In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>MO-0033251</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner:</td>
<td>City of Dearborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>P.O. Box 86, Dearborn, MO 64439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Authority:</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name:</td>
<td>Dearborn Wastewater Treatment Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Address:</td>
<td>0.3 miles SW of W Maple Leaf Ave and S Commercial St, Dearborn, MO 64439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Description:</td>
<td>Sec. 01, T54N, R35W, Platte County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTM Coordinates:</td>
<td>X = 346876, Y = 4376141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Stream:</td>
<td>Bee Creek (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Classified Stream and ID:</td>
<td>Bee Creek (C) (273)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS Basin &amp; Sub-watershed No.:</td>
<td>(10240011-0401)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

**FACILITY DESCRIPTION**

**Outfall #001 – POTW**
The use or operation of this facility shall be by or under the supervision of a Certified “D” Operator.

Three-cell lagoon / aerated first cell / sludge retained in lagoon
Design population equivalent is 1,000.
Design flow is 100,000 gallons per day.
Actual flow is 34,500 gallons per day.
Design sludge production is 15 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 621.250 RSMo, Section 640.013 RSMo and Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

January 1, 2020
Effective Date

Edward B. Galbraith, Director, Division of Environmental Quality

March 31, 2023
Expiration Date

Chris Wieberg, Director, Water Protection Program
FACILITY DESCRIPTION (continued):

Outfall #001 – POTW
The use or operation of this facility shall be by or under the supervision of a Certified “D” Operator.
Three-cell lagoon / aerated first cell / sludge retained in lagoon
Design population equivalent is 1,000.
Design flow is 100,000 gallons per day.
Actual flow is 34,500 gallons per day.
Design sludge production is 15 dry tons/year.

Legal Description: Sec. 01, T54N, R35W, Platte County
UTM Coordinates: X = 346876, Y = 4376141
Receiving Stream: Bee Creek (C)
First Classified Stream and ID: Bee Creek (C) (273)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10240011-0401)

Permitted Feature INF – Influent Monitoring Location – Influent manhole

Legal Description: Sec. 01, T54N, R35W, Platte County
UTM Coordinates: X = 347204, Y = 4376058
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. In accordance with 10 CSR 20-7.031, the final effluent limitations outlined in Table A-2 must be achieved as soon as possible but no later than April 1, 2023. These interim effluent limitations in Table A-1 are effective beginning January 1, 2020 and remain in effect through March 31, 2023 or as soon as possible. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS</th>
<th>MONITORING REQUIREMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DAILY MAXIMUM</td>
<td>WEEKLY AVERAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flow</strong></td>
<td>MGD</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biochemical Oxygen Demand</strong></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Suspended Solids</strong></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli (Note 1, Page 5)</strong></td>
<td>#/100mL</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ammonia as N</strong></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oil &amp; Grease</strong></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pH – Units</strong>**</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>MINIMUM</th>
<th>MAXIMUM</th>
<th>MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY</th>
<th>SAMPLE TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biochemical Oxygen Demand</strong> – Percent Removal (Note 2, Page 5)**</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>once/quarter***</td>
<td>calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Suspended Solids</strong> – Percent Removal (Note 2, Page 5)**</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>once/quarter***</td>
<td>calculated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED QUARTERLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE APRIL 28, 2020. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.**

* Monitoring requirement only.
** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.
*** See table below for quarterly sampling.

### Quarterly Minimum Sampling Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Months</th>
<th><strong>E. coli</strong></th>
<th>All Other Parameters</th>
<th>Report is Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>January, February, March</td>
<td>Not required to sample.</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>April 28th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>April, May, June</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>July 28th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>July, August, September</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>October 28th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth</td>
<td>October</td>
<td><strong>Sample once during October</strong></td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>January 28th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November &amp; December</td>
<td>Not required to sample.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations in Table A-2 shall become effective on April 1, 2023 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS</th>
<th>MONITORING REQUIREMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limit Set: Q</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>DAILY MAXIMUM</td>
<td>WEEKLY AVERAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>MGD</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli (Note 1, Page 5)</td>
<td>#/100mL</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia as N (Apr 1 – Sep 30)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Oct 1 – Mar 31)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Grease</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>MINIMUM</th>
<th>MAXIMUM</th>
<th>MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY</th>
<th>SAMPLE TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH – Units**</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>once/quarter***</td>
<td>grab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM</th>
<th>MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY</th>
<th>SAMPLE TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; – Percent Removal (Note 2, Page 5)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>once/quarter***</td>
<td>calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids – Percent Removal (Note 2, Page 5)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>once/quarter***</td>
<td>calculated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monitoring reports shall be submitted **QUARTERLY**, the first report is due July 28, 2023. There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.

* Monitoring requirement only.
** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.
*** See table below for quarterly sampling.

### Quarterly Minimum Sampling Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Months</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>All Other Parameters</th>
<th>Report is Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>January, February, March</td>
<td>Not required to sample.</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>April 28th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>April, May, June</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>July 28th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>July, August, September</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>October 28th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth</td>
<td>October</td>
<td><strong>Sample once during October</strong></td>
<td>Sample at least once during any month of the quarter</td>
<td>January 28th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November &amp; December</td>
<td>Not required to sample.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 1 – Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

The monitoring requirements in Table B-1 shall become effective on **January 1, 2020** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. The influent wastewater shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:

**TABLE B-1. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER(S)</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>MONITORING REQUIREMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DAILY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAXIMUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WEEKLY AVERAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MONTHLY AVERAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAMPLE TYPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limit Set: IQ</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; (Note 2)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (Note 2)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* Monitoring requirement only.

** See table below.

Note 2 – Influent sampling for BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS is not required when the facility does not discharge effluent during the reporting period. Samples are to be collected prior to any treatment process. Calculate Percent Removal by using the following formula: 

\[
\text{Percent Removal} = \left( \frac{\text{Average Influent} - \text{Average Effluent}}{\text{Average Influent}} \right) \times 100\% 
\]

Influent and effluent samples are to be taken during the same month. The Average Influent and Average Effluent values are to be calculated by adding the respective values together and dividing by the number of samples taken during the month. Influent samples are to be collected as a grab sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarterly Minimum Sampling Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quarter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE**

The permit for this facility issued on March 1, 2015 included new effluent limitations for ammonia, and a schedule to attain compliance with those final effluent limitations. This permit contains the remaining portion of the schedule. Compliance must be achieved by **April 1, 2023**.

1. The permittee shall submit an interim progress report detailing progress made in attaining compliance with the final effluent limits by March 1, 2020 and every 12 months after this date until the end of the schedule.

2. By **April 1, 2023** the permittee shall attain compliance with the final effluent limits for Ammonia as N.

Please submit progress reports to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources via the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System.
D. STANDARD CONDITIONS

In addition to specified conditions stated herein, this permit is subject to the attached Parts I, II, & III standard conditions dated August 1, 2014, May 1, 2013, and August 1, 2019, and hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

E. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. **Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System.**
   (a) Discharge Monitoring Reporting Requirements. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data via the eDMR system. In regards to Standard Conditions Part I, Section B, #7, the eDMR system is currently the only Department approved reporting method for this permit.
   (b) Programmatic Reporting Requirements. The following reports (if required by this permit) must be electronically submitted as an attachment to the eDMR system until such a time when the current or a new system is available to allow direct input of the data:
      (1) Collection System Maintenance Annual Reports;
      (2) Schedule of Compliance Progress Reports;
      (3) Sludge/Biosolids Annual Reports; and
      (4) Any additional report required by the permit excluding bypass reporting.
    After such a system has been made available by the Department, required data shall be directly input into the system by the next report due date.
   (c) Other actions. The following shall be submitted electronically after such a system has been made available by the Department:
      (1) Notices of Termination (NOTs); and
      (2) Bypass reporting, See Special Condition #10 for 24-hr. bypass reporting requirements.
   (d) Electronic Submissions. To access the eDMR system, use the following link in your web browser: https://edmr.dnr.mo.gov/edmr/E2/Shared/Pages/Main/Login.aspx.
   (e) Waivers from Electronic Reporting. The permittee must submit compliance monitoring data and reports electronically. The Department may grant a waiver to a permittee in compliance with 40 CFR Part 127. The permittee may obtain an electronic reporting waiver by first submitting an eDMR Waiver Request Form: http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf. The Department will either approve or deny this electronic reporting waiver request within 120 calendar days. Only permittees with an approved waiver request may submit monitoring data and reports on paper to the Department for the period that the approved electronic reporting waiver is effective.

2. The full implementation of this operating permit, which includes implementation of any applicable schedules of compliance, shall constitute compliance with all applicable federal and state statutes and regulations in accordance with §644.051.16, RSMo, and the Clean Water Act (CWA) section 402(k); however, this permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued:
   (a) To comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the CWA, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
      (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
      (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
   (b) To incorporate an approved pretreatment program or modification thereto pursuant to 40 CFR 403.8(c) or 40 CFR 403.18(e), respectively.

3. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.

4. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(2)(B) within the timeframe allotted by the continuing authority with its notice of its availability. The permittee shall obtain Department approval for closure according to section 10 CSR 20-6.010(12) or alternate use of these facilities.

5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.
E. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

6. Reporting of Non-Detects:
   (a) An analysis conducted by the permittee or their contracted laboratory shall be conducted in such a way that the precision and accuracy of the analyzed result can be enumerated.
   (b) The permittee shall not report a sample result as “Non-Detect” without also reporting the detection limit of the test. Reporting as “Non Detect” without also including the detection limit will be considered failure to report, which is a violation of this permit.
   (c) The permittee shall provide the “Non-Detect” sample result using the less than sign and the minimum detection limit (e.g. <10).
   (d) Where the permit contains a Minimum Level (ML) and the permittee is granted authority in the permit to report zero in lieu of the < ML for a specified parameter (conventional, priority pollutants, metals, etc.), then zero (0) is to be reported for that parameter.
   (e) See Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, #4 regarding proper detection limits used for sample analysis.
   (f) When calculating monthly averages, use one-half of the method detection limit (MDL) instead of a zero. Where all data are below the MDL, the “<MDL” shall be reported as indicated in item (c).

7. It is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law to fail to pay fees associated with this permit (644.055 RSMo).

8. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. To request a modification of the operational control testing requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, the permittee shall submit a permit modification application and fee to the Department requesting a deviation from the operational control monitoring requirements. Upon approval of the request, the Department will modify the permit.

9. The permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of its collection system. The permittee may compare collection system performance results and other data with the benchmarks used in the Departments’ Capacity, Management, Operation, And Maintenance (CMOM) Model located at http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/docs/cmom-template.doc. Additional information regarding the Departments’ CMOM Model is available at http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2574.htm.

   The permittee shall also submit a report via the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System annually, by January 28th, for the previous calendar year. The report shall contain the following information:
   (a) A summary of the efforts to locate and eliminate specific sources of excessive infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
   (b) A summary of the general maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
   (c) A summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the upcoming calendar year. This list shall include locations (GPS, 911 address, manhole number, etc.) and actions to be taken.

10. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility unless they meet the criteria in 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2. Bypasses are to be reported to the Kansas City Regional Office during normal business hours or by using the online Sanitary Sewer Overflow/Facility Bypass Application located at: https://dnr.mo.gov/mogem/ or the Environmental Emergency Response spill-line at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. Once an electronic reporting system compliant with 40 CFR Part 127, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, is available all bypasses must be reported electronically via the new system. Blending, which is the practice of combining a partially-treated wastewater process stream with a fully-treated wastewater process stream prior to discharge, is not considered a form of bypass. If the permittee wishes to utilize blending, the permittee shall file an application to modify this permit to facilitate the inclusion of appropriate monitoring conditions.

11. The facility must be sufficiently secured to restrict entry by children, livestock and unauthorized persons as well as to protect the facility from vandalism.

12. An Operation and Maintenance (O & M) manual shall be maintained by the permittee and made available to the operator. The O & M manual shall include key operating procedures and a brief summary of the operation of the facility.

13. An all-weather access road to the treatment facility shall be maintained.
E. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

14. The outfall sewer shall be protected and maintained against the effects of floodwater, ice, or other hazards as to reasonably insure its structural stability, freedom from stoppage, and that a sample of the effluent can be obtained at a point after the final treatment process and before the discharge mixes with the receiving waters.

15. Sludge/biosolids treatment, storage and disposal practices shall be conducted in accordance with Standard Conditions Part III. The permittee shall receive approval for any sludge treatment, storage, or disposal practices not identified in the facility description of the operating permit.

16. The lagoons shall be operated and maintained to ensure their structural integrity, which includes maintaining adequate freeboard and keeping the berms free of deep-rooted vegetation, animal dens, or other potential sources of damage.

17. The facility shall ensure that adequate provisions are provided to prevent or minimize surface water intrusion into the lagoon and to divert stormwater runoff around the lagoon and protect embankments from erosion.
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
FACT SHEET  
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL  
OF  
MO-0033251  
DEARBORN WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of stormwater from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)(A)2.], a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Minor facility.

**Part I – Facility Information**

Facility Type: POTW

Facility Description:
Three-cell lagoon / partially aerated first cell / sludge retained in lagoon

Have any changes occurred at this facility or in the receiving water body that affects effluent limit derivation?

✓ No.

Application Date: 08/16/17  
Expiration Date: 03/31/18

**OUTFALL(S) TABLE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTFALL</th>
<th>DESIGN FLOW (CFS)</th>
<th>TREATMENT LEVEL</th>
<th>EFFLUENT TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#001</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>Equivalent to Secondary</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facility Performance History:
This facility was last inspected on April 14, 2015. The inspection showed the following unsatisfactory features:

- Failure to comply with final effluent limits
- Failure to upgrade facility to comply with final effluent limits

The facility submitted satisfactory responses to the non-compliances and returned to compliance on August 11, 2015.

