

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No.	MO-0055590
Owner:	City of Greenfield
Address:	115 South Main Street, Greenfield, MO 65661
Continuing Authority:	Same as above
Address:	Same as above
Facility Name:	Greenfield Sharpe WWTF
Facility Address:	Northwest of Hwy BB and Hunter Street intersection, Greenfield, MO 65661
Legal Description:	See Page 2
UTM Coordinates:	See Page 2
Receiving Stream:	See Page 2
First Classified Stream and ID:	See Page 2
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	See Page 2

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Outfall / Permitted Feature #001 – POTW – SIC #4952



The use or operation of this facility shall be by or under the supervision of a Certified “D” Operator.
Two-cell lagoon / partial irrigation with wastewater irrigated to the surface / sludge retained in lagoon
Design population equivalent is 1,280.
Design flow is 128,000 gallons per day.
Actual flow is 72,000 gallons per day.
Design sludge production is 19.2 dry tons/year.

(Continued on Page 2)

This permit authorizes irrigation and discharges of wastewater under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

April 1, 2019
Effective Date

March 31, 2024
Expiration Date


Edward B. Galbraith, Director, Division of Environmental Quality

Chris Wieberg, Director, Water Protection Program

FACILITY DESCRIPTION (continued):

Outfall / Permitted Feature #001 (continued)

Legal Description: Sec. 13, T31N, R27W, Dade County
UTM Coordinates: X=424134, Y=4141930
Receiving Stream: Tributary to Wetzel Branch
First Classified Stream and ID: Wetzel Branch (C) (3960)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10290106- 0301)

Two-cell storage basin:

Maximum Operating Level: 2 feet of freeboard (storage basin water level in feet below the overflow level) in both cells

Storage volume (min to max water levels, in gallons):	<u>Cell #1</u>	<u>Cell #2</u>	<u>Total</u>
	5,842,906	5,645,394	11,488,300

Storage Capacity (in Days):

Design for Dry weather flows: 106 days
Design with 1-in 10 year flows: 101 days

Permitted Feature INF – POTW – SIC #4952

Influent Monitoring Location – Influent manholes (2)

Legal Description: Sec. 13, T31N, R27W, Dade County
UTM Coordinates: X=424330, Y=4141627 & X=424285, Y=4141933

Permitted Feature #003 – Irrigation Field 1

Legal Description: Sec. 13, T31N, R27W, Dade County
UTM Coordinates: X=423906, Y=4141872
Receiving Stream: Tributary to Wetzel Branch
First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) (3960)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10290106-0301)

Wastewater Irrigation Design Parameters:

Irrigation volume per year: ~2,606,812 gallons (based on allowable annual irrigation rate)
Irrigation areas: 4 acres available
Irrigation rates: 0.2 inch/hour; 1.0 inch/day; 3.0 inches/week; 24 inches/year
Field slopes: less than 8 percent
Equipment type: traveling gun
Vegetation: grass land
Irrigation rate is based on: Hydraulic loading rate

Permitted Feature #004 – Irrigation Field 2

Legal Description: Sec. 13, T31N, R27W, Dade County
UTM Coordinates: X=423901, Y=4142132
Receiving Stream: Tributary to Wetzel Branch
First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) (3960)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10290106-0301)

Wastewater Irrigation Design Parameters:

Irrigation volume per year: ~10,427,246 gallons (based on allowable annual irrigation rate)
Irrigation areas: ~16 acres available
Irrigation rates: 0.2 inch/hour; 1.0 inch/day; 3.0 inches/week; 24 inches/year
Field slopes: less than 8 percent
Equipment type: traveling gun
Vegetation: grass land
Irrigation rate is based on: Hydraulic loading rate

Permitted Feature SM1 – Instream Monitoring

Instream monitoring location – Upstream –at bridge over Wetzel Branch on Hwy BB – See Special Condition #25

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-1. INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
	The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. In accordance with 10 CSR 20-7.031, the effluent limitations outlined in Table A-2 must be achieved as soon as possible but no later than April 1, 2023 . These interim effluent limitations in Table A-1 are effective beginning April 1, 2019 and remain in effect through March 31, 2023 or as soon as possible. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:					
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow (Note 1, Page 6)	MGD	*		*	once/week	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅ (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L		65	45	once/month	grab
Total Suspended Solids (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L		120	80	once/month	grab
Ammonia as N (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L	*		*	once/month	grab
Oil & Grease (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2019</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Nitrate + Nitrite	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>JULY 28, 2019</u> .						
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MINIMUM		MAXIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
pH – Units** (Note 1, Page 6)	SU	6.5		9.0	once/month	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2019</u> .						
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)			UNITS	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅ – Percent Removal (Note 3, Page 6)			%	65	once/month	calculated
Total Suspended Solids – Percent Removal (Note 3, Page 6)			%	65	once/month	calculated
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2019</u> .						

- * Monitoring requirement only.
 ** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.
 *** See table below for quarterly sampling requirements.

Quarterly Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	Months	Total Phosphorus & Total Nitrogen	Report is Due
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-2. INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
	The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. In accordance with 10 CSR 20-7.031, the final effluent limitations outlined in Table A-3 must be achieved as soon as possible but no later than April 1, 2034 . These interim effluent limitations in Table A-2 are effective beginning April 1, 2023 and remain in effect through March 31, 2034 or as soon as possible. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:					
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow (Note 1, Page 6)	MGD	*		*	once/week	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅ (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L		65	45	once/month	grab
Total Suspended Solids (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L		120	80	once/month	grab
<i>E. coli</i> (Notes 1 & 2, Page 6)	#/100mL		1,030	206	once/week	grab
Ammonia as N (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L	*		*	once/month	grab
Oil & Grease (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2023</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Nitrate + Nitrite	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>JULY 28, 2023</u> .						
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MINIMUM		MAXIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
pH – Units** (Note 1, Page 6)	SU	6.5		9.0	once/month	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2023</u> .						
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)			UNITS	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅ – Percent Removal (Note 3, Page 6)			%	65	once/month	calculated
Total Suspended Solids – Percent Removal (Note 3, Page 6)			%	65	once/month	calculated
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2023</u> .						

- * Monitoring requirement only.
 ** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.
 *** See table below for quarterly sampling requirements.

Quarterly Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	Months	Total Phosphorus & Total Nitrogen	Report is Due
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-3. FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
	The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations in Table A-3 shall become effective on April 1, 2034 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:					
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow (Note 1, Page 6)	MGD	*		*	once/week	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅ (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L		65	45	once/month	grab
Total Suspended Solids (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L		120	80	once/month	grab
<i>E. coli</i> (Notes 1 & 2, Page 6)	#/100mL		1,030	206	once/week	grab
Ammonia as N (Note 1, Page 6) (Apr 1 – Sep 30) (Oct 1 – Mar 31)	mg/L	6.0 12.1		1.2 2.4	once/month	grab
Oil & Grease (Note 1, Page 6)	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2034</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Nitrate + Nitrite	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>JULY 28, 2034</u> .						
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MINIMUM		MAXIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
pH – Units** (Note 1, Page 6)	SU	6.5		9.0	once/month	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2034</u> .						
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)			UNITS	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅ – Percent Removal (Note 3, Page 6)			%	65	once/month	calculated
Total Suspended Solids – Percent Removal (Note 3, Page 6)			%	65	once/month	calculated
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2034</u> .						

- * Monitoring requirement only.
 ** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.
 *** See table below for quarterly sampling requirements.

Quarterly Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	Months	Total Phosphorus & Total Nitrogen	Report is Due
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-4. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
	The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations in Table A-4 shall become effective on April 1, 2019 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:					
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (Note 4)	TU _a	*			once/permit cycle	grab
WET TEST REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE SEPTEMBER 28, 2023 .						

* Monitoring requirement only.

Note 1 - Controlled discharges from Outfall #001 shall be conducted according to the requirements of Special Condition #23.

Note 2 - Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

Note 3 – Influent sampling for BOD₅ and TSS is not required during periods of land application when the facility does not discharge effluent or when holding for controlled discharge purposes and a discharge does not occur during the reporting period. Samples are to be collected prior to any treatment process. Percent Removal is calculated by the following formula: [(Average Influent – Average Effluent) / Average Influent] x 100% = Percent Removal. Influent and effluent samples are to be taken during the same month. The Average Influent and Average Effluent values are to be calculated by adding the respective values together and dividing by the number of samples taken during the month. Influent samples are to be collected as a grab sample.

Note 4 – The Acute WET test shall be conducted once per permit cycle. See Special Condition #24 for additional requirements.

PERMITTED FEATURE #001	TABLE A-5 IRRIGATION SYSTEM LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
	The permittee is authorized to conduct irrigation of wastewater as specified in the application for this permit. The final limitations in Table A-5 shall become effective on April 1, 2019 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. The irrigation of wastewater shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:					
STORAGE BASIN PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY TOTAL	WEEKLY TOTAL	MONTHLY TOTAL	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Storage Basin Freeboard**	feet	*			once/week	measured
Precipitation	inches	*		*	daily	total
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE MAY 28, 2019 .						

* Monitoring requirement only.

** Storage Basin Freeboard shall be reported as storage basin water level in feet below the overflow level.

PERMITTED FEATURES #003 & #004 Ω	TABLE A-6 IRRIGATION SYSTEM LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
	The permittee is authorized to conduct irrigation of wastewater as specified in the application for this permit. The final limitations in Table A-6 shall become effective on April 1, 2019 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. The irrigation of wastewater shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:					
IRRIGATION OPERATIONAL MONITORING PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY TOTAL	WEEKLY TOTAL	MONTHLY TOTAL	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Irrigation Period	hours	*		*	daily	total
Volume Irrigated	gallons	*		*	daily	total
Irrigation Area	acres	*		*	daily	total
Irrigation Rate	inches	*		*	daily	total
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>MAY 28, 2019</u> .						

* Monitoring requirement only.

Ω Monitoring data from each Permitted Feature shall be reported separately.

PERMITTED FEATURE INF †	TABLE B-1. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
	The monitoring requirements in Table B-1 shall become effective on April 1, 2019 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. The influent wastewater shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:					
PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Ammonia	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Nitrate + Nitrite	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>JULY 28, 2019</u> .						

*** See table below for quarterly sampling requirements.

Quarterly Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	Months	Influent Parameters	Report is Due
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

† - Combine influent samples collected from each influent manhole into one sample for testing and reporting purposes.

PERMITTED FEATURE <u>SM1</u>	TABLE C-1. INSTREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
	The monitoring requirements in Table C-1 shall become effective on April 1, 2019 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. The stream shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:					
PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				
		DAILY MAXIMUM		MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Ammonia	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
Nitrate + Nitrite	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>JULY 28, 2019</u> .						

* Monitoring requirement only.

*** See table below for quarterly sampling

Quarterly Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	Months	Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Ammonia, and Nitrate + Nitrite	Report is Due
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

D. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

Ammonia

The facility shall attain compliance with final effluent limitations for Ammonia as soon as reasonably achievable or no later than **15 years** of the effective date of this permit.

1. The permittee shall submit interim progress reports detailing progress made in attaining compliance with the final effluent limits every 12 months from the effective date of this permit.
2. Within **15 years** of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall attain compliance with the final effluent limits.

E. coli

The facility shall attain compliance with final effluent limitations for *E. coli* as soon as reasonably achievable or no later than **4 years** of the effective date of this permit.

3. The permittee shall submit interim progress reports detailing progress made in attaining compliance with the final effluent limits every 12 months from the effective date of this permit.
4. Within **4 years** of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall attain compliance with the final effluent limits.

Please submit progress reports to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources via the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System.

E. STANDARD CONDITIONS

In addition to specified conditions stated herein, this permit is subject to the attached Parts I, II, & III standard conditions dated August 1, 2014, May 1, 2013, and March 1, 2015, and hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System.

The permittee shall submit an eDMR Permit Holder and Certifier Registration form within **90 days** of the effective date of this permit. Per 40 CFR Part 127 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, reporting of effluent limits and monitoring shall be submitted by the permittee via an electronic system to ensure a timely, complete, accurate, and nationally-consistent set of data. Visit <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2474.pdf> to access the Facility Participation Package which contains the eDMR Permit Holder and Certifier Registration form.

Once the permittee is activated in the eDMR system:

- (a) Discharge Monitoring Reporting Requirements. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data via the eDMR system. In regards to Standard Conditions Part I, Section B, #7, the eDMR system is currently the only Department approved reporting method for this permit.
- (b) Programmatic Reporting Requirements. The following reports (if required by this permit) must be electronically submitted as an attachment to the eDMR system until such a time when the current or a new system is available to allow direct input of the data:
 - (1) Collection System Maintenance Annual Reports;
 - (2) Schedule of Compliance Progress Reports;
 - (3) Wastewater Irrigation Annual Reports;
 - (4) Sludge/Biosolids Annual Reports; and
 - (5) Any additional report required by the permit excluding bypass reporting.After such a system has been made available by the Department, required data shall be directly input into the system by the next report due date.
- (c) Other actions. The following shall be submitted electronically after such a system has been made available by the Department:
 - (1) Notices of Intent to discharge (NOIs);
 - (2) Notices of Termination (NOTs); and
 - (3) Bypass reporting, See Special Condition #12 for 24-hr. bypass reporting requirements.
- (d) Electronic Submissions. To access the eDMR system, use the following link in your web browser: <https://edmr.dnr.mo.gov/edmr/E2/Shared/Pages/Main/Login.aspx>.
- (e) Waivers from Electronic Reporting. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data and reports unless a waiver is granted by the Department in compliance with 40 CFR Part 127. The permittee may obtain an electronic reporting waiver by first submitting an eDMR Waiver Request Form: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf>. The Department will either approve or deny this electronic reporting waiver request within 120 calendar days. Only permittees with an approved waiver request may submit monitoring data and reports on paper to the Department for the period that the approved electronic reporting waiver is effective.

- 2. The full implementation of this operating permit, which includes implementation of any applicable schedules of compliance, shall constitute compliance with all applicable federal and state statutes and regulations in accordance with §644.051.16, RSMo, and the Clean Water Act (CWA) section 402(k); however, this permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued:
 - (a) To comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the CWA, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) To incorporate an approved pretreatment program or modification thereto pursuant to 40 CFR 403.8(c) or 40 CFR 403.18(e), respectively.
- 3. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field. Permitted features, including storage basin, irrigation sites, and instream monitoring locations shall be marked on an aerial or topographic site map included with the Operation and Maintenance manual.
- 4. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period. For instream samples, report as “no flow” if no stream flow occurs during the report period.
- 5. It is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law to fail to pay fees associated with this permit (644.055 RSMo).

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

6. Changes in existing pollutants or the addition of new pollutants to the treatment facility

The permittee must provide adequate notice to the Director of the following:

- (a) Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of CWA if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
- (b) Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
- (c) For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on:
 - (1) the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and
 - (2) any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

7. Reporting of Non-Detects:

- (a) An analysis conducted by the permittee or their contracted laboratory shall be conducted in such a way that the precision and accuracy of the analyzed result can be enumerated.
- (b) The permittee shall not report a sample result as "Non-Detect" without also reporting the detection limit of the test. Reporting as "Non Detect" without also including the detection limit will be considered failure to report, which is a violation of this permit.
- (c) The permittee shall provide the "Non-Detect" sample result using the less than sign and the minimum detection limit (e.g. <10).
- (d) Where the permit contains a Minimum Level (ML) and the permittee is granted authority in the permit to report zero in lieu of the < ML for a specified parameter (conventional, priority pollutants, metals, etc.), then zero (0) is to be reported for that parameter.
- (e) See Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, #4 regarding proper detection limits used for sample analysis.
- (f) When calculating monthly averages, one-half of the method detection limit (MDL) should be used instead of a zero. Where all data are below the MDL, the "<MDL" shall be reported as indicated in item (c).

8. Wastewater Irrigation System.

- (a) Storage Basin Operating Levels – Partial Irrigation Systems. The minimum and maximum operating water levels for the storage basins shall be clearly marked in each of the storage basins. Wastewater shall be irrigated whenever feasible based on soil, weather conditions, and permit requirements.
- (b) General Irrigation Requirements. The wastewater irrigation system shall be operated so as to provide uniform distribution of irrigated wastewater over the entire irrigation site. A complete ground cover of vegetation shall be maintained on the irrigation site unless the system is approved for row crop irrigation. If the facility determines that night time irrigation is needed, the facility shall submit a night time irrigation plan to the Department's Water Protection Program for review and approval. Night time irrigation shall only occur when the Department has approved the night time irrigation plan.
- (c) Saturated/Frozen Conditions. There shall be no irrigation during ground frost; frozen, snow-covered, or saturated soil conditions; or when precipitation is imminent or occurring.
- (d) Slope Restrictions. Wastewater irrigation on slopes exceeding 10%, the hourly irrigation rate shall not exceed one-half (1/2) the design sustained permeability and in no case shall exceed one-half (1/2) inch per hour.
- (e) Set Backs. There shall be no irrigation within:
 - (1) 300 feet of any sinkhole, losing stream, or any other feature that may provide a connection to the ground water table and the surface;
 - (2) 300 feet from any existing potable water supply well not located on the property;
 - (3) 150 feet of dwelling or public use areas;
 - (4) 100 feet of any gaining perennial or intermittent streams or tributaries or any publicly or privately owned ponds or lakes. As a compliance alternative a 35-foot vegetative buffer that is permanently covered with perennial vegetation may be substituted for the 100 foot set-back requirement;
 - (5) 50 feet of the property line or public road.
- (f) Public Access Restrictions. Public access shall not be allowed to public-use-area irrigation sites when irrigation is occurring.
- (g) Grazing and Harvesting of Forage Crops Restrictions. Grazing of animals shall be deferred as per the following:
 - (1) From May 1 to October 30, the minimum deferment from grazing or forage harvesting shall be 14 days.
 - (2) From November 1 to April 30, the minimum deferment from grazing or forage harvesting shall be 30 days.
- (h) Irrigated Wastewater Disinfection. Wastewater shall be disinfected prior to irrigation (not storage) to public-use-areas.
- (i) Agronomic Irrigation Rates. Wastewater irrigation shall not exceed agronomic rates to ensure agricultural use of nutrients and prevent contamination of surface and groundwater. The agronomic rate is the amount of wastewater applied to a field to meet the fertilizer recommendation.
- (j) Equipment Checks during Irrigation. The irrigation system and irrigation site shall be visually inspected at least twice/day during wastewater irrigation to check for equipment malfunctions and runoff from the irrigation site.

