systems or publicly owned treatment works, or when enforcing provisions of this chapter or the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., pertaining to any portion of a publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer system or [publicly owned] treatment works, the Department of Natural Resources shall make a "finding of affordability" on the costs to be incurred and the impact of any rate changes on ratepayers upon which to base such permits and decisions, to the extent allowable under this chapter and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. This process is completed through a cost analysis for compliance. Permits that do not include new requirements may be deemed affordable.

✓ The Department is required to determine "findings of affordability" because the permit applies to a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for a publicly-owned treatment works.

Cost Analysis for Compliance - The Department has made a reasonable search for empirical data indicating the permit is affordable. The search consisted of a review of Department records that might contain economic data on the community, a review of information provided by the applicant as part of the application, and public comments received in response to public notices of this draft permit. If the empirical cost data was used by the permit writer, this data may consist of median household income, any other ongoing projects that the Department has knowledge, and other demographic financial information that the community provided as contemplated by Section 644. 145.3.

The following table summarizes the results of the cost analysis. See **Appendix – Cost Analysis for Compliance** for detailed information.

Summary Table. Cost Analysis for Compliance Summary for the City of Ozark

Annual Median Household Income (MHI)	Estimated Monthly User Rate	Residential Indicator (User Rate as a Percent of MHI)	Financial Capability Indicator	Financial Burden	Schedule of Compliance Length
$67,144	$56.58	1.01%	2.5	Medium Burden	12 years
Pollution Control Option Selected for Analysis: BNR treatment system with UV disinfection + sampling					
Estimated Present Worth: $33,749,613					

Part V – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

WATER QUALITY STANDARD REVISION:
In accordance with section 644.058, RSMo, the Department is required to utilize an evaluation of the environmental and economic impacts of modifications to water quality standards of twenty-five percent or more when making individual site-specific permit decisions.

- ✓ This operating permit contains a permit requirement for Cadmium, Silver, and Zinc for which water quality criteria has been modified by twenty-five percent or more since the issuance of the previous permit. The approval of these changes by the EPA is environmentally necessary to ensure the criteria are reflective of the most current science available while protecting the water quality standards of the receiving stream without placing needless and overly burdensome requirements on regulated entities. The "Evaluation of Environmental and Economic Impacts of Revised Water Quality Standards and Criteria on a Subbasin Basis" report is available upon request to the Department.

PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:
The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is that all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together will all expire in the same fiscal year. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the Department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future. Renewal applications must continue to be submitted within 180 days of expiration, however, in instances where effluent data from the previous renewal is less than 4 years old, that data may be re-submitted to meet the requirements of the renewal application. If the permit provides a schedule of compliance for meeting new water quality based effluent limits beyond the expiration date of the permit, the time remaining in the schedule of compliance will be allotted in the renewed permit. With permit synchronization, this permit will expire in the 4th Quarter of calendar year 2028.

PUBLIC NOTICE:
The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing. The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit. For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

- ✓ The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from May 26, 2023 to June 26, 2023. As a result of comments received, final effluent limits for ammonia in Tables A-1 and A-2 were changed to retain the limits from the previous operating permit except for the Daily Maximum for February, which is more stringent. Revisions to the Fact Sheet include the Changes to Effluent Limitations Table, the language for ammonia in the derivation and discussion of limits, removal of ammonia from the antibacksliding language, and revision of the antidegradation section of the Fact Sheet to clarify a Water Quality Review Sheet has been conducted for this facility but not an antidegredation review, as this facility commenced discharge prior to the Department conducting antidegradation reviews. As a result of the change to the effluent limits for ammonia, the second Public Notice period was from August 11, 2023 to September 11, 2023. No response received to the second public notice.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: JUNE 30, 2023

COMPLETED BY:

ASHLEY KNEEMUELLER, ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ANALYST
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
OPERATING PERMITS SECTION - DOMESTIC WASTEWATER UNIT
(573) 526-1503
Ashley.Kneemueller@dnr.mo.gov

Appendices

APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET:

Item	Points Possible	Points Assigned
Maximum Population Equivalent (P.E.) served , peak day	1 pt./10,000 PE or major fraction thereof. (Max 10 pts.)	2
Design Flow (avg. day) or peak month's flow (avg. day) whichever is larger	1 pt. / MGD or major fraction thereof. (Max 10 pts.)	2
Effluent Discharge		
Missouri or Mississippi River	0	
All other stream discharges except to losing streams and stream reaches supporting whole body contact recreation	1	
Discharge to lake or reservoir outside of designated whole body contact recreational area	2	
Discharge to losing stream, lake or reservoir area supporting whole body contact recreation	3	
Direct reuse or recycle of effluent	6	
Land Application/Irrigation		
Drip Irrigation	3	
Land application/irrigation	5	
Overland flow	4	
Variation in Raw Wastes (highest level only)		
Variations do not exceed those normally or typically expected	0	
Reoccurring deviations or excessive variations of 100 to 200 percent in strength and/or flow	2	2
Reoccurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200 percent in strength and/or flow	4	
Department-approved pretreatment program	6	
Preliminary Treatment		
STEP systems (operated by the permittee)	3	
Screening and/or comminution	3	3
Grit removal	3	3
Plant pumping of main flow	3	
Flow equalization	5	3
Primary Treatment		
Primary clarifiers	5	
Chemical addition (except chlorine, enzymes)	4	4
Secondary Treatment		
Trickling filter and other fixed film media with or without secondary clarifiers	10	
Activated sludge (including aeration, oxidation ditches, sequencing batch reactors, membrane bioreactors, and contact stabilization)	15	15
Stabilization ponds without aeration	5	
Aerated lagoon	8	
Advanced Lagoon Treatment – Aerobic cells, anaerobic cells, covers, or fixed film	10	
Biological, physical, or chemical	12	12
Carbon regeneration	4	
Total from page **ONE (1)**	----	46

APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET (CONTINUED):

ITEM	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
Solids Handling		
Sludge Holding	5	5
Anaerobic digestion	10	
Aerobic digestion	6	
Evaporative sludge drying	2	
Mechanical dewatering	8	8
Solids reduction (incineration, wet oxidation)	12	
Land application	6	6
Disinfection		
Chlorination or comparable	5	
On-site generation of disinfectant (except UV light)	5	
Dechlorination	2	
UV light	4	4
Required Laboratory Control Performed by Plant Personnel (highest level only)		
Lab work done outside the plant	0	
Push – button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, settleable solids	3	
Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, titrations, solids, volatile content	5	5
More advanced determinations, such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	7	
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph	10	
Total from page **TWO (2)**	----	28
Total from page **ONE (1)**	---	46
Grand Total	---	76

☒ - A: 71 points and greater
☐ - B: 51 points – 70 points
☐ - C: 26 points – 50 points
☐ - D: 0 points – 25 points

APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS:

Parameter	CMC*	RWC Acute*	CCC*	RWC Chronic*	n**	Range max/min	CV***	MF	RP Yes/No
Ammonia as N – Summer (mg/L)	12.1	4.33	1.5	3.11	30.00	1.7/0.05	1.17	2.62	YES
Ammonia as N – Winter (mg/L)	12.1	31.29	2.9	22.44	29.00	8.4/0.05	1.88	3.83	YES
Aluminum, Total Recoverable (µg/L)	750.00	1035.86	n/a	798.87	20	452/50	0.70	2.37	Yes

N/A – Not Applicable
* - Units are (µg/L) unless otherwise noted.
** - If the number of samples is 10 or greater, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent. If the number of samples is < 10, then the default CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.
*** - Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the sample set by the Mean of the same sample set.
RWC – Receiving Water Concentration. It is the concentration of a toxicant or the parameter toxicity in the receiving water after mixing (if applicable).
n – Is the number of samples.
MF – Multiplying Factor. 99% Confidence Level and 99% Probability Basis.
RP – Reasonable Potential. It is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors including, as a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii).

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2). A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request.

APPENDIX – Non-Detect Example Calculations:

Example: Permittee has four samples for Pollutant X which has a method minimum level of 5 mg/L and is to report a Daily Maximum and Monthly Average.

Week 1 = 11.4 mg/L
Week 2 = Non-Detect or <5.0 mg/L
Week 3 = 7.1 mg/L
Week 4 = Non-Detect or <5.0 mg/L

For this example, use subpart (h) - For reporting an average based on a mix of detected and non-detected values (not including *E. coli*), assign a value of "0" for all non-detects for that reporting period and report the average of all the results.

11.4 + 0 + 7.1 + 0 = 18.5 ÷ 4 (number of samples) = 4.63 mg/L.

The Permittee reports a Monthly Average of 4.63 mg/L and a Daily maximum of 11.4 mg/L (Note the < symbol was dropped in the answers).

Example: Permittee has five samples for Pollutant Y that has a method minimum level of 9 µg/L and is to report a Daily Maximum and Monthly Average.

Day 1 = Non-Detect or <9.0 µg/L
Day 2 = Non-Detect or <9.0 µg/L
Day 3 = Non-Detect or <9.0 µg/L
Day 4 = Non-Detect or <9.0 µg/L
Day 5 = Non-Detect or <9.0 µg/L

For this example, use subpart (g) - For reporting an average based on all non-detected values, remove the "<" sign from the values, average the values, and then add the "<" symbol back to the resulting average.

(9 +9 +9 +9 +9) ÷ 5 (number of samples) = <9 µg/L.

The Permittee reports a Monthly Average of <9.0 µg/L (retain the 'less than' symbol) and a Daily Maximum of <9.0 µg/L.

Example: Permittee has four samples for Pollutant Z where the first two tests were conducted using a method with a method minimum level of 4 µg/L and the remaining two tests were conducted using a different method that has a method minimum level of <6 µg/L and is to report a Monthly Average and a Weekly Average.

Week 1 = Non-Detect or <4.0 µg/L
Week 2 = Non-Detect or <4.0 µg/L
Week 3 = Non-Detect or <6.0 µg/L
Week 4 = Non-Detect or <6.0 µg/L

For this example, use subpart (g) - For reporting an average based on all non-detected values, remove the "<" sign from the values, average the values, and then add the "<" symbol back to the resulting average.

(4 + 4 + 6 + 6) ÷ 4 (number of samples) = <5 µg/L. (Monthly)

The facility reports a Monthly Average of <5.0 µg/L and a Weekly Average of <6.0 µg/L.

APPENDIX – Non-Detect Example Calculations (Continued):

Example: Permittee has five samples for Pollutant Z where the first two tests were conducted using a method with a method minimum level of 4 µg/L and the remaining three tests were conducted using a different method that has a method minimum level of <6 µg/L and is to report a Monthly Average and a Weekly Average.

Week 1 = Non-Detect or <4.0 µg/L
Week 2 = Non-Detect or <4.0 µg/L
Week 2 = Non-Detect or <6.0 µg/L
Week 3 = Non-Detect or <6.0 µg/L
Week 4 = Non-Detect or <6.0 µg/L

For this example, use subpart (g) - For reporting an average based on all non-detected values, remove the "<" sign from the values, average the values, and then add the "<" symbol back to the resulting average.

(4 + 4 + 6 + 6 + 6) ÷ 5 (number of samples) = <5.2 µg/L. (Monthly)
(4 + 6) ÷ 2 (number of samples) = <5 µg/L. (Week 2)

The facility reports a Monthly Average of <5.2 µg/L and a Weekly Average of <6.0 µg/L (report highest Weekly Average value)

Example: Permittee has four samples for Pollutant Z where the tests were conducted using a method with a method minimum level of 10 µg/L and is to report a Monthly Average and Daily Maximum. The permit lists that Pollutant Z has a Department determined Minimum Quantification Level (ML) of 130 µg/L.

Week 1 = 12 µg/L
Week 2 = 52 µg/L
Week 3 = Non-Detect or <10 µg/L
Week 4 = 133 µg/L

For this example, use subpart (h) - For reporting an average based on a mix of detected and non-detected values (not including *E. coli*), assign a value of "0" for all non-detects for that reporting period and report the average of all the results.

For this example, (12 + 52 + 0 + 133) ÷ 4 (number of samples) = 197 ÷ 4 = 49.3 µg/L.

The facility reports a Monthly Average of 49.3 µg/L and a Daily Maximum of 133 µg/L.

Example: Permittee has five samples for *E. coli* which has a method minimum level of 1 #/100mL and is to report a Weekly Average (seven (7) day geometric mean) and a Monthly Average (thirty (30) day geometric mean).

Week 1 = 102 #/100mL
Week 2 (Monday) = 400 #/100mL
Week 2 (Friday) = Non-Detect or <1 #/100mL
Week 3 = 15 #/100mL
Week 4 = Non-Detect or <1 #/100mL

For this example, use subpart (i) - When E. coli is not detected above the method minimum level, the permittee must report the data qualifier signifying less than detection limit for that parameter (e.g., <1 #/100mL, if the method minimum level is 1 #/100mL). For reporting a geometric mean based on a mix of detected and non-detected values, use one-half of the detection limit (instead of zero) for non-detects when calculating geometric means. The Geometric Mean is calculated by multiplying all of the data points and then taking the nth root of this product, where n = # of samples collected.

The Monthly Average (30 day Geometric Mean) = 5th root of (102)(400)(0.5)(15)(0.5) = 5th root of 153,000 = 10.9 #/100mL.
The 7 day Geometric Mean = 2nd root of (400)(0.5) = 2nd root of 200 = 14.1 #/100mL. (Week 2)

The Permittee reports a Monthly Average (30 day Geometric Mean) of 10.9 #/100mL and a Weekly Average (7 day geometric mean) of 102 #/100mL (report highest Weekly Average value)

APPENDIX – COST ANALYSIS FOR COMPLIANCE:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Cost Analysis for Compliance
(In accordance with RSMo 644.145)

Ozark WWTF & Elk Valley WWTF, Permit Renewals
City of Ozark
Missouri State Operating Permits #MO-0099163 & #MO-0133671

Section 644.145 RSMo requires the Department of Natural Resources (Department) to make a "finding of affordability" when "issuing permits under" or "enforcing provisions of" state or federal clean water laws "pertaining to any portion of a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for publicly-owned treatment works." This cost analysis does not dictate that the permittee will upgrade their facility, or how the permittee will comply with new permit requirements. The results of this analysis are used to determine an adequate compliance schedule for the permit that may mitigate the financial burden of new permit requirements.

New Permit Requirements – Ozark WWTF
The permit requires compliance with new effluent limitations for Total Nitrogen, which may require the design, construction, and operation of a different treatment technology. The cost assumptions in this analysis anticipate complete replacement of the existing treatment facility. For this analysis, the Department has selected the mechanical treatment technology that could be the most practical solution to meet the new requirements for the community. The permit requires compliance with new effluent limitations for Aluminum, and this analysis assumes operational changes will be sufficient to address the new limits via adjustment to chemical dosing for phosphorus removal.

The permit also requires compliance with new effluent monitoring requirements for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nitrite, Cadmium, Cyanide, Selenium, Silver, and Zinc, and increased monitoring requirements for Aluminum and Total Nitrogen. The permit requires compliance with new influent monitoring requirements for Ammonia, Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, and Nitrate + Nitrite.

New Permit Requirements – Elk Valley WWTF
The permit requires compliance with new effluent limitations for Total Nitrogen, which may require the design, construction, and operation of a different treatment technology. The cost assumptions in this analysis anticipate complete replacement of the existing treatment facility. For this analysis, the Department has selected the mechanical treatment technology that could be the most practical solution to meet the new requirements for the community.

The permit also requires compliance with new effluent monitoring requirements for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nitrite, Cadmium, Cyanide, Silver, Zinc, and Chronic WET testing, and increased monitoring requirements for Total Nitrogen. The permit requires compliance with new influent monitoring requirements for Ammonia, Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, and Nitrate + Nitrite and increase monitoring requirements for Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$ and Total Suspended Solids.

Flow and Connections
The size of the facility evaluated for upgrades was chosen based on the permitted design flow. If significant population growth is expected in the community, or if a significant portion of the flow is due to inflow and infiltration, then the flows and resulting estimated costs used in a facility plan prepared by a consulting engineer may differ. The number of connections was reported by the permittee on the Financial Questionnaire.

Ozark WWTF		**Elk Valley WWTF**	
Flow Evaluated: 2.1 MGD		**Flow Evaluated: 1.0 MGD**	
Connection Type	**Number**	**Connection Type**	**Number**
Residential	5,824	Residential	1,071
Commercial	672	Commercial	63
Industrial	1	Industrial	0
Ozark WWTF Total	**6,497**	**Elk Valley WWTF Total**	**1,134**
		City Total	**7,631**

Data Collection for this Analysis
This cost analysis is based on data available to the Department as provided by the permittee and data obtained from readily available sources. For the most accurate analysis, it is essential that the permittee provides the Department with current information about the City's financial and socioeconomic situation. The financial questionnaire available to permittees on the Department's website (https://dnr.mo.gov/document-search/financial-questionnaire-mo-780-2511) is a required attachment to the permit renewal application. If the financial questionnaire is not submitted with the renewal application, the Department sends a request to complete the form with the welcome correspondence. If certain data was not provided by the permittee to the Department and the data is not obtainable through readily available sources, this analysis will state that the information is "unknown".