Additionally, a review of Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) from the previous permit cycle revealed the following limit exceedances (quarter/year):

- BOD₅: 2Q15,2Q17
- *E. coli*: 4Q16
- TSS: 1Q15

Comments:
Changes in this permit include the addition of an upper pH limit, quarterly sampling frequency for Flow, recalculated Ammonia as N limits, and the removal of WET Test requirements. Additionally, the schedule of compliance established in the previous permit has been extended by one month to expire at the end of the reporting quarter in order to avoid two limit sets being active at once. See Part
VI of the Fact Sheet for further information regarding the addition, revision, and removal of effluent parameters. Special conditions were updated to include Electronic Discharge Monitoring Reporting (eDMR) requirements.

**Part II – Operator Certification Requirements**

- This facility is required to have a certified operator.

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], the permittee shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owned or operated by or for a</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Municipalities</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- County</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Public Sewer District</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- State agency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Public Water Supply District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Private Sewer Company regulated by the Public Service Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each of the above entities are only applicable if they have a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200).

This facility currently requires a chief operator with a D Certification Level. Please see Appendix - Classification Worksheet. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator’s Name:</th>
<th>Timothy L. Otto</th>
<th>Operator’s Name:</th>
<th>Donald A. Ball</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Certification Number:</td>
<td>8988</td>
<td>Certification Number:</td>
<td>14404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certification Level:</td>
<td>WW-D</td>
<td>Certification Level:</td>
<td>WW-D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The listing of the operators above only signifies that staff drafting this operating permit have reviewed appropriate Department records and determined that the name listed on the operating permit application has the correct and applicable Certification Level.

**Part III – Operational Control Testing Requirements**

Missouri Clean Water Commission regulation 10 CSR 20-9.010 requires certain publically owned treatment works and privately owned facilities regulated by the Public Service Commission to conduct internal operational control monitoring to further ensure proper operation of the facility and to be a safeguard or early warning for potential plant upsets that could affect effluent quality. This requirement is only applicable if the publically owned treatment works and privately owned facilities regulated by the Public Service Commission has a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200).

10 CSR 20-9.010(3) allows the Department to modify the monitoring frequency required in the rule based upon the Department’s judgement of monitoring needs for process control at the specified facility.

- As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4)], the facility is required to conduct operational monitoring. Operational monitoring reports are to be submitted to the Department along with the MSOP discharge monitoring reports.

- The facility is a lagoon that is designed to discharge and is required to conduct operational control monitoring as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Monitoring Parameter</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>Twice/Week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow – Influent or Effluent</td>
<td>Twice/Week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH – Primary Cell</td>
<td>Twice/Week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen – Primary Cell</td>
<td>Twice/Week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Part IV – Receiving Stream Information**

### RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE: OUTFALL #001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATER-BODY NAME</th>
<th>CLASS</th>
<th>WBID</th>
<th>DESIGNATED USES*</th>
<th>12-DIGIT HUC</th>
<th>DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bee Creek</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>AQL, HHP, IRR, LWW, SCR, WBC-B</td>
<td>10240011-0401</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As per 10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission’s water quality objectives in terms of “water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses.” The receiving stream and 1st classified receiving stream’s beneficial water uses to be maintained are in the receiving stream table in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)].

Uses found in the receiving streams table, above:

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)1.:  
- **AQL** = Protection of aquatic life (Current narrative use(s) are defined to ensure the protection and propagation of fish shellfish and wildlife, which is further subcategorized as: **WWH** = Warm Water Habitat; **CDF** = Cold-water fishery (Current narrative use is cold-water habitat); **CLF** = Cool-water fishery (Current narrative use is cool-water habitat); **EAH** = Ephemeral Aquatic Habitat; **MAH** = Modified Aquatic Habitat; **LAH** = Limited Aquatic Habitat. This permit uses AQL effluent limitations in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A for all habitat designations unless otherwise specified.)

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)2.: Recreation in and on the water  
- **WBC** = Whole Body Contact recreation where the entire body is capable of being submerged;  
- **WBC-A** = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming uses and has public access;  
- **WBC-B** = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming;  
- **SCR** = Secondary Contact Recreation (like fishing, wading, and boating).

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)3. to 7.:  
- **HHP** (formerly HHF) = Human Health Protection as it relates to the consumption of fish;  
- **IRR** = Irrigation for use on crops utilized for human or livestock consumption;  
- **LWW** = Livestock and wildlife watering (Current narrative use is defined as **LWP** = Livestock and Wildlife Protection);  
- **DWS** = Drinking Water Supply;  
- **IND** = Industrial water supply

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)8-11.: Wetlands (10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A currently does not have corresponding habitat use criteria for these defined uses)  
- **WSA** = Storm- and flood-water storage and attenuation; **WHP** = Habitat for resident and migratory wildlife species;  
- **WRC** = Recreational, cultural, educational, scientific, and natural aesthetic values and uses; **WHC** = Hydrologic cycle maintenance.

10 CSR 20-7.031(6): **GRW** = Groundwater

### RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECEIVING STREAM</th>
<th>1Q10</th>
<th>7Q10</th>
<th>30Q10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bee Creek (C)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mixing Considerations**

Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(I)(a)].  
Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

**RECEIVING STREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:**

No receiving water monitoring requirements recommended at this time.

**Receiving Water Body’s Water Quality**

Currently, the Department has not conducted a stream survey for this waterbody. When a stream survey is conducted, more information may be available about the receiving stream.
Part V – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:
As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream, and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

✓ The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(40)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(O)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:
A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(o); 40 CFR Part 122.44(l)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

✓ Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.

✓ Information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance (other than revised regulations, guidance, or test methods) and which would have justified the application of a less stringent effluent limitation at the time of permit issuance.

  • Ammonia as N. Effluent limitations were re-calculated for Ammonia based on new information derived from discharge monitoring reports and on the current Missouri Water Quality Standards for Ammonia. The newly established limitations are still protective of water quality.

  • Flow. The previous permit contained a weekly sampling frequency for Flow. This permit contains quarterly sampling and reporting frequencies due to the low design flow of the facility, consistency amongst effluent data, and compliance with effluent limits. The permit is still protective of water quality.

✓ The Department determines that technical mistakes or mistaken interpretations of law were made in issuing the permit under section 402(a)(1)(b).

  • General Criteria. The previous permit contained a special condition which described a specific set of prohibitions related to general criteria found in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). In order to comply with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), the permit writer has conducted reasonable potential determinations for each general criterion and established numeric effluent limitations where reasonable potential exists. While the removal of the previous permit special condition creates the appearance of backsliding, since this permit establishes numeric limitations where reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the general criteria exists the permit maintains sufficient effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in order to protect water quality, this permit is equally protective as compared to the previous permit. Therefore, given this new information, and the fact that the previous permit special condition was not consistent with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), an error occurred in the establishment of the general criteria as a special condition of the previous permit. Please see Part VI – Effluent Limits Determination for more information regarding the reasonable potential determinations for each general criterion related to this facility.

ANTIDEGRADATION:
In accordance with Missouri’s Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], for domestic wastewater discharge with new, altered, or expanding discharges, the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body’s available assimilative capacity is justified. In accordance with Missouri’s water quality regulations for antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], degradation may be justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharge after determining the necessity of the discharge. Facilities must submit the antidegradation review request to the Department prior to establishing, altering, or expanding discharges. See http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/antideg-implementation.htm

✓ No degradation proposed and no further review necessary. Facility did not apply for authorization to increase pollutant loading or to add additional pollutants to their discharge.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:
As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(2)(C)], …An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, when a higher level authority is available, must submit information to the Department for review and approval, provided it does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.
BIOSOLIDS & SEWAGE SLUDGE:
Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works.

✓ Permittee is not authorized to land apply biosolids. Sludge/biosolids are stored in the lagoon. The permittee must receive approval for any treatment, removal, and disposal of sludge or biosolids that not identified in the facility description of the operating permit.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:
Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

✓ The facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (eDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM:
The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a final rule on October 22, 2015, to modernize Clean Water Act reporting for municipalities, industries, and other facilities by converting to an electronic data reporting system. This final rule requires regulated entities and state and federal regulators to use information technology to electronically report data required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program instead of filing paper reports. To comply with the federal rule, the Department is requiring all permittees to begin submitting discharge monitoring data and reports online. In an effort to aid facilities in the reporting of applicable information electronically, the Department has created several new forms including operational control monitoring forms and an I&I location and reduction form. These forms are optional and found on the Department’s website at the following locations:

Operational Monitoring Lagoon:  http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2801-f.pdf

Per 40 CFR 127.15 and 127.24, permitted facilities may request a temporary waiver for up to 5 years or a permanent waiver from electronic reporting from the Department. To obtain an electronic reporting waiver, a permittee must first submit an eDMR Waiver Request Form:  http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf Each facility must make a request. If a single entity owns or operates more than one facility, then the entity must submit a separate request for each facility based on its specific circumstances. An approved waiver is non-transferable.

The Department must review and notify the facility within 120 calendar days of receipt if the waiver request has been approved or rejected [40 CFR 124.27(a)]. During the Department review period as well as after a waiver is granted, the facility must continue submitting a hard-copy of any reports required by their permit. The Department will enter data submitted in hard-copy from those facilities allowed to do so and electronically submit the data to the EPA on behalf of the facility.

✓ The permittee/facility is currently using the eDMR data reporting system.

NUMERIC LAKE NUTRIENT CRITERIA

✓ This facility does not discharge into a lake watershed where numeric lake nutrient criteria are applicable.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:
The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.
Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee’s pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users,
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

✓ The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

**REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):**

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any given pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

✓ An RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS.

**REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:**

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals.

✓ Equivalent to Secondary Treatment is 65% removal [40 CFR Part 133.105(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

**SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) AND INFLOW AND INFILTRATION (I&I):**

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as untreated sewage releases and are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(12)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSOs result from a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that can either allow wastewater to backup within the collection system during dry weather conditions or allow excess stormwater and groundwater to enter and overload the collection system during wet weather conditions. SSOs can also result from lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs include overflows out of manholes, cleanouts, broken pipes, and other into waters of the state and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Inflow and Infiltration (I&I) is defined as unwanted intrusion of stormwater or groundwater into a collection system. This can occur from points of direct connection such as sump pumps, roof drain downspouts, foundation drains, and storm drain cross-connections or through cracks, holes, joint failures, faulty line connections, damaged manholes, and other openings in the collection system itself. I&I results from a variety of causes including line breaks, improperly sealed connections, cracks caused by soil erosion/settling, penetration of vegetative roots, and other sewer defects. In addition, excess stormwater and groundwater entering the collection system from line breaks and sewer defects have the potential to negatively impact the treatment facility.

Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(13) mandates that the Department issue permits for discharges of water contaminants into the waters of this state, and also for the operation of sewer systems. Such permit conditions shall ensure compliance with all requirements as established by sections 644.006 to 644.141. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains provisions requiring proper operation and maintenance of all facilities and systems of treatment and control. Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(15) instructs the Department to require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities. To ensure that public health and the environment are protected, any noncompliance which may endanger public health or the environment must be reported to the Department within 24 hours of the time the permittee becomes aware of the noncompliance. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains the reporting requirements for the permittee when bypasses and upsets occur. The permit also contains requirements for permittees to develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permit requires that the permittee submit an annual report to the Department for the previous calendar year that contains a summary of efforts taken by the permittee to locate and eliminate sources of excess I & I, a summary of general maintenance and repairs to the collection system, and a summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system for the upcoming calendar year.

✓ At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA’s Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs at Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002) or the Departments’ CMOM Model located at http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/docs/cmom-template.doc. For additional information regarding the Departments’ CMOM Model, see the CMOM Plan Model Guidance document at http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2574.htm. The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used to evaluate a collection system’s management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium,
and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

**SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):**

Per 644.051.4 RSMo, a permit may be issued with a Schedule of Compliance (SOC) to provide time for a facility to come into compliance with new state or federal effluent regulations, water quality standards, or other requirements. Such a schedule is not allowed if the facility is already in compliance with the new requirement, or if prohibited by other statute or regulation. A SOC includes an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit. See also Section 502(17) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR §122.2. For new effluent limitations, the permit may include interim monitoring for the specific parameter to demonstrate the facility is not already in compliance with the new requirement. Per 40 CFR § 122.47(a)(1), 10 CSR 20-7.031(11), and 10 CSR 20-7.015(9), compliance must occur as soon as possible. If the permit provides a schedule for meeting new water quality based effluent limits, a SOC must include an enforceable, final effluent limitation in the permit even if the SOC extends beyond the life of the permit.

A SOC is not allowed:

- For effluent limitations based on technology-based standards established in accordance with federal requirements, if the deadline for compliance established in federal regulations has passed. 40 CFR § 125.3.
- For a newly constructed facility in most cases. Newly constructed facilities must meet applicable effluent limitations when discharge begins, because the facility has installed the appropriate control technology as specified in a permit or antidegradation review. A SOC is allowed for a new water quality based effluent limit that was not included in a previously public noticed permit or antidegradation review, which may occur if a regulation changes during construction.
- To develop a TMDL, UAA, or other study that may result in site-specific criteria or alternative effluent limits. A facility is not prohibited from conducting these activities, but a SOC may not be granted for conducting these activities.

In order to provide guidance to Permit Writers in developing SOCs, and attain a greater level of consistency, on April 9, 2015 the Department issued an updated policy on development of SOCs. This policy provides guidance to Permit Writers on the standard time frames for schedules for common activities, and guidance on factors that may modify the length of the schedule such as a Cost Analysis for Compliance.

- The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under Interim Effluent Limitation and Final Effluent Limitations were established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(11)]. The facility was given a schedule of compliance to meet final effluent limits for Ammonia in the previous permit and this permit continues that schedule. The eight year schedule of compliance established in the previous permit for this facility has been reevaluated and determined to be an appropriate amount of time to implement upgrades required to meet effluent limits.