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

9. Wastewater irrigation records shall be maintained and summarized into an annual operating report for the previous calendar year. This annual report is in addition to the reporting requirements listed in Table A and the report shall be kept onsite and made available to Department personnel upon request. The summarized annual report shall include the following:
 - (a) Record of maintenance and repairs performed during the year, average number of times per month the facility is checked to see if it is operating properly, and description of any unusual operating conditions encountered during the year;
 - (b) The number of days the storage basin(s) has discharged during the year, the discharge flow, and the reasons discharge occurred; and
 - (c) A summary of the irrigation operations for the year including: the number of days of irrigation, the total gallons irrigated, the total acres used, the irrigation rate in inches for the year, and the annual precipitation received at the facility.
10. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. To request a modification of the operational control testing requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, the permittee shall submit a permit modification application and fee to the Department requesting a deviation from the operational control monitoring requirements. If the request is approved, the Department will modify the permit.
11. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility unless they meet the criteria in 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2. Bypasses are to be reported to the Southwest Regional Office during normal business hours or by using the online Sanitary Sewer Overflow/Facility Bypass Application located at: <https://dnr.mo.gov/mogem/> or the Environmental Emergency Response spill-line at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. Once an electronic reporting system compliant with 40 CFR Part 127, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, is available all bypasses must be reported electronically via the new system. Blending, which is the practice of combining a partially-treated wastewater process stream with a fully-treated wastewater process stream prior to discharge, is not considered a form of bypass. If the permittee wishes to utilize blending, the permittee shall file an application to modify this permit to facilitate the inclusion of appropriate monitoring conditions.
12. The permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The recommended guidance is the US EPA's Guide For Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation, And Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document number EPA 305-B-05-002) or the Departments' CMOM Model located at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/docs/cmom-template.doc>. For additional information regarding the Departments' CMOM Model, see the CMOM Plan Model Guidance document at <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2574.htm>.

The permittee shall also submit a report via the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System annually, by January 28th, for the previous calendar year. The report shall contain the following information:

 - (a) A summary of the efforts to locate and eliminate sources of excessive infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
 - (b) A summary of the general maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
 - (c) A summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the upcoming calendar year. This list shall include locations (GPS, 911 address, manhole number, etc.) and actions to be taken.
13. The permittee shall develop, maintain and implement an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Manual that includes all necessary items to ensure the operation and integrity of the waste handling and wastewater irrigation systems, including key operating procedures, an aerial or topographic site map with the permitted features, irrigation fields, and irrigation buffer zones marked, and a brief summary of the operation of the facility. The O&M manual shall be made available to the operator and shall be reviewed and updated at least every five years or when there is a change in equipment or irrigation sites.
14. Reporting of Non-Detects:
 - (a) An analysis conducted by the permittee or their contracted laboratory shall be conducted in such a way that the precision and accuracy of the analyzed result can be enumerated.
 - (b) The permittee shall not report a sample result as "Non-Detect" without also reporting the detection limit of the test. Reporting as "Non Detect" without also including the detection limit will be considered failure to report, which is a violation of this permit.
 - (c) The permittee shall provide the "Non-Detect" sample result using the less than sign and the minimum detection limit (e.g. <10).

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (d) Where the permit contains a Minimum Level (ML) and the permittee is granted authority in the permit to report zero in lieu of the < ML for a specified parameter (conventional, priority pollutants, metals, etc.), then zero (0) is to be reported for that parameter.
 - (e) See Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, #4 regarding proper detection limits used for sample analysis.
 - (f) When calculating monthly averages, one-half of the method detection limit (MDL) should be used instead of a zero. Where all data are below the MDL, the "<MDL" shall be reported as indicated in item (c).
- 15. Access to the lagoon system and any associated wastewater irrigation equipment must be sufficiently restricted or secured to prevent entry by children, livestock and unauthorized persons as well as to protect the facility from vandalism.
 - 16. An all-weather access road shall be provided to the treatment facility.
 - 17. The berms of the lagoon system shall be mowed and kept free of any deep-rooted vegetation, animal dens, or other potential sources of damage to the berms.
 - 18. The facility shall ensure that adequate provisions are provided to prevent surface water intrusion into the lagoon system and to divert stormwater runoff around the lagoon system and protect embankments from erosion.
 - 19. A minimum of two (2) feet of freeboard must be maintained in each lagoon cell. A lagoon level gauge, which clearly marks the minimum freeboard level, shall be provided in each lagoon cell.
 - 20. The discharge from the wastewater treatment facility shall be conveyed to the receiving stream via a closed pipe or a paved or rip-rapped open channel. Sheet or meandering drainage is not acceptable. The outfall sewer shall be protected against the effects of floodwater, ice or other hazards as to reasonably insure its structural stability and freedom from stoppage. The outfall shall be maintained so that a sample of the effluent can be obtained at a point after the final treatment process and before the discharge mixes with the receiving waters.
 - 21. Wastewater Irrigation Sites. To add additional irrigation sites or to convert any of the land to public-use-areas, a construction permit, geohydrological evaluation, soils report, and permit modification may be required. The facility shall contact the Department for a written determination.
 - 22. If the permittee is planning to remove sludge from the lagoon/storage basin, the permittee shall receive approval from the Department for the method of sludge disposal prior to its removal
 - 23. Controlled Discharges.
 - (a) The term "controlled discharge" used herein shall mean a discharge event to allow water to flow from the facility through the permitted outfall(s) into the receiving stream that is initiated by the operator by means of opening a single or multiple valves, gates, or other operational control and then stopped by the operator by closing the same valves, gates, or other operational control.
 - (b) Sampling for the effluent limitations in Table A during a controlled discharge shall be conducted weekly, with at least two sampling events during the discharge. One sampling event shall be conducted near the beginning of the controlled discharge and another sampling event conducted near the end of the controlled discharge. Controlled discharge sampling results can be considered as the monthly sampling requirement as required by Table A.
 - (c) To avoid adversely affecting the hydrology of the receiving stream, means to dissipate the energy of the controlled discharge flow shall be provided. Energy dissipation may be provided by rip-rap, diffuser, or other Department approved method.
 - (d) Effluent limitations and Water Quality Standards shall not be violated at any time during a controlled discharge.

F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

24. Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:
- (a) Freshwater Species and Test Methods: Species and short-term test methods for estimating the acute toxicity of NPDES effluents are found in the most recent edition of *Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* (EPA/821/R-02/012; Table IA, 40 CFR Part 136). The permittee shall concurrently conduct 48-hour, static, non-renewal toxicity tests with the following species:
 - The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2000.0).
 - The daphnid, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2002.0).
 - (b) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control sample and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping. Where upstream receiving water is not available or known to be toxic, other approved control water may be used.
 - (c) Test conditions must meet all test acceptability criteria required by the EPA Method used in the analysis.
 - (d) The Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) for this facility is 100% with the dilution series being: 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25%.
 - (e) All chemical and physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% effluent concentration.
 - (f) The facility must submit a full laboratory report for all toxicity testing. The report must include a quantification of acute toxic units ($TU_a = 100/LC_{50}$) reported according to the test methods manual chapter on report preparation and test review. The Lethal Concentration 50 Percent (LC_{50}) is the effluent concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the test organisms at a specific time.
25. Receiving Water Monitoring Conditions
- (a) The upstream receiving water sample should be collected at a point upstream from any influence of the effluent, where the water is visibly flowing down stream. In the event that a safe, accessible location is not present at the location(s) listed, a suitable location can be negotiated with the Department. Samples should be taken at least four feet from the bank or from the middle of the stream (whichever is less) and 6-inches below the surface if possible. The upstream receiving water sample should be collected at a point upstream from any influence of the effluent, where the water is visibly flowing down stream.
 - (b) When conducting in-stream monitoring, the permittee shall record observations that include: the time of day, weather conditions, unusual stream characteristics (e.g., septic conditions, algae growth, etc.), the stream segment (e.g., riffle, pool or run) from where the sample was collected. These observations shall be submitted with the sample results.
 - (c) Samples shall not be collected from areas with especially turbulent flow, still water or from the stream bank, unless these conditions are representative of the stream reach or no other areas are available for sample collection. Sampling should not be made when significant precipitation has occurred recently. The sampling event should be terminated and rescheduled if any of the following conditions occur:
 - If turbidity in the stream increases notably; or
 - If rainfall over the past two weeks exceeds 2.5 inches or exceeds 1 inch in the last 24 hours
 - (d) Always use the correct sampling technique and handling procedure specified for the parameter of interest. Please refer to the latest edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater for further discussion of proper sampling techniques. All analyses must be conducted in accordance with an approved EPA method. Meters shall be calibrated immediately (within 1 hour) prior to the sampling event.
 - (e) Please contact the Department if you need additional instructions or assistance.

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FACT SHEET
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL
OF
MO-0055590
GREENFIELD SHARPE WWTF

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of stormwater from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)(A)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Minor.

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: POTW - SIC #4952

Facility Description: Two-cell lagoon / partial irrigation with wastewater irrigated to the surface / sludge retained in lagoon

Have any changes occurred at this facility or in the receiving water body that effects effluent limit derivation?

☐ - Yes.

☒ - No.

Application Date: 02/08/2017

Expiration Date: 07/30/2013

PERMITTED FEATURE(S) TABLE:

PERMITTED FEATURE	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE
#001	0.19	Equivalent to Secondary / Wastewater Irrigation	Domestic
#003		Irrigation Field	
#004		Irrigation Field	

Facility Performance History:

The facility failed to submit the March 2015 Land Application Operational Monitoring and Irrigated Wastewater data. The facility also failed to submit the May 2017 Irrigated Wastewater data. This facility was last inspected on November 30, 2017. The conditions of the facility at the time of inspection were found to be satisfactory.

Comments:

Changes in this permit include the addition of *E. coli* limits, final limits for Ammonia, the addition of monitoring for Ammonia (influent and instream), Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (influent, effluent, and instream), Nitrate + Nitrite (influent, effluent, and instream), and Total Phosphorus (influent, effluent, and instream), the correction of limits for Biochemical Oxygen Demand, revision of pH limits, and the removal of Dissolved Oxygen, Temperature, and Fecal Coliform. See Part VII of the Fact Sheet for further information regarding the addition and removal of effluent parameters. Special conditions were updated to include the addition of inflow and infiltration reporting requirements, reporting of Non-detects, bypass reporting requirements, pretreatment requirements, addition of controlled discharge requirements, and the addition of instream monitoring requirements.

This facility conducts controlled discharges from the lagoon system as stated on the application for renewal and as evidenced on the discharge monitoring reports that show several months of no-discharge. During a controlled discharge, the facility may begin drawing from areas in the lagoon that have not received full treatment as the water level is lowered in the lagoon cell. This becomes more of a problem if the lagoon is drawn down in a few days. Although the discharge might meet effluent limitations at the beginning, it may not at the end. Additional sampling requirements are included as Note 1 and Special Conditions #23 in the permit.

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

☒ - This facility is required to have a certified operator.

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Owned or operated by or for a

☒ - Municipalities

☐ - Federal agency

☐ - County

☐ - Public Sewer District

☐ - State agency

☐ - Private Sewer Company regulated by the Public Service Commission

☐ - Public Water Supply Districts

Each of the above entities are only applicable if they have a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200).

This facility currently requires an operator with a D Certification Level. Please see **Appendix - Classification Worksheet**. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

Operator's Name: Casey L. Jones
Certification Number: 4912
Certification Level: WW-B

The listing of the operator above only signifies that staff drafting this operating permit have reviewed appropriate Department records and determined that the name listed on the operating permit application has the correct and applicable Certification Level.

☐ - This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

Part III– Operational Control Testing Requirements

Missouri Clean Water Commission regulation 10 CSR 20-9.010 requires certain publically owned treatment works and privately owned facilities regulated by the Public Service Commission to conduct internal operational control monitoring to further ensure proper operation of the facility and to be a safeguard or early warning for potential plant upsets that could affect effluent quality. This requirement is only applicable if the publically owned treatment works and privately owned facilities regulated by the Public Service Commission have a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200).

10 CSR 20-9.010(3) allows the Department to modify the monitoring frequency required in the rule based upon the Department's judgement of monitoring needs for process control at the specified facility

☐ - As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4)], the facility is not required to conduct operational monitoring.

☒ - As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4)], the facility is required to conduct operational monitoring.

Part IV – Receiving Stream Information

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE: OUTFALL / PERMITTED FEATURE #001

WATER-BODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	12-DIGIT HUC	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
Tributary to Wetzel Branch	NA	NA	General Criteria	10290106-0107	0.04
Wetzel Branch	C	3960	AQL, WBC-B, SCR, HHP, IRR, LWW		

*As per 10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission's water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are in the receiving stream table in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)].

Uses which may be found in the receiving streams table, above:

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)1.:

AQL = Protection of aquatic life (Current narrative use(s) are defined to ensure the protection and propagation of fish shellfish and wildlife, which is further subcategorized as: WWH = Warm Water Habitat; **CDF** = Cold-water fishery (Current narrative use is cold-water habitat.); **CLF** = Cool-water fishery (Current narrative use is cool-water habitat); EAH = Ephemeral Aquatic Habitat; MAH = Modified Aquatic Habitat; LAH = Limited Aquatic Habitat. This permit uses AQL effluent limitations in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A for all habitat designations unless otherwise specified.)

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)2.: Recreation in and on the water

WBC = Whole Body Contact recreation where the entire body is capable of being submerged;

WBC-A = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming uses and has public access;

WBC-B = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming;

SCR = Secondary Contact Recreation (like fishing, wading, and boating).

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)3. to 7.:

HHP (formerly HHF) = Human Health Protection as it relates to the consumption of fish;

IRR = Irrigation for use on crops utilized for human or livestock consumption;

LWW = Livestock and wildlife watering (Current narrative use is defined as LWP = Livestock and Wildlife Protection);

DWS = Drinking Water Supply;

IND = Industrial water supply

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)8-11.: Wetlands (10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A currently does not have corresponding habitat use criteria for these defined uses)

WSA = Storm- and flood-water storage and attenuation; WHP = Habitat for resident and migratory wildlife species;

WRC = Recreational, cultural, educational, scientific, and natural aesthetic values and uses; WHC = Hydrologic cycle maintenance.

10 CSR 20-7.031(6): **GRW** = Groundwater

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES:

RECEIVING STREAM (C, E, P, P1)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Tributary to Wetzel Branch	NA	NA	NA

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS

Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(I)(a)].

Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

RECEIVING STREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

Facilities with a design flow greater than 100,000 gallons per day are required to sample their effluent quarterly for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)7. Upstream monitoring for these parameters is necessary to determine background concentrations in order to complete calculations related to future effluent limit derivation where necessary or appropriate.

Permitted Feature SM1. (Upstream)

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality: The Department conducted a stream survey on July 8, 2012. No impacts were observed.

Part V – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

☒ - The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(40)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(O)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(o); 40 CFR Part 122.44(l)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

☐ - All limits in this operating permit are at least as protective as those previously established; therefore, backsliding does not apply.

☒ - Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.

☒ - Information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance (other than revised regulations, guidance, or test methods) and which would have justified the application of a less stringent effluent limitation at the time of permit issuance.

- Removal of effluent limits and monitoring only parameters
 - **Temperature.** The Department has concluded that domestic wastewater treatment facilities have no reasonable potential to exceed Water Quality Standards for temperature. Due to the fact that this facility will have a minimal effect on temperature this parameter has been removed from the permit.
 - **Dissolved Oxygen.** Effluent monitoring removed. The permit writer did not observe a reasonable potential to violate water quality standards. DMR data indicates the facility consistently has appropriate dissolved oxygen in the effluent.
 - **Fecal Coliform.** Fecal Coliform limits were removed from the permit and replaced by *E. coli* due to the change in the Missouri Water Quality Standards from Fecal Coliform to *E. coli*.
- WET testing requirements were changed from pass/fail to monitoring only for toxic units. This change reflects modifications to Missouri's Effluent Regulation found at 10 CSR 20-7.015. 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii) requiring the Department to establish effluent limitations to control all parameters which have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standard, including state narrative criteria. The previous permit imposed a pass/fail limitation without collecting sufficient numerical data to conduct an analytical reasonable potential analysis. The permit writer has made a reasonable potential determination which concluded the facility does not have reasonable potential at this time but monitoring is required. Implementation of the toxic unit monitoring requirement will allow the Department to effect numeric criteria in accordance with water quality standards established under §303 of the CWA.