The Department estimates the cost for reconstruction of a treatment plant using a software program from Hydromantis[1] titled CapdetWorks. CapdetWorks is a preliminary design and costing software program for wastewater treatment plants utilizing national indices, such as the Marshall and Swift Index and Engineering News Records Cost Index, to price the development of capital, operating, maintenance, material, and energy costs for various treatment technologies. The program works from national indices; therefore, estimated costs will vary from actual costs, as each community is unique in its budget commitments and treatment design. Because the methods used to derive the analysis estimate costs that tend to be greater than actual costs associated with an upgrade, it reflects a conservative estimate anticipated for a community. The overestimation of costs is due to the fact that it is unknown by the Department what existing equipment and structures will be reused in the upgraded facility before an engineer completes a facility design. For questions associated with CapdetWorks, please contact the Department's Engineering Section at (573) 751-6621.

Eight Criteria of 644.145 RSMo
The Department must consider the eight (8) criteria presented in subsection 644.145 RSMo to evaluate the cost associated with new permit requirements.

(1) A community's financial capability and ability to raise or secure necessary funding;

Criterion 1 Table. Current Financial Information for the City of Ozark	
Current Monthly User Rates per 5,000 gallons*	$42.00
Municipal Bond Rating (if applicable)	A+
Bonding Capacity**	$23,808,019
Median Household Income (MHI) [2]	$67,144
Current Annual Operating Costs (excludes depreciation)	$3,464,910
Current Outstanding Debt for the Facility	$7,700,000
Amount within the Current User Rate Used toward Payments on Outstanding Debt Related to the Current Wastewater Infrastructure	$8.43

* User Rates were obtained from the 2022 Missouri Public Utility Alliance Water and Wastewater Rate Survey.
** General Obligation Bond capacity allowed by constitution: Cities = up to 20% of taxable tangible property; Sewer districts or villages = up to 5% of taxable tangible property

(2) Affordability of pollution control options for the individuals or households at or below the median household income level of the community;

The cost estimates located within this document are for the construction of complete replacement of both of the existing systems with biological nutrient removal as the most practical to facilitate compliance with new permit requirements.

Cost Estimate Assumptions:
- Total Present Worth includes a five percent interest rate to construct and perform annual operation and maintenance of the new treatment plant over the term of the loan, which is 20 years for the mechanical plant option.
- Capital Cost includes design, construction, inspection, and contingency costs from CapdetWorks.
- Operation and maintenance (O&M) includes operations, maintenance, materials, chemical, and electrical costs for the facility on an annual basis. It includes items that are expected to be replaced during operations, such as pumps and is estimated between 15% and 45% of the user rate.
- Estimated user costs per 5,000 gallons per month are calculated using equations that account for debt retirement and annualized operation and maintenance costs over the life of the treatment facility. Estimated user costs are not added to the community's current user rate because they estimate total replacement of the facility.

The following tables outlines the estimated costs of the new permit requirements:

Criterion 2A Table. Estimated Cost Breakdown of New Permit Requirements – Ozark WWTF			
New Requirement	Frequency	Estimated Cost	Estimated Annual Cost
Total Phosphorus – Influent	Monthly	$26 x 12	$312
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen - Influent	Monthly	$35 x 12	$420
Nitrate + Nitrite - Influent	Monthly	$44 x 12	$528
Ammonia - Influent	Monthly	$22 x 12	$264
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen - Effluent	Weekly	$35 x 52	$1,820
Nitrate + Nitrite - Effluent	Weekly	$44 x 52	$2,288
Total Nitrogen§	Weekly	--	--
Total Recoverable Aluminum	Monthly £	$22 x 8	$176
Total Recoverable Cadmium	Quarterly	$22 x 4	$88
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination	Quarterly	$52 x 4	$208
Total Recoverable Selenium	Quarterly	$22 x 4	$88
Total Recoverable Silver	Quarterly	$22 x 4	$88
Total Recoverable Zinc	Quarterly	$22 x 4	$88
Total metal concentration analysis	Monthly	$13 x 12	$156
Downstream Hardness – SM2	Monthly	$47 x 12	$564
Total Estimated Annual Cost of New Sampling and Permit Requirements			$7,088

£ - previous permit required quarterly frequency
§ - TN is equal to the calculated value of TKN plus Nitrate + Nitrite, sample cost is zero as cost of TKN and Nitrate + Nitrite already listed.

Criterion 2B Table. Estimated Cost Breakdown of New Permit Requirements – Elk Valley WWTF			
New Requirement	Frequency	Estimated Cost	Estimated Annual Cost
Total Phosphorus – Influent	Monthly	$26 x 12	$312
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen - Influent	Monthly	$35 x 12	$420
Nitrate + Nitrite - Influent	Monthly	$44 x 12	$528
Ammonia - Influent	Monthly	$22 x 12	$264
BOD_5 - Influent	Monthly £	$44 x 8	$352
TSS - Influent	Monthly £	$17 x 8	$136
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen – Effluent ϕ	Weekly	$35 x 52	$1,820
Nitrate + Nitrite - Effluent ϕ	Weekly	$44 x 52	$2,288
Total Recoverable Cadmium	Quarterly	$22 x 4	$88
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination	Quarterly	$52 x4	$208
Total Recoverable Silver	Quarterly	$22 x 4	$88
Total Recoverable Zinc	Quarterly	$22 x 4	$88
Chronic WET test	Once per permit cycle	$2,040 ÷ 5	$408
Total Estimated Annual Cost of New Sampling and Permit Requirements			$7,000

£ - previous permit required quarterly frequency
ϕ - previous permit required weekly TN. Permit now requires TN to be calculated. TN is equal to the calculated value of TKN plus Nitrate + Nitrite, change to sample cost is the cost of TKN and Nitrate + Nitrite as listed.

Mechanical Plant Pollution Control Option Cost Estimates:
For the mechanical plant option, the Department has estimated costs for a BNR treatment system with UV disinfection. Sludge handling and sludge treatment are included in the capital, operations, maintenance, and present worth cost estimations. New sampling costs are also included in the following cost estimations.

Criterion 2C Table. Estimated Costs for Mechanical Plant Pollution Control Option		
(1)	Estimated Total Present Worth – Ozark WWTF	$20,416,746
	Estimated Capital Cost – Ozark WWTF	$13,422,667
	Estimated Annual Cost of Operation and Maintenance – Ozark WWTF	$561,223
	Estimated Total Present Worth – Elk Valley WWTF	$13,332,867
	Estimated Capital Cost – Elk Valley WWTF	$8,260,000
	Estimated Annual Cost of Operation and Maintenance – Elk Valley WWTF	$407,060
	Estimated Monthly Cost Per User*	$48.00
	Estimated Monthly Cost of New Sampling and Permit Requirements Per User *	$0.15
(2)	Current Monthly Debt Retirement Amount Per User	$8.43
(3)	Total Monthly User Cost**	$56.58
	Total Monthly User Cost as a Percent of MHI [3]	1.01%

* Includes costs from both plants.
** Estimated Monthly Costs for New Permit Requirements + Debt Retirement Amount

(3) An evaluation of the overall costs and environmental benefits of the control technologies;

An investment in wastewater treatment will provide several social, environmental, and economic benefits. Improved wastewater provides benefits such as avoided health costs due to water-related illness, enhanced environmental ecosystem quality, and improved natural resources. The preservation of natural resources has been proven to increase the economic value and sustainability of the surrounding communities. Maintaining Missouri's water quality standards fulfills the goal of restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the receiving stream; and, where attainable, it achieves a level of water quality that provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, wildlife, and recreation in and on the water.

Nutrient Limits

Nutrients are mineral compounds that are required for organisms to grow and thrive. Of the six (6) elemental macronutrients, nitrogen and phosphorus are generally not readily available and limit growth of organisms. Excess nitrogen and phosphorus will cause a shift in the ecosystem's food web. Once excess nitrogen and phosphorus are introduced into a waterbody, some species' populations will dramatically increase, while other populations will not be able to sustain life. Competition and productivity are two factors in which nutrients can alter aquatic ecosystems and the designated uses of a waterbody. For example, designated uses, such as drinking water sources and recreational uses, become impaired when algal blooms take over a waterbody. These blooms can cause foul tastes and odors in the drinking water, unsightly appearance, and fish mortality in the waterbody. Some algae also produce toxins that may cause serious adverse health conditions such as liver damage, tumor promotion, paralysis, and kidney damage. The effluent limits for nitrogen and phosphorus have been added to the permit to protect the health of the receiving stream's aquatic life. A healthy ecosystem is beneficial as it provides reduced impacts on human and aquatic health as well as recreational opportunities.

TMDL Limits

Effluent limits have been added or revised in the permit to protect the health of the receiving stream. These limits have been established based on the approved total maximum daily load (TMDL) for the receiving stream. The TMDL is the calculation of the maximum amount of a specific pollutant that a water body can absorb and still meet water quality standards. Missouri's water quality standards establish pollutant limits to protect drinking water supply, fishing, swimming, aquatic life and other designated uses. When waterbodies fail to meet the water quality standards, they are considered impaired waters. The federal Clean Water Act requires states to develop TMDLs for all waters on the 303(d) List of Impaired Waters. The calculated TMDL is allocated among the various pollutant sources in the watershed and becomes the goal to restore water quality. Each TMDL document includes allocations of the acceptable load for all pollutant sources. The portion of the load distributed to point sources (e.g., sewage treatment plants) is the wasteload allocation (WLA). Point source discharges are controlled by including water quality-based effluent limits (WQBEL) in permits issued to point source entities. WQBELs are calculated based on the WLAs in the TMDLs.

Metals Monitoring
Metals dissolve in water and are easily absorbed by fish and other aquatic organisms. Small concentrations can be toxic because metals undergo bioconcentration, which means that their concentration in an organism is higher than in water. Metal toxicity produces adverse biological effects on an organism's survival, activity, growth, metabolism, or reproduction. Metals can be lethal or harm the organism without killing it directly. Adverse effects on an organism's activity, growth, metabolism, and reproduction are examples of sub-lethal effects.

In order for a metal to be toxic, it needs to enter the body of the exposed organism and interact with the surface or interior of cells. The pathways by which this happens includes diffusion into the bloodstream via the gills and skin, as fish become exposed by drinking water or eating sediments contaminated with the metal, or eating other animals or plants that became exposed to the metal. Humans become exposed to metals via analogous pathways: diffusion into the bloodstream via the lungs and skin, drinking contaminated water, and eating contaminated food.

The monitoring requirements for metals have been added to the permit to provide data regarding the health of the receiving stream's aquatic life. A healthy ecosystem is beneficial as it provides reduced impacts on human and aquatic health as well as recreational opportunities.

Metals Limits
Metals dissolve in water and are easily absorbed by fish and other aquatic organisms. Small concentrations can be toxic because metals undergo bioconcentration, which means that their concentration in an organism is higher than in water. Metal toxicity produces adverse biological effects on an organism's survival, activity, growth, metabolism, or reproduction. Metals can be lethal or harm the organism without killing it directly. Adverse effects on an organism's activity, growth, metabolism, and reproduction are examples of sub-lethal effects.

In order for a metal to be toxic, it needs to enter the body of the exposed organism and interact with the surface or interior of cells. The pathways by which this happens includes diffusion into the bloodstream via the gills and skin, as fish become exposed by drinking water or eating sediments contaminated with the metal, or eating other animals or plants that became exposed to the metal. Humans become exposed to metals via analogous pathways: diffusion into the bloodstream via the lungs and skin, drinking contaminated water, and eating contaminated food.

The effluent limits for metals have been added to the permit to protect the health of the receiving stream's aquatic life. A healthy ecosystem is beneficial as it provides reduced impacts on human and aquatic health as well as recreational opportunities.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test – Monitoring
The WET Test is a quantifiable method of determining if discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself or in combination with receiving stream water. WET tests are required under 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4 to be performed by specialists properly trained in conducting the test according to 40 CFR 136. This test will help ensure that the existing permit limits are providing adequate protection for aquatic life.

The WET Test monitoring requirement has been added to the permit to provide data regarding the health of the receiving stream's aquatic life. A healthy ecosystem is beneficial as it provides reduced impacts on human and aquatic health as well as recreational opportunities.

(4) Inclusion of ongoing costs of operating and maintaining the existing wastewater collection and treatment system, including payments on outstanding debts for wastewater collection and treatment systems when calculating projected rates:

The community reported that their outstanding debt for their current wastewater collection and treatment systems is $7,700,000. The community reported that each user pays $42.00 monthly, of which, $8.43 is used toward payments on the current outstanding debt.

As shown in Criterion 2, the projected user rate plus the amount of the current user rate used toward payments on outstanding debt is $56.58 for the mechanical treatment option.

(5) An inclusion of ways to reduce economic impacts on distressed populations in the community, including but not limited to low and fixed income populations. This requirement includes but is not limited to:

(a) Allowing adequate time in implementation schedules to mitigate potential adverse impacts on distressed populations resulting from the costs of the improvements and taking into consideration local community economic considerations.

- A schedule of compliance will be provided based on the results of this cost analysis. The schedule of compliance is provided to ensure that the entity has time to reasonably plan for compliance with the new permit requirements. The time provided ensures the entity has time to hire an engineer, develop facility plans, hold community meetings, seek an appropriate funding source, and construct the facility. If it is determined by the permittee that a longer schedule of compliance is necessary due to financial reasons, please contact the Department and request modification of the compliance schedule.

- An integrated plan may be an appropriate option if the community needs to meet other environmental obligations as well as the new requirements within this permit. The integrated plan needs to be well thought out with specific timeframes built into the management plan in which the municipality can reasonably commit. The plan should be designed to allow the municipality to meet Clean Water Act obligations by maximizing infrastructure improvement dollars through the appropriate sequencing of work. For further information on how to develop an integrated plan, please see the Department publication, "Missouri Integrated Planning Framework," at https://dnr.mo.gov/document-search/missouri-integrated-planning-framework-pub2684/pub2684.
- If the permittee can demonstrate that the proposed pollution controls result in substantial and widespread economic and social impact, they may use Factor 6 of the Use Attainability Analysis (UAA) 40 CFR 131.10(g)(6) in the form of a variance. This process is completed by determining the treatment type with the highest attainable effluent quality that would not result in a socio-economic hardship. For more information on variance requests, please visit the Department's water quality standards webpage at https://dnr.mo.gov/water/what-were-doing/water-planning/quality-standards-impaired-waters-total-maximum-daily-loads/standards/variances.

(b) Allowing for reasonable accommodations for regulated entities when inflexible standards and fines would impose a disproportionate financial hardship in light of the environmental benefits to be gained.

- Due to the proximity of several facilities in the area, an opportunity may exist to form a single, regionalized treatment plant. The cities of Ozark, Nixa, and Fremont Hills may wish to consider this option.
- The permittee may apply for State Revolving Fund (SRF) financial support in order to help fund a capital improvements plan. Other loans and grants also exist for which the facility may be eligible. More information can be found on the Department's FAC website at https://dnr.mo.gov/water/business-industry-other-entities/financial-opportunities/financial-assistance-center/wastewater.

The following table characterizes the current overall socioeconomic condition of the community as compared to the overall socioeconomic condition of Missouri. The following information was compiled using the latest U.S. Census data.

Criterion 5 Table. Socioeconomic Data [2, 4-8] for the City of Ozark

No.	Administrative Unit	Ozark City	Missouri State	United States
1	Population (2021)	20,874	6,141,534	329,725,481
2	Percent Change in Population (2000-2021)	116.0%	9.8%	17.2%
3	2021 Median Household Income (in 2022 Dollars)	$67,144	$65,928	$74,545
4	Percent Change in Median Household Income (2000-2021)	11.7%	-1.1%	1.1%
5	Median Age (2021)	33.4	38.8	38.4
6	Change in Median Age in Years (2000-2021)	3.6	2.7	3.1
7	Unemployment Rate (2021)	3.2%	4.5%	5.5%
8	Percent of Population Below Poverty Level (2021)	12.3%	12.8%	12.6%
9	Percent of Household Received Food Stamps (2021)	6.9%	10.1%	11.4%
10	(Primary) County Where the Community Is Located	Christian County		

(6) An assessment of other community investments and operating costs relating to environmental improvements and public health protection;

The community did not report any other investments relating to environmental improvements.