The following suggested milestones can be used by the permittee as a timeline toward compliance with new permit requirements. Once the permit holder’s engineer has completed facility design with actual costs associated with permit compliance, it may be necessary for the permit holder to request additional time within the schedule of compliance. The Department is committed to review all requests for additional time in the schedule of compliance where adequate justification is provided.

### Suggested Milestones during the 8 Year Schedule of Compliance ending April 1, 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Milestone(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Hire engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Evaluate rate structure and treatment plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Hold bond election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Apply for State Revolving Fund loans and/or grants and submit facility plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Apply for construction permit, submit application for renewal of the existing operating permit with new financial and socio-economic data, and close on loan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Complete construction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The permittee does not have a Department approved Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program.

**STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):**

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of stormwater discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA’s *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (Document number EPA 833-B-09-002) [published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in February 2009], BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Stormwater Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of stormwater discharges. The purpose of a SWPPP is to comply with all applicable stormwater regulations by creating an adaptive management plan to control and mitigate stream pollution from stormwater runoff. Developing a SWPPP provides opportunities to employ appropriate BMPs to minimize the risk of pollutants being discharged during storm events. The following paragraph outlines the general steps the permittee should take to determine which BMPs will work to achieve the benchmark values or limits in the permit. This section is not intended to be all encompassing or restrict the use of any physical BMP or operational and maintenance procedure assisting in pollution control. Additional steps or revisions to the SWPPP may be required to meet the requirements of the permit.

Areas which should be included in the SWPPP are identified in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14). Once the potential sources of stormwater pollution have been identified, a plan should be formulated to best control the amount of pollutant being released and discharged by each activity or source. This should include, but is not limited to, minimizing exposure to stormwater, good housekeeping measures, proper facility and equipment maintenance, spill prevention and response, vehicle traffic control, and proper materials handling. Once a plan has been developed the facility will employ the control measures determined to be adequate to achieve the benchmark values discussed above. The facility will conduct monitoring and inspections of the BMPs to ensure they are working properly and re-evaluate any BMP not achieving compliance with permitting requirements. For example, if sample results from an outfall show values of TSS above the benchmark value, the BMP being employed is deficient in controlling stormwater pollution. Corrective action should be taken to repair, improve, or replace the failing BMP. This internal evaluation is required at least once per month but should be continued more frequently if BMPs continue to fail. If failures do occur, continue this trial and error process until appropriate BMPs have been established.

For new, altered, or expanded stormwater discharges, the SWPPP shall identify reasonable and effective BMPs while accounting for environmental impacts of varying control methods. The antidegradation analysis must document why no discharge or no exposure options are not feasible. The selection and documentation of appropriate control measures shall serve as an alternative analysis of technology and fulfill the requirements of antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)]. For further guidance, consult the antidegradation implementation procedure (http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/docs/AIP050212.pdf).

Alternative Analysis (AA) evaluation of the BMPs is a structured evaluation of BMPs that are reasonable and cost effective. The AA evaluation should include practices that are designed to be: 1) non-degrading; 2) less degrading; or 3) degrading water quality. The glossary of AIP defines these three terms. The chosen BMP will be the most reasonable and effective management strategy while ensuring the highest statutory and regulatory requirements are achieved and the highest quality water attainable for the facility is discharged. The AA evaluation must demonstrate why “no discharge” or “no exposure” is not a feasible alternative at the facility. This structured analysis of BMPs serves as the antidegradation review, fulfilling the requirements of 10 CSR 20-7.031(3) Water Quality Standards and Antidegradation Implementation Procedure (AIP), Section II.B.

If parameter-specific numeric exceedances continue to occur and the permittee feels there are no practicable or cost-effective BMPs which will sufficiently reduce a pollutant concentration in the discharge to the benchmark values established in the permit, the permittee can submit a request to re-evaluate the benchmark values. This request needs to include 1) a detailed explanation of why the facility is unable to comply with the permit conditions and unable to establish BMPs to achieve the benchmark values; 2) financial data of the company and documentation of cost associated with BMPs for review and 3) the SWPPP, which should contain adequate documentation of BMPs employed, failed BMPs, corrective actions, and all other required information. This will allow the Department to conduct a cost analysis on control measures and actions taken by the facility to determine cost-effectiveness of BMPs.
The request shall be submitted in the form of an operating permit modification; the application is found at: http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/index.html.

✔ At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

**VARIANCE:**
As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

✔ This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

**WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:**
As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(86)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

✔ Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

\[
Ce = \frac{(Qe + Qs)C - (Qs \times Cs)}{Qe} \quad \text{(EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5)}
\]

Where
- \( C \) = downstream concentration
- \( Ce \) = effluent concentration
- \( Cs \) = upstream concentration
- \( Qe \) = effluent flow
- \( Qs \) = upstream flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA’s “Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control” (EPA/505/2-90-001).

**Number of Samples “n”:**
Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of “n” for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for “n” must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is “n = 4” at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, “n = 30” is used.

**WLA MODELING:**
There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

✔ A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

**WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:**
A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing is also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A) and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(D),(F),(G),(J)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-
6.010(8)(B)], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition, the following MCWL apply: §§644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; 644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc…); and 644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by facilities meeting the following criteria:

- Facility is a designated Major.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- Facility that exceeds its design population equivalent (PE) for BOD₅ whether or not its design flow is being exceeded.
- Facility (whether primarily domestic or industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃)
- Facility is a municipality with a Design Flow ≥ 22,500 gpd.
- Other – please justify.

At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility. At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility. Due to the fact that the facility has passed previous Acute WET tests, it has been determined by the permit writer that the discharge has no reasonable potential to exceed whole effluent toxicity; therefore, the requirements to conduct an Acute WET test have been removed. This permit still includes final effluent limitations for toxic pollutants and remains protective of water quality.

40 CFR 122.41(M) - BYPASSES:
The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from “bypassing” untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(G) states a bypass means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending, to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri’s Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar devices designed for peak wet weather flows.

This facility does not anticipate bypassing.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):
Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation.

This facility discharges within a watershed with an EPA approved TMDL. The Missouri River has calculated TMDLs for Chlordane and Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). These two compounds are mainly a sediment issue and amounts in the water column are virtually non-detectable. Since chlordane and PCBs were banned in 1988 and 1977, respectively, there should be negligible discharge of chlordane and PCBs into streams from wastewater treatment plants and other point sources.
Part VI – Effluent Limits Determination

**Categories of Waters of the State:**
As per Missouri’s Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall’s Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]
- Lakes or Reservoirs [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]
- Losing Streams [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]
- Metropolitan No-Discharge Streams [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]
- Special Streams [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]
- Subsurface Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]
- All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]

**Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall**
Effluent limitations derived and established in the below Effluent Limitations Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit.

**Effluent Limitations Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Basis for Limits</th>
<th>Daily Maximum</th>
<th>Weekly Average</th>
<th>Monthly Average</th>
<th>Previous Permit Limit</th>
<th>Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Reporting Frequency</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>MGD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>/*/5</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65/45</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120/80</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli**</td>
<td>#/100mL</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>1,030/2</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia as N (Apr 1 – Sep 30)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.6/1.4</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia as N (Oct 1 – Mar 31)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7.5/2.9</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Grease</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15/10</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Basis for Limits</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Previous Permit Limit</th>
<th>Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Reporting Frequency</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>&gt;6.5</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Basis for Limits</th>
<th>Daily Minimum</th>
<th>Monthly Avg Min</th>
<th>Previous Permit Limit</th>
<th>Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Reporting Frequency</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅ Percent Removal</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS Percent Removal</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Monitoring requirement only.
** - #/100mL; the Monthly Average for E. coli is a geometric mean.
*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

**Basis for Limitations Codes:**
1. State or Federal Regulation/Law
2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)
3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
4. Antidegradation Review
5. Antidegradation Policy
6. Water Quality Model
7. Best Professional Judgment
8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL
9. WET Test Policy
10. Multiple Discharger Variance
11. Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan

**Outfall #001 – Derivation and Discussion of Limits:**

- **Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.

- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅).**

  ✓ Operating permit retains 65 mg/L as a Weekly Average and 45 mg/L as a Monthly Average from the previous permit. Please see the **Categorization of Waters of the State** sub-section of the **Effluent Limits Determination**.
- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS).**
  
  ✓ Operating permit retains 120 mg/L as a Weekly Average and 80 mg/L as a Monthly Average from the previous permit.
  
  Please see the CATEGORIZATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE sub-section of the Effluent Limits Determination.

Please note that the final effluent limits for BOD and TSS contained in the permit are Equivalent to Secondary limits as per 10 CSR 20-7.015. Any changes made to the lagoon system that modifies it such that it no longer functions as a typical lagoon will result in the facility no longer qualifying for Equivalent to Secondary limitations. The facility may be required to also follow the Missouri Antidegradation Rule and Implementation Procedure if the discharge is expanded.

- **Escherichia coli (E. coli).**
  
  ✓ Monthly average of 206 per 100 mL as a geometric mean and Weekly Average of 1,030 per 100 mL as a geometric mean during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), for discharges within two miles upstream of segments or lakes with Whole Body Contact Recreation (B) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CFR 122.45(d). The Geometric Mean is calculated by multiplying all of the data points and then taking the nth root of this product, where n = # of samples collected. For example: Five E. coli samples were collected with results of 1, 4, 6, 10, and 5 (#/100mL). Geometric Mean = 5th root of (1)(4)(6)(10)(5) = 5th root of 1,200 = 4.1 #/100mL.

- **Total Ammonia Nitrogen.** Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(B)7.C. & Table B3]. Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L. No mixing considerations allowed; therefore, WLA = appropriate criterion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>pH (SU)</th>
<th>Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)</th>
<th>Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summer: April 1 – September 30**

**Chronical WLA:**

\[ C_e = \frac{(0.155 + 0.0) \times 1.5 - (0.0 \times 0.01))}{0.155} \]

\[ C_e = 1.5 \text{ mg/L} \]

**Acute WLA:**

\[ C_e = \frac{(0.155 + 0.0) \times 12.1 - (0.0 \times 0.01))}{0.155} \]

\[ C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} \]

\[ \text{LTA}_{c} = 1.5 \text{ mg/L} \times 0.615 = 0.92 \text{ mg/L} \]

\[ \text{LTA}_{a} = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} \times 0.172 = 2.08 \text{ mg/L} \]

Use most protective number of LTA_{c} or LTA_{a}.

\[ \text{MDL} = 0.92 \text{ mg/L} \times 5.82 = 5.4 \text{ mg/L} \]

\[ \text{AML} = 0.92 \text{ mg/L} \times 1.40 = 1.3 \text{ mg/L} \]

**Winter: October 1 – March 31**

**Chronical WLA:**

\[ C_e = \frac{(0.155 + 0.0) \times 3.1 - (0.0 \times 0.01))}{0.155} \]

\[ C_e = 3.1 \text{ mg/L} \]

**Acute WLA:**

\[ C_e = \frac{(0.155 + 0.0) \times 12.1 - (0.0 \times 0.01))}{0.155} \]

\[ C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} \]

\[ \text{LTA}_{c} = 3.1 \text{ mg/L} \times 0.630 = 1.95 \text{ mg/L} \]

\[ \text{LTA}_{a} = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} \times 0.180 = 2.18 \text{ mg/L} \]

Use most protective number of LTA_{c} or LTA_{a}.

\[ \text{MDL} = 1.95 \text{ mg/L} \times 5.56 = 10.9 \text{ mg/L} \]

\[ \text{AML} = 1.95 \text{ mg/L} \times 1.38 = 2.7 \text{ mg/L} \]

- **Oil & Grease.** Conventional pollutant, effluent limitation for protection of aquatic life; 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L daily maximum.
- **pH**: 6.5-9.0 SU. pH limitations of 6.0-9.0 SU [10 CSR 20-7.015] are not protective of the in-stream Water Quality Standard, which states that water contaminants shall not cause pH to be outside the range of 6.5-9.0 SU. 10 CSR 20-7.015 allows pH for lagoons to be maintained above 6.0 SU. Due to the classification of the receiving stream, the Department has determined that there is no assimilative capacity during critical low flow periods, therefore the water quality standard must be met at the outfall.

- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅) Percent Removal**: In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133, removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to BOD₅ and TSS for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. This facility is required to meet 65% removal efficiency for BOD₅.

- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Percent Removal**: In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133, removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to BOD₅ and TSS for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. This facility is required to meet 65% removal efficiency for TSS.

**Parameters Removed.**

- **Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test**: The previous permit included requirements to conduct an Acute WET test once during the permit cycle. The permit writer conducted a reasonable potential determinations for all anticipated pollutants and established numeric effluent limitations where reasonable potential exists. Also, the facility has passed previous Acute WET tests. Therefore, the permit writer made a reasonable potential determination which concluded the facility does not have reasonable potential to exceed narrative water quality standards for acute toxicity at this time and the acute WET testing requirements have been removed from this permit. This determination will be reevaluated during the next permit renewal.

**Sampling Frequency Justification**: Sampling and Reporting Frequency was retained from previous permit, except for Flow which has been reduced to quarterly due to the low design flow of the facility, consistency amongst effluent data, and compliance with effluent limits. Sampling for *E. coli* is set at quarterly per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)7.C.

**Sampling Type Justification**: As per 10 CSR 20-7.015, BOD₅ and TSS samples collected for lagoons may be grab samples. Grab samples must be collected for pH, *E. coli*, and Oil & Grease in accordance with recommended analytical methods. For further information on sampling and testing methods please review 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D) 2.

**PERMITTED FEATURE INF – INFLUENT MONITORING**
The monitoring requirements established in the below Monitoring Requirements Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including the monitoring requirements listed in this table.