☒ - The Department determines that technical mistakes or mistaken interpretations of law were made in issuing the permit under section 402(a)(1)(b).

- **General Criteria.** The previous permit contained a special condition which described a specific set of prohibitions related to general criteria found in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). In order to comply with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), the permit writer has conducted reasonable potential determinations for each general criterion and established numeric effluent limitations where reasonable potential exists. While the removal of the previous permit special condition creates the appearance of backsliding, since this permit establishes numeric limitations where reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the general criteria exists the permit maintains sufficient effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in order to protect water quality, this permit is equally protective as compared to the previous permit. Therefore, given this new information, and the fact that the previous permit special condition was not consistent with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), an error occurred in the establishment of the general criteria as a special condition of the previous permit. Please see Part VI – Effluent Limits Determination for more information regarding the reasonable potential determinations for each general criterion related to this facility.
- Effluent limitations for Biochemical Oxygen Demand were changed back to the permit limits (weekly average 120 mg/L and monthly average of 80 mg/L) established in the 1994 permit as the limits (weekly average 110 mg/L and monthly average of 70 mg/L) established in the previous permit issued in 2002 were in error as the previous permit writer did not provide justification as to why the effluent limits were changed. The limits established in this permit are set to the limits contained in 10 CSR 20-7.015(8)(A)4 and the limits that were established in 1994.

ANTIDEGRADATION:

In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. Degradation is justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharging activity after determining the necessity of the discharge.

☒ - No degradation proposed and no further review necessary. Facility did not apply for authorization to increase pollutant loading or to add additional pollutants to their discharge.

☐ - This permit contains new and/or expanded discharge; please see **APPENDIX FOR ANTIDEGRADATION ANALYSIS**.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(2)(C)], ...An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, a statement waiving preferential status from each existing higher preference authority, providing the waiver does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.

BIOSOLIDS & SEWAGE SLUDGE:

Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works.

☐ - Permittee land applies biosolids in accordance with Standard Conditions III and a Department approved biosolids management plan.

☒ - Permittee is not authorized to land apply biosolids. Sludge/biosolids are stored in the lagoon. The permittee must submit a sludge management plan for approval that details removal and disposal plans when sludge is to be removed from lagoons.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

☐ - The permittee/facility is currently under enforcement action.

☒ - The permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (EDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a final rule on October 22, 2015, to modernize Clean Water Act reporting for municipalities, industries, and other facilities by converting to an electronic data reporting system. This final rule requires regulated entities and state and federal regulators to use information technology to electronically report data required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program instead of filing paper reports. To comply with the federal rule, the Department is requiring all permittees to begin submitting discharge monitoring data and reports online. In an effort to aid facilities in the reporting of applicable information electronically, the Department has created several new forms including operational control monitoring forms and an I&I location and reduction form. These forms are for optional use and can be found on the Department's website at the following locations:

Operational Monitoring Lagoon: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2801-f.pdf>

Operational Monitoring Mechanical: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2800-f.pdf>

I&I Report: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2690-f.pdf>

Per 40 CFR 127.15 and 127.24, permitted facilities may request a temporary waiver for up to 5 years or a permanent waiver from electronic reporting from the Department. To obtain an electronic reporting waiver, a permittee must first submit an eDMR Waiver Request Form: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf>. A request must be made for each facility. If more than one facility is owned or operated by a single entity, then the entity must submit a separate request for each facility based on its specific circumstances. An approved waiver is non-transferable.

The Department must review and notify the facility within 120 calendar days of receipt if the waiver request has been approved or rejected [40 CFR 124.27(a)]. During the Department review period as well as after a waiver is granted, the facility must continue submitting a hard-copy of any reports required by their permit. The Department will enter data submitted in hard-copy from those facilities allowed to do so and electronically submit the data to the EPA on behalf of the facility.

- ☐ - The permittee/facility is currently using the eDMR data reporting system.
- ☒ - The permittee/facility is not currently using the eDMR data reporting system. The permittee has been given **90 days** of the effective date of this permit to submit an eDMR Permit Holder and Certifier Registration form in accordance with the Department's eDMR implementation policy.

NUMERIC LAKE NUTRIENT CRITERIA

- ☒ - This facility does not discharge into a lake watershed where numeric lake nutrient criteria are applicable.
- ☐ - This facility discharges into a lake watershed where numeric lake nutrient criteria are applicable, per 10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(N), and has a design flow greater than 0.1 MGD. See **Part VI. Effluent Limits Determination**, below for more information.
- ☐ - This facility discharges into a lake watershed where numeric lake nutrient criteria are applicable. However, regulations established in 10 CSR 20-7.015 as well as the department's lake nutrient criteria implementation plan do not require nutrient monitoring for facilities with design flows less than or equal to 0.1MGD. Should the lake within this watershed be identified as impaired due to nutrient loading, the department will conduct watershed modeling to determine if this facility has reasonable potential to cause or contribute to the impairment. Consequently, monitoring or effluent limitations may be established at a later date based on the modeling results. For more information, please see the department's Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan at: <https://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/rules/documents/nutrient-implementation-plan-final-072618.pdf>

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee's pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users,
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

- ☐ - This permittee has an approved pretreatment program in accordance with the requirements of [40 CFR Part 403] and [10 CSR 20-6.100] and is expected to implement and enforce its approved program.
- ☒ - The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any given pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

- ☒ - A RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see **APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS**.
- ☐ - A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals.

- ☐ - Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].
- ☒ - Equivalent to Secondary Treatment is 65% removal [40 CFR Part 133.105(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) AND INFLOW AND INFILTRATION (I&I):

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as untreated sewage releases and are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(12)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSOs result from a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that can either allow wastewater to backup within the collection system during dry weather conditions or allow excess stormwater and groundwater to enter and overload the collection system during wet weather conditions. SSOs can also result from lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs include overflows out of manholes, cleanouts, broken pipes, and other into waters of the state and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Inflow and Infiltration (I&I) is defined as unwanted intrusion of stormwater or groundwater into a collection system. This can occur from points of direct connection such as sump pumps, roof drain downspouts, foundation drains, and storm drain cross-connections or through cracks, holes, joint failures, faulty line connections, damaged manholes, and other openings in the collection system itself. I&I results from a variety of causes including line breaks, improperly sealed connections, cracks caused by soil erosion/settling, penetration of vegetative roots, and other sewer defects. In addition, excess stormwater and groundwater entering the collection system from line breaks and sewer defects have the potential to negatively impact the treatment facility.

Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(13) mandates that the Department issue permits for discharges of water contaminants into the waters of this state, and also for the operation of sewer systems. Such permit conditions shall ensure compliance with all requirements as established by sections 644.006 to 644.141. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains provisions requiring proper operation and maintenance of all facilities and systems of treatment and control. Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(15) instructs the Department to require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities. To ensure that public health and the environment are protected, any noncompliance which may endanger public health or the environment must be reported to the Department within 24 hours of the time the permittee becomes aware of the noncompliance. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains the reporting requirements for the permittee when bypasses and upsets occur. The permit also contains requirements for permittees to develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permit requires that the permittee submit an annual report to the Department for the previous calendar year that contains a summary of efforts taken by the permittee to locate and eliminate sources of excess I & I, a summary of general maintenance and repairs to the collection system, and a summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system for the upcoming calendar year.

☒ - At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA's Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs at Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002) or the Departments' CMOM Model located at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/docs/cmom-template.doc>. For additional information regarding the Departments' CMOM Model, see the CMOM Plan Model Guidance document at <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2574.htm>. The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used to evaluate a collection system's management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium, and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

☐ - This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

Per 644.051.4 RSMo, a permit may be issued with a Schedule of Compliance (SOC) to provide time for a facility to come into compliance with new state or federal effluent regulations, water quality standards, or other requirements. Such a schedule is not allowed if the facility is already in compliance with the new requirement, or if prohibited by other statute or regulation. A SOC includes an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit. See also Section 502(17) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR §122.2. For new effluent limitations, the permit includes interim monitoring for the specific parameter to demonstrate the facility is not already in compliance with the new requirement. Per 40 CFR § 122.47(a)(1) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(10), compliance must occur as soon as possible. If the permit provides a schedule for meeting new water quality based effluent limits, a SOC must include an enforceable, final effluent limitation in the permit even if the SOC extends beyond the life of the permit.

A SOC is not allowed:

- For effluent limitations based on technology-based standards established in accordance with federal requirements, if the deadline for compliance established in federal regulations has passed. 40 CFR § 125.3.
- For a newly constructed facility in most cases. Newly constructed facilities must meet applicable effluent limitations when discharge begins, because the facility has installed the appropriate control technology as specified in a permit or antidegradation review. A SOC is allowed for a new water quality based effluent limit that was not included in a previously public noticed permit or antidegradation review, which may occur if a regulation changes during construction.
- To develop a TMDL, UAA, or other study associated with development of a site specific criterion. A facility is not prohibited from conducting these activities, but a SOC may not be granted for conducting these activities.

In order to provide guidance to Permit Writers in developing SOC's, and attain a greater level of consistency, on April 9, 2015 the Department issued an updated policy on development of SOC's. This policy provides guidance to Permit Writers on the standard time frames for schedules for common activities, and guidance on factors that may modify the length of the schedule such as a Cost Analysis for Compliance.

☒ - The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under Interim Effluent Limitation and Final Effluent Limitations were established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(10)]. The facility has been given a schedule of compliance to meet final effluent limits for Ammonia. The 15 year schedule of compliance allowed for this facility should provide adequate time to perform and finance collection system rehabilitation and upgrades to the irrigation systems at both of the City's wastewater treatment facilities in order to mitigate wet weather flows and avoid discharging during the disinfection season, and also provide time after the initial rehabilitation and upgrades to the irrigation system to evaluate operations, obtain an engineering report, hold a bond election, obtain a construction permit and implement upgrades required to meet effluent limits for Ammonia.

The four year schedule of compliance provided for the facility to meet final limits for *E. coli* allows for the City to complete the irrigation and collection system improvements, in which will allow the City to remain as no-discharge during the recreational season.

Due to the high economic burden on this community of the cost of compliance and associated difficulty in raising the necessary funding, the schedule has been established at 15 years in accordance with the Department's "Schedule of Compliance, Policy for Staff Drafting Operating Permits". Please see the Affordability Analysis attached as an appendix to the permit for further detail on how the socio-economic status of the community has impacted this SOC.

☐ - This permit does not contain a SOC.

SEWER EXTENSION AUTHORITY SUPERVISED PROGRAM:

In accordance with [10 CSR 20-6.010(6)(A)], the Department may grant approval of a permittee's Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program. These approved permittees regulate and approve construction of sanitary sewers and pump stations, which are tributary to this wastewater treatment facility. The permittee shall act as the continuing authority for the operation, maintenance, and modernization of the constructed collection system. See <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/sewer-extension.htm>.

☐ - The permittee's Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program has been reauthorized. Please see **Appendix – Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program Reauthorization Letter** for applicable conditions.

☒ - The permittee does not have a Department approved Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of stormwater discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

☐ - 10 CSR 20-6.200 and 40 CFR 122.26 includes treatment works treating domestic sewage or any other sewage sludge or wastewater treatment device or system, used in the storage treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal or domestic sewage, including land dedicated to the disposal of sewage sludge that is located within the confines of the facility, with a design flow of 1.0 mgd or more, or are required to have an approved pretreatment program under 40 CFR part 403, as an industrial activity in which permit coverage is required.

☒ - At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP. As the permittee is a no-discharge facility, the use of best management practices to reduce stormwater flows into the treatment basin or into the application fields should be considered and employed.

VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

- ☐ - This operating permit is drafted under premises of a petition for variance.
- ☒ - This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(86)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

- ☒ - Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C_e = \frac{(Q_e + Q_s)C - (Q_s \times C_s)}{(Q_e)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration C_e = effluent concentration
Cs = upstream concentration Q_e = effluent flow
Q_s = upstream flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

- ☐ - Wasteload allocations were not calculated.

WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

- ☐ - A WLA study including model was submitted to the Department.
- ☒ - A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

☒ - The permittee is required to conduct WET test for this facility.

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing is also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(D),(F),(G),(J)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(B)], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following MCWL apply: §§644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; 644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc...); and 644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by facilities meeting the following criteria:

- ☐ Facility is a designated Major.
- ☐ Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- ☐ Facility that exceeds its design population equivalent (PE) for BOD₅ whether or not its design flow is being exceeded.
- ☐ Facility (whether primarily domestic or industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- ☐ Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- ☐ Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃)
- ☒ Facility is a municipality with a Design Flow ≥ 22,500 gpd.
- ☐ Other – please justify.

☐ - At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility.

40 CFR 122.41(M) - BYPASSES:

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from “bypassing” untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(G) states a bypass means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending, to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri’s Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar devices designed for peak wet weather flows.

☐ - Bypasses occur or have occurred at this facility.

☒ - This facility does not anticipate bypassing.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

☐ - This facility discharges to a 303(d) listed stream.

☒ - This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

☐ - This facility discharges to a stream with an EPA approved TMDL.

Part VI – Effluent Limits Determination

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Special Streams [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lakes or Reservoirs [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Subsurface Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Losing Streams [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Metropolitan No-Discharge Streams [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)] | |

OUTFALL #001 – MAIN FACILITY OUTFALL

Effluent limitations derived and established in the below Effluent Limitations Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit.

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Flow	MGD	1	*		*	*/	1/week	monthly	E
BOD ₅	mg/L	1		65	45	65/45	1/month	monthly	G
TSS	mg/L	1		120	80	110/70	1/month	monthly	G
<i>Escherichia coli</i> **	#/100mL	1, 3		1,030	206	Fecal */	1/week	monthly	G
Ammonia as N (Interim) (Apr 1 – Sep 30)	mg/L	2, 3	*		*	*/	1/month	monthly	G
Ammonia as N (Interim) (Oct 1 – Mar 31)	mg/L	2, 3	*		*	*/	1/month	monthly	G
Ammonia as N (Final) (Apr 1 – Sep 30)	mg/L	2, 3	6.0		1.2	*/	1/month	monthly	G
Ammonia as N (Final) (Oct 1 – Mar 31)	mg/L	2, 3	12.1		2.4	*/	1/month	monthly	G
Oil & Grease	mg/L	1, 3	15		10	15/10	1/month	monthly	G
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Nitrate + Nitrite	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity	TUa	1, 9	*			Pass/Fail	1/permit cycle	1/permit cycle	G
PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Minimum		Maximum	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type
pH	SU	1	6.5			≥ 6.5	1/month	monthly	G
PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Minimum		Monthly Avg Min	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type
BOD ₅ Percent Removal	%	1			65	65	1/month	monthly	M
TSS Percent Removal	%	1			65	65	1/month	monthly	M

* - Monitoring requirement only.

** - #/100mL; the Monthly Average for *E. coli* is a geometric mean.

*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

**** - C = 24-hour composite

G = Grab

T = 24-hr. total

E = 24-hr. estimate

M = Measured/calculated

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 9. WET Test Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 6. Water Quality Model | 10. Multiple Discharger Variance |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 7. Best Professional Judgment | 11. Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan |
| 4. Antidegradation Review | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL | |

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- **Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.
- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅).** Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Effluent Limits Determination.**
- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS).** Effluent limitations for Biochemical Oxygen Demand were changed back to the permit limits (weekly average 120 mg/L and monthly average of 80 mg/L) established in the 1994 permit as the limits (weekly average 110 mg/L and monthly average of 70 mg/L) established in the previous permit issued in 2002 were in error as the permit writer did not provide justification as to why the effluent limits were changed. The limits established in this permit are set to the limits contained in 10 CSR 20-7.015(8)(A)4.

Please note that the final effluent limits for BOD and TSS contained in the permit are Equivalent to Secondary limits as per 10 CSR 20-7.015. Any changes made to the lagoon system that modifies it such that it no longer functions as a typical lagoon will result in the facility no longer qualifying for Equivalent to Secondary limitations. The facility may be required to also follow the Missouri Antidegradation Rule and Implementation Procedure if the discharge is expanded.

- **Escherichia coli (E. coli).** Monthly average of 206 per 100 mL as a geometric mean and Weekly Average of 1,030 per 100 mL as a geometric mean during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), to protect Whole Body Contact Recreation (B) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(C). An effluent limit for both monthly average and weekly average is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d). The Geometric Mean is calculated by multiplying all of the data points and then taking the nth root of this product, where n = # of samples collected. For example: Five *E. coli* samples were collected with results of 1, 4, 6, 10, and 5 (#/100mL). Geometric Mean = 5th root of (1)(4)(6)(10)(5) = 5th root of 1,200 = 4.1 #/100mL.
- **Total Ammonia Nitrogen.** Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(B)7.C. & Table B3]. Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L. No mixing considerations allowed; therefore, WLA = appropriate criterion.