(7) An assessment of factors set forth in the United States Environmental Protection Agency's guidance, including but not limited to the "Combined Sewer Overflow Guidance for Financial Capability Assessment and Schedule Development" that may ease the cost burdens of implementing wet weather control plans, including but not limited to small system considerations, the attainability of water quality standards, and the development of wet weather standards;

The following table characterizes the community's overall financial capability to raise the necessary funds to meet the new permit requirements.

Criterion 7A Table. Financial Capability Indicator

Indicators	Strong (3 points)	Mid-Range (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	Score
Bond Rating Indicator	Above BBB or Baa	BBB or Baa	Below BBB or Baa	3
Overall Net Debt as a % of Full Market Property Value	Below 2%	2% - 5%	Above 5%	2
Unemployment Rate (2021)	Beyond 1% below Missouri average of 4.5%	± 1% of Missouri average of 4.5%	Beyond 1% above Missouri average of 4.5%	3
2021 Median Household Income (in 2021 Dollars)	Beyond 25% above Missouri MHI ($65,928)	± 25% of Missouri MHI ($65,928)	Beyond 25% below Missouri MHI ($65,928)	2
Percent of Population Below Poverty Level (2021)	Beyond 10% below Missouri average of 12.8%	± 10% of Missouri average of 12.8%	Beyond 10% above Missouri average of 12.8%	2
Percent of Household Received Food Stamps (2021)	Beyond 5% below Missouri average of 10.1%	± 5% of Missouri average of 10.1%	Beyond 5% above Missouri average of 10.1%	2
Property Tax Revenues as a % of Full Market Property Value	Below 2%	2% - 4%	Above 4%	3
Property Tax Collection Rate	Above 98%	94% - 98%	Below 94%	3
Total Average Score (Financial Capability Indicator)	--	--	--	2.5

The **Financial Capability Indicator** and the **Residential Indicator** are considered jointly in the Financial Capability Matrix to determine the financial burden that could occur from compliance with the new requirements of the permit.

- Financial Capability Indicator (from Criterion 7): 2.5
- Mechanical Plant Residential Indicator (from Criterion 2): 1.01%

Criterion 7B Table. Financial Capability Matrix

Financial Capability Indicator	Residential Indicator (User Rate as a % of MHI)		
	Low (Below 1%)	Mid-Range (1.0% to 2.0%)	High (Above 2.0%)
Weak (Below 1.5)	Medium Burden	High Burden	High Burden
Mid-Range (1.5 – 2.5)	Low Burden	Medium Burden	High Burden
Strong (Above 2.5)	Low Burden	Medium Burden	High Burden

- Resulting Financial Burden for Mechanical Plants: Medium Burden

(8) An assessment of any other relevant local community economic conditions.

The community did not report any other relevant local economic conditions.

Conclusion and Finding

As a result of new regulations, the Department is proposing modifications to the current operating permit that may require the permittee to upgrade the facility and construct new control technologies and to increase monitoring. The Department has considered the eight (8) criteria presented in subsection 644.145 RSMo to evaluate the cost associated with the new permit requirements.

The Department finds that complete replacement of both the Ozark WWTF and Elk Valley WWTF plants with two BNR treatment systems with UV disinfection is the most practical and affordable option for the City of Ozark. The construction and operation of two BNR treatment systems with UV disinfection will ensure that the individuals within the community will not be required to make unreasonable sacrifices in their essential lifestyle or spending patterns or undergo hardships in order to make the projected monthly payments for sewer connections.

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.47(a)(1) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(11), compliance must occur as soon as possible; therefore, based on this analysis, the permit holder has received a **twelve (12)** year schedule of compliance for the design and construction of two BNR treatment systems with UV disinfection. The following suggested milestones can be used by the permittee as a timeline toward compliance with new permit requirements. Once the permit holder's engineer has completed facility design with actual costs associated with permit compliance, it may be necessary for the permit holder to request additional time within the schedule of compliance. The Department is committed to review all requests for additional time in the schedule of compliance where adequate justification is provided.

Suggested Milestones during the 12 Year Schedule of Compliance

Year	Milestone(s)
1	**Hire engineer and conduct rate survey, submit application for Engineering Report Grant for I&I evaluations**
2	**Implement rate survey recommendations, optimization, I&I work**
3	**Optimization, I&I work**
4	**Optimization, I&I work. Annual report shall detail the permittee's compliance approach to meet final limits (i.e. installation of technology, purchase TN credits, or hybrid including installation of technology and purchase of TN credits)**
5	**Submit renewal application, hold bond election, I&I work**
6	**Submit funding application, submit facility plan/Antidegradation, develop construction permit application, I&I work**
7	**Submit construction permit application, operating permit modification application, technical plans and specifications and summary of design**
8	**Construction permit application review, start construction**
9	**Construction**
10	**Construction, submit renewal application**
11	**Construction**
12	**Construction complete, submit Statement of Work Complete, meet limits**

The Department is committed to reassessing the cost analysis for compliance at renewal to determine if the initial schedule of compliance will accommodate the socioeconomic data and financial capability of the community at that time. Because each community is unique, the Department wants to make sure that each community has the opportunity to consider all options and tailor solutions to best meet their needs. The Department understands the economic challenges associated with achieving compliance, and is committed to using all available tools to make an accurate and practical finding of affordability for Missouri communities. If the community is interested in the funding options available to them, please contact the Financial Assistance Center for more information. https://dnr.mo.gov/water/business-industry-other-entities/financial-opportunities/financial-assistance-center/wastewater.

This determination is based on readily available data and may overestimate the financial impact on the community. The community's facility plan that is submitted as a part of the construction permit process includes a discussion of community details, what the community can afford, existing obligations, future growth potential, an evaluation of options available to the community with cost information, and a discussion on no-discharge alternatives. The cost information provided through the facility plan process, which is developed by the community and their engineer, is more comprehensive of the community's individual factors in relation to selected treatment technology and costing information.

References

1. http://www.hydromantis.com/
2. (A) 2021 MHI in 2021 Dollar: United States Census Bureau. 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B19013: Median Household Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2021 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars). https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=B19013&tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B19013.
(B) 2000 MHI in 1999 Dollar: (1)For United States, United States Census Bureau (2003) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, PHC-2-1 Part 1. United States Summary, Table 5. Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000, Washington, DC. https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2003/dec/phc-2-1-pt1.pdf.
(2) For Missouri State, United States Census Bureau (2003) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, PHC-2-27, Missouri, Table 10. Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000, Washington, DC. https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2003/dec/phc-2-1-pt1.pdf.
(C) 2022 CPI, 2021 CPI and 1999 CPI: U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics (2022) Consumer Price Index - All Urban Consumers, U.S. City Average. All Items. 1982-84=100 (unadjusted) - CUUR0000SAO. https://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/surveymost?bls.
(D) 2021 MHI in 2022 Dollar = 2021 MHI in 2021 Dollar x 2022 CPI /2021 CPI; 2000 MHI in 2021 Dollar = 2000 MHI in 1999 Dollar x 2022 CPI /1999 CPI.
(E) Percent Change in Median Household Income (2000-2021) = (2021 MHI in 2022 Dollar - 2000 MHI in 2022 Dollar) / (2000 MHI in 2022 Dollar).
3. ($56.58/($67,144/12))100% = 1.01% (mechanical + sampling + debt retirement)
4. (A) Total Population in 2021: United States Census Bureau. 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B01003: Total Population - Universe: Total Population. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=B01003&tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B01003.
(B) For United States, United States Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-1 Part 1. United States Summary, Table 1. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC. https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2003/dec/phc-2-1-pt1.pdf.
(2) For Missouri State, United States Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Population and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-27, Missouri, Table 2. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC. https://www2.census.gov/library/publications/2003/dec/phc-2-1-pt2.pdf.
(C) Percent Change in Population (2000-2021) = (Total Population in 2021 - Total Population in 2000) / (Total Population in 2000).
5. Median Age in 2021: United States Census Bureau. 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B01002: Median Age by Sex - Universe: Total population. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=B01002&tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B01002.
(B) For United States, United States Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-1 Part 1. United States Summary, Table 1. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC., Page 2. https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2003/dec/phc-2-1-pt1.pdf.
(2) For Missouri State, United States Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Population and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-27, Missouri, Table 2. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC., Pages 64-92. https://www2.census.gov/library/publications/2003/dec/phc-2-1-pt2.pdf.
(C) Change in Median Age in Years (2000-2021) = (Median Age in 2021 - Median Age in 2000).
6. United States Census Bureau. 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, S2301: Employment Status for the Population 16 Years and Over - Universe: Population 16 years and Over. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=unemployment&tid=ACSST5Y2021.S2301.
7. United States Census Bureau. 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=S1701&tid=ACSST5Y2021.S1701.
8. United States Census Bureau. 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S2201: Food Stamps/Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) - Universe: Households. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=S2201&tid=ACSST5Y2021.S2201.

APPENDIX – WATER QUALITY REVIEW SHEET:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Water Pollution Control Branch
NPDES Permits and Engineering Section

Water Quality Review Sheet

Determination of Effluent Limits

FACILITY INFORMATION

FACILITY NAME: Ozark WWTF NPDES #: MO-0099163

FACILITY TYPE/DESCRIPTION: Extended Aeration, UV disinfection, 2.1 MGD

ECOREGION: Ozark Highlands 8- DIGIT HUC: 11010002 COUNTY: Christian

Central Irregular Plains Interior River Valleys and Hills Ozark Highlands
Mississippi Alluvial & Loess Plains Western Corn Belt Plains

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: NW ¼, NE ¼, Sec. 28, T27N, R21W LATITUDE/LONGITUDE: +3701088/-09314038

WATER QUALITY HISTORY: In compliance

OUTFALL CHARACTERISTICS

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT TYPE	RECEIVING WATERBODY	OTHER
001	3.26	Advanced	Finley Creek	

RECEIVING WATERBODY INFORMATION

WATERBODY	CLASS	7Q10(CFS)	*DESIGNATED USES	OTHER CHARACTERISTICS
Finley Creek	P	0.1	LWW, AQL, WBC, CLF, BTG	WBID: 2352

*Cool Water Fishery (CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Irrigation (IRR), Industrial (IND), Boating & Canoeing (BTG), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Protection of Warm water Aquatic Life and Human Health (AQL), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW)

COMMENTS:

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS

Mixing Zone (MZ). One-quarter (1/4) of the stream volume of flow; length one-quarter (1/4) mile. MZ volume of flow = 0.025 cfs. [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)5.B.(II)(a)]

Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID). One-tenth (0.1) of the mixing zone volume of flow. ZID volume of flow = 0.0025 cfs. [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)5.B.(II)(b)].

PERMIT LIMITS AND INFORMATION

TMDL WATERSHED: (Y OR N) [Y] W.L.A. STUDY CONDUCTED: (Y OR N) [N] DISINFECTION REQUIRED: (Y OR N) [Y] USE ATTAINABILITY ANALYSIS: (Y OR N) [N]

OUTFALL# 001

WET TEST (Y OR N): [Y] FREQUENCY: ONCE/YEAR A.E.C. 100% LIMIT: 10 CSR 20-7. 031(3)(I)

$$\text{A.E.C. \%} = \left(\frac{\text{Design Flow + Zone of Initial Dilution}}{\text{Design Flow}} \right)^{-1} X100$$

PARAMETER	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MONITORING FREQUENCY
FLOW	MONITOR			ONCE/DAY
BOD_5 (MG/L)		15	10	ONCE/WEEK
TSS (MG/L)		20	15	ONCE/WEEK
PH (S.U.)	6-9		6-9	ONCE/WEEK
AMMONIA AS N (MG/L) (MAY 1 – OCT 31)	1.6		0.8	ONCE/WEEK
AMMONIA AS N (MG/L) (NOV 1 – APR 30)	2.8		1.4	ONCE/WEEK
OIL & GREASE (MG/L)	15		10	ONCE/WEEK
FECAL COLIFORM (COLONIES/100 ML)	1000		400	ONCE/WEEK
TOTAL NITROGEN (MG/L)	MONITOR		MONITOR	ONCE/WEEK
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS (MG/L)	MONITOR		0.5	ONCE/WEEK

Please report the date, time, and location for each parameter sampled along with the average daily flow (actual flow measured or estimated, not design flow). All the parameters should be sampled on the same day and within no more than a 2-hour period. Dissolved oxygen (DO) measurements are to be taken during the period from one hour prior to sunrise to one and one-half hour after sunrise. If discharge is contingent to storm events, rainfall should be measured every time there is a discharge.

DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS

Wasteload allocations (WLA) were calculated using water quality criteria and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s * Q_s) + (C_e * Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)}$$ (EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5)

Where C = downstream concentration
C_s = upstream concentration
Q_s = upstream flow (cfs)
C_e = effluent concentration
Q_e = effluent flow (cfs)

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable acute water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow.

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Outfall 001

The city of Ozark has agreed to advanced treatment limits for BOD & TSS at this facility in order to begin design before this Water Quality Review was complete. While these limits are more stringent than normally required, staff believe they will be protective of water quality in the receiving stream. A Wasteload Allocation Study was not conducted on the stream, but it is believed that the limits would have been lower that standard secondary treatment limits.

- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_5)**. 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L weekly average.
- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS)**. 15 mg/L monthly average, 20 mg/L weekly average.
- **pH**. pH shall be maintained in the range from six to nine (6 – 9) standard units [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)(B)2.].
- **Ammonia as Nitrogen**. Ammonia criteria for waters designated as general warm-water fisheries apply [10 CSR 20-7.031, Table B]. Background ammonia as nitrogen for Finley Creek assumed to be 0.01 mg/L.

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia CMC (mg/L)
Summer	28	7.8	1.1	12.2
Winter	6	7.8	2.1	16.4

Summer: May 1 – October 31, Winter: November 1 – April 30

$C_e = ((Q_e + Q_s)C - (Q_s * C_s))/Q_e$

Summer
Conversion of Ammonia criteria in Table B from Total Ammonia to Ammonia as Nitrogen
Ammonia as Nitrogen CCC = 1.1/1.2 = 0.9 mg/L
Ammonia as Nitrogen CMC = 12.2/1.2 = 10.2 mg/L

Chronic
$C_e = ((3.26 + 0.025)0.9 - (.025 * 0.01))/3.26$
$= (2.96-0.0025)/3.26$
$C_e = 0.9$ mg/L
WLA_c = **0.9 mg/L**

Acute
$C_e = ((3.26 + 0.0025)10.2 - (.0025 * 0.01))/3.26$
$= (33.3-0.000025)/3.26$
$C_e = 10.2$ mg/L
$WLA_a = 10.2$ mg/L

$LTA_c = 0.9(0.527)$=**0.5** [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
$LTA_a = 10.2\ (0.321)$=3.3 [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a.

MDL = 0.5(3.11)=**1.6** [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
AML = 0.5(1.55)=**0.8** [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 4]

Winter
Conversion of Ammonia criteria in Table B from Total Ammonia to Ammonia as Nitrogen
Ammonia as Nitrogen CCC = 2.1/1.2 = 1.8 mg/L
Ammonia as Nitrogen CMC = 16.4/1.2 = 13.7 mg/L

Chronic
$C_e = ((3.26 + 0.025)1.8 - (0.025 * 0.01))/3.26$
$= 5.9-0.0025/3.26$
$C_e = 1.8$ mg/L
WLA_c = **1.8 mg/L**

Acute
$C_e = ((3.26 + 0.0025)13.7 – (.0025 * 0.01))/3.26$
$= (43.7\text{-}0.00025)/3.26$
$C_e = 13.7$ mg/L
$WLA_a = 13.7$ mg/L

$LTA_c = 1.8(0.527) = \mathbf{0.9}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
$LTA_a = 13.7(0.321) = 4.4$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a.

MDL = 0.9(3.11)=**2.8** [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
AML = 0.9(1.55)=**1.4** [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 4]

Season	WLA	LTA	Maximum Daily Limit (mg/L)	Average Monthly Limit (mg/L)
Summer	0.9	0.5	1.6	0.8
Winter	1.8	1.0	2.8	1.4

- **Oil & Grease**. Conventional pollutant, effluent limitation for protection of aquatic life; 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L daily maximum.
- **Total Nitrogen** recommend monitoring of Total Nitrogen, as no WLA is provided in the James River TMDL.
- **Total Phosphorus** 0.5 mg/L monthly average, James River TMDL & 10 CSR 20-7.015(3)(G)
- **Fecal Coliform**. During the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), limits of 400 colonies/100 mL monthly average, 1000 colonies/100 mL daily maximum [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)(B)4.A.]