**INFLUENT MONITORING TABLE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Basis for Limits</th>
<th>Daily Maximum</th>
<th>Weekly Average</th>
<th>Monthly Average</th>
<th>Previous Permit Limit</th>
<th>Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Reporting Frequency</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>1/quarter</td>
<td>quarterly</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Monitoring requirement only.  
** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.  
*** - C = Composite  
G = Grab

**Basis for Limitations Codes:**
1. State or Federal Regulation/Law  
2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)  
3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits  
4. Antidegradation Review  
5. Antidegradation Policy  
6. Water Quality Model  
7. Best Professional Judgment  
8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL  
9. WET Test Policy  
10. Multiple Discharger Variance  
11. Nutrient Criteria Implementation Plan
Influent Parameters

- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₃) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS).** An influent sample is required to determine the removal efficiency. In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133, removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to BOD₃ and TSS for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals.

- **Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrite + Nitrate, and Ammonia.** Influent monitoring for Total Phosphorus, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrite + Nitrate, and Ammonia required per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)8.

**Sampling Frequency Justification:** The sampling and reporting frequencies for influent BOD₃ and TSS have been established to match the required sampling frequency of these parameters in the effluent. Samples should be analyzed as soon as possible after collection and/or properly preserved according to method requirements.

**Sampling Type Justification:** Sample types for influent parameters were established to match the required sampling type of these parameters in the effluent. Samples should be analyzed as soon as possible after collection and/or properly preserved according to method requirements.

**OUTFALL #001 – GENERAL CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS:**

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), effluent limitations shall be placed into the permit for those pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any State water quality standard, including State narrative criteria for water quality. The rule further states that pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above a narrative criterion within an applicable State water quality standard, the permit shall contain a numeric effluent limitation to protect that narrative criterion. In order to comply with this regulation, the permit writer will complete reasonable potential determinations on whether the discharge will violate any of the general criteria listed in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). These specific requirements are listed below followed by derivation and discussion (the lettering matches that of the rule itself, under 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)). It should also be noted that Section 644.076.1, RSMo as well as Section D – Administrative Requirements of Standard Conditions Part I of this permit states that it shall be unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri that is in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission.

(A) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. The discharge from this facility is made up of treated domestic wastewater. Based upon review of the recent Report of Compliance Inspection for the inspection conducted on April 14, 2015, no evidence of an excursion of this criterion has been observed by the Department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other information related to the characteristics of the discharge on their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this narrative criterion. Additionally, this facility utilizes equivalent to secondary treatment technology and is currently in compliance with the equivalent to secondary treatment technology based effluent limits established in this permit and there has been no indication to the Department that the stream has had issues maintaining beneficial uses as a result of this discharge. Based on the information reviewed during the drafting of this permit, these final effluent limitations appear to have protected against the excursion of this criterion in the past. Therefore, the discharge does not have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this criterion.

(B) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.

(C) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.

(D) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life. This permit contains final effluent limitations which are protective of both acute and chronic toxicity for various pollutants that are either expected to be discharged by domestic wastewater facilities or that were disclosed by this facility on the application for permit coverage. Based on the information reviewed during the drafting of this permit, it has been determined if the facility meets final effluent limitations established in this permit, there is no reasonable potential for the discharge to cause an excursion of this criterion.

(E) Waters shall provide for the attainment and maintenance of water quality standards downstream including waters of another state. Please see (D) above as justification is the same.

(F) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water. Please see (D) above as justification is the same.

(G) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering. Please see (D) above as justification is the same.

(H) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.

(I) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247. The discharge from this facility is made up of treated domestic wastewater. No evidence of an excursion of this criterion has been observed by the Department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other
information related to the characteristics of the discharge on their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this narrative criterion. Additionally, any solid wastes received or produced at this facility are wholly contained in appropriate storage facilities, are not discharged, and are disposed of offsite. This discharge is subject to Standard Conditions Part III, which contains requirements for the management and disposal of sludge to prevent its discharge. Therefore, this discharge does not have reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this criterion.

Part VII – Cost Analysis for Compliance

Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo, when issuing permits under this chapter that incorporate a new requirement for discharges from publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer systems or publicly owned treatment works, or when enforcing provisions of this chapter or the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., pertaining to any portion of a publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer system or [publicly owned] treatment works, the Department of Natural Resources shall make a “finding of affordability” on the costs to be incurred and the impact of any rate changes on ratepayers upon which to base such permits and decisions, to the extent allowable under this chapter and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. This process is completed through a cost analysis for compliance. Permits that do not include new requirements may be deemed affordable.

✓ The Department is not required to determine Cost Analysis for Compliance because the permit contains no new conditions or requirements that convey a new cost to the facility. The previous permit established an eight year schedule of compliance to meet final effluent limits for Ammonia. The schedule has been reevaluated, determined to be appropriate, and is continued in this permit. See Appendix – Cost Analysis for more details.
**Part VIII – Administrative Requirements**

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

**WATER QUALITY STANDARD REVISION:**
In accordance with section 644.058, RSMo, the Department is required to utilize an evaluation of the environmental and economic impacts of modifications to water quality standards of twenty-five percent or more when making individual site-specific permit decisions.

☑️ This operating permit does not contain requirements for a water quality standard that has changed twenty-five percent or more since the previous operating permit.

**PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:**
The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is that all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together will all expire in the same fiscal year. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the Department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future. Renewal applications must continue to be submitted within 180 days of expiration, however, in instances where effluent data from the previous renewal is less than 4 years old, that data may be re-submitted to meet the requirements of the renewal application. If the permit provides a schedule of compliance for meeting new water quality based effluent limits beyond the expiration date of the permit, the time remaining in the schedule of compliance will be allotted in the renewed permit. With permit synchronization, this permit will expire in the 1st Quarter of calendar year 2023.

**PUBLIC NOTICE:**
The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing. The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit. Persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

☑️ The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from October 18, 2019 to November 18, 2019. No responses received.

**DATE OF FACT SHEET: JULY 30, 2019**

**COMPLETED BY:**

**SAM BUCKLER, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST**  
**MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**  
**WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM**  
**OPERATING PERMITS SECTION - DOMESTIC WASTEWATER UNIT**  
(573) 526-0827  
sam.buckler@dnr.mo.gov
## Appendices

### APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Points Possible</th>
<th>Points Assigned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Population Equivalent (P.E.) served, peak day</td>
<td>1 pt./10,000 PE or major fraction thereof. (Max 10 pts.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Flow (avg. day) or peak month’s flow (avg. day) whichever is larger</td>
<td>1 pt. / MGD or major fraction thereof. (Max 10 pts.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effluent Discharge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri or Mississippi River</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other stream discharges except to losing streams and stream</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reaches supporting whole body contact recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge to lake or reservoir outside of designated whole body</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact recreational area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge to losing stream, or stream, lake or reservoir area</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supporting whole body contact recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct reuse or recycle of effluent</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land Application/Irrigation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip Irrigation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land application/irrigation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overland flow</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variation in Raw Wastes (highest level only)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variations do not exceed those normally or typically expected</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoccurring deviations or excessive variations of 100 to 200 percent</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in strength and/or flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoccurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percent in strength and/or flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department-approved pretreatment program</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preliminary Treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEP systems (operated by the permittee)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening and/or comminution</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grit removal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant pumping of main flow</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow equalization</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary clarifiers</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical addition (except chlorine, enzymes)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary Treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trickling filter and other fixed film media with or without secondary clarifiers</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated sludge (including aeration, oxidation ditches, sequencing batch reactors, membrane bioreactors, and contact stabilization)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilization ponds without aeration</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerated lagoon</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Lagoon Treatment – Aerobic cells, anaerobic cells, covers,</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or fixed film</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological, physical, or chemical</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon regeneration</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total from page ONE (1)</strong></td>
<td>----</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET (CONTINUED):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>POINTS POSSIBLE</th>
<th>POINTS ASSIGNED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solids Handling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sludge Holding</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic digestion</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic digestion</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporative sludge drying</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical dewatering</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids reduction (incineration, wet oxidation)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land application</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disinfection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorination or comparable</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-site generation of disinfectant (except UV light)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dechlorination</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV light</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required Laboratory Control Performed by Plant Personnel (highest level only)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab work done outside the plant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Push – button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, settleable solids</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, titrations, solids, volatile content</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More advanced determinations, such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total from page TWO (2)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total from page ONE (1)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A: 71 points and greater
- B: 51 points – 70 points
- C: 26 points – 50 points
- D: 0 points – 25 points
### APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CMC*</th>
<th>RWC Acute*</th>
<th>CCC*</th>
<th>RWC Chronic*</th>
<th>n**</th>
<th>Range max/min</th>
<th>CV***</th>
<th>MF</th>
<th>RP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Summer) mg/L</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>7.83/0.01</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Winter) mg/L</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>30.32</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>7.5/0.055</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A – Not Applicable
* - Units are (μg/L) unless otherwise noted.
** - If the number of samples is 10 or greater, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent. If the number of samples is < 10, then the default CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.
*** - Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the sample set by the Mean of the same sample set.

RWC – Receiving Water Concentration. It is the concentration of a toxicant or the parameter toxicity in the receiving water after mixing (if applicable).

n – Is the number of samples.

MF – Multiplying Factor. 99% Confidence Level and 99% Probability Basis.

RP – Reasonable Potential. It is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors including, as a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii).

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2). A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request.

### APPENDIX – ALTERNATIVE:

![Outfall #001 Image](image-url)
APPENDIX – COST ANALYSIS FOR COMPLIANCE:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Cost Analysis for Compliance
(In accordance with RSMo 644.145)

Dearborn WWTF, Permit Renewal
City of Dearborn
Missouri State Operating Permit #MO-0033251

Section 644.145 RSMo requires the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to make a “finding of affordability” when “issuing permits under” or “enforcing provisions of” state or federal clean water laws “pertaining to any portion of a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for publicly-owned treatment works.”

The Department is required to issue a permit with final effluent limits in accordance with 644.051.1.(1) RSMo, 644.051.1.(2) RSMo, and the Clean Water Act. The practical result of many affordability findings will be to allow longer compliance schedules to mitigate adverse impact to distressed populations resulting from the costs of upgrading the wastewater treatment facility.

This cost analysis is based on data available to the Department as provided by the permittee and data obtained from readily available sources. A request for information was sent to the permittee, seeking data for input into this analysis prior to its development. The Department currently uses software to estimate the cost for reconstruction of a treatment plant titled CAPDEWORKS (CapDet). CapDet is a preliminary design and costing software program from Hydromantis for wastewater treatment plants that uses national indices, such as the Marshall and Swift Index and Engineering News Records Cost Index for pricing in development of capital, operating, maintenance, material, and energy costs for each treatment technology. As the program works from national indices and each community is unique in its budget commitments and treatment design, the estimated costs are expected to be higher than actual costs. The cost estimates located within this document are for the construction of a brand new treatment facility or system that is the most practical to facilitate compliance with new requirements.

For the most accurate analysis, it is essential that the permittee provides the Department with current information about the City’s financial and socioeconomic situation. The financial information provided by the permittee is included in the Appendix section of the permit labeled Affordability Information Form.

Facility Description: Three-cell lagoon/ sludge is retained in lagoon

Flow evaluated: 59,140 gallons per day (0.059 MGD)

Residential Connections: 233
Commercial Connections: 23
Industrial Connections: Unknown
Total Connections for this facility: 256

New Permit Requirements:

The permit requires compliance with new effluent limitations for Ammonia as N, E coli., and Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing, which may require the design, construction and operation of different treatment technology. The cost assumptions in this cost analysis anticipate complete replacement of the existing treatment facility. To calculate the estimated user cost per 5,000 gallons, the Department used the equations currently being used in the Financial Assistance Center’s rate calculator. The equations account for replacement of equipment during the life of the treatment facility, debt retirement, capital costs, and an inflation factor. The calculator evaluates multiple technologies through CapDet at a range of flows, then, using a linear interpolation, develops a spreadsheet outlining high and low costs for treatment plants. For this analysis the Department has selected the mechanical treatment technology that could be the most practical solution to meet the new requirements for the community as well as cost estimation to install a land application system. Because the methods used to derive the analysis estimate costs that are greater than actual costs associated with an upgrade, it reflects a conservative estimate anticipated for a community. An overestimation of costs is due to the fact that it is not possible for the permit writer to determine what existing equipment and structures will be reused in the upgraded facility before an engineer completes a facility design.

The department estimates the additional cost of weekly E. coli testing during the recreational season to be $899 annually, and the cost of annual WET testing to be $400 annually.
The size of the facility evaluated for upgrades was chosen based on the daily maximum average flow reported to the department. If significant population growth is expected in the community, or if a significant portion of the flow is due to I&I, the flows used in the Facility Plan prepared by a consulting engineer may be different than this flow.

**Anticipated Costs Associated with Complying with the New Requirements:**

**Costs associated with land application:**
The total present worth estimated to purchase land and install a land application system is between $1,363,395 and $1,964,843 (*CAPDETPROJECTS cost estimator was used*). The user costs over a thirty year period are estimated to be between $25.96 and $37.21 per household per month. The low cost estimate for land application assumes that the community will not have to construct a new storage basin and the high cost estimate assumes the construction of a storage basin. The estimation includes the purchase of a minimum of 41.42 acres and a maximum of 45.13 acres. Four regions divided by highways have been established to estimate the minimum storage time required and the amount of land necessary for land application within the State. The cost of land has been estimated based on county averages. The regions are north of Highway 36, between Highways 36 and 50, between Highways 50 and 60, and south of Highway 60. For communities that are divided by highways, the region selected is where the majority of the county resides. The acreage estimated through CapDet does not reflect site-specific conditions and more or less land may be required based on site-specific considerations, such as streams, sinkholes, severe slopes, or roads. A no discharge facility, of which land application is the most common form, is required to be demonstrated as infeasible before a discharging system may be constructed per [10 CSR 20-6.010(4)(D)]. When land is available, it is the Department’s stance that land application is an important treatment option to be considered because of the expected lower cost over a longer term associated with construction and operation and maintenance. Also, the no discharge system is of value to the permittee when considering additional costs associated with possible future changes to Water Quality Standards.