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)
Summer	26	7.8	1.5	12.1
Winter	6	7.8	3.1	12.1

Summer: April 1 – September 30

Chronic WLA: $C_e = ((0.19 + 0.0)1.5 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.19$
 $C_e = 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$

Acute WLA: $C_e = ((0.19 + 0.0)12.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.19$
 $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 1.5 \text{ mg/L} (0.492) = 0.738 \text{ mg/L}$
 $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.123) = 1.49 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.85, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]
[CV = 1.85, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

MDL = 0.738 mg/L (8.09) = 6.0 mg/L
AML = 0.738 mg/L (1.63) = 1.2 mg/L

[CV = 1.85, 99th Percentile]
[CV = 1.85, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Winter: October 1 – March 31

Chronic WLA: $C_e = ((0.19 + 0.0)3.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.19$
 $C_e = 3.1 \text{ mg/L}$

Acute WLA: $C_e = ((0.19 + 0.0)12.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/0.19$
 $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 3.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.455) = 1.41 \text{ mg/L}$
 $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.114) = 1.38 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 2.08, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]
[CV = 2.08, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

$MDL = 1.38 \text{ mg/L } (8.79) = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 2.08, 99th Percentile]

$AML = 1.38 \text{ mg/L } (1.71) = 2.4 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 2.08, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

- **Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, and Nitrate + Nitrite.** Monitoring required for facilities greater than 100,000 gpd design flow per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)8. Nitrate + Nitrite can be analyzed together or separately.
- **pH.** – 6.5-9.0 SU. pH limitations of 6.0-9.0 SU [10 CSR 20-7.015] are not protective of the in-stream Water Quality Standard, which states that water contaminants shall not cause pH to be outside the range of 6.5-9.0 SU. Due to the classification of the receiving stream, the Department has determined that there is no assimilative capacity during critical low flow periods; therefore the water quality standard must be met at the outfall.
- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅) Percent Removal.** In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133, removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. This facility is required to meet 65% removal efficiency for BOD₅.
- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Percent Removal.** In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133, removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. This facility is required to meet 65% removal efficiency for TSS.

Whole Effluent Toxicity

- **Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards.

Acute and/or Chronic Allowable Effluent Concentrations (AECs) for facilities that discharge to Waters of the State lacking designated uses, Class C, Class P (with default Mixing Considerations), or Lakes [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] are 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, & 6.25%.

- **Parameters Removed.**
 - **Temperature.** The Department has concluded that domestic wastewater treatment facilities have no reasonable potential to exceed Water Quality Standards for temperature. Due to the fact that this facility will have a minimal effect on temperature this parameter has been removed from the permit.
 - **Dissolved Oxygen.** Effluent limitations removed. No reasonable potential to violate water quality standards. DMR data indicates the facility consistently has appropriate dissolved oxygen in the effluent.
 - **Fecal Coliform.** The parameter was replaced with *E. coli* as that is the current bacterial indicator for the Water Quality Standards.

Sampling Frequency Justification:

Sampling and Reporting Frequency was retained from previous permit, except for Flow, which was changed to weekly. Weekly sampling is required for *E. coli*, per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)6.A.

WET Test Sampling Frequency Justification. WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring*. It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.

Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity

- ☒ -No less than **ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE:**
- ☒ -Municipality with a design flow $\geq 22,500$ gpd, but less than 1.0 MGD.
- ☐ - Other, please justify.

Sampling Type Justification:

As per 10 CSR 20-7.015, BOD₅, TSS and WET test samples collected for lagoons may be grab samples. Grab samples must be collected for pH, *E. coli*, and Oil & Grease in accordance with recommended analytical methods. For further information on sampling and testing methods please review 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D) 2.

PERMITTED FEATURE #001 – TWO-CELL STORAGE BASIN

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Daily Total		Monthly Total	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Storage Basin Freeboard	feet	*			*	1/week	monthly	M
Precipitation	inches	*		*	*	1/day	monthly	T

* - Monitoring requirement only.

**** - T = Total
M = Measured/Calculated

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 9. WET Test Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 6. Water Quality Model | 10. Multiple Discharger Variance |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 7. Best Professional Judgment | 11. Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan |
| 4. Antidegradation Review | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL | |

PERMITTED FEATURE #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- **Freeboard.** Monitoring requirement to verify adequate freeboard is maintained, so as to avoid and overflow of the storage basin.
- **Precipitation.** Monitoring requirement to ensure appropriate irrigation is conducted to account for accumulated water in the storage basin.
- **Parameters Removed.**
 - **pH.** Final effluent limitations for irrigated wastewater related to pH have been removed, as it has been determined by the permit writer that there is no reasonable potential for the irrigated wastewater to cause a water quality issue if properly irrigated.
 - **Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N.** Monitoring only requirements included in the previous permit have been removed as the facility does not exceed an irrigation rate of 24 inches/year.
 - **Nitrate / Nitrite as N.** Monitoring only requirements included in the previous permit have been removed as the facility does not exceed an irrigation rate of 24 inches/year.
 - **Ammonia as N.** Monitoring only requirements included in the previous permit for irrigated wastewater have been removed, as it has been determined by the permit writer that there is no reasonable potential for the irrigated wastewater to cause a water quality issue if properly irrigated.
 - **Total Phosphorus as P.** Monitoring only requirements included in the previous permit for irrigated wastewater have been removed, as it has been determined by the permit writer that there is no reasonable potential for the irrigated wastewater to cause a water quality issue if irrigated in compliance with this operating permit.

Sampling Frequency Justification:

Sampling frequency has been changed for freeboard from once/month to once/week in order to ensure adequate operations are conducted at the facility as it relates to the amount of freeboard in the storage basins. Sampling frequency for precipitation has been determined to be appropriate and has been retained from the previous permit.

Sampling Type Justification:

Sampling type has been determined to be appropriate and therefore has been retained from the previous state operating permit.

OUTFALL #001 – GENERAL CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS:

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), effluent limitations shall be placed into the permit for those pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any State water quality standard, including State narrative criteria for water quality. The rule further states that pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above a narrative criterion within an applicable State water quality standard, the permit shall contain a numeric effluent limitation to protect that narrative criterion. In order to comply with this regulation, the permit writer will complete reasonable potential determinations on whether the discharge will violate any of the general criteria listed in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). These specific requirements are listed below followed by derivation and discussion (the lettering matches that of the rule itself, under 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)). It should also be noted that Section 644.076.1, RSMo as well as Section D – Administrative Requirements of Standard Conditions Part I of this permit states that it shall be unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri that is in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission.

- (A) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. This facility utilizes irrigation of domestic wastewater to the land surface and therefore does not discharge. Based upon a review of a recent Report of Compliance Inspection for the inspection conducted on November 30, 2017, no evidence of an excursion of this criterion has been observed by the Department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other information their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this narrative criterion. Additionally, there had been no indication to the Department that the stream has had issued maintaining beneficial uses as a result of the wastewater irrigation. Therefore, based on the information reviewed during the drafting of this permit, and the fact that the facility does not discharge, no reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this criterion exists.
- (B) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.
- (C) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.
- (D) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.
- (E) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.
- (F) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.
- (G) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.

Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247. The discharge from this facility is made up of treated domestic wastewater. No evidence of an excursion of this criterion has been observed by the Department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other information related to the characteristics of the discharge on their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this narrative criterion. Additionally, any solid wastes received or produced at this facility are wholly contained in appropriate storage facilities, are not discharged, and are disposed of offsite. This discharge is subject to Standard Conditions Part III, which contains requirements for the management and disposal of sludge to prevent its discharge. Therefore, this discharge does not have reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this criterion.

PERMITTED FEATURES #003 & #004 – IRRIGATION FIELDS

Permitted Features #002 and #003 have been included in this permit in order to determine if proper irrigation is occurring on each individual irrigation field. Monitoring requirements from the previous permit have been retained and applied to both permitted features. Monitoring data from each permitted feature is to be reported separately

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Daily Total		Monthly Total	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Irrigation Period	hours	*		*	*	1/day	monthly	T
Volume Irrigated	gallons	*		*	*	1/day	monthly	T
Irrigation Area	acres	*		*	*	1/day	monthly	T
Irrigation Rate	inches	*		*	*	1/day	monthly	T

* - Monitoring requirement only.

**** - T = Total

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 9. WET Test Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 6. Water Quality Model | 10. Multiple Discharger Variance |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 7. Best Professional Judgment | 11. Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan |
| 4. Antidegradation Review | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL | |

PERMITTED FEATURES #003 & #004 – IRRIGATION FIELDS

- **Irrigation Period.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for the Irrigation Period is included to determine if proper irrigation is occurring on the irrigation fields.
- **Volume Irrigated.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for the Volume Irrigated is included to determine if proper irrigation is occurring on the irrigation fields.
- **Irrigation Area.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for the Irrigation Area is included to determine if proper irrigation is occurring on the irrigation fields.
- **Irrigation Rate.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for the Irrigation Rate is included to determine if proper irrigation is occurring on the irrigation fields.

Sampling Frequency Justification:

Sampling frequency has been determined to be appropriate so it has been retained from the previous state operating permit.

Sampling Type Justification:

Due to the discharge being from irrigation from a storage basin, a grab sample is a representative and appropriate sample type. Variation in nutrient concentration is not expected over a 24 hour period. Sampling type has been determined to be appropriate so it has been retained from the previous state operating permit.

PERMITTED FEATURE INF – INFLUENT MONITORING

The monitoring requirements established in the below Monitoring Requirements Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including the monitoring requirements listed in this table.

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Ammonia	mg/L	1	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	1	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
Nitrate + Nitrite	mg/L	1	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	1	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G

* - Monitoring requirement only.

*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

**** - C = 24-hour composite

G = Grab

M = Measured /calculated

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 9. WET Test Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 6. Water Quality Model | 10. Multiple Discharger Variance |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 7. Best Professional Judgment | 11. Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan |
| 4. Antidegradation Review | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL | |

PERMITTED FEATURE INF – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

- **Ammonia, Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, and Nitrate + Nitrite.** Influent monitoring required for facilities greater than 100,000 gpd design flow per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)8. Nitrate + Nitrite can be analyzed together or separately.

Sampling Frequency Justification: Sampling frequency of quarterly is required for facilities greater than 100,000 gpd design flow but less than 1,000,000 gpd design flow per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)8.

Sampling Type Justification

As Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, and Nitrate + Nitrite samples must be immediately preserved; these samples are to be collected as a grab.

PERMITTED FEATURE SM1 – INSTREAM MONITORING (UPSTREAM)

The monitoring requirements established in the below Monitoring Requirements Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including the monitoring requirements listed in this table..

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Ammonia	mg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
Nitrate + Nitrite	mg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G

* - Monitoring requirement only.

*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

**** - C = 24-hour composite

G = Grab

M = Measured /calculated

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 4. Antidegradation Review | 7. Best Professional Judgment |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 6. Water Quality Model | 9. WET Test Policy |

PERMITTED FEATURE SM1 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

- **Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen. Ammonia, Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, and Nitrate + Nitrite.** Facilities with design flows greater than 100,000 gpd design flow per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)8 are required to sample for these parameters. Upstream monitoring for these parameters is necessary to determine background stream concentrations in order to complete calculations that determine instream nutrient loading. Nitrate + Nitrite can be analyzed together or separately.

Sampling Frequency Justification:

The sampling and reporting frequency for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen has been established to match the required sampling frequency of these parameters in the effluent.

Sampling Type Justification

As Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen samples must be immediately preserved; these samples are to be collected as a grab.

Part VII – Cost Analysis for Compliance

Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo, when issuing permits under this chapter that incorporate a new requirement for discharges from publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer systems or publicly owned treatment works, or when enforcing provisions of this chapter or the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., pertaining to any portion of a publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer system or [publicly owned] treatment works, the Department of Natural Resources shall make a “finding of affordability” on the costs to be incurred and the impact of any rate changes on ratepayers upon which to base such permits and decisions, to the extent allowable under this chapter and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. This process is completed through a cost analysis for compliance. Permits that do not include new requirements may be deemed affordable.

☒ - The Department is required to determine “findings of affordability” because the permit applies to a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for a publically-owned treatment works.

Cost Analysis for Compliance - The Department has made a reasonable search for empirical data indicating the permit is affordable. The search consisted of a review of Department records that might contain economic data on the community, a review of information provided by the applicant as part of the application, and public comments received in response to public notices of this draft permit. If the empirical cost data was used by the permit writer, this data may consist of median household income, any other ongoing projects that the Department has knowledge, and other demographic financial information that the community provided as contemplated by Section 644. 145.3. See **Appendix –Cost Analysis for Compliance**

The following table summarizes the results of the cost analysis. See **Appendix – Cost Analysis for Compliance** for detailed information. The affordability of these new requirements is based on the City’s ability to pay off existing debt (7 years), build financial savings and receive partial grant funding for the design and construction of the wastewater treatment improvements.

Summary Table. Cost Analysis for Compliance Summary for the City of Greenfield (Includes costs associated with Greenfield TalburtWWTF upgrades)

Annual Median Household Income (MHI)	Estimated Monthly User Rate	Residential Indicator (User Rate as a Percent of MHI)	Financial Capability Indicator	Financial Burden	Schedule of Compliance Length
\$25,670	\$69.54	3.3%	Mid-Range	High Burden	4 & 15 years
Pollution Control Option Selected for Analysis: Land application system					
Estimated Present Worth: \$3,756,024					

☐ - Not Applicable; The Department is not required to complete a cost analysis for compliance because the permit contains no new conditions or requirements that convey a new cost to the facility.

Part VIII – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:

The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is that all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together and all expire in the same fiscal year. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the Department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future. Renewal applications must continue to be submitted within 180 days of expiration, however, in instances where effluent data from the previous renewal is less than 4 years old, that data may be re-submitted to meet the requirements of the renewal application. If the permit provides a schedule of compliance for meeting new water quality based effluent limits beyond the expiration date of the permit, the time remaining in the schedule of compliance will be allotted in the renewed permit. With permit synchronization, this permit will expire in the 4th Quarter of calendar year 2023.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing. The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit. For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

☒ - The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from February 8, 2019 to March 11, 2019. No responses received.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: MARCH 13, 2019

COMPLETED BY:

BRANT FARRIS, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST III
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
OPERATING PERMITS SECTION - DOMESTIC WASTEWATER UNIT
(660) 385-8019
brant.farris@dnr.mo.gov

Appendices

APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET:

ITEM	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
Maximum Population Equivalent (P.E.) served (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt./10,000 PE or major fraction thereof.	
Maximum: 10 pt Design Flow (avg. day) or peak month; use greater (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt. / MGD or major fraction thereof.	
EFFLUENT DISCHARGE RECEIVING WATER SENSITIVITY:		
Missouri or Mississippi River	0	
All other stream discharges except to losing streams and stream reaches supporting whole body contact	1	
Discharge to lake or reservoir outside of designated whole body contact recreational area	2	
Discharge to losing stream, or stream, lake or reservoir area supporting whole body contact recreation	3	3
PRELIMINARY TREATMENT - Headworks		
Screening and/or comminution	3	
Grit removal	3	
Plant pumping of main flow (lift station at the headworks)	3	
PRIMARY TREATMENT		
Primary clarifiers	5	
Combined sedimentation/digestion	5	
Chemical addition (except chlorine, enzymes)	4	
REQUIRED LABORATORY CONTROL – performed by plant personnel (highest level only)		
Push – button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, Settleable solids	3	
Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, titrations, solids, volatile content	5	5
More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	7	
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph	10	
ALTERNATIVE FATE OF EFFLUENT		
Direct reuse or recycle of effluent	6	
Land Disposal – low rate	3	3
High rate	5	
Overland flow	4	
Total from page ONE (1)	----	11

APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET (CONTINUED):

ITEM	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
VARIATION IN RAW WASTE (highest level only) (DMR exceedances and Design Flow exceedances)		
Variation do not exceed those normally or typically expected	0	
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100 to 200 % in strength and/or flow	2	
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200 % in strength and/or flow	4	4
Raw wastes subject to toxic waste discharge	6	
SECONDARY TREATMENT		
Trickling filter and other fixed film media with secondary clarifiers	10	
Activated sludge with secondary clarifiers (including extended aeration and oxidation ditches)	15	
Stabilization ponds without aeration	5	5
Aerated lagoon	8	
Advanced Waste Treatment Polishing Pond	2	
Chemical/physical – without secondary	15	
Chemical/physical – following secondary	10	
Biological or chemical/biological	12	
Carbon regeneration	4	
DISINFECTION		
Chlorination or comparable	5	
Dechlorination	2	
On-site generation of disinfectant (except UV light)	5	
UV light	4	
SOLIDS HANDLING - SLUDGE		
Solids Handling Thickening	5	
Anaerobic digestion	10	
Aerobic digestion	6	
Evaporative sludge drying	2	
Mechanical dewatering	8	
Solids reduction (incineration, wet oxidation)	12	
Land application	6	
Total from page TWO (2)	----	9
Total from page ONE (1)	---	11
Grand Total	---	20

- ☐ - A: 71 points and greater
☐ - B: 51 points – 70 points
☐ - C: 26 points – 50 points
☒ - D: 0 points – 25 points

APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS:

Parameter	CMC*	RWC Acute*	CCC*	RWC Chronic*	n**	Range max/min	CV***	MF	RP Yes/No
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Summer) mg/L	12.1	45.59	1.5	45.59	12.00	4.76/0.1	1.85	9.58	YES
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Winter) mg/L	12.1	59.60	3.1	59.60	15.00	6.632/0.1	2.08	8.99	YES

N/A – Not Applicable

* - Units are (µg/L) unless otherwise noted.

** - If the number of samples is 10 or greater, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent. If the number of samples is < 10, then the default CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.

*** - Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the sample set by the Mean of the same sample set.

RWC – Receiving Water Concentration. It is the concentration of a toxicant or the parameter toxicity in the receiving water after mixing (if applicable).

n – Is the number of samples.

MF – Multiplying Factor. 99% Confidence Level and 99% Probability Basis.

RP – Reasonable Potential. It is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors including, as a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii).