Reviewer: Curt B. Gateley
Date: 10-4-05
Unit Chief: Refaat Mefrakis

Monitoring and effluent limits contained within this document have been developed in accordance with EPA guidelines using the best available data and are believed to be consistent with Missouri's Water Quality Standards and Effluent Regulations. If additional water quality data are available that may affect the recommended monitoring and effluent limits, please forward these data and information to the author.

APPENDIX: RECEIVING STREAM LOW-FLOW VALUE:

StreamStats Report

Region ID: MO
Workspace ID: MO20230411204037682000
Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude): 37.01727, -93.23032
Time: 2023-04-11 15:41:02 -0500



Collapse All

Basin Characteristics

Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
DRNAREA	Area that drains to a point on a stream	209	square miles
STREAM_VARG	Streamflow variability index as defined in WRIR 02-4068, computed from regional grid	0.55	dimensionless

Low-Flow Statistics

Low-Flow Statistics Parameters [LowFlow Region 2 SIR 2013 5090]

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
DRNAREA	Drainage Area	209	square miles	0.21	7380
STREAM_VARG	Streamflow Variability Index from Grid	0.55	dimensionless	0.273	0.926

Low-Flow Statistics Flow Report [LowFlow Region 2 SIR 2013 5090]

Statistic	Value	Unit
1 Day 10 Year Low Flow	3.68	ft^3/s
2 Day 10 Year Low Flow	4.03	ft^3/s
3 Day 10 Year Low Flow	4.12	ft^3/s
7 Day 10 Year Low Flow	4.43	ft^3/s
10 Day 10 Year Low Flow	4.67	ft^3/s
30 Day 10 Year Low Flow	5.65	ft^3/s
60 Day 10 Year Low Flow	7.25	ft^3/s

Low-Flow Statistics Citations

Southard, R.E.,2013, Computed statistics at streamgages, and methods for estimating low-flow frequency statistics and development of regional regression equations for estimating low-flow frequency statistics at ungaged locations in Missouri: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2013–5090, 28 p. (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2013/5090/)

USGS Data Disclaimer: Unless otherwise stated, all data, metadata and related materials are considered to satisfy the quality standards relative to the purpose for which the data were collected. Although these data and associated metadata have been reviewed for accuracy and completeness and approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), no warranty expressed or implied is made regarding the display or utility of the data for other purposes, nor on all computer systems, nor shall the act of distribution constitute any such warranty.

USGS Software Disclaimer: This software has been approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). Although the software has been subjected to rigorous review, the USGS reserves the right to update the software as needed pursuant to further analysis and review. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made by the USGS or the U.S. Government as to the

functionality of the software and related material nor shall the fact of release constitute any such warranty. Furthermore, the software is released on condition that neither the USGS nor the U.S. Government shall be held liable for any damages resulting from its authorized or unauthorized use.

USGS Product Names Disclaimer: Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Application Version: 4.14.0
StreamStats Services Version: 1.2.22
NSS Services Version: 2.2.1

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

These Standard Conditions incorporate permit conditions as required by 40 CFR 122.41 or other applicable state statutes or regulations. These minimum conditions apply unless superseded by requirements specified in the permit.

Part I – General Conditions
Section A – Sampling, Monitoring, and Recording

1. **Sampling Requirements.**
   a. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
   b. All samples shall be taken at the outfall(s) or Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) approved sampling location(s), and unless specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other body of water or substance.

2. **Monitoring Requirements**.
   a. Records of monitoring information shall include:
      i. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
      ii. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
      iii. The date(s) analyses were performed;
      iv. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
      v. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
      vi. The results of such analyses.
   b. If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit at the location specified in the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 CFR subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reported to the Department with the discharge monitoring report data (DMR) submitted to the Department pursuant to Section B, paragraph 7.

3. **Sample and Monitoring Calculations.** Calculations for all sample and monitoring results which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in the permit.

4. **Test Procedures**. The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure that the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations that are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when; 1) the method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the pollutant or, 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility's discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015. These methods are also required for parameters that are listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure that the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive.

5. **Record Retention.** Except for records of monitoring information required by the permit related to the permittee's sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years (or longer as required by 40 CFR part 503), the permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.

6. **Illegal Activities.**
   a. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than $20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or both.
   b. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person or who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both. Second and successive convictions for violation under this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than $50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

Section B – Reporting Requirements

1. **Planned Changes.**
   a. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility when:
      i. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
      ii. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42(a)(1);
      iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan;
      iv. Any facility expansions, production increases, or process modifications which will result in a new or substantially different discharge or sludge characteristics must be reported to the Department 60 days before the facility or process modification begins. Notification may be accomplished by application for a new permit. If the discharge does not violate effluent limitations specified in the permit, the facility is to submit a notice to the Department of the changed discharge at least 30 days before such changes. The Department may require a construction permit and/or permit modification as a result of the proposed changes at the facility.

2. **Non-compliance Reporting.**
   a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Relevant information shall be provided orally or via the current electronic method approved by the Department, within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall be reported to the appropriate Regional Office during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) business days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

b. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
   i. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
   ii. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
   iii. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit required to be reported within 24 hours.

c. The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under paragraph 2. b. of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.

3. **Anticipated Noncompliance**. The permittee shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The notice shall be submitted to the Department 60 days prior to such changes or activity.

4. **Compliance Schedules.** Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of the permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. The report shall provide an explanation for the instance of noncompliance and a proposed schedule or anticipated date, for achieving compliance with the compliance schedule requirement.

5. **Other Noncompliance.** The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs 2, 3, and 6 of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph 2. a. of this section.

6. **Other Information**. Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

7. **Discharge Monitoring Reports.**
   a. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the permit.
   b. Monitoring results must be reported to the Department via the current method approved by the Department, unless the permittee has been granted a waiver from using the method. If the permittee has been granted a waiver, the permittee must use forms provided by the Department.
   c. Monitoring results shall be reported to the Department no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of the reporting period.

Section C – Bypass/Upset Requirements

1. **Definitions.**
   a. *Bypass*: the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending.
   b. *Severe Property Damage*: substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
   c. *Upset*: an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

2. **Bypass Requirements.**
   a. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2. b. and 2. c. of this section.
   b. Notice.
      i. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
      ii. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 5 (24-hour notice).
   c. Prohibition of bypass.
      i. Bypass is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
         1. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
         2. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
         3. The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 2. b. of this section.
      ii. The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Department determines that it will meet the three (3) conditions listed above in paragraph 2. c. i. of this section.

3. **Upset Requirements.**
   a. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 3. b. of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
   b. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
      i. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
      ii. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
      iii. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 2. b. ii. (24-hour notice).
      iv. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Section D – Administrative Requirements, paragraph 4.
   c. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

Section D – Administrative Requirements

1. **Duty to Comply.** The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law and Federal Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.
   a. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
   b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed $25,000 per day for each violation. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement

imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of $2,500 to $25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one (1) year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than $50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of $5,000 to $50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than three (3) years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than $100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than six (6) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than $250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than $500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than $1,000,000 and can be fined up to $2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.

c. Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the EPA Director for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed $10,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed $25,000. Penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed $10,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed $125,000.

d. It is unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law, or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission. In the event the commission or the director determines that any provision of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or standard, rules, limitations or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, or permits issued by, or any final abatement order, other order, or determination made by the commission or the director, or any filing requirement pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any other provision which this state is required to enforce pursuant to any federal water pollution control act, is being, was, or is in imminent danger of being violated, the commission or director may cause to have instituted a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction for the injunctive relief to prevent any such violation or further violation or for the assessment of a penalty not to exceed $10,000 per day for each day, or part thereof, the violation occurred and continues to occur, or both, as the court deems proper. Any person who willfully or negligently commits any violation in this paragraph shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not less than $2,500 nor more than $25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both. Second and successive convictions for violation of the same provision of this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than $50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

2. **Duty to Reapply.**
   a. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit.
   b. A permittee with a currently effective site-specific permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 180 days before the expiration date of the existing permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Department. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
   c. A permittees with currently effective general permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 30 days before the existing permit expires, unless the permittee has been notified by the Department that an earlier application must be made. The Department may grant permission for a later submission date. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)

3. **Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense.** It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

4. **Duty to Mitigate.** The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

5. **Proper Operation and Maintenance**. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

6. **Permit Actions.**
   a. Subject to compliance with statutory requirements of the Law and Regulations and applicable Court Order, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked in whole or in part during its term for cause including, but not limited to, the following:
      i. Violations of any terms or conditions of this permit or the law;
      ii. Having obtained this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully any relevant facts;
      iii. A change in any circumstances or conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
      iv. Any reason set forth in the Law or Regulations.
   b. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

7. **Permit Transfer.**
   a. Subject to 10 CSR 20-6.010, an operating permit may be transferred upon submission to the Department of an application to transfer signed by the existing owner and the new owner, unless prohibited by the terms of the permit. Until such time the permit is officially transferred, the original permittee remains responsible for complying with the terms and conditions of the existing permit.
   b. The Department may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Missouri Clean Water Law or the Federal Clean Water Act.
   c. The Department, within 30 days of receipt of the application, shall notify the new permittee of its intent to revoke or reissue or transfer the permit.

8. **Toxic Pollutants.** The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

9. **Property Rights.** This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.

10. **Duty to Provide Information.** The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

11. **Inspection and Entry.** The permittee shall allow the Department, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Department), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
    a. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
    b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
    c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
    d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Federal Clean Water Act or Missouri Clean Water Law, any substances or parameters at any location.

12. **Closure of Treatment Facilities.**
    a. Persons who cease operation or plan to cease operation of waste, wastewater, and sludge handling and treatment facilities shall close the facilities in accordance with a closure plan approved by the Department.
    b. Operating Permits under 10 CSR 20-6.010 or under 10 CSR 20-6.015 are required until all waste, wastewater, and sludges have been disposed of in accordance with the closure plan approved by the Department and any disturbed areas have been properly stabilized. Disturbed areas will be considered stabilized when perennial vegetation, pavement, or structures using permanent materials cover all areas that have been disturbed. Vegetative cover, if used, shall be at least 70% plant density over 100% of the disturbed area.

13. **Signatory Requirement.**
    a. All permit applications, reports required by the permit, or information requested by the Department shall be signed and certified. (See 40 CFR 122.22 and 10 CSR 20-6.010)
    b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months per violation, or by both.
    c. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both.

14. **Severability.** The provisions of the permit are severable, and if any provision of the permit, or the application of any provision of the permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of the permit, shall not be affected thereby.

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
MAY 1, 2013

PART II - SPECIAL CONDITIONS – PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS
SECTION A – INDUSTRIAL USERS

1. Definitions

Definitions as set forth in the Missouri Clean Water Laws and approved by the Missouri Clean Water Commission shall apply to terms used herein.

Significant Industrial User (SIU). Except as provided in the *General Pretreatment Regulation* 10 CSR 20-6.100, the term Significant Industrial User means:

1. All Industrial Users subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards; and
2. Any other Industrial User that: discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW) (excluding sanitary, noncontact cooling and boiler blowdown wastewater); contributes a process wastestream which makes up 5 percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the POTW treatment plant; or is designated as such by the Control Authority on the basis that the Industrial User has a reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's or for violating any Pretreatment Standard or requirement.

Clean Water Act (CWA) is the the federal Clean Water Act of 1972, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq. (2002).

2. Identification of Industrial Discharges

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(j)(1), all POTWs shall identify, in terms of character and volume of pollutants, any Significant Industrial Users discharging to the POTW subject to Pretreatment Standards under section 307(b) of the CWA and 40 CFR 403.

3. Application Information

Applications for renewal or modification of this permit must contain the information about industrial discharges to the POTW pursuant to 40 CFR 122.21(j)(6)

4. Notice to the Department

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.42(b), all POTWs must provide adequate notice of the following:

1. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of CWA if it were directly discharging these pollutants; and
2. Any substantial change into the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
3. For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on:
   i. the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and
   ii. any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

For POTWs without an approved pretreatment program, the notice of industrial discharges which was not included in the permit application shall be made as soon as practicable. For POTWs with an approved pretreatment program, notice is to be included in the annual pretreatment report required in the special conditions of this permit. Notice may be sent to:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Attn: Pretreatment Coordinator
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
August 1, 2019

PART III – BIOSOLIDS AND SLUDGE FROM DOMESTIC TREATMENT FACILITIES

SECTION A – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

1. PART III Standard Conditions pertain to biosolids and sludge requirements under the Missouri Clean Water Law and regulations for domestic and municipal wastewater and also incorporates federal sludge disposal requirements under 40 CFR Part 503 for domestic wastewater. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has principal authority for permitting and enforcement of the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR Part 503 for domestic biosolids and sludge.
2. PART III Standard Conditions apply only to biosolids and sludge generated at domestic wastewater treatment facilities, including public owned treatment works (POTW) and privately owned facilities.
3. Biosolids and Sludge Use and Disposal Practices:
    a. The permittee is authorized to operate the biosolids and sludge generating, treatment, storage, use, and disposal facilities listed in the facility description of this permit.
    b. The permittee shall not exceed the design sludge/biosolids volume listed in the facility description and shall not use biosolids or sludge disposal methods that are not listed in the facility description, without prior approval of the permitting authority.
    c. For facilities operating under general operating permits that incorporate Standard Conditions PART III, the facility is authorized to operate the biosolids and sludge generating, treatment, storage, use and disposal facilities identified in the original operating permit application, subsequent renewal applications or subsequent written approval by the department.
4. Biosolids or Sludge Received from other Facilities:
    a. Permittees may accept domestic wastewater biosolids or sludge from other facilities as long as the permittee's design sludge capacity is not exceeded and the treatment facility performance is not impaired.
    b. The permittee shall obtain a signed statement from the biosolids or sludge generator or hauler that certifies the type and source of the sludge
5. Nothing in this permit precludes the initiation of legal action under local laws, except to the extent local laws are preempted by state law.
6. This permit does not preclude the enforcement of other applicable environmental regulations such as odor emissions under the Missouri Air Pollution Control Law and regulations.
7. This permit may (after due process) be modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable biosolids or sludge disposal standard or limitation issued or approved under Section 405(d) of the Clean Water Act or under Chapter 644 RSMo.
8. In addition to Standard Conditions PART III, the Department may include biosolids and sludge limitations in the special conditions portion or other sections of a site specific permit.
9. Exceptions to Standard Conditions PART III may be authorized on a case-by-case basis by the Department, as follows:
    a. The Department may modify a site-specific permit following permit notice provisions as applicable under 10 CSR 20-6.020, 40 CFR § 124.10, and 40 CFR § 501.15(a)(2)(ix)(E).
    b. Exceptions cannot be granted where prohibited by the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR Part 503.

SECTION B – DEFINITIONS

1. Best Management Practices are practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the state and include agronomic loading rates (nitrogen based), soil conservation practices, spill prevention and maintenance procedures and other site restrictions.
2. Biosolids means organic fertilizer or soil amendment produced by the treatment of domestic wastewater sludge.
3. Biosolids land application facility is a facility where biosolids are spread onto the land at agronomic rates for production of food, feed or fiber. The facility includes any structures necessary to store the biosolids until soil, weather, and crop conditions are favorable for land application.
4. Class A biosolids means a material that has met the Class A pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Further Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 503.
5. Class B biosolids means a material that has met the Class B pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Significantly Reduce Pathogens (PSRP) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 503.
6. Domestic wastewater means wastewater originating from the sanitary conveniences of residences, commercial buildings, factories and institutions; or co-mingled sanitary and industrial wastewater processed by a (POTW) or a privately owned facility.
7. Feed crops are crops produced primarily for consumption by animals.
8. Fiber crops are crops such as flax and cotton.
9. Food crops are crops consumed by humans which include, but is not limted to, fruits, vegetables and tobacco.
10. Industrial wastewater means any wastewater, also known as process wastewater, not defined as domestic wastewater. Per 40 CFR Part 122.2, process wastewater means any water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct, or waste product. Land application of industrial wastewater, residuals or sludge is not authorized by Standard Conditions PART III.
11. Mechanical treatment plants are wastewater treatment facilities that use mechanical devices to treat wastewater, including, sand filters, extended aeration, activated sludge, contact stabilization, trickling filters, rotating biological contact systems, and other similar facilities. It does not include wastewater treatment lagoons or constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment.
12. Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) is nitrogen that will be available to plants during the growing seasons after biosolids application.
13. Public contact site is land with a high potential for contact by the public. This includes, but is not limited to, public parks, ball fields, cemeteries, plant nurseries, turf farms, and golf courses.
14. Sludge is the solid, semisolid, or liquid residue removed during the treatment of wastewater. Sludge includes septage removed from septic tanks or equivalent facilities. Sludge does not include carbon coal byproducts (CCBs), sewage sludge incinerator ash, or grit/screenings generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage.
15. Sludge lagoon is part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility. A sludge lagoon is an earthen or concrete lined basin that receives sludge that has been removed from a wastewater treatment facility. It does not include a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge treatment units that are not a part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility.
16. Septage is the sludge pumped from residential septic tanks, cesspools, portable toilets, Type III marine sanitation devices, or similar treatment works such as sludge holding structures from residential wastewater treatment facilities with design populations of less than 150 people. Septage does not include grease removed from grease traps at a restaurant or material removed from septic tanks and other similar treatment works that have received industrial wastewater. The standard for biosolids from septage is different from other sludges. See Section H for more information.