**Cost associated with mechanical treatment:**
The total present worth to add ultra violet (UV) disinfection treatment is estimated at $132,000 (*CAPDETPROJECTS cost estimator was used*). This cost, if financed through user fees, might cost each household approximately $4.44 per month. Due to the design limitations in the CapDet cost estimator, the costs for disinfection have been over estimated. For any flows less than 100,000 gpd, CapDet assumes a flow of 100,000 gpd when estimating the cost for UV disinfection. The assumptions for chlorine disinfection are that the chlorine used will either be in the liquid or gas phase and not the tablets which are used by many smaller facilities.

The costs estimated in CAPDETPROJECTS are associated with a complete reconstruction of a new treatment plant. The total present worth for complete replacement of the existing treatment facility in order to meet new ammonia effluent limits is estimated at $1,001,120 (*CAPDETPROJECTS cost estimator was used*). This cost, if financed through user fees, might cost each household approximately $43.43 per month. The Department has estimated the construction and treatment costs for an extended aeration oxidation ditch. The treatment type has been set to meet effluent ammonia limits of less than 1.0 mg/L and losing stream criteria for BOD5 and TSS. Sludge handling and sludge treatment were not included in the capital, operations, maintenance, and present worth cost estimations as there are multiple ways for sludge handling to occur, including reuse of existing sludge equipment. Disinfection is not represented in the present worth listed in this paragraph, as it was discussed in the previous paragraph. It is the Department’s opinion that an extended aeration oxidation ditch is the most practical treatment technology for your community based on the average daily maximum flow report to the department. A more detailed engineering and design report conducted for your specific facility will be completed by your hired engineer. This may reflect a different type of treatment option than what is described within this analysis and may include additional collection system work or additional upgrades at the treatment plant.

The total present worth over a 20 year period of adding both ammonia and disinfection treatment has been estimated to cost approximately $2,095,150.30. The total capital cost to construct both treatment upgrades may cost approximately $1,133,120.00. These costs if financed through user fees, might cost each household in the community approximately $47.87 per month. These costs will be used to complete this analysis.

This cost analysis does not dictate that a permittee will upgrade their facility, or how they will comply with the new permit requirements. For any questions associated with the *CAPDETPROJECTS cost estimator*, please contact the Engineering Section at (573) 751-6621.
A community’s financial capability and ability to raise or secure necessary funding:

Current User Rates: $26.82
Rate Capacity or Pay as You Go Option: Not provided by permittee
Municipal Bond Rating (if applicable): No rating at this time
Bonding Capacity: Not provided by permittee

The Department considers Integrated Planning a very important tool when balancing multiple new environmental requirements. Please contact the community services coordinator by email at Hannah.Humphrey@dnr.mo.gov for further guidance on financial planning.

Affordability of pollution control options for the individuals or households at or below the median household income level of the community:

A  Current Costs
Current operating costs (exclude depreciation): Not provided by community
Current user rate: $26.82

B-1  Estimated Costs for Mechanical Plant & UV Disinfection Pollution Control Option
Estimated capital cost of pollution control options $1,133,120.00
Annual Cost of Operation and Maintenance: $77,195.80
Estimated Resulting User Cost per Household per Month: $47.87

Estimated resulting user cost per household per month plus the amount within the current user rate used toward payments on outstanding debt: Unable to determine
Median household income (MHI):
Cost per household as a percent of median household income: 1.36%
Estimated cost per household per month plus the amount within the current user rate used toward payments on outstanding debt as a percent of median household income: Unable to determine

CAPDET estimates the capital cost to finance a new mechanical treatment facility with disinfection to be approximately $1,133,120.00. If financed through user costs, the future user costs have the potential to be estimated at $47.87 per month. These costs assume a 5% interest rate over 20 years for mechanical treatment. It is the Department’s opinion that an extended aeration oxidation ditch is the most practical treatment option for a flow of 59,140 gallons per day. All treatment technologies were set to meet effluent ammonia limits of less than 1.0 mg/L and losing stream criteria for BODs and TSS. Sludge handling, sludge treatment, and disinfection have not been included in the capital, operations and maintenance, and present worth cost estimations.
B-2 Estimated Costs for Land Application Pollution Control Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated capital cost of pollution control options:</td>
<td>$1,009,597 - $1,366,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land required</td>
<td>41.42 acres to 45.13 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual cost of operation and maintenance:</td>
<td>$33,478 - $50,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated resulting user cost per household per month:</td>
<td>$25.96 - $37.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated resulting user cost per household per month plus the amount</td>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>within the current user rate used toward payments on outstanding debt:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median household income (MHI):</td>
<td>$42,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Household as a Percent of median household income:</td>
<td>0.7% - 1.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated cost per household per month plus the amount within the</td>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current user rate used toward payments on outstanding debt as a percent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of median household income:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAPDET estimates the capital cost to finance a land application system to be between $1,009,597 and $1,366,284. If the cost of the upgrade is financed through the user cost, the future user cost is estimated to be between $25.96 and $37.21 per month. The low cost for land application assumes the existing lagoon or storage basin has sufficient storage capacity for conversion to land application. The high cost estimates that a new lagoon or storage basin will need constructed, either at the existing facility or at the land application fields to comply with the storage requirements for land application. All estimated costs for land application assume a 5% interest rate over 30 years. The estimated capital cost assumes the City must purchase the land. If the City already owns the land, the resulting costs will be less than what is described in Table B-2.

The resulting cost per household as a percent of MHI will be used as the residential indicator in Criteria 7 below.

The Estimated User Cost shown in Tables B-1 and B-2 is composed of two factors, Operation & Maintenance (O&M), and Debt Retirement Costs.

O&M cost shown in Tables B-1 and B-2 is includes operations, maintenance, materials, chemical and electrical costs for the facility on an annual basis. It includes items that are expected to replace during operations, such as pumps. O&M is estimated between 15% and 45% of the user cost.

Debt retirement is associated with capital cost of this project, not existing debt the facility may have. Debt retirement is estimated between 50% and 85% of the user cost. Capital cost includes project costs from CapDet with design, inspection and contingency costs.

(3) An evaluation of the overall costs and environmental benefits of the control technologies;

On August 22, 2013, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) finalized new water quality criteria for ammonia, based on toxicity studies of mussels and gill breathing snails. When new water quality criteria are established by the EPA, states must adopt them into their regulations in order to keep their authorization to issue permits under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. This permit renewal requires final effluent limitations for Ammonia as N based on Missouri Water Quality Standards (WQS) 10 CSR 20-7.031 and the Clean Water Act. Ammonia (NH₃) is toxic to early stages of aquatic life. NH₃ removal prevents damage to aquatic life and enables the receiving stream to support a healthier and diverse aquatic life community. The technologies evaluated by CapDet are a sequencing batch reactor, extended aeration mechanical plant, and an oxidation ditch. All technologies evaluated have demonstrated the capability of meeting the 2013 ammonia criteria when operated and maintained at a proper level. Land application is another option that has been evaluated within this document. Land application is of value to the permittee when considering costs associated with possible future changes with Water Quality Standards. Please see the Water Protection Program fact sheet titled “Changes to the Water Quality Standard for Ammonia” at http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2481.htm.

Land application in the state is divided into four regions, based on the minimum storage time, rainfall amounts, and land required for land application to occur. The regions are north of Highway 36, between Highways 36 and 50, between Highways 50 and 60, and south of Highway 60. For communities that are divided by highways, the region selected is where the majority of the county resides. The low cost estimate for land application assumes that the community will not have to construct a new storage basin and the high cost estimate assumes the construction of a storage basin.
For all mechanical treatment technologies calculated by the Department’s CapDet calculator, sludge handling, sludge treatment is not included in the capital, operations and maintenance, and annual or present worth costs. All treatment technologies were designed to meet effluent ammonia of less than 1.0 mg/L and losing stream criteria for BOD5 and TSS of less than 10 mg/L.

*E. coli* is an indicator of the presence of fecal contamination in water and possible disease-causing bacteria and viruses in water and wastewater. The receiving stream has a WBC (B) designated use to protect human health in accordance with Water Quality Standards (10 CSR 20-7.031) and the Clean Water Act. Disinfection benefits human health by reducing exposure to disease-causing bacteria and viruses. The City of Dearborn will have to upgrade the treatment facility with a disinfection system in order to meet the final effluent limitations.

### (4) Inclusion of ongoing costs of operating and maintaining the existing wastewater collection and treatment system, including payments on outstanding debts for wastewater collection and treatment systems when calculating projected rates:

The community did not provide the Department with information, nor could it be found through readily available data.

### (5) An inclusion of ways to reduce economic impacts on distressed populations in the community, including but not limited to low and fixed income populations. This requirement includes but is not limited to:

- (a) Allowing adequate time in implementation schedules to mitigate potential adverse impacts on distressed populations resulting from the costs of the improvements and taking into consideration local community economic considerations.
- (b) Allowing for reasonable accommodations for regulated entities when inflexible standards and fines would impose a disproportionate financial hardship in light of the environmental benefits to be gained.

### Socioeconomic Data7-9:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Distressed Populations – City of Dearborn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Median Household Income (MHI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Change in MHI (1990-2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Population Growth/Decline (1990-2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Median Age in Years (1990-2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Households in Poverty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Households Relying on Food Stamps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Opportunity for cost savings or cost avoidance:

If available, connection to a larger centralized sewer system in the area may be more cost effective for the community.

The permittee may apply for State Revolving Fund (SRF) financial support in order to help fund a Capital Improvements Plan. Other loans and grants also exist for which the facility may be eligible. Contact information for the Department’s Financial Assistance Center (FAC) and more information can be found on the Department’s website at [http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/srf/wastewater-assistance.htm](http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/srf/wastewater-assistance.htm).

### Opportunity for changes to implementation/compliance schedule, new technology, site specific criteria, use attainability analysis:

The facility may propose changes to the schedule of compliance based on their own cost estimate or financial information.

If the permittee can demonstrate that the proposed pollution controls result in substantial and widespread economic and social impact, the permittee may use the Use Attainability Analysis (UAA) in the form of a variance. This process is completed by determining the treatment type with the highest attainable effluent quality that would not result in a socio-economic hardship. This process could potentially become expensive in itself.

### (6) An assessment of other community investments and operating costs relating to environmental improvements and public health protection:

The community did not report any other investments relating to environmental improvements.

### (7) An assessment of factors set forth in the United States Environmental Protection Agency's guidance, including but not limited to the "Combined Sewer Overflow Guidance for Financial Capability Assessment and Schedule Development" that may ease the cost burdens of implementing wet weather control plans, including but not limited to small system considerations, the attainability of water quality standards, and the development of wet weather standards;
Secondary indicators for consideration:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Strong (3 points)</th>
<th>Mid-Range (2 points)</th>
<th>Weak (1 point)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Rating Indicator</td>
<td>Above BBB or Baa</td>
<td>BBB or Baa</td>
<td>Below BBB or</td>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Net Debt as a % of Full Market Property Value</td>
<td>Below 2%</td>
<td>2% - 5%</td>
<td>Above 5%</td>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Rate</td>
<td>&gt;1% below Missouri average of 6.6%</td>
<td>± 1% of Missouri average of 6.6%</td>
<td>&gt;1% above Missouri average of 6.6%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income</td>
<td>More than 25% above Missouri MHI ($47,333)</td>
<td>± 25% of Missouri MHI ($47,333)</td>
<td>More than 25% below Missouri MHI ($47,333)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Households in Poverty</td>
<td>&gt;10% below Missouri average of 14.0%</td>
<td>± 10% of Missouri average of 14.0%</td>
<td>&gt;10% above Missouri average of 14.0%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Households Relying on Food Stamps</td>
<td>&gt;5% below Missouri average of 11.4%</td>
<td>± 5% of Missouri average of 11.4%</td>
<td>&gt;5% above Missouri average of 11.4%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax Revenues as a % of Full Market Property Value</td>
<td>Below 2%</td>
<td>2% - 4%</td>
<td>Above 4%</td>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax Collection Rate</td>
<td>Above 98%</td>
<td>94% - 98%</td>
<td>Below 94%</td>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Financial Capability (FCI) Indicators Average Score: 2.25
Mechanical Plant Residential Indicator (RI, from Criteria #2 above): 1.36%
Land Application Residential Indicator (RI, from Criteria #2 above): 0.7% – 1.06%

* Financial Capability Indicators are specific to the State of Missouri

Financial Capability Matrix:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Capability Indicators Score from above</th>
<th>Residential Indicator (User cost as a % of MHI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low (Below 1%)</td>
<td>Mid-Range (Between 1.0% and 2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak (below 1.5)</td>
<td>Medium Burden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Range (1.5 – 2.5)</td>
<td>Low Burden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong (above 2.5)</td>
<td>Low Burden</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated Financial Burden for Mechanical Plant: Medium Burden
Estimated Financial Burden for Land Application: Low to Medium Burden

The resulting financial burden has been determined by comparing the Financial Capability Indicator score (FC) with the Residential Indicator (RI) stated in Criteria #2. The cost associated with a mechanical plant could result in a Medium financial burden placed on the community due to the Mid-Range FC paired with the Mid-Range RI. The cost associated with a land application system could result in a Low to Medium financial burden placed on the community due to the Mid-Range FC paired with the Low and Mid-Range RI. Please see Criteria #2 for more information on the costs specific to each treatment technology.