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2). A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request.

APPENDIX – COST ANALYSIS FOR COMPLIANCE:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources Water Protection Program Cost Analysis for Compliance (In accordance with RSMo 644.145)

Greenfield Sharpe WWTF, Permit Renewal City of Greenfield Missouri State Operating Permit #MO-0055590

Section 644.145 RSMo requires the Department of Natural Resources (Department) to make a “finding of affordability” when “issuing permits under” or “enforcing provisions of” state or federal clean water laws “pertaining to any portion of a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for publicly-owned treatment works.” This cost analysis does not dictate that the permittee will upgrade their facility, or how the permittee will comply with new permit requirements. The results of this analysis are used to determine an adequate compliance schedule for the permit that may mitigate the financial burden of new permit requirements.

New Permit Requirements

The permit requires compliance with new effluent limitations for Ammonia, which may require the design, construction, and operation of a different treatment technology. The cost assumptions in this analysis anticipate complete replacement of the existing treatment facility. For this analysis, the Department has selected the mechanical treatment technology that could be the most practical solution to meet the new requirements for the community as well as cost estimates to convert the facility into a no-discharge land application system.

The permit contains a four (4) year schedule of compliance to meet final limits for *E. coli*. The four year schedule of compliance allows for the City to complete the irrigation and collection system improvements, in which will allow the City to remain as no-discharge during the recreational season, or add disinfection to the currently planned improvements.

The permit also requires compliance with new monitoring requirements for Ammonia (influent and instream), Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (influent, effluent, and instream), Nitrate + Nitrite (influent, effluent, and instream), and Total Phosphorus (influent, effluent, and instream).

Cost associated with Greenfield Talburt WWTF:

The City is also required to upgrade the Greenfield Talburt WWTF and conduct additional monitoring. The costs associated with the Greenfield Talburt WWTF, if financed through user fees, might cost each household an extra \$27.83 per month.

Flow and Connections

The size of the facility evaluated for upgrades was chosen based on the permitted design flow. If significant population growth is expected in the community, or if a significant portion of the flow is due to inflow and infiltration, then the flows and resulting estimated costs used in a facility plan prepared by a consulting engineer may differ. The number of connections was reported by the permittee on the Financial Questionnaire.

Flow Evaluated: 128,000 gallons per day	
Connection Type	Number
Residential	533
Commercial	140
Industrial	0
Total	673

Data Collection for this Analysis

This cost analysis is based on data available to the Department as provided by the permittee and data obtained from readily available sources. For the most accurate analysis, it is essential that the permittee provides the Department with current information about the City’s financial and socioeconomic situation. The financial questionnaire available to permittees on the Department’s website (<http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2511-f.pdf>) is a required attachment to the permit renewal application. If the financial questionnaire is not submitted with the renewal application, the Department sends a request to complete the form with the welcome correspondence. If certain data was not provided by the permittee to the Department and the data is not obtainable through readily available sources, this analysis will state that the information is “unknown”.

The Department estimates the cost for reconstruction of a treatment plant using a software program from Hydromantis¹ titled CapdetWorks. CapdetWorks is a preliminary design and costing software program for wastewater treatment plants utilizing national indices, such as the Marshall and Swift Index and Engineering News Records Cost Index, to price the development of capital, operating, maintenance, material, and energy costs for various treatment technologies. The program works from national indices; therefore, estimated costs will vary from actual costs, as each community is unique in its budget commitments and treatment design. Because the methods used to derive the analysis estimate costs that tend to be greater than actual costs associated with an upgrade, it reflects a conservative estimate anticipated for a community. The overestimation of costs is due to the fact that it is unknown by the Department what existing equipment and structures will be reused in the upgraded facility before an engineer completes a facility design. For questions associated with CapdetWorks, please contact the Department's Engineering Section at (573) 751-6621.

Eight Criteria of 644.145 RSMo

The Department must consider the eight (8) criteria presented in subsection 644.145 RSMo to evaluate the cost associated with new permit requirements.

(1) A community's financial capability and ability to raise or secure necessary funding;

Criterion 1 Table. Current Financial Information for the City of Greenfield	
Current Monthly User Rates per 5,000 gallons*	\$40.90
Municipal Bond Rating (if applicable)	Not provided nor found
Bonding Capacity**	\$2,898,672
Median Household Income (MHI) ²	\$25,670
Current Annual Operating Costs for Greenfield Sharpe and Talburt WWTFs (excludes depreciation) ^Ω	\$972,868
Current Outstanding Debt for the WWTFs	\$510,000
Amount within the Current User Rate Used toward Payments on Outstanding Debt Related to the Current Wastewater Infrastructure	\$11.30

* User Rates were reported by the permittee on the Financial Questionnaire.

** General Obligation Bond capacity allowed by constitution: Cities = up to 20% of taxable tangible property; Sewer districts or villages = up to 5% of taxable tangible property

Ω This includes operating costs for both the Greenfield Sharpe and Talburt WWTFs. Also, the City does not separate the Sewer Department Operating Expenses from the Water Operating Expenses; therefore this number represents both Water and Sewer Operating Expenses.

(2) Affordability of pollution control options for the individuals or households at or below the median household income level of the community;

The cost estimates located within this document are for the construction of a brand new treatment facility or system that is the most practical to facilitate compliance with new permit requirements.

Cost Estimate Assumptions:

- Total Present Worth includes a five percent interest rate to construct and perform annual operation and maintenance of the new treatment plant over the term of the loan, which is 20 years for the mechanical plant option and 30 years for the land application option.
- Capital Cost includes design, construction, inspection, and contingency costs from CapdetWorks.
- Operation and maintenance (O&M) includes operations, maintenance, materials, chemical, and electrical costs for the facility on an annual basis. It includes items that are expected to be replaced during operations, such as pumps and is estimated between 15% and 45% of the user rate.
- Estimated user costs per 5,000 gallons per month are calculated using equations that account for debt retirement and annualized operation and maintenance costs over the life of the treatment facility. Estimated user costs are not added to the community's current user rate because they estimate total replacement of the facility.

Mechanical Plant Pollution Control Option Cost Estimates:

For the mechanical plant option, the Department has estimated costs for an oxidation ditch with UV disinfection. Treatment technologies were selected that meet the following monthly average effluent limits:

- Total Ammonia Nitrogen of 0.6 mg/L
- Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅) of 10 mg/L
- Total Suspended Solids (TSS) of 15 mg/L

New sampling costs are also included in the following cost estimations.

Criterion 2A Table. Estimated Costs for Mechanical Plant Pollution Control Option		
(1)	Estimated Total Present Worth	\$3,498,702
	Estimated Capital Cost	\$2,041,080
	Estimated Annual Cost of Operation and Maintenance	\$116,963
	Estimated Annual Cost of New Sampling Requirements	\$1,324
	Estimated Monthly User Cost	\$34.76
	Estimated Monthly User Cost for the Greenfield Talburt WWTF land application upgrades	\$27.83
(2)	Current Monthly Debt Retirement Amount Per User	\$11.30
(3)	Total Monthly User Cost	\$74.05
	Total Monthly User Cost as a Percent of Median Household Income ³	3.5%

Land Application Pollution Control Option Cost Estimates:

Costs are estimated for the land application option as a range. The cost estimate on the low end of the range assumes that the community will not have to construct or purchase additional land for a new storage basin. The cost estimate on the high end of the range assumes the purchase of additional land and the construction of a new storage basin. Four regions divided by highways have been established to estimate the minimum storage time required and the amount of land necessary for land application within Missouri. The cost of land has been estimated based on county averages. The regions are north of Highway 36, between Highways 36 and 50, between Highways 50 and 60, and south of Highway 60. For communities that are divided by highways, the region selected is where the majority of the county resides. More or less land may be required than what was estimated based on site-specific considerations such as streams, sinkholes, severe slopes, or roads. A no-discharge facility, of which land application is the most common form, is required to be demonstrated as infeasible before a discharging system may be constructed per 10 CSR 20-6.010(4)(D). Where land is available, land application should be considered as a pollution control option because of the lower cost associated with construction and O&M over a longer term. Also, a no-discharge system ensures lessened regulatory impact as a result of changes made to water quality standards.

New sampling costs are also included in the following cost estimations. If the community decides to install a land application system, the new sampling requirements will only be permitted until the facility completes upgrades, which would eliminate the discharge.

Criterion 2B Table. Estimated Costs for Land Application Pollution Control Option		
(1)	Land Required	67.4
	Estimated Total Present Worth	\$3,756,024
	Estimated Capital Cost	\$2,265,716
	Estimated Annual Cost of Operation and Maintenance	\$96,947
	Estimated Annual Cost of New Sampling Requirements	\$1,324
	Estimated Monthly User Cost	\$30.25
	Estimated Monthly User Cost for the Greenfield Talburt WWTF land application upgrades	\$27.83
(2)	Current Monthly Debt Retirement Amount Per User	\$11.30
(3)	Total Monthly User Cost	\$69.54
	Total Monthly User Cost as a Percent of Median Household Income ⁴	3.3%

(3) An evaluation of the overall costs and environmental benefits of the control technologies;

An investment in wastewater treatment will provide several social, environmental, and economic benefits. Improved wastewater provides benefits such as avoided health costs due to water-related illness, enhanced environmental ecosystem quality, and improved natural resources. The preservation of natural resources has been proven to increase the economic value and sustainability of the surrounding communities. Maintaining Missouri's water quality standards fulfills the goal of restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the receiving stream; and, where attainable, it achieves a level of water quality that provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, wildlife, and recreation in and on the water.

Total Ammonia Nitrogen Treatment

Ammonia can be toxic to aquatic life. Fish may suffer a loss of equilibrium, hyperexcitability, increased respiratory activity and oxygen uptake, and increased heart rate. At extreme ammonia levels, fish may experience convulsions, coma, and death. Native fish and other native aquatic life are extremely important to Missouri's ecosystem. They contribute essential nutrients to the streams, rivers, lakes, pond other waters in which they inhabit. Freshwater ecosystems are important for human survival, in that it provides a majority of people's drinking water. Also, a pristine freshwater ecosystem with an abundance of aquatic life can increase the community's overall income of revenue. Revenue to businesses and sales tax revenue is increased as the natural amenity will attract fisherman and tourism to the area. Fish and other aquatic life also provide a source of low cost sustenance for the people within the surrounding communities. Final water quality-based effluent limits for total ammonia nitrogen is a requirement of this permit. A schedule of compliance is given with the final limits so that the permittee has time to secure funding and update their treatment plant, if necessary. Further information can be found in the Water Protection Program fact sheet titled "Changes to the Water Quality Standard for Ammonia" at <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2481.htm>.

The construction and installation of land application is another option that has been evaluated within this document. The Missouri State Operating Permit for a land application system does not contain discharge effluent limits as there is no potential to cause an excursion of water quality standards. Therefore, a land application system is of value to the permittee when considering costs associated with O&M, and future regulatory changes.

Disinfection

E. coli is a species of bacteria that normally live in the intestines of humans and warm-blooded animals. While some strains of *E. coli* are harmless, there are several strains that can cause severe diarrhea, abdominal cramps, and severe kidney failure. The people most susceptible to these consequences are young children, the elderly, and those with weakened immune systems. The receiving stream that this facility discharges to contains the WBC-B designated use to protect human health in accordance with Water Quality Standards (10 CSR 20-7.031) and the Clean Water Act. The disinfection of wastewater effluent benefits human health by reducing exposure to disease-causing bacteria, such as *E. coli*, and viruses and reducing health care costs to those infected by contaminated water. The construction and installation of a disinfection system at the treatment facility will protect human health as well as meet water quality standards.

Nutrient Monitoring

Nutrients are mineral compounds that are required for organisms to grow and thrive. Of the six (6) elemental macronutrients, nitrogen and phosphorus are generally not readily available and limit growth of organisms. Excess nitrogen and phosphorus will cause a shift in the ecosystem's food web. Once excess nitrogen and phosphorus are introduced into a waterbody, some species' populations will dramatically increase, while other populations will not be able to sustain life. Competition and productivity are two factors in which nutrients can alter aquatic ecosystems and the designated uses of a waterbody. For example, designated uses, such as drinking water sources and recreational uses, become impaired when algal blooms take over a waterbody. These blooms can cause foul tastes and odors in the drinking water, unsightly appearance, and fish mortality in the waterbody. Some algae also produce toxins that may cause serious adverse health conditions such as liver damage, tumor promotion, paralysis, and kidney damage. The monitoring requirements for nitrogen and phosphorus have been added to the permit to provide data regarding the health of the receiving stream's aquatic life. A healthy ecosystem is beneficial as it provides reduced impacts on human and aquatic health as well as recreational opportunities.

(4) Inclusion of ongoing costs of operating and maintaining the existing wastewater collection and treatment system, including payments on outstanding debts for wastewater collection and treatment systems when calculating projected rates:

The community reported that their outstanding debt for their current wastewater collection and treatment systems is \$510,000. The community reported that each user pays \$40.90 monthly, of which, \$11.30 is used toward payments on the current outstanding debt.

(5) An inclusion of ways to reduce economic impacts on distressed populations in the community, including but not limited to low and fixed income populations. This requirement includes but is not limited to:

- (a) Allowing adequate time in implementation schedules to mitigate potential adverse impacts on distressed populations resulting from the costs of the improvements and taking into consideration local community economic considerations.
 - A schedule of compliance will be provided based on the results of this cost analysis. The schedule of compliance is provided to ensure that the entity has time to reasonably plan for compliance with the new permit requirements. The time provided ensures the entity has time to hire an engineer, develop facility plans, hold community meetings, seek an appropriate funding source, and construct the facility. This analysis has determined the community may endure a high financial burden; therefore, a longer schedule of compliance has been established to allow for the permittee to adequately plan toward compliance. If it is determined by the permittee that a longer schedule of compliance is necessary due to financial reasons, please contact the Department and request modification of the compliance schedule.
 - An integrated plan may be an appropriate option if the community needs to meet other environmental obligations as well as the new requirements within this permit. The integrated plan needs to be well thought out with specific timeframes

built into the management plan in which the municipality can reasonably commit. The plan should be designed to allow the municipality to meet Clean Water Act obligations by maximizing infrastructure improvement dollars through the appropriate sequencing of work. For further information on how to develop an integrated plan, please see the Department publication, "Missouri Integrated Planning Framework," at <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2684.htm>.

- If the permittee can demonstrate that the proposed pollution controls result in substantial and widespread economic and social impact, they may use Factor 6 of the Use Attainability Analysis (UAA) 40 CFR 131.10(g)(6) in the form of a variance. This process is completed by determining the treatment type with the highest attainable effluent quality that would not result in a socio-economic hardship. For more information on variance requests, please visit the Department's water quality standards webpage at <https://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/wqs-variances.htm>.

(b) Allowing for reasonable accommodations for regulated entities when inflexible standards and fines would impose a disproportionate financial hardship in light of the environmental benefits to be gained.

- If available, connection to a larger centralized sewer system in the area may be more cost effective for the community. This can be incorporated into an integrated plan.
- An opportunity may exist for the relocation of the point of discharge to a receiving stream capable of a greater mixing zone.
- The permittee may apply for State Revolving Fund (SRF) financial support in order to help fund a capital improvements plan. Other loans and grants also exist for which the facility may be eligible. More information can be found on the Department's FAC website at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/srf/wastewater-assistance.htm>.

The following table characterizes the current overall socioeconomic condition of the community as compared to the overall socioeconomic condition of Missouri. The following information was compiled using the latest U.S. Census data.

Criterion 5 Table. Socioeconomic Data ^{2, 5-9} for the City of Greenfield

No.	Administrative Unit	Greenfield City	Missouri State
1	Population (2016)	1,476	6,059,651
2	Percent Change in Population (2000-2016)	8.7%	8.3%
3	2016 Median Household Income (in 2017 Dollars)	\$25,670	\$50,417
4	Percent Change in Median Household Income (2000-2016)	-18.7%	-5.9%
5	Median Age (2016)	41.8	38.3
6	Change in Median Age in Years (2000-2016)	-0.9	2.2
7	Unemployment Rate (2016)	9.7%	6.6%
8	Percent of Population Below Poverty Level (2016)	26.3%	15.3%
9	Percent of Household Received Food Stamps (2016)	23.5%	13.0%
10	(Primary) County Where the Community Is Located	Dade County	

(6) An assessment of other community investments and operating costs relating to environmental improvements and public health protection;

The City submitted a Wastewater Facility Plan to the Department dated February 1, 2017. The plan details that the City is proposing to conduct collection system rehabilitation and irrigation improvements prior to upgrades being made to meet Ammonia limits. The plan estimates that it will cost the City approximately \$800,000 in the initial collection system improvements to reduce the wet-weather flows to the two permitted lagoon systems, and \$260,000 to install permanent influent flow meters at both lagoon systems and to increase the irrigation pump capacity for the irrigation systems at each lagoon system. The plan also contains four potential treatment alternatives for the City to meet final effluent limits for Ammonia. The cost estimate is approximately \$2,000,000 for each alternative. The City has two existing revenue bonds, a 2002B series Combined Waterworks and Sewerage System bond, and a 2006B series Combined Waterworks and Sewerage System bond. The City as of June 30, 2017 had an outstanding balance of \$385,000 on the 2002B bond and \$125,000 on the 2006B bond. Payment on the 2002B bond is expected to be finished in 2022, and payment on the 2006B bond is expected to be finished in 2026. The City passed a bond issue in April 2018 for \$550,000 to fund collection system improvements. The \$550,000 from the bond issue will supplement an existing amount of \$950,000 to give the city \$1.5 million to do all of the improvements stated in the engineering report, including non-construction costs. The City expects that the loan from the April 2018 bond issue will have a 20 year payoff period.