SECTION C – MECHANICAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

1. Biosolids or sludge shall be routinely removed from wastewater treatment facilities and handled according to the permit facility description and the requirements of Standard Conditions PART III or in accordance with Section A.3.c., above.
2. The permittee shall operate storage and treatment facilities, as defined by Section 644.016(23), RSMo, so that there is no biosolids or sludge discharged to waters of the state. Agricultural storm water discharges are exempt under the provisions of Section 644.059, RSMo.
3. Mechanical treatment plants shall have separate biosolids or sludge storage compartments in accordance with 10 CSR 20, Chapter 8. Failure to remove biosolids or sludge from these storage compartments on the required design schedule is a violation of this permit.

SECTION D – BIOSOLIDS OR SLUDGE DISPOSED AT OTHER TREATMENT FACILITY OR BY CONTRACT HAULER

1. Permittees that use contract haulers, under the authority of their operating permit, to dispose of biosolids or sludge, are responsible for compliance with all the terms of this permit. Contract haulers that assume the responsibility of the final disposal of biosolids or sludge, including biosolids land application, must obtain a Missouri State Operating Permit unless the hauler transports the biosolids or sludge to another permitted treatment facility.
2. Testing of biosolids or sludge, other than total solids content, is not required if biosolids or sludge are hauled to a permitted wastewater treatment facility, unless it is required by the accepting facility.

SECTION E – INCINERATION OF SLUDGE

1. Please be aware that sludge incineration facilities may be subject to the requirements of 40 CFR Part 503 Subpart E, Missouri Air Conservation Commission regulations under 10 CSR 10, and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80, as applicable.
2. Permittee may be authorized under the facility description of this permit to store incineration ash in lagoons or ash ponds. This permit does not authorize the disposal of incineration ash. Incineration ash shall be disposed in accordance with 10 CSR 80; or, if the ash is determined to be hazardous, with 10 CSR 25.
3. In addition to normal sludge monitoring, incineration facilities shall report the following as part of the annual report, mass of sludge incinerated and mass of ash generated. Permittee shall also provide the name of the ash disposal facility and permit number if applicable.

SECTION F – SURFACE DISPOSAL SITES AND BIOSOLIDS AND SLUDGE LAGOONS

1. Please be aware that surface disposal sites of biosolids or sludge from wastewater treatment facilities may be subject to other laws including the requirements in 40 CFR Part 503 Subpart C, Missouri Air Conservation Commission regulations under 10 CSR 10, and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80, as applicable.
2. Biosolids or sludge storage lagoons are temporary facilities and are not required to obtain a permit as a solid waste management facility under 10 CSR 80. In order to maintain biosolids or sludge storage lagoons as storage facilities, accumulated biosolids or sludge must be removed routinely, but not less than once every two years unless an alternate schedule is approved in the permit. The amount of biosolids or sludge removed will be dependent on biosolids or sludge generation and accumulation in the facility. Enough biosolids or sludge must be removed to maintain adequate storage capacity in the facility.
    a. In order to avoid damage to the lagoon seal during cleaning, the permittee may leave a layer of biosolids or sludge on the bottom of the lagoon, upon prior approval of the Department; or
    b. Permittee shall close the lagoon in accordance with Section I.

SECTION G – LAND APPLICATION OF BIOSOLIDS

1. The permittee shall not land apply biosolids unless land application is authorized in the facility description, the special conditions of the issued NPDES permit, or in accordance with Section A.3.c., above.
2. This permit only authorizes "Class A" or "Class B" biosolids derived from domestic wastewater to be land applied onto grass land, crop land, timber, or other similar agricultural or silviculture lands at rates suitable for beneficial use as organic fertilizer and soil conditioner.
3. Class A Biosolids Requirements: Biosolids shall meet Class A requirements for application to public contact sites, residential lawns, home gardens or sold and/or given away in a bag or other container.
4. Class B biosolids that are land applied to agricultural and public contact sites shall comply with the following restrictions:
    a. Food crops that touch the biosolids/soil mixture and are totally above the land surface shall not be harvested for 14 months after application of biosolids.
    b. Food crops below the surface of the land shall not be harvested for 20 months after application of biosolids when the biosolids remain on the land surface for four months or longer prior to incorporation into the soil.
    c. Food crops below the surface of the land shall not be harvested for 38 months after application of biosolids when the biosolids remain on the land surface for less than four months prior to incorporation into the soil.
    d. Animal grazing shall not be allowed for 30 days after application of biosolids.
    e. Food crops, feed crops, and fiber crops shall not be harvested for 30 days after application of biosolids.
    f. Turf shall not be harvested for one year after application of biosolids if used for lawns or high public contact sites in close proximity to populated areas such as city parks or golf courses.
    g. After Class B biosolids have been land applied to public contact sites with high potential for public exposure, as defined in 40 CFR § 503.31, such as city parks or golf courses, access must be restricted for 12 months.
    h. After Class B biosolids have been land applied public contact sites with low potential for public exposure as defined in 40 CFR § 503.31, such as a rural land application or reclamation sites, access must be restricted for 30 days.

5. Pollutant limits
    a. Biosolids shall be monitored to determine the quality for regulated pollutants listed in Table 1, below. Limits for any pollutants not listed below may be established in the permit.
    b. The number of samples taken is directly related to the amount of biosolids or sludge produced by the facility (See Section J, below). Samples should be taken only during land application periods. When necessary, it is permissible to mix biosolids with lower concentrations of biosolids as well as other suitable Department approved material to achieve pollutant concentration below those identified in Table 1, below.
    c. Table 1 gives the ceiling concentration for biosolids. Biosolids which exceed the concentrations in Table 1 may not be land applied.

TABLE 1

Biosolids ceiling concentration	
Pollutant	Milligrams per kilogram dry weight
Arsenic	75
Cadmium	85
Copper	4,300
Lead	840
Mercury	57
Molybdenum	75
Nickel	420
Selenium	100
Zinc	7,500

d. Table 2 below gives the low metal concentration for biosolids. Because of its higher quality, biosolids with pollutant concentrations below those listed in Table 2 can safely be applied to agricultural land, forest, public contact sites, lawns, home gardens or be given away without further analysis. Biosolids containing metals in concentrations above the low metals concentrations but below the ceiling concentration limits may be land applied but shall not exceed the annual loading rates in Table 3 and the cumulative loading rates in Table 4. The permittee is required to track polluntant loading onto application sites for parameters that have exceeded the low metal concentration limits.

TABLE 2

Biosolids Low Metal Concentration	
Pollutant	Milligrams per kilogram dry weight
Arsenic	41
Cadmium	39
Copper	1,500
Lead	300
Mercury	17
Nickel	420
Selenium	100
Zinc	2,800

e. Annual pollutant loading rate.

Table 3

Biosolids Annual Loading Rate	
Pollutant	Kg/ha (lbs./ac) per year
Arsenic	2.0 (1.79)
Cadmium	1.9 (1.70)
Copper	75 (66.94)
Lead	15 (13.39)
Mercury	0.85 (0.76)
Nickel	21 (18.74)
Selenium	5.0 (4.46)
Zinc	140 (124.96)

f. Cumulative pollutant loading rates.

Table 4

Biosolids Cumulative Pollutant Loading Rate	
Pollutant	Kg/ha (lbs./ac)
Arsenic	41 (37)
Cadmium	39 (35)
Copper	1500 (1339)
Lead	300 (268)
Mercury	17 (15)
Nickel	420 (375)
Selenium	100 (89)
Zinc	2800 (2499)

6. Best Management Practices. The permittee shall use the following best management practices during land application activities to prevent the discharge of biosolids to waters of the state.
   a. Biosolids shall not be applied to the land if it is likely to adversely affect a threatened or endangered species listed under § 4 of the Endangered Species Act or its designated critical habitat.
   b. Apply biosolids only at the agronomic rate of nitrogen needed (see 5.c. of this section).
   c. The applicator must document the Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) loadings, available nitrogen in the soil, and crop

nitrogen removal when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kgTN; or 2) When biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.

i. PAN can be determined as follows:

(Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) + (organic nitrogen x 0.2) + (ammonia nitrogen x volatilization factor[1]).

[1] Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application. Alternative volitalization factors and mineralization rates can be utilized on a case-by-case basis.

ii. Crop nutrient production/removal to be based on crop specific nitrogen needs and realistic yield goals. **NOTE:** There are a number of reference documents on the Missouri Department of Natural Resources website that are informative to implement best management practices in the proper management of biosolids, including crop specific nitrogen needs, realistic yields on a county by county basis and other supporting references.

iii. Biosolids that are applied at agronomic rates shall not cause the annual pollutant loading rates identified in Table 3 to be exceeded.

d. Buffer zones are as follows:

i. 300 feet of a water supply well, sinkhole, water supply reservoir or water supply intake in a stream;

ii. 300 feet of a losing stream, no discharge stream, stream stretches designated for whole body contact recreation, wild and scenic rivers, Ozark National Scenic Riverways or outstandingstate resource waters as listed in the Water Quality Standards, 10 CSR 20-7.031;

iii. 150 feet of dwellings or public use areas;

iv. 100 feet (35 feet if biosolids application is down-gradient or the buffer zone is entirely vegetated) of lake, pond, wetlands or gaining streams (perennial or intermittent);

v. 50 feet of a property line. Buffer distances from property lines may be waived with written permission from neighboring property owner.

vi. For the application of dry, cake or liquid biosolids that are subsurface injected, buffer zones identified in 5.d.i. through 5.d.iii above, may be reduced to 100 feet. The buffer zone may be reduced to 35 feet if the buffer zone is permanently vegetated. Subsurface injection does not include methods or technology reflective of combination surface/shallow soil incorporation.

e. Slope limitation for application sites are as follows:

i. For slopes less than or equal to 6 percent, no rate limitation;

ii. Applied to a slope 7 to 12 percent, the applicator may apply biosolids when soil conservation practices are used to meet the minimum erosion levels;

iii. Slopes > 12 percent, apply biosolids only when grass is vegetated and maintained with at least 80 percent ground cover at a rate of two dry tons per acre per year or less.

iv. Dry, cake or liquid biosolids that are subsurface injected, may be applied on slopes not to exceed 20 percent. Subsurface injection does not include the use of methods or technology reflective of combination surface/shallow soil incorporation.

f. No biosolids may be land applied in an area that it is reasonably certain that pollutants will be transported into waters of the state.

g. Biosolids may be land applied to sites with soil that are snow covered, frozen, or saturated with liquid when site restrictions or other controls are provided to prevent pollutants from being discharged to waters of the state during snowmelt or stormwater runoff. During inclement weather or unfavorable soil conditions use the following management practices:

i. A maximum field slope of 6% and a minimum 300 feet grass buffer between the application site and waters of the state. A 35 feet grass buffer may be utilized for the application of dry, cake or liquid biosolids that are subsurface injected. Subsurface injection does not include the use of mthods or technology refletive of combination surface/shallow soil incorporation;

ii. A maximum field slope of 2% and 100 feet grass buffer between the application site and waters of the state. A 35 feet grass buffer may be used for the application of dry, cake or liquid biosolids that are subsurface injected. Subsurface injection does not included the use of methods or technology refletive of combination surface/shallow soil incorporation;

iii. Other best management practices approved by the Department.

SECTION H – SEPTAGE

1. Haulers that land apply septage must obtain a state permit. An operating permit is not required for septage haulers who transport septage to another permitted treatment facility for disposal.
2. Do not apply more than 30,000 gallons of septage per acre per year or the volume otherwise stipulated in the operating permit.
3. Septic tanks are designed to retain sludge for one to three years which will allow for a larger reduction in pathogens and vectors, as compared to mechanical treatment facilities.
4. Septage must comply with Class B biosolids regarding pathogen and vector attraction reduction requirements before it may be applied to crops, pastures or timberland. To meet required pathogen and vector reduction requirements, mix 50 pounds of hydrated lime for every 1,000 gallons of septage and maintain a septage pH of at least 12 pH standard units for 30 minutes or more prior to application.
5. Lime is to be added to the pump truck and not directly to the septic tanks, as lime would harm the beneficial bacteria of the septic tank.
6. As residential septage contains relatively low levels of metals, the testing of metals in septage is not required.

SECTION I– CLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

1. This section applies to all wastewater facilities (mechanical and lagoons) and sludge or biosolids storage and treatment facilities. It does not apply to land application sites.
2. Permittees of a domestic wastewater facility who plan to cease operation must obtain Department approval of a closure plan which addresses proper removal and disposal of all sludges and/or biosolids. Permittee must maintain this permit until the facility is closed in accordance with the approved closure plan per 10 CSR 20 – 6. 010 and 10 CSR 20 – 6.015.
3. Biosolids or sludge that are left in place during closure of a lagoon or earthen structure or ash pond shall not exceed the agricultural loading rates as follows:
    a. Biosolids and sludge shall meet the monitoring and land application limits for agricultural rates as referenced in Section G, above.
    b. If a wastewater treatment lagoon has been in operation for 15 years or more without sludge removal, the sludge in the lagoon qualifies as a Class B biosolids with respect to pathogens due to anaerobic digestion, and testing for fecal coliform is not required. For other lagoons, testing for fecal coliform is required to show compliance with Class B biosolids limitations. In order to reach Class B biosolids requirements, fecal coliform must be less than 2,000,000 colony forming units or 2,000,000 most probable number. All fecal samples must be presented as geometric mean per gram.
    c. The allowable nitrogen loading that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. For a grass cover crop, the allowable PAN is 300 pounds/acre. Alternative, site-specific application rates may be included in the closure plan for department consideration.
        i. PAN can be determined as follows:
        (Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) + (organic nitrogen x 0.2) + (ammonia nitrogen x volatilization factor[1]).
        [1] Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application. Alternative volitalization factors and mineralization rates can be utilized on a case-by-case basis
4. Domestic wastewater treatment lagoons with a design treatment capacity less than or equal to 150 persons, are "similar treatment works" under the definition of septage. Therefore the sludge within the lagoons may be treated as septage during closure activities. See Section B, above. Under the septage category, residuals may be left in place as follows:
    a. Testing for metals or fecal coliform is not required.
    b. If the wastewater treatment lagoon has been in use for less than 15 years, mix lime with the sludge at a rate of 50 pounds of hydrated lime per 1000 gallons (134 cubic feet) of sludge.
    c. The amount of sludge that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. 100 dry tons/acre of sludge may be left in the basin without testing for nitrogen. If 100 dry tons/acre or more will be left in the lagoon, test for nitrogen and determine the PAN using the calculation above. Allowable PAN loading is 300 pounds/acre.
5. Biosolids or sludge left within the domestic lagoon shall be mixed with soil on at least a 1 to 1 ratio, and unless otherwise approved, the lagoon berm shall be demolished, and the site shall be graded and contain ≥70% vegetative density over 100% of the site so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion. Alternative biosolids or sludge and soil mixing ratios may be included in the closure plan for department consideration.
6. Lagoon and earthen structure closure activities shall obtain a storm water permit for land disturbance activities that equal or exceed one acre in accordance with 10 CSR 20-6.200.
7. When closing a mechanical wastewater plant, all biosolids or sludge must be cleaned out and disposed of in accordance with the Department approved closure plan before the permit for the facility can be terminated.
    a. Land must be stabilized which includes any grading, alternate use or fate upon approval by the Department, remediation, or other work that exposes sediment to stormwater per 10 CSR 20-6.200. The site shall be graded and contain ≥70% vegetative density over 100% of the site, so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate

surface water drainage without creating erosion.

b. Hazardous Waste shall not be land applied or disposed during mechanical plant closures unless in accordance with Missouri Hazardous Waste Management Law and Regulations pursuant to 10 CSR 25.

c. After demolition of the mechanical plant, the site must only contain clean fill defined in Section 260.200.1(6) RSMo as uncontaminated soil, rock, sand, gravel, concrete, asphaltic concrete, cinderblocks, brick, minimal amounts of wood and metal, and inert solids as approved by rule or policy of the Department for fill, reclamation, or other beneficial use. Other solid wastes must be removed.

8. If biosolids or sludge from the domestic lagoon or mechanical treatment plant exceeds agricultural rates under Section G and/or I, a landfill permit or solid waste disposal permit must be obtained if the permittee chooses to seek authorization for on-site sludge disposal under the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law and regulations per 10 CSR 80, and the permittee must comply with the surface disposal requirements under 40 CFR Part 503, Subpart C.