(8) An assessment of any other relevant local community economic condition.

Revenues are down in sales tax, franchise fees, and property tax.

Conclusion and Finding

As a result of new regulations, the Department is proposing modifications to the current operating permit that may require the permittee to upgrade the facility and construct new control technologies and increase monitoring. The Department identified the actions for which cost analysis for compliance is required under Section 644.145 RSMo.

The Department estimates the total present worth for complete replacement of the existing treatment facility in order to meet new ammonia effluent limits is $1,363,395 and $1,964,843 for land application and $1,001,120 for mechanical treatment.
The Department considered the eight (8) criteria presented in subsection 644.145.3 when evaluating the cost associated with the relevant actions. Using this analysis, the Department finds that a land application system is the most practical and affordable option for your community. The construction and operation of a land application system will ensure that the individuals within the community will not be required to make unreasonable sacrifices in their essential lifestyle or spending patterns or undergo hardships in order to make the projected monthly payments for sewer connections. Also, a land application treatment system has the potential to generate agricultural revenues that could offset cost. This can include but is not limited to revenue from the sale of a forage or grain crop as well as rent from livestock grazing.

The Department also estimated the costs of three mechanical treatment options appropriate to the design flow of the facility. After estimating the costs associated with an extended aeration plant and an extended aeration oxidation ditch, and a sequencing batch reactor, the Department finds that the extended aeration oxidation ditch is the most practical mechanical treatment plant option, though it may require user costs to be as high as 1.36% of the community’s MHI (shown in Criteria #2). The Department has determined that the package plant does not meet the definition of affordable over a twenty year period for your community. If this option is selected, the City of Dearborn will need to apply for a permit modification to obtain a schedule of compliance that will mitigate the cost of compliance.

In accordance with 40 CFR § 122.47(a)(1) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(11), compliance must occur as soon as possible. Therefore, the City of Dearborn has received an eight (8) year schedule of compliance for the design and construction of a land application system with the assumption that land is attainable for the purpose of land application of effluent. The following timeline illustrates milestones on which the 8 year schedule of compliance should focus to maintain compliance with the permit requirements.

Timeline 1: (not drawn to scale)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Hire an engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Evaluation of Rate Structure and Treatment Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Hold bond election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Apply for State Revolving Fund loans and/or grants, submit facility plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Apply for Construction permit and submit an application for renewal of the existing operating permit with new financial and socio-economic data, close on loan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Complete Construction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suggested milestones to meet within each year listed below:
Year 1. Hire an engineer
Year 2. Evaluation of Rate Structure and Treatment Plant
Year 3. Hold bond election
Year 4. Apply for State Revolving Fund loans and/or grants, submit facility plan
Year 5. Apply for Construction permit and submit an application for renewal of the existing operating permit with new financial and socio-economic data, close on loan.
Year 6. Construction
Year 7. Construction
Year 8. Complete Construction

The schedule of compliance allows the community the first permit cycle (five years) to hire an engineer, evaluate operations and rate structure, obtain an engineering report, hold a bond election, and close on a loan. At this time the community will know what the user rates will be based on the present worth of the chosen treatment type decided on by the community and the design engineer hired by the community. It is anticipated by the Department that rates will be increased at the end of the first permit cycle to mitigate the cost of compliance of the new requirements. The Department is committed to reassessing the Cost Analysis for Compliance at renewal to determine if the initial schedule of compliance will accommodate the socioeconomic data and financial capability of the community at that time.

In this longer timeframe, the Department will work with you to explore the wastewater treatment options that make the most sense for your community. By working more closely with your community, the Department and permittees will be able to identify opportunities to extend the schedule of compliance, if appropriate. Because each community is unique, we want to make sure that you have the opportunity to consider all your options and tailor solutions to best meet your community’s needs. The Department understands the economic challenges associated with achieving compliance, and is committed to using all available tools to make an accurate and practical finding of affordability for the communities in the State.

This determination is based on readily available data and may overestimate the financial impact on the community. The community’s facility plan that is submitted as a part of the construction permit process includes a discussion of community details, what the community can afford, existing obligations, future growth potential, an evaluation of options available to the community with cost information, and a discussion on no-discharge alternatives. The cost information provided through the facility plan process, which is developed by the community and their engineer, is more comprehensive of the community’s individual factors in relation to selected treatment technology and costing information.

References:
2. The Median Household Income was found using the American Community Survey by the U.S. Census Bureau
3. \( \frac{47.87}{(42,116/12)} \times 100 = 1.36 \) (mechanical)
4. Unable to determine at this time
5. \( \frac{25.96}{(42,116/12)} \times 100 = 0.70 \) and \( \frac{37.21}{(42,116/12)} \times 100 = 1.06 \) (land application)
6. Unable to determine at this time
7. Unemployment data was obtained from Missouri Department of Economic Development (July 2014) – http://www.missourieconomy.org/pdfs/urel1407.pdf

Poverty data – American Community Survey- http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t
These Standard Conditions incorporate permit conditions as required by 40 CFR 122.41 or other applicable state statutes or regulations. These minimum conditions apply unless superseded by requirements specified in the permit.

Part I – General Conditions

Section A – Sampling, Monitoring, and Recording

1. Sampling Requirements.
   a. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
   b. All samples shall be taken at the outfall(s) or Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) approved sampling location(s), and unless specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other body of water or substance.

2. Monitoring Requirements.
   a. Records of monitoring information shall include:
      i. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
      ii. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
      iii. The date(s) analyses were performed;
      iv. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
      v. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
      vi. The results of such analyses.
   b. If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit at the location specified in the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 CFR subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reported to the Department with the discharge monitoring report data (DMR) submitted to the Department pursuant to Section B, paragraph 7.

3. Sample and Monitoring Calculations. Calculations for all sample and monitoring results which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in the permit.

4. Test Procedures. The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure that the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations that are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when: 1) the method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the pollutant or, 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility’s discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015. These methods are also required for parameters that are listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure that the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive.

5. Record Retention. Except for records of monitoring information required by the permit related to the permittee's sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years (or longer as required by 40 CFR part 503), the permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.

Section B – Reporting Requirements

1. Planned Changes.
   a. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility.
      i. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
      ii. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42; or
      iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may modify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan;
   iv. Any facility expansions, production increases, or process modifications which will result in a new or substantially different discharge or sludge characteristics must be reported to the Department 60 days before the facility or process modification begins. Notification may be accomplished by application for a new permit. If the discharge does not violate effluent limitations specified in the permit, the facility is to submit a notice to the Department of the changed discharge at least 30 days before such changes. The Department may require a construction permit and/or permit modification as a result of the proposed changes at the facility.

   a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Relevant information shall be provided orally or via the current electronic method approved by the Department, within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall be reported to the appropriate Regional Office during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) business days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

6. Illegal Activities.
   a. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than $20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or both.
   b. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person or who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both. Second and successive convictions for violation under this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than $50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.
b. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph:
   i. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
   ii. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
   iii. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit required to be reported within 24 hours.
   c. The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under paragraph 2. b. of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.

3. Anticipated Noncompliance. The permittee shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The notice shall be submitted to the Department 60 days prior to such changes or activity.

4. Compliance Schedules. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of the permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. The report shall provide an explanation for the instance of noncompliance and a proposed schedule or anticipated date, for achieving compliance with the compliance schedule requirement.

5. Other Noncompliance. The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs 2, 3, and 6 of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph 2. a. of this section.

6. Other Information. Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

7. Discharge Monitoring Reports.
   a. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the permit.
   b. Monitoring results must be reported to the Department via the current method approved by the Department, unless the permittee has been granted a waiver from using the method. If the permittee has been granted a waiver, the permittee must use forms provided by the Department.
   c. Monitoring results shall be reported to the Department no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of the reporting period.

Section C – Bypass/Upset Requirements

1. Definitions.
   b. Severe Property Damage: substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
   c. Upset: an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

2. Bypass Requirements.
   a. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2. b. and 2. c. of this section.

   b. Notice.
      i. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
      ii. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 5 (24-hour notice).

   c. Prohibition of bypass.
      i. Bypass is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
         1. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
         2. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
         3. The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 2. b. of this section.
      ii. The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Department determines that it will meet the three (3) conditions listed above in paragraph 2. c. i. of this section.

Section D – Administrative Requirements

1. Duty to Comply. The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law and Federal Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.
   a. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
   b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed $25,000 per day for each violation. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement
imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of $2,500 to $25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one (1) year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than $50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of $5,000 to $50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than three (3) years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than $100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than six (6) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than $250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than $500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than $1,000,000 and can be fined up to $2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.

c. Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the EPA Director for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed $10,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed $25,000. Penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed $10,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed $125,000.

d. It is unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law, or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission. In the event the commission or the director determines that any provision of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or standard, rules, limitations or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, or conditions imposed by, or any final abatement order, or any order, or determination made by the commission or the director, or any filing requirement pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any other provision which this state is required to enforce pursuant to any federal water pollution control act, is being, was, or is in imminent danger of being violated, the commission or director may cause to have instituted a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction for the injunctive relief to prevent any such violation or further violation or for the assessment of a penalty not to exceed $10,000 per day for each day, or part thereof, the violation occurred and continues to occur, or both, as the court deems proper. Any person who willfully or negligently commits any violation in this paragraph shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not less than $2,500 nor more than $25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both. Second and successive convictions for violation of the same provision of this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than $50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

2. Duty to Reapply.
   a. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit.
   b. A permittee with a currently effective site-specific permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 180 days before the expiration date of the existing permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Department. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
   c. A permittees with currently effective general permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 30 days before the existing permit expires, unless the permittee has been notified by the Department that an earlier application must be made. The Department may grant permission for a later submission date. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)

3. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense. It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

4. Duty to Mitigate. The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

5. Proper Operation and Maintenance. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

6. Permit Actions.
   a. Subject to compliance with statutory requirements of the Law and Regulations and applicable Court Order, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked in whole or in part during its term for cause including, but not limited to, the following:
      i. Violations of any terms or conditions of this permit or the law;
      ii. Having obtained this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully any relevant facts;
      iii. A change in any circumstances or conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
      iv. Any reason set forth in the Law or Regulations.
   b. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

7. Permit Transfer.
   a. Subject to 10 CSR 20-6.010, an operating permit may be transferred upon submission to the Department of an application to transfer signed by the existing owner and the new owner, unless prohibited by the terms of the permit. Until such time the permit is officially transferred, the original permittee remains responsible for complying with the terms and conditions of the existing permit.
   b. The Department may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Missouri Clean Water Law or the Federal Clean Water Act.
   c. The Department, within 30 days of receipt of the application, shall notify the new permittee of its intent to revoke or reissue or transfer the permit.

8. Toxic Pollutants. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

9. Property Rights. This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.
10. **Duty to Provide Information.** The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

11. **Inspection and Entry.** The permittee shall allow the Department, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Department), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
   a. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
   b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
   c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
   d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Federal Clean Water Act or Missouri Clean Water Law, any substances or parameters at any location.

12. **Closure of Treatment Facilities.**
   a. Persons who cease operation or plan to cease operation of waste, wastewater, and sludge handling and treatment facilities shall close the facilities in accordance with a closure plan approved by the Department.
   b. Operating Permits under 10 CSR 20-6.010 or under 10 CSR 20-6.015 are required until all waste, wastewater, and sludges have been disposed of in accordance with the closure plan approved by the Department and any disturbed areas have been properly stabilized. Disturbed areas will be considered stabilized when perennial vegetation, pavement, or structures using permanent materials cover all areas that have been disturbed. Vegetative cover, if used, shall be at least 70% plant density over 100% of the disturbed area.

13. **Signatory Requirement.**
   a. All permit applications, reports required by the permit, or information requested by the Department shall be signed and certified. (See 40 CFR 122.22 and 10 CSR 20-6.010)
   b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than $10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months per violation, or by both.
   c. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both.

14. **Severability.** The provisions of the permit are severable, and if any provision of the permit, or the application of any provision of the permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of the permit, shall not be affected thereby.
PART II - SPECIAL CONDITIONS – PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS
SECTION A – INDUSTRIAL USERS

1. Definitions

Definitions as set forth in the Missouri Clean Water Laws and approved by the Missouri Clean Water Commission shall apply to terms used herein.

Significant Industrial User (SIU). Except as provided in the General Pretreatment Regulation 10 CSR 20-6.100, the term Significant Industrial User means:

1. All Industrial Users subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards; and
2. Any other Industrial User that: discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW) (excluding sanitary, noncontact cooling and boiler blowdown wastewater); contributes a process wastestream which makes up 5 percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the POTW treatment plant; or is designated as such by the Control Authority on the basis that the Industrial User has a reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW’s or for violating any Pretreatment Standard or requirement.


2. Identification of Industrial Discharges

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(j)(1), all POTWs shall identify, in terms of character and volume of pollutants, any Significant Industrial Users discharging to the POTW subject to Pretreatment Standards under section 307(b) of the CWA and 40 CFR 403.

3. Application Information

Applications for renewal or modification of this permit must contain the information about industrial discharges to the POTW pursuant to 40 CFR 122.21(j)(6)

4. Notice to the Department

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.42(b), all POTWs must provide adequate notice of the following:

1. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of CWA if it were directly discharging these pollutants; and
2. Any substantial change into the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.

For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on:

i. the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and
ii. any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

For POTWs without an approved pretreatment program, the notice of industrial discharges which was not included in the permit application shall be made as soon as practicable. For POTWs with an approved pretreatment program, notice is to be included in the annual pretreatment report required in the special conditions of this permit. Notice may be sent to:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Attn: Pretreatment Coordinator
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102
PART III – BIOSOLIDS AND SLUDGE FROM DOMESTIC TREATMENT FACILITIES

SECTION A – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

1. PART III Standard Conditions pertain to biosolids and sludge requirements under the Missouri Clean Water Law and regulations for domestic and municipal wastewater and also incorporates federal sludge disposal requirements under 40 CFR Part 503 for domestic wastewater. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has principal authority for permitting and enforcement of the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR Part 503 for domestic biosolids and sludge.