- (7) An assessment of factors set forth in the United States Environmental Protection Agency's guidance, including but not limited to the "Combined Sewer Overflow Guidance for Financial Capability Assessment and Schedule Development" that may ease the cost burdens of implementing wet weather control plans, including but not limited to small system considerations, the attainability of water quality standards, and the development of wet weather standards;

The following table characterizes the community's overall financial capability to raise the necessary funds to meet the new permit requirements.

Criterion 7A Table. Financial Capability Indicator

Indicators	Strong (3 points)	Mid-Range (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	Score
Bond Rating Indicator	Above BBB or Baa	BBB or Baa	Below BBB or Baa	NA
Overall Net Debt as a % of Full Market Property Value	Below 2%	2% - 5%	Above 5%	3
Unemployment Rate (2016)	Beyond 1% below Missouri average of 6.6%	± 1% of Missouri average of 6.6%	Beyond 1% above Missouri average of 6.6%	1
2016 Median Household Income (in 2017 Dollar)	Beyond 25% above Missouri MHI (\$50,417)	± 25% of Missouri MHI (\$50,417)	Beyond 25% below Missouri MHI (\$50,417)	1
Percent of Population Below Poverty Level (2016)	Beyond 10% below Missouri average of 15.3%	± 10% of Missouri average of 15.3%	Beyond 10% above Missouri average of 15.3%	1
Percent of Household Received Food Stamps (2016)	Beyond 5% below Missouri average of 13.0%	± 5% of Missouri average of 13.0%	Beyond 5% above Missouri average of 13.0%	1
Property Tax Revenues as a % of Full Market Property Value	Below 2%	2% - 4%	Above 4%	3
Property Tax Collection Rate	Above 98%	94% - 98%	Below 94%	1
Total Average Score (Financial Capability Indicator)	--	--	--	1.57

The **Financial Capability Indicator** and the **Residential Indicator** are considered jointly in the Financial Capability Matrix to determine the financial burden that could occur from compliance with the new requirements of the permit.

- Financial Capability Indicator (from Criterion 7): 1.57
- Mechanical Plant Residential Indicator (from Criterion 2): 3.5%
- Land Application Residential Indicator (from Criterion 2): 3.3%

Criterion 7B Table. Financial Capability Matrix

Financial Capability Indicator	Residential Indicator (User Rate as a % of MHI)		
	Low (Below 1%)	Mid-Range (1.0% to 2.0%)	High (Above 2.0%)
Weak (Below 1.5)	Medium Burden	High Burden	High Burden
Mid-Range (1.5 – 2.5)	Low Burden	Medium Burden	High Burden
Strong (Above 2.5)	Low Burden	Medium Burden	High Burden

- Resulting Financial Burden for Mechanical Plant: High Burden
- Resulting Financial Burden for Land Application: High Burden

- (8) An assessment of any other relevant local community economic conditions.

The City did not report any other relevant local economic conditions.

The Department contracted with Wichita State University to complete an assessment tool that would allow for predictions on rural Missouri community populations and future sustainability. The purpose of the study is to use a statistical modeling analysis in order to determine factors associated with each rural Missouri community that would predict the future population changes that could occur in each community. A stepwise regression model was applied to 19 factors which were determined as predictors of rural population change in Missouri. The model established a hierarchy of the predicting factors which allowed the model to place a weighted value on each of the factors. A total of 745 rural towns and villages in Missouri received a weighted value for each of the predicting factors. The weighted values for each town / village were then added together to determine an overall decision score. The overall decision scores were then divided into five categories and each town was assigned to a different categorical group based on the overall decision score.

The categorical groups were developed from the range of overall scores across all rural towns and villages within Missouri. The range covers 1,191 score points (-245 to 946).

Based on the assessment tool, the City of Greenfield has been determined as a category (5) community. This means that the City of Greenfield is predicted to be stable over time.

Conclusion and Finding

As a result of new regulations, the Department is proposing modifications to the current operating permit that may require the permittee to upgrade the facility and construct new control technologies and to increase monitoring. The Department has considered the eight (8) criteria presented in subsection 644.145 RSMo to evaluate the cost associated with the new permit requirements.

The Department finds that a land application system is the most practical and affordable option for the City of Greenfield. The construction and operation of a land application system will ensure that the individuals within the community will not be required to make unreasonable sacrifices in their essential lifestyle or spending patterns or undergo hardships in order to make the projected monthly payments for sewer connections. Also, a land application treatment system has the potential to generate agricultural revenues that could offset cost. This can include, but is not limited to, revenue from the sale of a forage or grain crop as well as rent from livestock grazing.

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.47(a)(1) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(11), compliance must occur as soon as possible; therefore, based on this analysis, the permit holder has received a **four (4)** year schedule to meet *E. coli* and a **15** year schedule of compliance for the design and construction of a land application system. The affordability of these new requirements is based on the City's ability to pay off existing debt (7 years), build financial savings and receive partial grant funding for the design and construction of the wastewater treatment improvements. The following suggested milestones can be used by the permittee as a timeline toward compliance with new permit requirements. Once the permit holder's engineer has completed facility design with actual costs associated with permit compliance, it may be necessary for the permit holder to request additional time within the schedule of compliance. The Department is committed to review all requests for additional time in the schedule of compliance where adequate justification is provided.

Suggested Milestones during the 15 Year Schedule of Compliance

Year 1 (2019)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt and continue to obtain funding
Year 2 (2020)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt and begin construction
Year 3 (2021)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, continue construction
Year 4 (2022)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, complete construction.
Year 5 (2023)	Make final payment on existing sewer debt (Project C295425-03), pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, evaluate operations and rate structure, submit an application for renewal of the existing operating permit with new financial and socio-economic data
Year 6 (2024)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt
Year 7 (2025)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt
Year 8 (2026)	Make final payment on existing sewer debt (Project C295425-02),
Year 9 (2027)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, evaluate operations and rate structure
Year 10 (2028)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, hold bond election, data, apply for State Revolving Fund loans and/or grants, submit facility plan, submit an application for renewal of the existing operating permit with new financial and socio-economic data, apply for Construction Permit
Year 11 (2029)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, construction
Year 12 (2030)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, construction
Year 13 (2031)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, construction
Year 14 (2032)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, construction
Year 15 (2033)	Pay loan payment on existing sewer debt, submit an application for renewal of the existing operating permit with new financial and socio-economic data, complete construction

The Department is committed to reassessing the cost analysis for compliance at renewal to determine if the initial schedule of compliance will accommodate the socioeconomic data and financial capability of the community at that time. Because each community is unique, the Department wants to make sure that each community has the opportunity to consider all options and tailor solutions to best meet their needs. The Department understands the economic challenges associated with achieving compliance, and is committed to using all available tools to make an accurate and practical finding of affordability for Missouri communities. If the community is interested in the funding options available to them, please contact the Financial Assistance Center for more information. <http://www.dnr.mo.gov/env/Wpp/srf/index.html>

This determination is based on readily available data and may overestimate the financial impact on the community. The community's facility plan that is submitted as a part of the construction permit process includes a discussion of community details, what the community can afford, existing obligations, future growth potential, an evaluation of options available to the community with cost information, and a discussion on no-discharge alternatives. The cost information provided through the facility plan process, which is developed by the community and their engineer, is more comprehensive of the community's individual factors in relation to selected treatment technology and costing information.

References

1. <http://www.hydromantis.com/>
2. (A) 2016 MHI in 2016 Dollar: United States Census Bureau. 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B19013: Median Household Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2016 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars). http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_16_5YR_B19013&prodType=table.
(B) 2000 MHI in 1999 Dollar: U.S. Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Population and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-27, Missouri, Table 2. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC. <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-27-pt1.pdf>.
(C) 2017 CPI, 2016 CPI and 1999 CPI: For United States, United States Bureau of Labor Statistics (2017) Consumer Price Index - All Urban Consumers, United States City Average. All Items. 1982-84=100. http://data.bls.gov/timeseries/CUUR0000SA0?data_tool=Xgtable. For Missouri State: United States Bureau of Labor Statistics (2017) Consumer Price Index - All Urban Consumers, Midwest Urban Areas, All Items. 1982-84=100. http://data.bls.gov/timeseries/CUUR0200SA0?data_tool=Xgtable.
(D) 2016 MHI in 2017 Dollar: 2016 MHI in 2016 Dollar x 2017 CPI / 2016 CPI; 2000 MHI in 2017 Dollar: 2000 MHI in 1999 Dollar x 2017 CPI / 1999 CPI.
(E) Percent Change in Median Household Income (2000-2016) = (2016 MHI in 2017 Dollar - 2000 MHI in 2017 Dollar) / (2000 MHI in 2017 Dollars).
3. $(\$74.05 / ((\$25,670 / 12))) 100\% = 3.5\%$ (mechanical + sampling + debt + Greenfield Talburt costs)
4. $(\$69.54 / ((\$25,670 / 12))) 100\% = 3.3\%$ (land application + sampling + debt + Greenfield Talburt costs)
5. (A) Total Population in 2016: United States Census Bureau. 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B01003: Total Population - Universe: Total Population. http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_16_5YR_B01003&prodType=table.
(B) Total Population in 2000: U.S. Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Population and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-27, Missouri, Table 2. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC. <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-27-pt1.pdf>.
(C) Percent Change in Population (2000-2016) = (Total Population in 2016 - Total Population in 2000) / (Total Population in 2000).
6. (A) Median Age in 2016: United States Census Bureau. 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B01002: Median Age by Sex - Universe: Total population. http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_16_5YR_B01002&prodType=table.
(B) Median Age in 2000: For United States, United States Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-1 Part 1. United States Summary, Table 1. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC., Page 2. <https://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-1-1-pt1.pdf>. For Missouri State, United States Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Population and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-27, Missouri, Table 2. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC., Pages 64-92. <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-27-pt1.pdf>.
(C) Change in Median Age in Years (2000-2016) = (Median Age in 2016 - Median Age in 2000).
7. United States Census Bureau. 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, B23025: Employment Status for the Population 16 Years and Over - Universe: Population 16 years and Over. http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_16_5YR_B23025&prodType=table.
8. United States Census Bureau. 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months. http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_16_5YR_S1701&prodType=table.
9. United States Census Bureau. 2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B22003: Receipt of Food Stamps/SNAP in the Past 12 Months by Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months for Households - Universe: Households. http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_16_5YR_B22003&prodType=table.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

These Standard Conditions incorporate permit conditions as required by 40 CFR 122.41 or other applicable state statutes or regulations. These minimum conditions apply unless superseded by requirements specified in the permit.

Part I – General Conditions

Section A – Sampling, Monitoring, and Recording

1. **Sampling Requirements.**
 - a. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
 - b. All samples shall be taken at the outfall(s) or Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) approved sampling location(s), and unless specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other body of water or substance.
2. **Monitoring Requirements.**
 - a. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - i. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - ii. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - iii. The date(s) analyses were performed;
 - iv. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - v. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - vi. The results of such analyses.
 - b. If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit at the location specified in the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 CFR subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reported to the Department with the discharge monitoring report data (DMR) submitted to the Department pursuant to Section B, paragraph 7.
3. **Sample and Monitoring Calculations.** Calculations for all sample and monitoring results which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in the permit.
4. **Test Procedures.** The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure that the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations that are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is “sufficiently sensitive” when; 1) the method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the pollutant or, 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility’s discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015. These methods are also required for parameters that are listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure that the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive.
5. **Record Retention.** Except for records of monitoring information required by the permit related to the permittee’s sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years (or longer as required by 40 CFR part 503), the permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.

6. **Illegal Activities.**
 - a. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or both.
 - b. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person or who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both. Second and successive convictions for violation under this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

Section B – Reporting Requirements

1. **Planned Changes.**
 - a. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility when:
 - i. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
 - ii. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42;
 - iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee’s sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan;
 - iv. Any facility expansions, production increases, or process modifications which will result in a new or substantially different discharge or sludge characteristics must be reported to the Department 60 days before the facility or process modification begins. Notification may be accomplished by application for a new permit. If the discharge does not violate effluent limitations specified in the permit, the facility is to submit a notice to the Department of the changed discharge at least 30 days before such changes. The Department may require a construction permit and/or permit modification as a result of the proposed changes at the facility.
2. **Non-compliance Reporting.**
 - a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Relevant information shall be provided orally or via the current electronic method approved by the Department, within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall be reported to the appropriate Regional Office during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) business days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

- b. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
 - i. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - ii. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - iii. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit required to be reported within 24 hours.
 - c. The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under paragraph 2. b. of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.
3. **Anticipated Noncompliance.** The permittee shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The notice shall be submitted to the Department 60 days prior to such changes or activity.
 4. **Compliance Schedules.** Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of the permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. The report shall provide an explanation for the instance of noncompliance and a proposed schedule or anticipated date, for achieving compliance with the compliance schedule requirement.
 5. **Other Noncompliance.** The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs 2, 3, and 6 of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph 2. a. of this section.
 6. **Other Information.** Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
 7. **Discharge Monitoring Reports.**
 - a. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the permit.
 - b. Monitoring results must be reported to the Department via the current method approved by the Department, unless the permittee has been granted a waiver from using the method. If the permittee has been granted a waiver, the permittee must use forms provided by the Department.
 - c. Monitoring results shall be reported to the Department no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of the reporting period.
- b. Notice.
 - i. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
 - ii. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 5 (24-hour notice).
 - c. Prohibition of bypass.
 - i. Bypass is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 1. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 2. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 3. The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 2. b. of this section.
 - ii. The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Department determines that it will meet the three (3) conditions listed above in paragraph 2. c. i. of this section.
3. **Upset Requirements.**
 - a. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 3. b. of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
 - b. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - i. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - ii. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
 - iii. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 2. b. ii. (24-hour notice).
 - iv. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Section D – Administrative Requirements, paragraph 4.
 - c. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

Section C – Bypass/Upset Requirements

1. **Definitions.**
 - a. *Bypass*: the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending.
 - b. *Severe Property Damage*: substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
 - c. *Upset*: an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
2. **Bypass Requirements.**
 - a. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2. b. and 2. c. of this section.

Section D – Administrative Requirements

1. **Duty to Comply.** The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law and Federal Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.
 - a. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$25,000 per day for each violation. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

- imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of \$2,500 to \$25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one (1) year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of \$5,000 to \$50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than three (3) years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than six (6) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can be fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.
- c. Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the EPA Director for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed \$25,000. Penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed \$125,000.
- d. It is unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law, or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission. In the event the commission or the director determines that any provision of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or standard, rules, limitations or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, or permits issued by, or any final abatement order, other order, or determination made by the commission or the director, or any filing requirement pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any other provision which this state is required to enforce pursuant to any federal water pollution control act, is being, was, or is in imminent danger of being violated, the commission or director may cause to have instituted a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction for the injunctive relief to prevent any such violation or further violation or for the assessment of a penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day, or part thereof, the violation occurred and continues to occur, or both, as the court deems proper. Any person who willfully or negligently commits any violation in this paragraph shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both. Second and successive convictions for violation of the same provision of this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.
2. **Duty to Reapply.**
- a. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit.
- b. A permittee with a currently effective site-specific permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 180 days before the expiration date of the existing permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Department. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
- c. A permittee with currently effective general permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 30 days before the existing permit expires, unless the permittee has been notified by the Department that an earlier application must be made. The Department may grant permission for a later submission date. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
3. **Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense.** It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
4. **Duty to Mitigate.** The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
5. **Proper Operation and Maintenance.** The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
6. **Permit Actions.**
- a. Subject to compliance with statutory requirements of the Law and Regulations and applicable Court Order, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked in whole or in part during its term for cause including, but not limited to, the following:
- i. Violations of any terms or conditions of this permit or the law;
- ii. Having obtained this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully any relevant facts;
- iii. A change in any circumstances or conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
- iv. Any reason set forth in the Law or Regulations.
- b. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.
7. **Permit Transfer.**
- a. Subject to 10 CSR 20-6.010, an operating permit may be transferred upon submission to the Department of an application to transfer signed by the existing owner and the new owner, unless prohibited by the terms of the permit. Until such time the permit is officially transferred, the original permittee remains responsible for complying with the terms and conditions of the existing permit.
- b. The Department may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Missouri Clean Water Law or the Federal Clean Water Act.
- c. The Department, within 30 days of receipt of the application, shall notify the new permittee of its intent to revoke or reissue or transfer the permit.
8. **Toxic Pollutants.** The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
9. **Property Rights.** This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

10. **Duty to Provide Information.** The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
11. **Inspection and Entry.** The permittee shall allow the Department, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Department), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
 - a. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
 - c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Federal Clean Water Act or Missouri Clean Water Law, any substances or parameters at any location.
12. **Closure of Treatment Facilities.**
 - a. Persons who cease operation or plan to cease operation of waste, wastewater, and sludge handling and treatment facilities shall close the facilities in accordance with a closure plan approved by the Department.
 - b. Operating Permits under 10 CSR 20-6.010 or under 10 CSR 20-6.015 are required until all waste, wastewater, and sludges have been disposed of in accordance with the closure plan approved by the Department and any disturbed areas have been properly stabilized. Disturbed areas will be considered stabilized when perennial vegetation, pavement, or structures using permanent materials cover all areas that have been disturbed. Vegetative cover, if used, shall be at least 70% plant density over 100% of the disturbed area.
13. **Signatory Requirement.**
 - a. All permit applications, reports required by the permit, or information requested by the Department shall be signed and certified. (See 40 CFR 122.22 and 10 CSR 20-6.010)
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months per violation, or by both.
 - c. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both.
14. **Severability.** The provisions of the permit are severable, and if any provision of the permit, or the application of any provision of the permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of the permit, shall not be affected thereby.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
MAY 1, 2013

PART II - SPECIAL CONDITIONS – PUBLICLY OWNED
TREATMENT WORKS
SECTION A – INDUSTRIAL USERS

1. Definitions

Definitions as set forth in the Missouri Clean Water Laws and approved by the Missouri Clean Water Commission shall apply to terms used herein.