SECTION J – MONITORING FREQUENCY

1. At a minimum, biosolids or sludge shall be tested for volume and percent total solids on a frequency that will accurately represent sludge quantities produced and disposed. Please see the table below.

TABLE 5

Biosolids or Sludge produced and disposed (Dry Tons per Year)	Monitoring Frequency (See Notes 1, and 2)		
	Metals, Pathogens and Vectors, Total Phosphorus, Total Potassium	Nitrogen TKN, Nitrogen PAN[1]	Priority Pollutants[2]
319 or less	1/year	1 per month	1/year
320 to 1650	4/year	1 per month	1/year
1651 to 16,500	6/year	1 per month	1/year
16,501+	12/year	1 per month	1/year

[1] Calculate plant available nitrogen (PAN) when either of the following occurs: 1) when biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.

[2] Priority pollutants (40 CFR 122.21, Appendix D, Tables II and III) are required only for permit holders that must have a pre-treatment program. Monitoring requirements may be modified and incorporated into the operating permit by the Department on a case-by-case basis.

Note 1: Total solids: A grab sample of sludge shall be tested one per day during land application periods for percent total solids. This data shall be used to calculate the dry tons of sludge applied per acre.
Note 2: Table 5 is not applicable for incineration and permit holders that landfill their sludge.

2. Permittees that operate wastewater treatment lagoons, peak flow equalization basins, combined sewer overflow basins or biosolids or sludge lagoons that are cleaned out once a year or less, may choose to sample only when the biosolids or sludge is removed or the lagoon is closed. Test one composite sample for each 319 dry tons of biosolids or sludge removed from the lagoon during the reporting year or during lagoon closure. Composite sample must represent various areas at one-foot depth.
3. Additional testing may be required in the special conditions or other sections of the permit.
4. Biosolids and sludge monitoring shall be conducted in accordance with federal regulation 40 CFR § 503.8, Sampling and analysis.

SECTION K – RECORD KEEPING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. The permittee shall maintain records on file at the facility for at least five years for the items listed in Standard Conditions PART III and any additional items in the Special Conditions section of this permit. This shall include dates when the biosolids or sludge facility is checked for proper operation, records of maintenance and repairs and other relevant information.
2. Reporting period
   a. By February 19th of each year, applicable facilities shall submit an annual report for the previous calendar year period for all mechanical wastewater treatment facilities, sludge lagoons, and biosolids or sludge disposal facilities.
   b. Permittees with wastewater treatment lagoons shall submit the above annual report only when biosolids or sludge are removed from the lagoon during the report period or when the lagoon is closed.
3. Report Form. The annual report shall be prepared on report forms provided by the Department or equivalent forms approved by the Department.
4. Reports shall be submitted as follows:
   Major facilities, which are those serving 10,000 persons or more or with a design flow equal to or greater than 1 million gallons per day or that are required to have an approved pretreatment program, shall report to both the Department and EPA if the facility land applied, disposed of biosolids by surface disposal, or operated a sewage sludge incinerator. All other facilities shall maintain their biosolids or sludge records and keep them available to Department personnel upon request. State reports shall be submitted to the address listed as follows:

   DNR regional or other applicable office listed in the
   permit (see cover letter of permit)
   ATTN: Sludge Coordinator

Reports to EPA must be electronically submitted online via the Central Data Exchange at: https://cdx.epa.gov/ Additional information is available at: https://www.epa.gov/biosolids/compliance-and-annual-reporting-guidance-about-clean-water-act-laws

5. Annual report contents. The annual report shall include the following:
    a. Biosolids and sludge testing performed. If testing was conducted at a greater frequency than what is required by the permit, all test results must be included in the report.
    b. Biosolids or sludge quantity shall be reported as dry tons for the quantity produced and/or disposed.
    c. Gallons and % solids data used to calculate the dry ton amounts.
    d. Description of any unusual operating conditions.
    e. Final disposal method, dates, and location, and person responsible for hauling and disposal.
        i. This must include the name and address for the hauler and sludge facility. If hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility, sanitary landfill, or other approved treatment facility, give the name of that facility.
        ii. Include a description of the type of hauling equipment used and the capacity in tons, gallons, or cubic feet.
    f. Contract Hauler Activities:
    If using a contract hauler, provide a copy of a signed contract from the contractor. Permittee shall require the contractor to supply information required under this permit for which the contractor is responsible. The permittee shall submit a signed statement from the contractor that he has complied with the standards contained in this permit, unless the contract hauler has a separate biosolids or sludge use permit.
    g. Land Application Sites:
        i. Report the location of each application site, the annual and cumulative dry tons/acre for each site, and the landowners name and address. The location for each spreading site shall be given as a legal description for nearest ¼, ¼, Section, Township, Range, and county, or UTM coordinates. The facility shall report PAN when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
        ii. If the "Low Metals" criteria are exceeded, report the annual and cumulative pollutant loading rates in pounds per acre for each applicable pollutant, and report the percent of cumulative pollutant loading which has been reached at each site.
        iii. Report the method used for compliance with pathogen and vector attraction requirements.
        iv. Report soil test results for pH and phosphorus. If no soil was tested during the year, report the last date when tested and the results.

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM

FORM B2 – APPLICATION FOR OPERATING PERMIT FOR FACILITIES THAT RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE AND HAVE A DESIGN FLOW MORE THAN 100,000 GALLONS PER DAY

FACILITY NAME	
ozark wwtf	
PERMIT NO.	COUNTY
MO-0099163	christian

APPLICATION OVERVIEW

Form B2 has been developed in a modular format and consists of Parts A, B and C and a Supplemental Application Information (Parts D, E, F and G) packet. All applicants must complete Parts A, B and C. Some applicants must also complete parts of the Supplemental Application Information packet. The following items explain which parts of Form B2 you must complete. Submittal of an incomplete application may result in the application being returned.

BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

A. Basic application information for all applicants. All applicants must complete Part A.

B. Additional application information for all applicants. All applicants must complete Part B.

C. Certification. All applicants must complete Part C.

SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION INFORMATION

D. Expanded Effluent Testing Data. A treatment works that discharges effluent to surface water of the United States and meets one or more of the following criteria must complete **Part D - Expanded Effluent Testing Data**:

1. Has a design flow rate greater than or equal to 1 million gallons per day.
2. Is required to have or currently has a pretreatment program.
3. Is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the information.

E. Toxicity Testing Data. A treatment works that meets one or more of the following criteria must complete **Part E - Toxicity Testing Data**:

1. Has a design flow rate greater than or equal to 1 million gallons per day.
2. Is required to have or currently has a pretreatment program.
3. Is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the information.

F. Industrial User Discharges and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act / Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act Wastes. A treatment works that accepts process wastewater from any significant industrial users, also known as SIUs, or receives a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act or CERCLA wastes must complete **Part F - Industrial User Discharges and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act /CERCLA Wastes.**

SIUs are defined as:

1. All Categorical Industrial Users, or CIUs, subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards under 40 Code of Federal Regulations 403.6 and 40 Code of Federal Regulations 403.6 and 40 CFR Chapter 1, Subchapter N.
2. Any other industrial user that meets one or more of the following:
   - i. Discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the treatment works (with certain exclusions).
   - ii. Contributes a process waste stream that makes up 5%or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the treatment plant.
   - iii. Is designated as an SIU by the control authority.
   - iv. Is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the information.

G. Combined Sewer Systems. A treatment works that has a combined sewer system must complete **Part G - Combined Sewer Systems.**

ALL APPLICANTS MUST COMPLETE PARTS A, B and C

6. FACILITY CONTACT

NAME	TITLE
jason jameson	assistant cheif WW operator
EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE
jjameson@ozarkmissouri.org	417-581-6461

ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
301 s 22 nd st	ozark	mo	65721

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

7. FACILITY INFORMATION

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

7.1 Process Flow Diagram or Schematic: Provide a diagram showing the processes of the treatment plant. Show all of the treatment units, including disinfection (e.g. – chlorination and dechlorination), influents, and outfalls. Specify where samples are taken. Indicate any treatment process changes in the routing of wastewater during dry weather and peak wet weather. Include a brief narrative description of the diagram. Attach sheets as necessary.

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM

FORM B2 – APPLICATION FOR AN OPERATING PERMIT FOR FACILITIES THAT RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE AND HAVE A DESIGN FLOW MORE THAN 100,000 GALLONS PER DAY

FOR AGENCY USE ONLY	
CHECK NUMBER	
DATE RECEIVED	FEE SUBMITTED
JET PAY CONFIRMATION NUMBER	

PART A – BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

1. THIS APPLICATION IS FOR:

- ☐ An operating permit for a new or unpermitted facility. Construction Permit # ____
  (Include completed Antidegradation Review or request to conduct an Antidegradation Review, see instructions)
- ☐ An operating permit renewal: Permit #MO- ____ Expiration Date ____
- ☑ An operating permit modification: Permit #MO- 0099163 Reason: to take biosolids to landfill and land apply

1.1 Is the appropriate fee included with the application (see instructions for appropriate fee)? ☐ YES ☐ NO

2. FACILITY

NAME		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
OZARK WWTF		417-581-6461	
ADDRESS (PHYSICAL)	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
301 s 22nd st	ozark	mo	65721

2.1 **LEGAL DESCRIPTION** (Facility Site): Sec. 28 , T 27n , R 21w — COUNTY: christian

2.2 Name of receiving stream: finley creek

2.3 Number of Outfalls: wastewater outfalls: 1 stormwater outfalls: instream monitoring sites:

2.4 UTM Coordinates Easting (X): ____ Northing (Y): ____
For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): ____ Northing (Y): ____
For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)
UTM Coordinates Easting (X): ____ Northing (Y): ____
For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)

3. **OWNER**

NAME	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
City Of Ozark		5841 7-581-6461	
ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
205 N 1st St, P.O Box 295	Ozark	MO	65721

3.1 Request review of draft permit prior to Public Notice? ☐ YES ☑ NO

3.2 Are you a Publically Owned Treatment Works (POTW)? ☑ YES ☐ NO
If **yes**, please attach the Financial Questionnaire.

3.3 Are you a Privately Owned Treatment Facility? ☐ YES ☑ NO

3.4 Are you a Privately Owned Treatment Facility regulated by the Public Service Commission (PSC)? ☐ YES ☑ NO

4. CONTINUING AUTHORITY

If Continuing Authority is a business entity, complete section below using the Secretary of State Website.

CHARTER NUMBER (AS APPEARS ON SECRETARY OF STATE WEBSITE)

If Continuing Authority is a non-business entity, complete section below

NAME	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
City of Ozark		417-581-6461	
ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
205 N 1st St, P.O. Box 295	Ozark	MO	65721

If the Continuing Authority is different than the Owner, include a copy of the contract agreement between the two parties and a description of the responsibilities of both parties within the agreement.

5. OPERATOR

NAME	TITLE	CERTIFICATE NUMBER (IF APPLICABLE)
jason jameson	assistant cheif WW operator	14261
EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	
jjameson@ozarkmissouri.org	417-581-6461	

7.2 **Map.** Attach to this application an aerial or topographic map of the area extending at least one mile beyond facility property boundaries. This map must show the outline of the facility and the following information. A map can be obtained by visiting the Water Quality Standards Map Viewer.

a. The area surrounding the treatment plant, including all unit processes.
b. The major pipes or other structures through which wastewater enters the treatment works and the pipes or other structures through which treated wastewater is discharged from the treatment plant. Include outfalls from bypass piping, if applicable.
c. The actual point(s) of discharge.
d. Wells, springs, other surface water bodies and drinking water wells that are: 1) within ¼ mile of the property boundaries of the treatment works, and 2) listed in public record or otherwise known to the applicant.
e. Any areas where the sewage sludge produced by the treatment works is stored, treated, or disposed.
f. If the treatment works receives waste that is classified as hazardous under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) by truck, rail, or special pipe, show on the map where that hazardous waste enters the treatment works and where it is treated, stored, or disposed.

7.3 Number of people presently connected or population equivalent (P.E.): 16968 Design P.E.: 21000

7.4 Connections to the facility:

Number of units presently connected:

Residential: 5824 Commercial: 672 Industrial: 1

7.5 Design Flow	Actual Flow
2.1 MGD	1.383 MGD

7.6 Will discharge be continuous through the year? Yes ☑ No ☐
Discharge will occur during the following months: JAN-DEC
How many days of the week will discharge occur? 7

7.7 Does the facility receive industrial wastewater? Yes ☑ No ☐
If **yes**, describe the number and types of industries that send industrial wastewater to your facility. Attach sheets as necessary
TRACKER MARINE

Refer to the **APPLICATION OVERVIEW** to determine whether additional information is needed for Part F.

7.8 Does the facility accept or process leachate from landfills?	Yes ☐	No ☑
7.9 Is wastewater land applied? If **yes**, please attach Form I – Permit Application for Operation of Wastewater Irrigation Systems MO 780-1686	Yes ☐	No ☑
7.10 Does the facility discharge to a losing stream or sinkhole?	Yes ☐	No ☑
7.11 Has a wasteload allocation study been completed for this facility?	Yes ☐	No ☑

8. LABORATORY CONTROL INFORMATION

LABORATORY WORK CONDUCTED BY PLANT PERSONNEL

Lab work conducted outside of plant.	Yes ☑	No ☐
Push–button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, settleable solids.	Yes ☐	No ☑
Additional procedures such as Dissolved Oxygen, Chemical Oxygen Demand, Biological Oxygen Demand, titrations, solids, volatile content.	Yes ☐	No ☑
More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	Yes ☐	No ☑
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph.	Yes ☐	No ☑

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
OZARK WWTF	MO- 0099163	001

9. SLUDGE HANDLING, USE AND DISPOSAL

9.1 Is the sludge a hazardous waste as defined by 10 CSR 25? Yes ☐ No ☑

9.2 Sludge production (Including sludge received from others): Design Dry Tons/Year 560 Actual Dry Tons/Year 356.33

9.3 Sludge storage provided: 60,00 Cubic feet; 150 Days of storage; 20 Average percent solids of sludge.

☐ No sludge storage is provided. ☐ Sludge is stored in lagoon.

9.4 Type of storage:

☐ Holding Tank ☐ Building
☐ Basin ☐ Lagoon
☑ Concrete Pad ☐ Other (Describe)

9.5 Sludge Treatment:

☐ Anaerobic Digester ☑ Storage Tank ☐ Lime Stabilization ☐ Lagoon
☐ Aerobic Digester ☐ Air or Heat Drying ☐ Composting ☐ Other (Attach Description)

9.6 Sludge use or disposal:

☑ Land Application ☐ Contract Hauler ☐ Hauled to Another Treatment Facility ☑ Solid Waste Landfill
☐ Surface Disposal (Sludge Disposal Lagoon, Sludge Held For More Than Two Years) ☐ Incineration
☐ Other (Attach Explanation Sheet)

9.7 Person responsible for hauling sludge to disposal facility: ☑ By Applicant ☐ By Others (**complete below**)

NAME	EMAIL ADDRESS
City of Ozark	

ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
205 N 1st St, P.O. Box 795	Ozark	MO	65721

CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	PERMIT NO.
Jason Jameson	417-581-6461	MO- 0099163

9.8 Sludge use or disposal facility: ☑ By Applicant ☑ By Others (**complete below**)

NAME	EMAIL ADDRESS
GFL environmental	sblanford@gflenv.com

ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
5054 hwy hh	hartville	mo	65721

CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	PERMIT NO
justin kroese	417-741-7714	MO- 0107506

9.9 Does the sludge or biosolids disposal comply with federal regulation 40 CFR Part 503 Standards for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(Explain)

END OF PART A

MO 780-18050 (07-23)

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

PART B – ADDITIONAL APPLICATION INFORMATION

10. COLLECTION SYSTEM

10.1 Are there any municipal satellite collection systems connected to this facility? Yes ☐ No ☑
If yes, please list all connected to this facility, contact phone number and length of each collection system

FACILITY	CONTACT PHONE NUMBER	LENGTH OF SYSTEM (FEET OR MILES)

10.2 Length of sanitary sewer collection system in miles (If available, include totals from satellite collection systems) 117 miles

10.3 Does significant inflow or infiltration occur in the collection system? ☐Yes ☑ No
If **yes**, briefly explain any steps underway or planned to minimize inflow and infiltration:
we have CIPP all claytile lines and are CCTV all the lines in the system

11. OVERFLOWS AND BYPASSES

Do any sanitary sewer overflows occur anywhere in the collection system or do any bypasses occur at the treatment facility?
Yes ☐ No ☑
If **yes**, explain:

12. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PERFORMED BY CONTRACTOR(S)

Are any operational or maintenance aspects (related to wastewater treatment and effluent quality) of the treatment works the responsibility of the contractor?
Yes ☐ No ☑
If **yes**, list the name, address, telephone number and status of each contractor and describe the contractor's responsibilities. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

NAME	
MAILING ADDRESS	
TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	EMAIL ADDRESS
RESPONSIBILITIES OF CONTRACTOR	

13. SCHEDULED IMPROVEMENTS AND SCHEDULES OF IMPLEMENTATION

Provide information about any uncompleted implementation schedule or uncompleted plans for improvements that will affect the wastewater treatment, effluent quality, or design capacity of the treatment works. If the treatment works has several different implementation schedules or is planning several improvements, submit separate responses for each.