2. PART III Standard Conditions apply only to biosolids and sludge generated at domestic wastewater treatment facilities, including public owned treatment works (POTW) and privately owned facilities.

3. Biosolids and Sludge Use and Disposal Practices:
   a. The permittee is authorized to operate the biosolids and sludge generating, treatment, storage, use, and disposal facilities listed in the facility description of this permit.
   b. The permittee shall not exceed the design sludge/biosolids volume listed in the facility description and shall not use biosolids or sludge disposal methods that are not listed in the facility description, without prior approval of the permitting authority.
   c. For facilities operating under general operating permits that incorporate Standard Conditions PART III, the facility is authorized to operate the biosolids and sludge generating, treatment, storage, use and disposal facilities identified in the original operating permit application, subsequent renewal applications or subsequent written approval by the department.

4. Biosolids or Sludge Received from other Facilities:
   a. Permittees may accept domestic wastewater biosolids or sludge from other facilities as long as the permittee’s design sludge capacity is not exceeded and the treatment facility performance is not impaired.
   b. The permittee shall obtain a signed statement from the biosolids or sludge generator or hauler that certifies the type and source of the sludge.

5. Nothing in this permit precludes the initiation of legal action under local laws, except to the extent local laws are preempted by state law.

6. This permit does not preclude the enforcement of other applicable environmental regulations such as odor emissions under the Missouri Air Pollution Control Law and regulations.

7. This permit may (after due process) be modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable biosolids or sludge disposal standard or limitation issued or approved under Section 405(d) of the Clean Water Act or under Chapter 644 RSMo.

8. In addition to Standard Conditions PART III, the Department may include biosolids and sludge limitations in the special conditions portion or other sections of a site specific permit.

9. Exceptions to Standard Conditions PART III may be authorized on a case-by-case basis by the Department, as follows:
   b. Exceptions cannot be granted where prohibited by the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR Part 503.
SECTION B – DEFINITIONS

1. Best Management Practices are practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the state and include agronomic loading rates (nitrogen based), soil conservation practices, spill prevention and maintenance procedures and other site restrictions.
2. Biosolids means organic fertilizer or soil amendment produced by the treatment of domestic wastewater sludge.
3. Biosolids land application facility is a facility where biosolids are spread onto the land at agronomic rates for production of food, feed, or fiber. The facility includes any structures necessary to store the biosolids until soil, weather, and crop conditions are favorable for land application.
4. Class A biosolids means a material that has met the Class A pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Further Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 503.
5. Class B biosolids means a material that has met the Class B pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Significantly Reduce Pathogens (PSRP) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 503.
6. Domestic wastewater means wastewater originating from the sanitary conveniences of residences, commercial buildings, factories and institutions; or co-mingled sanitary and industrial wastewater processed by a (POTW) or a privately owned facility.
7. Feed crops are crops produced primarily for consumption by animals.
8. Fiber crops are crops such as flax and cotton.
9. Food crops are crops consumed by humans which include, but is not limited to, fruits, vegetables and tobacco.
10. Industrial wastewater means any wastewater, also known as process wastewater, not defined as domestic wastewater. Per 40 CFR Part 122.2, process wastewater means any water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct, or waste product. Land application of industrial wastewater, residuals or sludge is not authorized by Standard Conditions PART III.
11. Mechanical treatment plants are wastewater treatment facilities that use mechanical devices to treat wastewater, including sand filters, extended aeration, activated sludge, contact stabilization, trickling filters, rotating biological contact systems, and other similar facilities. It does not include wastewater treatment lagoons or constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment.
12. Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) is nitrogen that will be available to plants during the growing seasons after biosolids application.
13. Public contact site is land with a high potential for contact by the public. This includes, but is not limited to, public parks, ball fields, cemeteries, plant nurseries, turf farms, and golf courses.
14. Sludge is the solid, semisolid, or liquid residue removed during the treatment of wastewater. Sludge includes septage removed from septic tanks or equivalent facilities. Sludge does not include carbon coal byproducts (CCBs), sewage sludge incinerator ash, or grit/screenings generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage.
15. Sludge lagoon is part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility. A sludge lagoon is an earthen or concrete lined basin that receives sludge that has been removed from a wastewater treatment facility. It does not include a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge treatment units that are not a part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility.
16. Septage is the sludge pumped from residential septic tanks, cesspools, portable toilets, Type III marine sanitation devices, or similar treatment works such as sludge holding structures from residential wastewater treatment facilities with design populations of less than 150 people. Septage does not include grease removed from grease traps at a restaurant or material removed from septic tanks and other similar treatment works that have received industrial wastewater. The standard for biosolids from septage is different from other sludges. See Section H for more information.

SECTION C – MECHANICAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

1. Biosolids or sludge shall be routinely removed from wastewater treatment facilities and handled according to the permit facility description and the requirements of Standard Conditions PART III or in accordance with Section A.3.c., above.
2. The permittee shall operate storage and treatment facilities, as defined by Section 644.016(23), RSMo, so that there is no biosolids or sludge discharged to waters of the state. Agricultural storm water discharges are exempt under the provisions of Section 644.059, RSMo.
3. Mechanical treatment plants shall have separate biosolids or sludge storage compartments in accordance with 10 CSR 20, Chapter 8. Failure to remove biosolids or sludge from these storage compartments on the required design schedule is a violation of this permit.

SECTION D – BIOSOLIDS OR SLUDGE DISPOSED AT OTHER TREATMENT FACILITY OR BY CONTRACT HAULER

1. Permittees that use contract haulers, under the authority of their operating permit, to dispose of biosolids or sludge, are responsible for compliance with all the terms of this permit. Contract haulers that assume the responsibility of the final disposal of biosolids or sludge, including biosolids land application, must obtain a Missouri State Operating Permit unless the hauler transports the biosolids or sludge to another permitted treatment facility.
2. Testing of biosolids or sludge, other than total solids content, is not required if biosolids or sludge are hauled to a permitted wastewater treatment facility, unless it is required by the accepting facility.
SECTION E – INCINERATION OF SLUDGE

1. Please be aware that sludge incineration facilities may be subject to the requirements of 40 CFR Part 503 Subpart E, Missouri Air Conservation Commission regulations under 10 CSR 10, and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80, as applicable.

2. Permittee may be authorized under the facility description of this permit to store incineration ash in lagoons or ash ponds. This permit does not authorize the disposal of incineration ash. Incineration ash shall be disposed in accordance with 10 CSR 80; or, if the ash is determined to be hazardous, with 10 CSR 25.

3. In addition to normal sludge monitoring, incineration facilities shall report the following as part of the annual report, mass of sludge incinerated and mass of ash generated. Permittee shall also provide the name of the ash disposal facility and permit number if applicable.

SECTION F – SURFACE DISPOSAL SITES AND BIOSOLIDS AND SLUDGE LAGOONS

1. Please be aware that surface disposal sites of biosolids or sludge from wastewater treatment facilities may be subject to other laws including the requirements in 40 CFR Part 503 Subpart C, Missouri Air Conservation Commission regulations under 10 CSR 10, and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80, as applicable.

2. Biosolids or sludge storage lagoons are temporary facilities and are not required to obtain a permit as a solid waste management facility under 10 CSR 80. In order to maintain biosolids or sludge storage lagoons as storage facilities, accumulated biosolids or sludge must be removed routinely, but not less than once every two years unless an alternate schedule is approved in the permit. The amount of biosolids or sludge removed will be dependent on biosolids or sludge generation and accumulation in the facility. Enough biosolids or sludge must be removed to maintain adequate storage capacity in the facility.
   a. In order to avoid damage to the lagoon seal during cleaning, the permittee may leave a layer of biosolids or sludge on the bottom of the lagoon, upon prior approval of the Department; or
   b. Permittee shall close the lagoon in accordance with Section I.

SECTION G – LAND APPLICATION OF BIOSOLIDS

1. The permittee shall not land apply biosolids unless land application is authorized in the facility description, the special conditions of the issued NPDES permit, or in accordance with Section A.3.c., above.

2. This permit only authorizes “Class A” or “Class B” biosolids derived from domestic wastewater to be land applied onto grass land, crop land, timber, or other similar agricultural or silviculture lands at rates suitable for beneficial use as organic fertilizer and soil conditioner.

3. Class A Biosolids Requirements: Biosolids shall meet Class A requirements for application to public contact sites, residential lawns, home gardens or sold and/or given away in a bag or other container.

4. Class B biosolids that are land applied to agricultural and public contact sites shall comply with the following restrictions:
   a. Food crops that touch the biosolids/soil mixture and are totally above the land surface shall not be harvested for 14 months after application of biosolids.
   b. Food crops below the surface of the land shall not be harvested for 20 months after application of biosolids when the biosolids remain on the land surface for four months or longer prior to incorporation into the soil.
   c. Food crops below the surface of the land shall not be harvested for 38 months after application of biosolids when the biosolids remain on the land surface for less than four months prior to incorporation into the soil.
   d. Animal grazing shall not be allowed for 30 days after application of biosolids.
   e. Food crops, feed crops, and fiber crops shall not be harvested for 30 days after application of biosolids.
   f. Turf shall not be harvested for one year after application of biosolids if used for lawns or high public contact sites in close proximity to populated areas such as city parks or golf courses.
   g. After Class B biosolids have been land applied to public contact sites with high potential for public exposure, as defined in 40 CFR § 503.31, such as city parks or golf courses, access must be restricted for 12 months.
   h. After Class B biosolids have been land applied public contact sites with low potential for public exposure as defined in 40 CFR § 503.31, such as a rural land application or reclamation sites, access must be restricted for 30 days.

5. Pollutant limits
   a. Biosolids shall be monitored to determine the quality for regulated pollutants listed in Table 1, below. Limits for any pollutants not listed below may be established in the permit.
   b. The number of samples taken is directly related to the amount of biosolids or sludge produced by the facility (See Section J, below). Samples should be taken only during land application periods. When necessary, it is permissible to mix biosolids with lower concentrations of biosolids as well as other suitable Department approved material to achieve pollutant concentration below those identified in Table 1, below.
   c. Table 1 gives the ceiling concentration for biosolids. Biosolids which exceed the concentrations in Table 1 may not be land applied.
### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Milligrams per kilogram dry weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>4,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d. Table 2 below gives the low metal concentration for biosolids. Because of its higher quality, biosolids with pollutant concentrations below those listed in Table 2 can safely be applied to agricultural land, forest, public contact sites, lawns, home gardens or be given away without further analysis. Biosolids containing metals in concentrations above the low metals concentrations but below the ceiling concentration limits may be land applied but shall not exceed the annual loading rates in Table 3 and the cumulative loading rates in Table 4. The permittee is required to track pollutant loading onto application sites for parameters that have exceeded the low metal concentration limits.

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Milligrams per kilogram dry weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>2,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e. Annual pollutant loading rate.

### TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Kg/ha (lbs./ac) per year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>2.0 (1.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>1.9 (1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>75 (66.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>15 (13.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.85 (0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>21 (18.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>5.0 (4.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>140 (124.96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f. Cumulative pollutant loading rates.

### TABLE 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Kg/ha (lbs./ac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>41 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>39 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1,500 (1339)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>300 (268)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>17 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>420 (375)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>100 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>2,800 (2499)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Best Management Practices. The permittee shall use the following best management practices during land application activities to prevent the discharge of biosolids to waters of the state.

a. Biosolids shall not be applied to the land if it is likely to adversely affect a threatened or endangered species listed under § 4 of the Endangered Species Act or its designated critical habitat.

b. Apply biosolids only at the agronomic rate of nitrogen needed (see 5.c. of this section).

c. The applicator must document the Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) loadings, available nitrogen in the soil, and crop
nitrogen removal when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) When biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.

i. PAN can be determined as follows:
   \[ \text{(Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) + (organic nitrogen x 0.2) + (ammonia nitrogen x volatilization factor)} \]
   1 Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application. Alternative volatilization factors and mineralization rates can be utilized on a case-by-case basis.

ii. Crop nutrient production/removal to be based on crop specific nitrogen needs and realistic yield goals. **NOTE:** There are a number of reference documents on the Missouri Department of Natural Resources website that are informative to implement best management practices in the proper management of biosolids, including crop specific nitrogen needs, realistic yields on a county by county basis and other supporting references.

iii. Biosolids that are applied at agronomic rates shall not cause the annual pollutant loading rates identified in Table 3 to be exceeded.

d. Buffer zones are as follows:
   i. 300 feet of a water supply well, sinkhole, water supply reservoir or water supply intake in a stream;
   ii. 300 feet of a losing stream, no discharge stream, stream stretches designated for whole body contact recreation, wild and scenic rivers, Ozark National Scenic Riverways or outstanding state resource waters as listed in the Water Quality Standards, 10 CSR 20-7.031;
   iii. 150 feet of dwellings or public use areas;
   iv. 100 feet (35 feet if biosolids application is down-gradient or the buffer zone is entirely vegetated) of lake, pond, wetlands or gaining streams (perennial or intermittent);
   v. 50 feet of a property line. Buffer distances from property lines may be waived with written permission from neighboring property owner.
   vi. For the application of dry, cake or liquid biosolids that are subsurface injected, buffer zones identified in 5.d.i. through 5.d.iii above, may be reduced to 100 feet. The buffer zone may be reduced to 35 feet if the buffer zone is permanently vegetated. Subsurface injection does not include methods or technology reflective of combination surface/shallow soil incorporation.

e. Slope limitation for application sites are as follows:
   i. For slopes less than or equal to 6 percent, no rate limitation;
   ii. Applied to a slope 7 to 12 percent, the applicator may apply biosolids when soil conservation practices are used to meet the minimum erosion levels;
   iii. Slopes > 12 percent, apply biosolids only when grass is vegetated and maintained with at least 80 percent ground cover at a rate of two dry tons per acre per year or less.
   iv. Dry, cake or liquid biosolids that are subsurface injected, may be applied on slopes not to exceed 20 percent. Subsurface injection does not include the use of methods or technology reflective of combination surface/shallow soil incorporation.

f. No biosolids may be land applied in an area that it is reasonably certain that pollutants will be transported into waters of the state.

g. Biosolids may be land applied to sites with soil that are snow covered, frozen, or saturated with liquid when site restrictions or other controls are provided to prevent pollutants from being discharged to waters of the state during snowmelt or stormwater runoff. During inclement weather or unfavorable soil conditions use the following management practices:
   i. A maximum field slope of 6% and a minimum 300 feet grass buffer between the application site and waters of the state. A 35 feet grass buffer may be utilized for the application of dry, cake or liquid biosolids that are subsurface injected. Subsurface injection does not include the use of methods or technology reflective of combination surface/shallow soil incorporation;
   ii. A maximum field slope of 2% and 100 feet grass buffer between the application site and waters of the state. A 35 feet grass buffer may be utilized for the application of dry, cake or liquid biosolids that are subsurface injected. Subsurface injection does not include the use of methods or technology reflective of combination surface/shallow soil incorporation;
   iii. Other best management practices approved by the Department.
SECTION H – SEPTAGE

1. Haulers that land apply septage must obtain a state permit. An operating permit is not required for septage haulers who transport septage to another permitted treatment facility for disposal.