Significant Industrial User (SIU). Except as provided in the *General Pretreatment Regulation* 10 CSR 20-6.100, the term Significant Industrial User means:

1. All Industrial Users subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards; and
2. Any other Industrial User that: discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW) (excluding sanitary, noncontact cooling and boiler blowdown wastewater); contributes a process wastestream which makes up 5 percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the POTW treatment plant; or is designated as such by the Control Authority on the basis that the Industrial User has a reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's or for violating any Pretreatment Standard or requirement.

Clean Water Act (CWA) is the the federal Clean Water Act of 1972, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq. (2002).

2. Identification of Industrial Discharges

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(j)(1), all POTWs shall identify, in terms of character and volume of pollutants, any Significant Industrial Users discharging to the POTW subject to Pretreatment Standards under section 307(b) of the CWA and 40 CFR 403.

3. Application Information

Applications for renewal or modification of this permit must contain the information about industrial discharges to the POTW pursuant to 40 CFR 122.21(j)(6)

4. Notice to the Department

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.42(b), all POTWs must provide adequate notice of the following:

1. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of CWA if it were directly discharging these pollutants; and
2. Any substantial change into the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
3. For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on:
 - i. the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and
 - ii. any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

For POTWs without an approved pretreatment program, the notice of industrial discharges which was not included in the permit application shall be made as soon as practicable. For POTWs with an approved pretreatment program, notice is to be included in the annual pretreatment report required in the special conditions of this permit. Notice may be sent to:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Attn: Pretreatment Coordinator
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
March 1, 2015

**PART III – SLUDGE AND BIOSOLIDS FROM DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER
TREATMENT FACILITIES**

SECTION A – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

1. This permit pertains to sludge requirements under the Missouri Clean Water Law and regulation for domestic wastewater and industrial process wastewater. This permit also incorporates applicable federal sludge disposal requirements under 40 CFR 503 for domestic wastewater. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has principal authority for permitting and enforcement of the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503 for domestic wastewater. EPA has reviewed and accepted these standard sludge conditions. EPA may choose to issue a separate sludge addendum to this permit or a separate federal sludge permit at their discretion to further address the federal requirements.
2. These PART III Standard Conditions apply only to sludge and biosolids generated at domestic wastewater treatment facilities, including public owned treatment works (POTW), privately owned facilities and sludge or biosolids generated at industrial facilities.
3. Sludge and Biosolids Use and Disposal Practices:
 - a. The permittee is authorized to operate the sludge and biosolids treatment, storage, use, and disposal facilities listed in the facility description of this permit.
 - b. The permittee shall not exceed the design sludge volume listed in the facility description and shall not use sludge disposal methods that are not listed in the facility description, without prior approval of the permitting authority.
 - c. The permittee is authorized to operate the storage, treatment or generating sites listed in the Facility Description section of this permit.
4. Sludge Received from other Facilities:
 - a. Permittees may accept domestic wastewater sludge from other facilities including septic tank pumpings from residential sources as long as the design sludge volume is not exceeded and the treatment facility performance is not impaired.
 - b. The permittee shall obtain a signed statement from the sludge generator or hauler that certifies the type and source of the sludge
5. These permit requirements do not supersede nor remove liability for compliance with county and other local ordinances.
6. These permit requirements do not supersede nor remove liability for compliance with other environmental regulations such as odor emissions under the Missouri Air Pollution Control Law and regulations.
7. This permit may (after due process) be modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable sludge disposal standard or limitation issued or approved under Section 405(d) of the Clean Water Act under Chapter 644 RSMo.
8. In addition to STANDARD CONDITIONS, the Department may include sludge limitations in the special conditions portion or other sections of a site specific permit.
9. Alternate Limits in the Site Specific Permit.

Where deemed appropriate, the Department may require an individual site specific permit in order to authorize alternate limitations:

 - a. A site specific permit must be obtained for each operating location, including application sites.
 - b. To request a site specific permit, an individual permit application, permit fee, and supporting documents shall be submitted for each operating location. This shall include a detailed sludge/biosolids management plan or engineering report.
10. Exceptions to these Standard Conditions may be authorized on a case-by-case basis by the Department, as follows:
 - a. The Department will prepare a permit modification and follow permit notice provisions as applicable under 10 CSR 20-6.020, 40 CFR 124.10, and 40 CFR 501.15(a)(2)(ix)(E). This includes notification of the owner of the property located adjacent to each land application site, where appropriate.
 - b. Exceptions cannot be granted where prohibited by the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503.

SECTION B – DEFINITIONS

1. Best Management Practices include agronomic loading rates, soil conservation practices and other site restrictions.
2. Biosolids means organic fertilizer or soil amendment produced by the treatment of domestic wastewater sludge.
3. Biosolids land application facility is a facility where biosolids are spread onto the land at agronomic rates for production of food or fiber. The facility includes any structures necessary to store the biosolids until soil, weather, and crop conditions are favorable for land application.
4. Class A biosolids means a material that has met the Class A pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Further Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR 503.
5. Class B biosolids means a material that has met the Class B pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Significantly Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR 503.
6. Domestic wastewater means wastewater originating from the sanitary conveniences of residences, commercial buildings, factories and institutions; or co-mingled sanitary and industrial wastewater processed by a (POTW) or a privately owned facility.
7. Industrial wastewater means any wastewater, also known as process water, not defined as domestic wastewater. Per 40 CFR Part 122, process water means any water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct, or waste product.
8. Mechanical treatment plants are wastewater treatment facilities that use mechanical devices to treat wastewater, including septic tanks, sand filters, extended aeration, activated sludge, contact stabilization, trickling filters, rotating biological discs, and other similar facilities. It does not include wastewater treatment lagoons and constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment.
9. Operating location as defined in 10 CSR 20-2.010 is all contiguous lands owned, operated or controlled by one (1) person or by two (2) or more persons jointly or as tenants in common.
10. Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) is the nitrogen that will be available to plants during the growing seasons after biosolids application.
11. Public contact site is land with a high potential for contact by the public. This includes, but is not limited to, public parks, ball fields, cemeteries, plant nurseries, turf farms, and golf courses.
12. Sludge is the solid, semisolid, or liquid residue removed during the treatment of wastewater. Sludge includes septage removed from septic tanks or equivalent facilities. Sludge does not include carbon coal byproducts (CCBs)
13. Sludge lagoon is part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility. A sludge lagoon is an earthen basin that receives sludge that has been removed from a wastewater treatment facility. It does not include a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge treatment units that are not a part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility.
14. Septage is the material pumped from residential septic tanks and similar treatment works (with a design population of less than 150 people). The standard for biosolids from septage is different from other sludges.

SECTION C – MECHANICAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

1. Sludge shall be routinely removed from wastewater treatment facilities and handled according to the permit facility description and sludge conditions of this permit.
2. The permittee shall operate the facility so that there is no sludge discharged to waters of the state.
3. Mechanical treatment plants shall have separate sludge storage compartments in accordance with 10 CSR 20, Chapter 8. Failure to remove sludge from these storage compartments on the required design schedule is a violation of this permit.

SECTION D – SLUDGE DISPOSED AT OTHER TREATMENT FACILITY OR CONTRACT HAULER

1. This section applies to permittees that haul sludge to another treatment facility for disposal or use contract haulers to remove and dispose of sludge.
2. Permittees that use contract haulers are responsible for compliance with all the terms of this permit including final disposal, unless the hauler has a separate permit for sludge or biosolids disposal issued by the Department; or the hauler transports the sludge to another permitted treatment facility.
3. Haulers who land apply septage must obtain a state permit.
4. Testing of sludge, other than total solids content, is not required if sludge is hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility or other permitted wastewater treatment facility, unless it is required by the accepting facility.

SECTION E – INCINERATION OF SLUDGE

1. Sludge incineration facilities shall comply with the requirements of 40 CFR 503 Subpart E; air pollution control regulations under 10 CSR 10; and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80.
2. Permittee may be authorized under the facility description of this permit to store incineration ash in lagoons or ash ponds. This permit does not authorize the disposal of incineration ash. Incineration ash shall be disposed in accordance with 10 CSR 80; or if the ash is determined to be hazardous with 10 CSR 25.
3. In addition to normal sludge monitoring, incineration facilities shall report the following as part of the annual report, quantity of sludge incinerated, quantity of ash generated, quantity of ash stored, and ash used or disposal method, quantity, and location. Permittee shall also provide the name of the disposal facility and the applicable permit number.

SECTION F – SURFACE DISPOSAL SITES AND SLUDGE LAGOONS

1. Surface disposal sites of domestic facilities shall comply with the requirements in 40 CFR 503 Subpart C; air pollution control regulations under 10 CSR 10; and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80.
2. Sludge storage lagoons are temporary facilities and are not required to obtain a permit as a solid waste management facility under 10 CSR 80. In order to maintain sludge storage lagoons as storage facilities, accumulated sludge must be removed routinely, but not less than once every two years unless an alternate schedule is approved in the permit. The amount of sludge removed will be dependent on sludge generation and accumulation in the facility. Enough sludge must be removed to maintain adequate storage capacity in the facility.
 - a. In order to avoid damage to the lagoon seal during cleaning, the permittee may leave a layer of sludge on the bottom of the lagoon, upon prior approval of the Department; or
 - b. Permittee shall close the lagoon in accordance with Section H.

SECTION G – LAND APPLICATION

1. The permittee shall not land apply sludge or biosolids unless land application is authorized in the facility description or the special conditions of the issued NPDES permit.
2. Land application sites within a 20 miles radius of the wastewater treatment facility are authorized under this permit when biosolids are applied for beneficial use in accordance with these standard conditions unless otherwise specified in a site specific permit. If the permittee's land application site is greater than a 20 mile radius of the wastewater treatment facility, approval must be granted from the Department.
3. Land application shall not adversely affect a threatened or endangered species or its designated critical habitat.
4. Biosolids shall not be applied unless authorized in this permit or exempted under 10 CSR 20, Chapter 6.
 - a. This permit does not authorize the land application of domestic sludge except for when sludge meets the definition of biosolids.
 - b. This permit authorizes "Class A or B" biosolids derived from domestic wastewater and/or process water sludge to be land applied onto grass land, crop land, timber or other similar agricultural or silviculture lands at rates suitable for beneficial use as organic fertilizer and soil conditioner.
5. Public Contact Sites:

Permittees who wish to apply Class A biosolids to public contact sites must obtain approval from the Department after two years of proper operation with acceptable testing documentation that shows the biosolids meet Class A criteria. A shorter length of testing will be allowed with prior approval from the Department. Authorization for land applications must be provided in the special conditions section of this permit or in a separate site specific permit.

 - a. After Class B biosolids have been land applied, public access must be restricted for 12 months.
 - b. Class B biosolids are only land applied to root crops, home gardens or vegetable crops whose edible parts will not be for human consumption.
6. Agricultural and Silvicultural Sites:

Septage – Based on Water Quality guide 422 (WQ422) published by the University of Missouri

 - a. Haulers that land apply septage must obtain a state permit
 - b. Do not apply more than 30,000 gallons of septage per acre per year.
 - c. Septage tanks are designed to retain sludge for one to three years which will allow for a larger reduction in pathogens and vectors, as compared to other mechanical type treatment facilities.
 - d. To meet Class B sludge requirements, maintain septage at 12 pH for at least thirty (30) minutes before land application. 50 pounds of hydrated lime shall be added to each 1,000 gallons of septage in order to meet pathogen and vector stabilization for septage biosolids applied to crops, pastures or timberland.
 - e. Lime is to be added to the pump truck and not directly to the septic tanks, as lime would harm the beneficial bacteria of the septic tank.

Biosolids - Based on Water Quality guide 423, 424, and 425 (WQ423, WQ424, WQ425) published by the University of Missouri;

- a. Biosolids shall be monitored to determine the quality for regulated pollutants
- b. The number of samples taken is directly related to the amount of sludge produced by the facility (See Section I of these Standard Conditions). Report as dry weight unless otherwise specified in the site specific permit. Samples should be taken only during land application periods. When necessary, it is permissible to mix biosolids with lower concentrations of biosolids as well as other suitable Department approved material to reach the maximum concentration of pollutants allowed.
- c. Table 1 gives the maximum concentration allowable to protect water quality standards

TABLE 1

Biosolids ceiling concentration ¹	
Pollutant	Milligrams per kilogram dry weight
Arsenic	75
Cadmium	85
Copper	4,300
Lead	840
Mercury	57
Molybdenum	75
Nickel	420
Selenium	100
Zinc	7,500

¹ Land application is not allowed if the sludge concentration exceeds the maximum limits for any of these pollutants

- d. The low metal concentration biosolids has reduced requirements because of its higher quality and can safely be applied for 100 years or longer at typical agronomic loading rates. (See Table 2)

TABLE 2

Biosolids Low Metal Concentration ¹	
Pollutant	Milligrams per kilogram dry weight
Arsenic	41
Cadmium	39
Copper	1,500
Lead	300
Mercury	17
Nickel	420
Selenium	36
Zinc	2,800

¹ You may apply low metal biosolids without tracking cumulative metal limits, provided the cumulative application of biosolids does not exceed 500 dry tons per acre.

- e. Each pollutant in Table 3 has an annual and a total cumulative loading limit, based on the allowable pounds per acre for various soil categories.

TABLE 3

Pollutant	CEC 15+		CEC 5 to 15		CEC 0 to 5	
	Annual	Total ¹	Annual	Total ¹	Annual	Total ¹
Arsenic	1.8	36.0	1.8	36.0	1.8	36.0
Cadmium	1.7	35.0	0.9	9.0	0.4	4.5
Copper	66.0	1,335.0	25.0	250.0	12.0	125.0
Lead	13.0	267.0	13.0	267.0	13.0	133.0
Mercury	0.7	15.0	0.7	15.0	0.7	15.0
Nickel	19.0	347.0	19.0	250.0	12.0	125.0
Selenium	4.5	89.0	4.5	44.0	1.6	16.0
Zinc	124.0	2,492.0	50.0	500.0	25.0	250.0

¹ Total cumulative loading limits for soils with equal or greater than 6.0 pH (salt based test) or 6.5 pH (water based test)

TABLE 4 - Guidelines for land application of other trace substances ¹

Cumulative Loading	
Pollutant	Pounds per acre
Aluminum	4,000 ²
Beryllium	100
Cobalt	50
Fluoride	800
Manganese	500
Silver	200
Tin	1,000
Dioxin	(10 ppt in soil) ³
Other	⁴

¹ Design of land treatment systems for Industrial Waste, 1979. Michael Ray Overcash, North Carolina State University and Land Treatment of Municipal Wastewater, EPA 1981.)

² This applies for a soil with a pH between 6.0 and 7.0 (salt based test) or a pH between 6.5 to 7.5 (water based test). Case-by-case review is required for higher pH soils.

³ Total Dioxin Toxicity Equivalents (TEQ) in soils, based on a risk assessment under 40 CFR 744, May 1998.

⁴ Case by case review. Concentrations in sludge should not exceed the 95th percentile of the National Sewage Sludge Survey, EPA, January 2009.

Best Management Practices – Based on Water Quality guide 426 (WQ426) published by the University of Missouri

- a. Use best management practices when applying biosolids.
- b. Biosolids cannot discharge from the land application site
- c. Biosolid application is subject to the Missouri Department of Agriculture State Milk Board concerning grazing restrictions of lactating dairy cattle.
- d. Biosolid application must be in accordance with section 4 of the Endangered Species Act.
- e. Do not apply more than the agronomic rate of nitrogen needed.
- f. The applicator must document the Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) loadings, available nitrogen in the soil, and crop removal when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) When biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
 - i. PAN can be determined as follows and is in accordance with WQ426
(Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) + (organic nitrogen x 0.2) + (ammonia nitrogen x volatilization factor¹).
- g. Buffer zones are as follows:
 - i. 300 feet of a water supply well, sinkhole, lake, pond, water supply reservoir or water supply intake in a stream;
 - ii. 300 feet of a losing stream, no discharge stream, stream stretches designated for whole body contact recreation, wild and scenic rivers, Ozark National Scenic Riverways or outstanding state resource waters as listed in the Water Quality Standards, 10 CSR 20-7.031;
 - iii. 150 feet if dwellings;
 - iv. 100 feet of wetlands or permanent flowing streams;
 - v. 50 feet of a property line or other waters of the state, including intermittent flowing streams.
- h. Slope limitation for application sites are as follows;
 - i. A slope 0 to 6 percent has no rate limitation
 - ii. Applied to a slope 7 to 12 percent, the applicator may apply biosolids when soil conservation practices are used to meet the minimum erosion levels
 - iii. Slopes > 12 percent, apply biosolids only when grass is vegetated and maintained with at least 80 percent ground cover at a rate of two dry tons per acre per year or less.
- i. No biosolids may be land applied in an area that it is reasonably certain that pollutants will be transported into waters of the state.
- j. Do not apply biosolids to sites with soil that is snow covered, frozen or saturated with liquid without prior approval by the Department.
- k. Biosolids / sludge applicators must keep detailed records up to five years.