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

14. EFFLUENT TESTING DATA

Applicants must provide effluent testing data for the following parameters. Provide the indicated effluent data **for each outfall through which effluent is discharged**. Do not include information regarding combined sewer overflows in this section. All information reported must be based on data collected through analysis conducted using 40 CFR Part 136 methods. In addition, this data must comply with QA/QC requirements of 40 CFR Part 136 and other appropriate QA/QC requirements for standard methods for analytes not addressed by 40 CFR Part 136. At a minimum, effluent testing data must be based on at least **three samples** and must be no more than four and one-half years apart. See 40 CFR 136.3 for sufficiently sensitive methods: 40 CFR 136.3

Outfall Number

PARAMETER	MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		AVERAGE DAILY VALUE		
	Value	Units	Value	Units	Number of Samples
pH (Minimum)	6.7	S.U.	7.0	S.U.	DAILY
pH (Maximum)	8.1	S.U.	7.6	S.U.	DAILY
Flow Rate	3.337	MGD	1.409	MGD	DAILY

*For pH report a minimum and a maximum daily value

POLLUTANT		MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE		AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE			ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL
		Conc.	Units	Conc.	Units	Number of Samples		
Conventional and Nonconventional Compounds								
BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (Report One)	BOD_5	22	mg/L	1.9	mg/L	WEEKLY	SM 5210B	
	$CBOD_5$	N/A	mg/L	N/A	mg/L	N/A	N/A	N/A
E. COLI		50	#/100 mL	2.5	#/100 mL	WEEKLY	SM 49223B-QT	
TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (TSS)		11.7	mg/L	1.9	mg/L	WEEKLY	SM 2540 D	
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS		1.7	mg/L	.3	mg/L	WEEKLY	EPA 200.7 REV 4.4	
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN		55	mg/L	2.2	mg/L	WEEKLY	EPA 351.2 REV 2	
NITRATES + NITRITES		16	mg/L	7.8	mg/L	WEEKLY	EPA 353.2	REV2
AMMONIA AS N		41	mg/L	2.5	mg/L	WEEKLY	EPA 350.1 REV 2	
CHLORINE,* TOTAL RESIDUAL (TRC)		N/A	mg/L	N/A	mg/L	N/A	N/A	N/A
DISSOLVED OXYGEN		3	mg/L	1.8	mg/L	DAILY	4500-O	
OIL and GREASE		<5	mg/L	<5	mg/L	QUARTERLY	EPA 1664A REV 1	
OTHER: _____			mg/L		mg/L			

*Report only if facility chlorinates

END OF PART B

MO 780-18050 (07-23)

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

PART C – CERTIFICATION

15. ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (eDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM

Per 40 CFR Part 127, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, reporting of effluent limits and monitoring shall be submitted by the permittee via an electronic system to ensure a timely, complete, accurate, and nationally-consistent set of data. One of the following options must be checked in order for this application to be considered complete. Visit the eDMR Splash Page for information on the department's eDMR system and how to register.

☐ I will register an account online to participate in the department's eDMR system through the Missouri Gateway for Environmental Management (MoGEM) before any reporting is due, in compliance with the Electronic Reporting Rule.

☑ I have already registered an account online to participate in the department's eDMR system through MoGEM.

☐ I have submitted a written request for a waiver from electronic reporting. See instructions for further information regarding waivers.

☐ The permit I am applying for does not require the submission of discharge monitoring reports.

16. JETPAY

Permit fees may be paid online by credit card or eCheck through a system called JetPay. Use the URL provided to access JetPay and make an online payment.

New Site Specific Permit: New Site Specific Permit
Construction Permits: Construction Permits
Modification Fee: Modification Fee

OPTIONAL QUESTIONS REGARDING MILITARY SERVICE

Have you or an immediate family member ever served in the U.S. Armed Forces?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If **yes**, would you like information about military-related services in Missouri?	☐ Yes	☐ No

17. CERTIFICATION

All applicants must complete the Certification Section. This certification must be signed by an officer of the company or city official. All applicants must complete all applicable sections as explained in the Application Overview. By signing this certification statement, applicants confirm that they have reviewed the entire form and have completed all sections that apply to the facility for which this application is submitted.

ALL APPLICANTS MUST COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING CERTIFICATION.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

PRINTED NAME	OFFICIAL TITLE (MUST BE AN OFFICER OF THE COMPANY OR CITY OFFICIAL)
	cheif ww operator

SIGNATURE (WET SIGNATURE ONLY)

TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE
417-581-6461

DATE SIGNED
1/28/25

Upon request of the permitting authority, you must submit any other information necessary to assess wastewater treatment practices at the treatment works or identify appropriate permitting requirements.

Send Completed Form to

Electronic Submission:	**Mail:**
PDF Version of the form can be submitted through email to cleanwaterpermits@dnr.mo.gov	Department of Natural Resources Water Protection Program ATTN: NPDES Permits and Engineering Section P.O. Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176

END OF PART C
REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

Do not complete the remainder of this application, unless at least one of the following statements applies to your facility:

1. Your facility design flow is equal to or greater than 1,000,000 gallons per day.
2. Your facility is a pretreatment treatment works.
3. Your facility has a combined sewer system.

Submittal of an incomplete application may result in the application being returned. Permit fees for returned applications shall be forfeited. Permit fees for applications being processed by the department that are withdrawn by the applicant shall be forfeited.

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

PART D – EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA

18. EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA

Refer to the APPLICATION OVERVIEW to determine whether Part D applies to the treatment works.

If the treatment works has a design flow greater than or equal to 1 million gallons per day (MGD) or it has (or is required to have) a pretreatment program, or is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the data, then provide effluent testing data for the following pollutants. Provide the indicated effluent testing information **for each outfall through which effluent is discharged**. Do not include information regarding combined sewer overflows in this section. All information reported must be based on data collected and analyzed using sufficiently sensitive methods found in 40 CFR Part 136. See 40 CFR 136.3 for sufficiently sensitive methods: 40 CFR 136.3. In addition, all data must comply with QA/QC requirements of 40 CFR Part 136 and other appropriate QA/QC requirements for standard methods for analytes not addressed by 40 CFR Part 136. At a minimum, effluent testing data must be based on at least **three pollutant scans** and must be no more than four and one-half years prior to the date of the permit application submittal. In the blank rows provided at the end of this list, include any additional data for pollutants not specifically listed in this form. Information may be written in the blanks below or provided as attached documents containing the laboratory test results.

Outfall Number (Complete Once for Each Outfall Discharging Effluent to Waters of the State.)

POLLUTANT	MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE				AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE					ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL
	Conc.	Units	Mass	Units	Conc.	Units	Mass	Units	No. of Samples		
METALS (TOTAL RECOVERABLE), CYANIDE, PHENOLS AND HARDNESS											
ALUMINUM	.136	mg/L			.136	mg/L			1	EPA 200.7	
ANTIMONY	<.0139	mg/L			<.0139	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
ARSENIC	<.0022	mg/L			<.0022	mg/L			1	EPA200.8	
BERYLLIUM	<.0022	mg/L			<.0022	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
CADMIUM	<.0011	mg/L			<.0011	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
CHROMIUM III	<8.9	mg/L			<8.9	mg/L			1	calculated	
CHROMIUM VI	<.0089	mg/L			<.0089	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
COPPER	<.0067	mg/L			<.0067	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
IRON	<.0667	mg/L			<.0667	mg/L			1	EPA 200.7	
LEAD	<.0022	mg/L			<.0022	mg/L			1	EPA200.8	
MERCURY	<.0002	mg/L			<.0002	mg/L			1	EPA 245.1	
NICKEL	<.0111	mg/L			<.0111	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
SELENIUM	<.0022	mg/L			<.0022	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
SILVER	<.0111	mg/L			<.0111	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
THALLIUM	<.0022	mg/L			<.0022	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
ZINC	.0225	mg/L			.0225	mg/L			1	EPA 200.8	
CYANIDE	<.0050	mg/L			<.0050	mg/L			1	SM 4500-CN	
TOTAL PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS	<.050	mg/L			<.050	mg/L			1	EPA 420.1	
HARDNESS (as $CaCO_3$)	207	mg/L			207	mg/L			1	calculated	
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS											
ACROLEIN	<6.2	ug/L			<6.2	mg/L			1	EPA 624.1	
ACRYLONITRILE	<3.7	ug/L			<3.7	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
BENZENE	<.30	ug/L			<.30	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
BROMOFORM	<.48	ug/L			<.48	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	<.44	ug/L			<.44	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	

MO 780-18050 (07-23)

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

POLLUTANT	MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE				AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE					ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL
	Conc.	Units	Mass	Units	Conc.	Units	Mass	Units	No. of Samples		
CHLOROBENZENE	<.35	ug/L			<.35	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
CHLORODIBROMO-METHANE											
CHLOROETHANE	<3.7	ug/L			<3.7	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
2-CHLORO-ETHYLVINYL ETHER	<6.1	ug/L			<6.1	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
CHLOROFORM	<.56	ug/L			<.56	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
DICHLOROBROMO-METHANE	<.45	ug/L			<.45	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,1-DICHLORO-ETHANE	<.34	ug/L			<.34	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,2-DICHLORO-ETHANE	<.27	ug/L			<.27	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE	<.23	ug/L			<.23	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,1-DICHLORO-ETHYLENE	<.59	ug/L			<.59	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,2-DICHLORO-PROPANE	<.23	ug/L			<.23	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,3-DICHLORO-PROPYLENE	<.37	ug/L			<.37	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
ETHYLBENZENE	<.3	ug/L			<.3	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
METHYL BROMIDE	<3.9	ug/L			<3.9	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
METHYL CHLORIDE	<.43	ug/L			<.43	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	<1.7	ug/L			<1.7	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,1,2,2-TETRA-CHLOROETHANE	<.59	ug/L			<.59	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	<.38	ug/L			<.38	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
TOLUENE	<.33	ug/L			<.33	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,1,1-TRICHLORO-ETHANE	<.3	ug/L			<.3	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,1,2-TRICHLORO-ETHANE	<.3	ug/L			<.3	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
TRICHLOROETHYLENE	<.36	ug/L			<.36	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
VINYL CHLORIDE	<.39	ug/L			<.39	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
ACID-EXTRACTABLE COMPOUNDS											
P-CHLORO-M-CRESOL											
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	<5	ug/L			<5	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
4,6-DINITRO-O-CRESOL											
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<20	ug/L			<20	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
2-NITROPHENOL	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
4-NITROPHENOL	<20	ug/L			<20	ug/L			1	EPA 625	

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

POLLUTANT	MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE				AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE					ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL
	Conc.	Units	Mass	Units	Conc.	Units	Mass	Units	No. of Samples		
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
PHENOL	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<20`	ug/L			<20	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BASE-NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS											
ACENAPHTHENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
ACENAPHTHYLENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
ANTHRACENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BENZIDINE	<80	ug/L			<80	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BENZO(A)PYRENE	<5.0	ug/L			<5.0	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
3,4-BENZO-FLUORANTHENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BENZO(GH) PHERYLENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BENZO(K) FLUORANTHENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BIS (2-CHLOROTHOXY) METHANE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BIS (2-CHLOROETHYL) – ETHER	<5.0	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BIS (2-CHLOROISO-PROPYL) ETHER											
BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE	<4.0	ug/L			<4.0	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
4-BROMOPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
2-CHLORONAPH-THALENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
4-CHLORPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
CHRYSENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE											
DIBENZO (A,H) ANTHRACENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
1,2-DICHLORO-BENZENE	<.6	ug/L			<.6	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,3-DICHLORO-BENZENE	<.33	ug/L			<.33	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
1,4-DICHLORO-BENZENE	<.28	ug/L			<.28	ug/L			1	EPA 624.1	
3,3-DICHLORO-BENZIDINE	<20	ug/L			<20	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
DIETHYL PHTHALATE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	

MO 780-18050 (07-23)

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

POLLUTANT	MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE				AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE					ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL
	Conc.	Units	Mass	Units	Conc.	Units	Mass	Units	No. of Samples		
2,4-DINITRO-TOLUENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
2,6-DINITRO-TOLUENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
1,2-DIPHENYL-HYDRAZINE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
FLUORANTHENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
FLUORENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	<5.0	ug/L			<5.0	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE											
HEXACHLOROCYCLO-PENTADIENE	<20	ug/L			<20	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
HEXACHLOROETHANE	<5.0	ug/L			<5.0	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
INDENO (1,2,3-CD) PYRENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
ISOPHORONE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
NAPHTHALENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
NITROBENZENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
N-NITROSODI-PROPYLAMINE	<5.0	ug/L			<5.0	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
N-NITROSODI-METHYLAMINE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
N-NITROSODI-PHENYLAMINE	<10	mg/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
PHENANTHRENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
PYRENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<10	ug/L			<10	ug/L			1	EPA 625	
Use this space (or a separate sheet) to provide information on other pollutants not specifically listed in this form.											

END OF PART D

REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH OTHER PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

MO 780-18050 (07-23)

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

PART E – TOXICITY TESTING DATA

19. TOXICITY TESTING DATA

Refer to the **APPLICATION OVERVIEW** to determine whether Part E applies to the treatment works.

Publicly owned treatment works, or POTWs, meeting one or more of the following criteria must provide the results of whole effluent toxicity tests for acute or chronic toxicity for each of the facility's discharge points.

A. POTWs with a design flow rate greater than or equal to 1 MGD.
B. POTWs with a pretreatment program (or those that are required to have one under 40 CFR Part 403).
C. POTWs required by the permitting authority to submit data for these parameters.
   - At a minimum, these results must include quarterly testing for a 12-month period within the past one year using multiple species (minimum of two species), or the results from four tests performed at least annually in the four and one-half years prior to the application, provided the results show no appreciable toxicity, and testing for acute or chronic toxicity, depending on the range of receiving water dilution. Do not include information regarding combined sewer overflows in this section. All information reported must be based on data collected through analysis conducted using 40 CFR Part 136 methods. In addition, this data must comply with QA/QC requirements of 40 CFR Part 136 and other appropriate QA/QC requirements for standard methods for analytes not addressed by 40 CFR Part 136.
   - If EPA methods were not used, report the reason for using alternative methods. If test summaries are available that contain all of the information requested below, they may be submitted in place of Part E. If no biomonitoring data is required, do not complete Part E. Refer to the application overview for directions on which other sections of the form to complete.

Indicate the number of whole effluent toxicity tests conducted in the past four and one-half years: _____chronic 4 _____ acute

Complete the following chart **for the last three whole effluent toxicity tests.** Allow one column per test. Copy this page if more than three tests are being reported.

	Most Recent	2ND Most Recent	3RD Most Recent
A. Test Information			
Test Method Number	2000.0/2002.0	2000.0/2002.0	2000.0/2002.0
Final Report Number	HC04276	GH04619	FA01888
Outfall Number	001	001	001
Dates Sample Collected	03/27/2024	8/23/23	1/12/22
Date Test Started	03/29/2024	8/23/23	1/12/22
Duration	48HRs	48hrs	48hrs
B. Toxicity Test Methods Followed			
Manual Title	WET Acute Methods Manual	WET Acute Methods	WET Acute Methods
Edition Number and Year of Publication	5th Edition 2002	5th Edition 2002	5th Edition 2002
Page Number(s)	2000.0/2002.0	2000/2002	2000/2002
C. Sample collection method(s) used. For multiple grab samples, indicate the number of grab samples used			
24-Hour Composite	eff	Eff	Eff
Grab	river	River	River
D. Indicate where the sample was taken in relation to disinfection (Check all that apply for each)			
Before Disinfection	☐	☐	☐
After Disinfection	☑	☑	☑
After Dechlorination	☐	☐	☐
E. Describe the point in the treatment process at which the sample was collected			
Sample Was Collected:	effluent after U.V. System	effluent after U.V. System	effluent after U.V. System
F. Indicate whether the test was intended to assess chronic toxicity, acute toxicity, or both			
Chronic Toxicity	☐	☐	☐
Acute Toxicity	☑	☑	☑
G. Provide the type of test performed			
Static	☐	☐	☐
Static-renewal	☑	☑	☑
Flow-through	☐	☐	☐
H. Source of dilution water. If laboratory water, specify type; if receiving water, specify source			
Laboratory Water	☑	☑	☑
Receiving Water	☐	☐	☐

MO 780-18050 (07-23)

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

	Most Recent	Second Most Recent	Third Most Recent
I. Type of dilution water. If salt water, specify "natural" or type of artificial sea salts or brine used.			
Fresh Water	Moderately Hard Synthetic	Moderately Hard Synthetic	Moderately Hard Synthetic
Salt Water			
J. Percentage of effluent used for all concentrations in the test series			
	100	100	100
	50	50	50
	12.5	12.5	12.5
K. Parameters measured during the test (State whether parameter meets test method specifications)			
pH	7.4	7.5	
Salinity			
Temperature	24	23	
Ammonia	<.30	.41	
Dissolved Oxygen	8.7	7.8	
L. Test Results			
Acute:			
Percent Survival in 100% Effluent	100	100	100
LC_{50}	>100	>100	>100
95% C.I.	>100	>100	>100
Control Percent Survival	100	100	100
Other (Describe)			
Chronic:			
NOEC			
IC_{25}			
Control Percent Survival			
Other (Describe)			
M. Quality Control/ Quality Assurance			
Is reference toxicant data available?			
Was reference toxicant test within acceptable bounds?			
What date was reference toxicant test run (MM/DD/YYYY)?			
Other (Describe)			

Is the treatment works involved in a toxicity reduction evaluation? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If **yes**, describe:

If you have submitted biomonitoring test information, or information regarding the cause of toxicity, within the past four and one-half years, provide the dates the information was submitted to the permitting authority and a summary of the results.

Date Submitted (MM/DD/YYYY)

Summary of Results (See Instructions)

END OF PART E

REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH OTHER PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

MO 780-18050 (07-23)

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO-0099163	001

PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES

Refer to the **APPLICATION OVERVIEW** to determine whether Part F applies to the treatment works.

20. GENERAL INFORMATION

20.1 Does the treatment works have, or is it subject to, an approved pretreatment program?
☑ Yes ☐ No

20.2 Number of Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) and Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs). Provide the number of each of the following types of industrial users that discharge to the treatment works:
Number of non-categorical SIUs 0
Number of CIUs 1

21. INDUSTRIES CONTRIBUTING MORE THAN 5% OF THE ACTUAL FLOW TO THE FACILITY OR OTHER SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS INFORMATION

Supply the following information for each SIU. If more than one SIU discharges to the treatment works, provide the information requested for each. Submit additional pages as necessary.

NAME
tracker marine

MAILING ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
p.o. box 760	ozark	mo	65721

21.1 Describe all of the industrial processes that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.
GALVANIZED STEEL IS PUNCHED BENT AND WELDED TO PRODUCE TRAILER COMPONENTS

21.2 Describe all of the principle processes and raw materials that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.
Principal Product(s): BOAT TRAILERS
Raw Material(s): GALVANIZED STEEL

21.3 Flow Rate

a. PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.
2953 gpd ☑ Continuous ☐ Intermittent

b. NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of non-process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.
8005 gpd ☑ Continuous ☐ Intermittent

21.4 Pretreatment Standards. Indicate whether the SIU is subject to the following:

a. Local Limits ☑ Yes ☐ No

b. Categorical Pretreatment Standards ☑ Yes ☐ No

If subject to categorical pretreatment standards, which category and subcategory?

21.5 Problems at the treatment works attributed to waste discharged by the SIU. Has the SIU caused or contributed to any problems (e.g., upsets, interference) at the treatment works in the past three years? ☐ Yes ☑ No
If **yes**, describe each episode

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

22. RCRA HAZARDOUS WASTE RECEIVED BY TRUCK, RAIL, OR DEDICATED PIPELINE

22.1 Does the treatment works receive or has it in the past three years received RCRA hazardous waste by truck, rail or dedicated pipe? ☐ Yes ☑ No

22.2 Method by which RCRA waste is received. (Check all that apply)
☐ Truck ☐ Rail ☐ Dedicated Pipe

22.3 Waste Description

EPA Hazardous Waste Number	Amount (volume or mass)	Units

23. CERCLA (SUPERFUND) WASTEWATER, RCRA REMEDIATION/CORRECTIVE ACTION WASTEWATER, AND OTHER REMEDIAL ACTIVITY WASTEWATER

23.1 Does the treatment works currently (or has it been notified that it will) receive waste from remedial activities?
☐ Yes ☑ No
Provide a list of sites and the requested information for each current and future site.

23.2 Waste Origin. Describe the site and type of facility at which the CERCLA, RCRA, or other remedial waste originates (or is expected to originate in the next five years).

23.3 List the hazardous constituents that are received (or are expected to be received). Included data on volume and concentration, if known. (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

23.4 Waste Treatment

a. Is this waste treated (or will it be treated) prior to entering the treatment works? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If **yes**, describe the treatment (provide information about the removal efficiency):

b. Is the discharge (or will the discharge be) continuous or intermittent? ☐ Continuous ☐ Intermittent
If **intermittent**, describe the discharge schedule:

END OF PART F
REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH OTHER PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

MO 780-18050 (07-23)

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL

FACILITY NAME	PERMIT NO.	OUTFALL NO.
ozark wwtf	MO- 0099163	001

PART G – COMBINED AND SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS

Refer to the **APPLICATION OVERVIEW** to determine whether Part G applies to the treatment works.

24. GENERAL INFORMATION

24.1 System Map. Provide a map indicating the following: (May be included with basic application information.)

A. All CSO Discharges.
B. Sensitive Use Areas Potentially Affected by CSOs. (e.g., beaches, drinking water supplies, shellfish beds, sensitive aquatic ecosystems and Outstanding Natural Resource Waters.)
C. Waters that Support Threatened and Endangered Species Potentially Affected by CSOs.

24.2 System Diagram. Provide a diagram, either in the map provided above or on a separate drawing, of the Combined Sewer Collection System that includes the following information:

A. Locations of Major Sewer Trunk Lines, Both Combined and Separate Sanitary.
B. Locations of Points where Separate Sanitary Sewers Feed into the Combined Sewer System.
C. Locations of In-Line or Off-Line Storage Structures.
D. Locations of Flow-Regulating Devices.
E. Locations of Pump Stations.

24.3 Percent of collection system that is combined sewer: 0

24.4 Population served by combined sewer collection system: 0

24.5 Name of any satellite community with combined sewer collection system: N/A

25. CSO OUTFALLS. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ONCE FOR EACH CSO DISCHARGE POINT

25.1 Description of Outfall

a. Outfall Number
b. Location
c. Distance from Shore (if applicable) ____ ft
d. Depth Below Surface (if applicable) ____ ft
e. Which of the following were monitored during the last year for this CSO?

☐ Rainfall ☐ CSO Pollutant Concentrations ☐ CSO
☐ CSO Flow Volume ☐ Receiving Water Quality

f. How many storm events were monitored last year?

25.2 CSO Events

a. Give the Number of CSO Events in the Last Year Events ☐ Actual ☐ Approximate
b. Give the Average Duration Per CSO Event Hours ☐ Actual ☐ Approximate
c. Give the Average Volume Per CSO Event Million Gallons ☐ Actual ☐ Approximate
d. Give the minimum rainfall that caused a CSO event in the last year _____ inches of rainfall

25.3 Description of Receiving Waters

a. Name of Receiving Water
b. Name of Watershed/River/Stream System
c. U.S. Soil Conservation Service 14-Digit Watershed Code (If Known)
d. Name of State Management/River Basin
e. U.S. Geological Survey 8- Digit Hydrologic Cataloging Unit Code (If Known)

25.4 CSO Operations

Describe any known water quality impacts on the receiving water caused by this CSO (e.g., permanent or intermittent beach closings, permanent or intermittent shellfish bed closings, fish kills, fish advisories, other recreational loss, or violation of any applicable state water quality standard.)

END OF PART G

REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH OTHER PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING FORM B2
APPLICATION FOR OPERATING PERMIT FOR FACILITIES THAT RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE AND HAVE A DESIGN FLOW MORE THAN 100,000 GALLONS PER DAY, Form 780-1805

(Facilities less than or equal to 100,000 gallons per day of domestic waste must use Form B, 780-1512.)

PART A – BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

1. Check the appropriate box. **Do not check more than one item.** Operating permits refer to permits issued by the Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program. If an Antidegradation Review has not been conducted, submit the application located at the following link, to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102: Water Quality Review Assistance/Antidegradation Review Request MO 780-1893.

1.1 **Fees Information:**

DOMESTIC OPERATING PERMIT FEES – PRIVATELY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS (Non-POTW)

Annual operating permit fees are based on flow.

Annual fee/Design flow	Annual fee/Design flow	Annual fee/Design flow
$150.....<5,000 gpd	$1,000.....15,000-24,999 gpd	$4,000.....100,000-249,999 gpd
$300.....5,000-9,999 gpd	$1,500.....25,000-29,999 gpd	$5,000.....≥250,000 gpd
$600.....10,000-14,999 gpd	$3,000.....30,000-99,999 gpd	

New domestic wastewater treatment facilities must submit the annual fee with the original application.

If the application is for a site-specific permit re-issuance, send no fees. You will be invoiced separately by the department on the anniversary date of the original permit. Permit fees must be current for the department to reissue the operating permit. Late fees of 2% per month are charged and added to outstanding annual fees.

PUBLICLY OWNED SEWER SYSTEM OPERATING PERMIT FEES (City, public sewer district, public water district, or other publicly owned treatment works that charge a service connection fee.) Annual fee is based on number of service connections. Fees listings are found in 10 CSR 20-6.011. New public sewer system facilities should not submit any fee as the department will invoice the permittee.

OPERATING PERMIT MODIFICATIONS, including transfers, are subject to the following fees:

a. Operating permits that charge a service connection fee - $200 each.
b. All other permits
   (1) $100 each for a minor modification (name changes, address changes, other non-substantive changes) or
   (2) A fee equal to 25% of the facility's annual operating fee for a major modification.

2. Name of Facility – Include the name by which this facility is locally known. Example: Southwest Sewage Treatment Plant, Country Club Mobile Home Park, etc. Provide the street address or location of the facility. If the facility lacks a street name or route number, provide the names of the closest intersection, highway, country road, etc.

2.1 Self-explanatory.

2.2 Global Positioning System, or GPS, is a satellite-based navigation system. The department prefers that a GPS receiver is used and the displayed coordinates submitted. If access to a GPS receiver is not available, use a mapping system to approximate the coordinates; the department's mapping system is available at Water Quality Standards Map Viewer.

2.3-2.4 Self-explanatory. For the No Exposure Certification for Exclusion from NPDES Stormwater Permitting Under Missouri Clean Water Law MO 780-2828

3. Owner – Provide the legal name, mailing address, phone number, and email address of the owner of the regulated activity or discharge. The owner identified in this section and subsequently reflected on the certificate page of the operating permit should be the owner of the regulated activity/discharge being applied for and is not necessarily the owner of the real property on which the activity or discharge is occurring.

3.1 Prior to submitting a permit to public notice, the Department of Natural Resources shall provide the permit applicant 10 days to review the draft permit for nonsubstantive drafting errors. In the interest of expediting permit issuance, permit applicants may waive the opportunity to review draft permits prior to public notice.

3.2-3.4 Self-explanatory. See the following link for Financial Questionnaire.

4. Continuing Authority – A continuing authority is a company, business, entity, or person(s) that will be legally responsible for ensuring compliance with the permit requirements and provide continuous stable oversight of the permitted facility or activity. The Continuing Authority should be a relatively permanent entity responsible for the ongoing operation, maintenance, and modernization, when needed, of the permitted facility or activity. A continuing authority is not, however, an entity or individual that is contractually hired by the permittee to sample or operate and maintain the system for a defined time period, such as a certified operator or analytical laboratory. The regulatory requirements regarding continuing authorities are codified at 10 CSR 20-6.010(2). A continuing authority's name must be listed exactly as it appears on the Missouri Secretary of State's (SoS's) webpage, unless the continuing authority is an individual(s), government entity, or otherwise not required to register with the SoS.

5. Operator – Provide the name, certificate number, title, mailing address, primary phone number, and email address of the operator of the facility.

6. Provide the name, title, mailing address, primary phone number, and email address of a person who is thoroughly familiar with the operation of the facility and with the facts reported in this application and who can be contacted by the department.

7.1 **Process Flow Diagram Examples**

WASTEWATER TREATMENT LAGOON



WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY



7.2 A map is available at Water Quality Standards Map Viewer or from the Department of Natural Resources' Geological Survey in Rolla at 573-368-2125.

7.3-7.8 Self – explanatory.

7.9 If wastewater is land-applied submit Form I – Permit Application for Operation of Wastewater Irrigation Systems MO 780-1686.

7.10-8. Self-explanatory

9.1 A copy of 10 CSR 25 is available at Missouri Code of State Regulations 10 CSR 25

9.2-9.9 Self – explanatory.

PART B – ADDITIONAL APPLICATION INFORMATION

10.-14. Self-explanatory

PART C – CERTIFICATION

15. Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System – Visit the eDMR Splash Page. For more information about the eDMR system. The new eDMR system is accessed through the department's Missouri Gateway for Environmental Management (MoGEM) online portal system. You must have a MoGEM account in order to access eDMR. eDMR Waivers to electronic reporting may be granted by the department per 40 CFR 127.15 under certain, special circumstances. A written request must be submitted to the department for approval. Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report Waiver Request Form MO 780-2692. Waivers may be granted to facilities owned or operated by:

   a. members of religious communities that choose not to use certain technologies or
   b. permittees located in areas with limited broadband access. The National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) in collaboration with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) have created a broadband internet availability map: FCC National Broadband Map. Please contact the department if you need assistance.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING FORM B2

APPLICATION FOR OPERATING PERMIT FOR FACILITIES THAT RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE AND HAVE A DESIGN FLOW MORE THAN 100,000 GALLONS PER DAY
(continued)

16. JetPay- **Applicants can pay fees online by credit card or eCheck through a system called JetPay.**
   a. Per Section 37.001, RSMo, a transaction fee will be included. The transaction fee is paid to the third party vendor JetPay, not the Department of Natural Resources.
   b. Be sure to select the correct fee type and corresponding URL to ensure your payment is applied appropriately. If you are unsure what type of fee to pay, please contact the Water Protection Program's Budget, Fees, and Grants Management Unit by phone at (573) 522-1485 for assistance.
   c. Upon successful completion of your payment, JetPay provides a payment confirmation. Submit this form with a copy of the payment confirmation if requesting a new permit or a permit modification. For permit renewals of active permits, the Department will invoice fees annually in a separate request.
   d. If you are unable to make your payment online, but want to pay with credit card, you may email your name, phone number, and invoice number, if applicable, to **wppfees@dnr.mo.gov**. The Budget, Fees, and Grants Management Unit will contact you to assist with the credit card payment. **Please do not include your credit card information in the email.**
   e. Applicants can find fee rates in 10 CSR 20-6.011 or visit Wastewater Treatment Facility Permit Fees - PUB2564.

17. Signature – All applications must be signed as follows and the signatures must be original:
   a. For a corporation, by an officer having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity or for environmental matters.
   b. For a partnership or sole proprietorship, by a general partner or the proprietor.
   c. For a municipal, state, federal or other public facility, by either a principal executive officer or by an individual having overall responsibility for environmental matters at the facility.

PART D – EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA

18. Self-explanatory. ML/MDL means minimum limit or minimum detection limit.

PART E – TOXICITY TESTING DATA

19. Self- explanatory.

PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES

20. Federal regulations are available through the U.S. Government Printing Office: Code of Federal Regulations (Annual Edition).
20.1 Self – explanatory
20.2 A noncategorical significant industrial user is an industrial user that is not a CIU and meets one or more of the following:
   i. Discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the treatment works (with certain exclusions).
   ii. Contributes a process waste stream that makes up 5% or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the treatment plant.
   iii. Is designated as an SIU by the control authority.

21.-23.4 Self-explanatory.

PART G – COMBINED SEWER SYSTEMS

24.-25.4 Self-explanatory.

Submittal of an incomplete application may result in the application being returned.
This completed form and any attachments along with the applicable permit fees, should be submitted to:

cleanwaterpermits@dnr.mo.gov
or
Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
ATTN: NPDES Permits and Engineering Section
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176

Map of regional offices with addresses and phone numbers are available on the web at Regional Offices. If there are any questions concerning this form, contact the appropriate regional office or the Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program, Operating Permits Section at 800-361-4827 or 573-522-4502.