SECTION H – CLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

1. This section applies to all wastewater facilities (mechanical, industrial, and lagoons) and sludge or biosolids storage and treatment facilities and incineration ash ponds. It does not apply to land application sites.
2. Permittees of a domestic wastewater facility who plan to cease operation must obtain Department approval of a closure plan which addresses proper removal and disposal of all residues, including sludge, biosolids. Mechanical plants, sludge lagoons, ash ponds and other storage structures must obtain approval of a closure plan from the Department. Permittee must maintain this permit until the facility is closed in accordance with the approved closure plan per 10 CSR 20 – 6. 010 and 10 CSR 20 – 6.015.
3. Residuals that are left in place during closure of a lagoon or earthen structure or ash pond shall not exceed the agricultural loading rates as follows:
 - a. Residuals shall meet the monitoring and land application limits for agricultural rates as referenced in Section H of these standard conditions.
 - b. If a wastewater treatment lagoon has been in operation for 15 years or more without sludge removal, the sludge in the lagoon qualifies as a Class B biosolids with respect to pathogens due to anaerobic digestion, and testing for fecal coliform is not required. For other lagoons, testing for fecal coliform is required to show compliance with Class B biosolids limitations. In order to reach Class B biosolids requirements, fecal coliform must be less than 2,000,000 colony forming units or 2,000,000 most probable number. All fecal samples must be presented as geometric mean per gram.
 - c. The allowable nitrogen loading that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. For a grass cover crop, the allowable PAN is 300 pounds/acre.
 - i. PAN can be determined as follows:
$$(\text{Nitrate} + \text{nitrite nitrogen}) + (\text{organic nitrogen} \times 0.2) + (\text{ammonia nitrogen} \times \text{volatilization factor}^1).$$

¹ Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application.
4. When closing a domestic wastewater treatment lagoon with a design treatment capacity equal or less than 150 persons, the residuals are considered “septage” under the similar treatment works definition. See Section B of these standard conditions. Under the septage category, residuals may be left in place as follows:
 - a. Testing for metals or fecal coliform is not required
 - b. If the wastewater treatment lagoon has been in use for less than 15 years, mix lime with the sludge at a rate of 50 pounds of hydrated lime per 1000 gallons (134 cubic feet) of sludge.
 - c. The amount of sludge that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. 100 dry tons/acre of sludge may be left in the basin without testing for nitrogen. If 100 dry tons/acre or more will be left in the lagoon, test for nitrogen and determine the PAN using the calculation above. Allowable PAN loading is 300 pounds/acre.
5. Residuals left within the domestic lagoon shall be mixed with soil on at least a 1 to 1 ratio, the lagoon berm shall be demolished, and the site shall be graded and contain $\geq 70\%$ vegetative density over 100% of the site so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion.
6. Lagoons and/or earthen structure and/or ash pond closure activities shall obtain a storm water permit for land disturbance activities that equal or exceed one acre in accordance with 10 CSR 20-6.200
7. When closing a mechanical wastewater and/or industrial process wastewater plant; all sludge must be cleaned out and disposed of in accordance with the Department approved closure plan before the permit for the facility can be terminated.
 - a. Land must be stabilized which includes any grading, alternate use or fate upon approval by the Department, remediation, or other work that exposes sediment to stormwater per 10 CSR 20-6.200. The site shall be graded and contain $\geq 70\%$ vegetative density over 100% of the site, so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion.
 - b. Per 10 CSR 20-6.015(4)(B)6, Hazardous Waste shall not be land applied or disposed during industrial and mechanical plant closures unless in accordance with Missouri Hazardous Waste Management Law and Regulations under 10 CSR 25.
 - c. After demolition of the mechanical plant / industrial plant, the site must only contain clean fill defined in RSMo 260.200 (5) as uncontaminated soil, rock, sand, gravel, concrete, asphaltic concrete, cinderblocks, brick, minimal amounts of wood and metal, and inert solids as approved by rule or policy of the Department for fill or other beneficial use. Other solid wastes must be removed.
8. If sludge from the domestic lagoon or mechanical treatment plant exceeds agricultural rates under Section G and/or H, a landfill permit or solid waste disposal permit must be obtained if the permittee chooses to seek authorization for on-site sludge disposal under the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law and regulations per 10 CSR 80, and the permittee must comply with the surface disposal requirements under 40 CFR 503, Subpart C.

SECTION I – MONITORING FREQUENCY

- At a minimum, sludge or biosolids shall be tested for volume and percent total solids on a frequency that will accurately represent sludge quantities produced and disposed. Please see the table below.

TABLE 5

Design Sludge Production (dry tons per year)	Monitoring Frequency (See Notes 1, 2, and 3)			
	Metals, Pathogens and Vectors	Nitrogen TKN ¹	Nitrogen PAN ²	Priority Pollutants and TCLP ³
0 to 100	1 per year	1 per year	1 per month	1 per year
101 to 200	biannual	biannual	1 per month	1 per year
201 to 1,000	quarterly	quarterly	1 per month	1 per year
1,001 to 10,000	1 per month	1 per month	1 per week	-- ⁴
10,001 +	1 per week	1 per week	1 per day	-- ⁴

¹ Test total Kjeldahl nitrogen, if biosolids application is 2 dry tons per acre per year or less.

² Calculate plant available nitrogen (PAN) when either of the following occurs: 1) when biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.

³ Priority pollutants (40 CFR 122.21, Appendix D, Tables II and III) and toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (40 CFR 261.24) is required only for permit holders that must have a pre-treatment program.

⁴ One sample for each 1,000 dry tons of sludge.

Note 1: Total solids: A grab sample of sludge shall be tested one per day during land application periods for percent total solids.

This data shall be used to calculate the dry tons of sludge applied per acre.

Note 2: Total Phosphorus: Total phosphorus and total potassium shall be tested at the same monitoring frequency as metals.

Note 3: Table 5 is not applicable for incineration and permit holders that landfill their sludge.

- If you own a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge lagoon that is cleaned out once a year or less, you may choose to sample only when the sludge is removed or the lagoon is closed. Test one composite sample for each 100 dry tons of sludge or biosolids removed from the lagoon during the year within the lagoon at closing. Composite sample must represent various areas at one-foot depth.
- Additional testing may be required in the special conditions or other sections of the permit. Permittees receiving industrial wastewater may be required to conduct additional testing upon request from the Department.
- At this time, the Department recommends monitoring requirements shall be performed in accordance with, "POTW Sludge Sampling and Analysis Guidance Document," United States Environmental Protection Agency, August 1989, and the subsequent revisions.

SECTION J – RECORD KEEPING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- The permittee shall maintain records on file at the facility for at least five years for the items listed in these standard conditions and any additional items in the Special Conditions section of this permit. This shall include dates when the sludge facility is checked for proper operation, records of maintenance and repairs and other relevant information.
- Reporting period
 - By January 28th of each year, an annual report shall be submitted for the previous calendar year period for all mechanical wastewater treatment facilities, sludge lagoons, and sludge or biosolids disposal facilities.
 - Permittees with wastewater treatment lagoons shall submit the above annual report only when sludge or biosolids are removed from the lagoon during the report period or when the lagoon is closed.
- Report Forms. The annual report shall be submitted on report forms provided by the Department or equivalent forms approved by the Department.
- Reports shall be submitted as follows:

Major facilities (those serving 10,000 persons or 1 million gallons per day) shall report to both the Department and EPA. Other facilities need to report only to the Department. Reports shall be submitted to the addresses listed as follows:

DNR regional office listed in your permit
(see cover letter of permit)
ATTN: Sludge Coordinator

EPA Region VII
Water Compliance Branch (WACM)
Sludge Coordinator
11201 Renner Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219

5. Annual report contents. The annual report shall include the following:
- a. Sludge and biosolids testing performed. Include a copy or summary of all test results, even if not required by the permit.
 - b. Sludge or biosolids quantity shall be reported as dry tons for quantity generated by the wastewater treatment facility, the quantity stored on site at the end of the year, and the quantity used or disposed.
 - c. Gallons and % solids data used to calculate the dry ton amounts.
 - d. Description of any unusual operating conditions.
 - e. Final disposal method, dates, and location, and person responsible for hauling and disposal.
 - i. This must include the name, address for the hauler and sludge facility. If hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility, sanitary landfill, or other approved treatment facility, give the name of that facility.
 - ii. Include a description of the type of hauling equipment used and the capacity in tons, gallons, or cubic feet.
 - f. Contract Hauler Activities:

If contract hauler, provide a copy of a signed contract from the contractor. Permittee shall require the contractor to supply information required under this permit for which the contractor is responsible. The permittee shall submit a signed statement from the contractor that he has complied with the standards contained in this permit, unless the contract hauler has a separate sludge or biosolids use permit.
 - g. Land Application Sites:
 - i. Report the location of each application site, the annual and cumulative dry tons/acre for each site, and the landowners name and address. The location for each spreading site shall be given as a legal description for nearest ¼, ¼, Section, Township, Range, and county, or UTM coordinates. The facility shall report PAN when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
 - ii. If the "Low Metals" criteria are exceeded, report the annual and cumulative pollutant loading rates in pounds per acre for each applicable pollutant, and report the percent of cumulative pollutant loading which has been reached at each site.
 - iii. Report the method used for compliance with pathogen and vector attraction requirements.
 - iv. Report soil test results for pH, CEC, and phosphorus. If none was tested during the year, report the last date when tested and results.

RECEIVED

FEB 08 2017

AP 14459



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES **Water Protection Program**
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH
FORM B - APPLICATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OR OPERATING PERMIT
FOR FACILITIES WHICH RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE
(≤100,000 gallons per day) UNDER MISSOURI CLEAN WATER LAW

FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

CHECK NUMBER

DATE RECEIVED

FEE SUBMITTED

11/31/13

0.88

NOTE ► PLEASE READ THE ACCOMPANYING INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM

1. This application is for:

- ☐ An operating permit and antidegradation review public notice.
☐ A construction permit following an appropriate operating permit and antidegradation review public notice.
☐ A construction permit and a concurrent operating permit and antidegradation review public notice.
☐ A construction permit (submitted before Aug. 30, 2008 or antidegradation review is not required).
☐ An operating permit for a new or unpermitted facility. Construction Permit # _____
☒ An operating permit renewal: Permit #MO-0055590 Expiration Date _____
☐ An operating permit modification: Permit #MO- Reason: _____

1.1 Is this a Federal/State Funded Project? ☐ YES ☐ NO Funding Agency/Project #:1.2 Is the appropriate fee included with the application (See instructions for appropriate fee)? ☒ YES ☐ NO

2. FACILITY (Outfall / of /)

NAME Greenfield WWTF (Sharpe) TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE 917-637-2532
 ADDRESS (PHYSICAL) Hwy 88 and Hunter St. CITY Greenfield STATE MO ZIP CODE 65661

2.1 LEGAL DESCRIPTION: SE 1/4, NW 1/4, SW 1/4, Sec. 13, T3W, R 27W County Dade2.2 UTM Coordinates Easting (X): _____ Northing (Y): _____
 For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)

2.3 Name of receiving stream: _____

3. OWNER

NAME City of Greenfield E-MAIL ADDRESS _____ TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE 917-637-2532
 ADDRESS 115 South main St. CITY Greenfield STATE MO ZIP CODE 65661

3.1 Request review of draft permit prior to Public Notice? ☒ YES ☐ NO

4. CONTINUING AUTHORITY: Permanent organization which will serve as the continuing authority for the operation, maintenance and modernization of the facility.

NAME City of Greenfield TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE 917-637-2532
 ADDRESS 115 South Main CITY Greenfield STATE MO ZIP CODE 65661

5. OPERATOR

NAME Casey Jones CERTIFICATE NUMBER 4912 TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE 917-276-1818

6. FACILITY CONTACT

NAME Andy Miller TITLE Supervisor TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE 917-637-2000

7. ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION

7.1 Description of facilities (Attach additional sheet if required). Attach a 1" = 2,000' scale U.S. Geological Survey topographic map showing location of all outfalls and downstream landowners. (See Item 9.)

7.2 Facility SIC code: 9952; Discharge SIC code: 9952; Facility NAICS code: _____; Discharge NAICS code: _____7.3 Number of people presently connected or population equivalent (P.E.) 1171 Design P.E. 1280Number of units presently connected: Homes 283 Trailers 28 Apartments 27 Other 72Design flow for this outfall: 128,000 Total design flow for the facility: 128,000 Actual flow for this outfall: _____

Commercial Establishment: Daily number of employees working _____ Daily number of customers/guests _____

7.4 Length of pipe in the sewer collection system? 6 feet/miles (Please denote which unit is appropriate.)7.5 Does any bypassing occur in the collection system or at the treatment facility? ☐ Yes ☒ No (If yes, attach explanation.)7.6 Does significant infiltration occur in the collection system? ☐ Yes ☒ No (If yes, attach explanation and proposed repair.)7.7 Is industrial waste discharged to the facility identified in Item 2? ☐ Yes ☒ No (If yes, see instructions.)7.8 Will the discharge be continuous through the year? ☐ Yes ☒ Noa. Discharge will occur during the following months: Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb.b. How many days of the week will the discharge occur? 3 to 57.9 Is wastewater land applied? ☒ Yes ☐ No (If yes, attach Form I.)7.10 Will chlorine be added to the effluent? ☐ Yes ☒ No

a. If chlorine is added, what is the resulting residual? _____ µg/l (micrograms per liter)

7.11 Does this facility discharge to a losing stream or sinkhole? ☐ Yes ☒ No

7.12 Attach a flow chart showing all influents, treatment facilities and outfalls.

7.13 Has a waste load allocation study been completed for this facility? ☐ Yes ☒ No7.14 List all permit violations, including effluent limit exceedances in the last five years. Attach a separate sheet if necessary.
 If none, write none. _____

JAN 31 2013

FEB 08 2017

Water Protection Program

8. SLUDGE HANDLING, USE AND DISPOSAL			
8.1 Is the sludge a hazardous waste as defined by 10 CSR 25? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
8.2 Sludge Production, including sludge received from others: _____ Design Dry Tons/Year _____ Actual Dry Tons/Year			
8.3 Capacity of sludge holding structures: Sludge storage provided: _____ cubic feet; _____ days of storage; _____ average percent solids of sludge; <input type="checkbox"/> No sludge storage is provided.			
8.4 Type of Storage: <input type="checkbox"/> Holding tank <input type="checkbox"/> Building <input type="checkbox"/> Basin <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please describe) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete Pad			
8.5 Sludge Treatment: <input type="checkbox"/> Anaerobic Digester <input type="checkbox"/> Lagoon <input type="checkbox"/> Composting <input type="checkbox"/> Storage Tank <input type="checkbox"/> Aerobic Digester <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Attach description) <input type="checkbox"/> Lime Stabilization <input type="checkbox"/> Air or Heat Drying			
8.6 Sludge Use or Disposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Land Application <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Disposal (Sludge Disposal Lagoon, Sludge held for more than two years) <input type="checkbox"/> Contract Hauler <input type="checkbox"/> Incineration <input type="checkbox"/> Hauled to Another Treatment Facility <input type="checkbox"/> Sludge Retained in Wastewater treatment lagoon <input type="checkbox"/> Solid Waste Landfill <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Attach explanation sheet.			
8.7 PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR HAULING SLUDGE TO DISPOSAL FACILITY <input type="checkbox"/> By Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> By Others (complete below)			
NAME <i>Sludge remains in Lagoon</i>			
ADDRESS		CITY	STATE ZIP CODE
CONTACT PERSON		TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE	PERMIT NO. MO-
8.8 SLUDGE USE OR DISPOSAL FACILITY <input type="checkbox"/> By Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> By Others (Please complete below.)			
NAME			
ADDRESS		CITY	STATE ZIP CODE
CONTACT PERSON		TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE	PERMIT NO. MO-
8.9 Does the sludge or biosolids disposal comply with federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No (Please attach explanation)			
9. DOWNSTREAM LANDOWNER (S). ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NECESSARY. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.			
NAME			
ADDRESS		CITY	STATE ZIP CODE
10. DRINKING WATER SUPPLY INFORMATION			
10.1 WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF YOUR DRINKING WATER SUPPLY: A. Public supply (municipal or water district water) _____ If public, please give name of the public supply _____ B. Private well _____ C. Surface water (lake, pond or stream) _____			
10.2 Does your drinking water source serve at least 25 people at least 60 days per year (not necessarily consecutive days)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
10.3 Does your supply serve housing which is occupied year round by the same people? This does not include housing which is occupied seasonally? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
11. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in the application, that to the best of my knowledge and belief such information is true, complete and accurate, and if granted this permit, I agree to abide by the Missouri Clean Water Law and all rules, regulations, orders and decisions, subject to any legitimate appeal available to applicant under the Missouri Clean Water Law.			
NAME AND OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT)		TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE	
<i>Andy Miller Superintendent</i>		<i>417-366-3140</i>	
SIGNATURE		DATE SIGNED	
<i>Andy Miller</i>		<i>2-1-17</i>	