2. Do not apply more than 30,000 gallons of septage per acre per year or the volume otherwise stipulated in the operating permit.

3. Septic tanks are designed to retain sludge for one to three years which will allow for a larger reduction in pathogens and vectors, as compared to mechanical treatment facilities.

4. Septage must comply with Class B biosolids regarding pathogen and vector attraction reduction requirements before it may be applied to crops, pastures or timberland. To meet required pathogen and vector reduction requirements, mix 50 pounds of hydrated lime for every 1,000 gallons of septage and maintain a septage pH of at least 12 pH standard units for 30 minutes or more prior to application.

5. Lime is to be added to the pump truck and not directly to the septic tanks, as lime would harm the beneficial bacteria of the septic tank.

6. As residential septage contains relatively low levels of metals, the testing of metals in septage is not required.

SECTION I– CLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

1. This section applies to all wastewater facilities (mechanical and lagoons) and sludge or biosolids storage and treatment facilities. It does not apply to land application sites.

2. Permittees of a domestic wastewater facility who plan to cease operation must obtain Department approval of a closure plan which addresses proper removal and disposal of all sludges and/or biosolids. Permittee must maintain this permit until the facility is closed in accordance with the approved closure plan per 10 CSR 20 – 6.010 and 10 CSR 20 – 6.015.

3. Biosolids or sludge that are left in place during closure of a lagoon or earthen structure or ash pond shall not exceed the agricultural loading rates as follows:
   a. Biosolids and sludge shall meet the monitoring and land application limits for agricultural rates as referenced in Section G, above.
   b. If a wastewater treatment lagoon has been in operation for 15 years or more without sludge removal, the sludge in the lagoon qualifies as a Class B biosolids with respect to pathogens due to anaerobic digestion, and testing for fecal coliform is not required. For other lagoons, testing for fecal coliform is required to show compliance with Class B biosolids limitations. In order to reach Class B biosolids requirements, fecal coliform must be less than 2,000,000 colony forming units or 2,000,000 most probable number. All fecal samples must be presented as geometric mean per gram.
   c. The allowable nitrogen loading that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. For a grass cover crop, the allowable PAN is 300 pounds/acre. Alternative, site-specific application rates may be included in the closure plan for department consideration.
      i. PAN can be determined as follows:
         \[(\text{Nitrate} + \text{nitrite nitrogen}) + (\text{organic nitrogen} \times 0.2) + (\text{ammonia nitrogen} \times \text{volatilization factor}^1)\].
      1 Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application. Alternative volatilization factors and mineralization rates can be utilized on a case-by-case basis.
   d. Domestic wastewater treatment lagoons with a design treatment capacity less than or equal to 150 persons, are “similar treatment works” under the definition of septage. Therefore the sludge within the lagoons may be treated as septage during closure activities. See Section B, above. Under the septage category, residuals may be left in place as follows:
      a. Testing for metals or fecal coliform is not required.
      b. If the wastewater treatment lagoon has been in use for less than 15 years, mix lime with the sludge at a rate of 50 pounds of hydrated lime per 1000 gallons (134 cubic feet) of sludge.
      c. The amount of sludge that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. 100 dry tons/acre of sludge may be left in the basin without testing for nitrogen. If 100 dry tons/acre or more will be left in the lagoon, test for nitrogen and determine the PAN using the calculation above. Allowable PAN loading is 300 pounds/acre.
   e. Biosolids or sludge left within the domestic lagoon shall be mixed with soil on at least a 1 to 1 ratio, and unless otherwise approved, the lagoon berm shall be demolished, and the site shall be graded and contain ≥70% vegetative density over 100% of the site so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion. Alternative biosolids or sludge and soil mixing ratios may be included in the closure plan for department consideration.
   f. Lagoon and earthen structure closure activities shall obtain a storm water permit for land disturbance activities that equal or exceed one acre in accordance with 10 CSR 20-6.200.

7. When closing a mechanical wastewater plant, all biosolids or sludge must be cleaned out and disposed of in accordance with the Department approved closure plan before the permit for the facility can be terminated.
   a. Land must be stabilized which includes any grading, alternate use or fate upon approval by the Department, remediation, or other work that exposes sediment to stormwater per 10 CSR 20-6.200. The site shall be graded and contain ≥70% vegetative density over 100% of the site, so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate...
surface water drainage without creating erosion.

b. Hazardous Waste shall not be land applied or disposed during mechanical plant closures unless in accordance with Missouri Hazardous Waste Management Law and Regulations pursuant to 10 CSR 25.

c. After demolition of the mechanical plant, the site must only contain clean fill defined in Section 260.200.1(6) RSMo as uncontaminated soil, rock, sand, gravel, concrete, asphaltic concrete, cinderblocks, brick, minimal amounts of wood and metal, and inert solids as approved by rule or policy of the Department for fill, reclamation, or other beneficial use. Other solid wastes must be removed.

8. If biosolids or sludge from the domestic lagoon or mechanical treatment plant exceeds agricultural rates under Section G and/or I, a landfill permit or solid waste disposal permit must be obtained if the permittee chooses to seek authorization for on-site sludge disposal under the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law and regulations per 10 CSR 80, and the permittee must comply with the surface disposal requirements under 40 CFR Part 503, Subpart C.

SECTION J – MONITORING FREQUENCY

1. At a minimum, biosolids or sludge shall be tested for volume and percent total solids on a frequency that will accurately represent sludge quantities produced and disposed. Please see the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biosolids or Sludge produced and disposed (Dry Tons per Year)</th>
<th>Monitoring Frequency (See Notes 1, and 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>319 or less</td>
<td>1/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320 to 1650</td>
<td>4/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1651 to 16,500</td>
<td>6/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16,501+</td>
<td>12/year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Calculate plant available nitrogen (PAN) when either of the following occurs: 1) when biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.

2) Priority pollutants (40 CFR 122.21, Appendix D, Tables II and III) are required only for permit holders that must have a pre-treatment program. Monitoring requirements may be modified and incorporated into the operating permit by the Department on a case-by-case basis.

Note 1: Total solids: A grab sample of sludge shall be tested one per day during land application periods for percent total solids. This data shall be used to calculate the dry tons of sludge applied per acre.

Note 2: Table 5 is not applicable for incineration and permit holders that landfill their sludge.

2. Permittees that operate wastewater treatment lagoons, peak flow equalization basins, combined sewer overflow basins or biosolids or sludge lagoons that are cleaned out once a year or less, may choose to sample only when the biosolids or sludge is removed or the lagoon is closed. Test one composite sample for each 319 dry tons of biosolids or sludge removed from the lagoon during the reporting year or during lagoon closure. Composite sample must represent various areas at one-foot depth.

3. Additional testing may be required in the special conditions or other sections of the permit.

4. Biosolids and sludge monitoring shall be conducted in accordance with federal regulation 40 CFR § 503.8, Sampling and analysis.

SECTION K – RECORD KEEPING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. The permittee shall maintain records on file at the facility for at least five years for the items listed in Standard Conditions PART III and any additional items in the Special Conditions section of this permit. This shall include dates when the biosolids or sludge facility is checked for proper operation, records of maintenance and repairs and other relevant information.

2. Reporting period
   a. By February 19th of each year, applicable facilities shall submit an annual report for the previous calendar year period for all mechanical wastewater treatment facilities, sludge lagoons, and biosolids or sludge disposal facilities.
   b. Permittees with wastewater treatment lagoons shall submit the above annual report only when biosolids or sludge are removed from the lagoon during the report period or when the lagoon is closed.

3. Report Form. The annual report shall be prepared on report forms provided by the Department or equivalent forms approved by the Department.

4. Reports shall be submitted as follows:
   Major facilities, which are those serving 10,000 persons or more or with a design flow equal to or greater than 1 million gallons per day or that are required to have an approved pretreatment program, shall report to both the Department and EPA if the facility land applied, disposed of biosolids by surface disposal, or operated a sewage sludge incinerator. All other facilities shall maintain their biosolids or sludge records and keep them available to Department personnel upon request. State reports shall be submitted to the address listed as follows:
   DNR regional or other applicable office listed in the permit (see cover letter of permit)
   ATTN: Sludge Coordinator

7
5. Annual report contents. The annual report shall include the following:
   a. Biosolids and sludge testing performed. If testing was conducted at a greater frequency than what is required by the permit, all test results must be included in the report.
   b. Biosolids or sludge quantity shall be reported as dry tons for the quantity produced and/or disposed.
   c. Gallons and % solids data used to calculate the dry ton amounts.
   d. Description of any unusual operating conditions.
   e. Final disposal method, dates, and location, and person responsible for hauling and disposal.
      i. This must include the name and address for the hauler and sludge facility. If hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility, sanitary landfill, or other approved treatment facility, give the name of that facility.
      ii. Include a description of the type of hauling equipment used and the capacity in tons, gallons, or cubic feet.
   f. Contract Hauler Activities:
      If using a contract hauler, provide a copy of a signed contract from the contractor. Permittee shall require the contractor to supply information required under this permit for which the contractor is responsible. The permittee shall submit a signed statement from the contractor that he has complied with the standards contained in this permit, unless the contract hauler has a separate biosolids or sludge use permit.
   g. Land Application Sites:
      i. Report the location of each application site, the annual and cumulative dry tons/acre for each site, and the landowners name and address. The location for each spreading site shall be given as a legal description for nearest ¼, ¼, Section, Township, Range, and county, or UTM coordinates. The facility shall report PAN when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
      ii. If the “Low Metals” criteria are exceeded, report the annual and cumulative pollutant loading rates in pounds per acre for each applicable pollutant, and report the percent of cumulative pollutant loading which has been reached at each site.
      iii. Report the method used for compliance with pathogen and vector attraction requirements.
      iv. Report soil test results for pH and phosphorus. If no soil was tested during the year, report the last date when tested and the results.
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
FORM B2 – APPLICATION FOR OPERATING PERMIT FOR FACILITIES THAT RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE AND HAVE A DESIGN FLOW MORE THAN 100,000 GALLONS PER DAY

FACILITY NAME
Dearborn Wastewater Treatment Facility

PERMIT NO.
MO-0033251

COUNTY
Platte

APPLICATION OVERVIEW
Form B2 has been developed in a modular format and consists of Parts A, B and C and a Supplemental Application Information (Parts D, E, F and G) packet. All applicants must complete Parts A, B and C. Some applicants must also complete parts of the Supplemental Application Information packet. The following items explain which parts of Form B2 you must complete. Submittal of an incomplete application may result in the application being returned.

BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION
A. Basic application information for all applicants. All applicants must complete Part A.
B. Additional application information for all applicants. All applicants must complete Part B.
C. Certification. All applicants must complete Part C.

SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION INFORMATION
D. Expanded Effluent Testing Data. A treatment works that discharges effluent to surface water of the United States and meets one or more of the following criteria must complete Part D - Expanded Effluent Testing Data:
   1. Has a design flow rate greater than or equal to 1 million gallons per day.
   2. Is required to have or currently has a pretreatment program.
   3. Is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the information.

E. Toxicity Testing Data. A treatment works that meets one or more of the following criteria must complete Part E - Toxicity Testing Data:
   1. Has a design flow rate greater than or equal to 1 million gallons per day.
   2. Is required to have or currently has a pretreatment program.
   3. Is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the information.

F. Industrial User Discharges and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act / Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act Wastes. A treatment works that accepts process wastewater from any significant industrial users, also known as SIUs, or receives a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act or CERCLA wastes must complete Part F - Industrial User Discharges and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act /CERCLA Wastes. SIUs are defined as:
   1. All Categorical Industrial Users, or CIUs, subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards under 40 Code of Federal Regulations 403.6 and 40 Code of Federal Regulations 403.6 and 40 CFR Chapter 1, Subchapter N.
   2. Any other industrial user that meets one or more of the following:
      i. Discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the treatment works (with certain exclusions).
      ii. Contributes a process waste stream that makes up five percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the treatment plant.
      iii. Is designated as an SIU by the control authority.
      iv. Is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the information.

G. Combined Sewer Systems. A treatment works that has a combined sewer system must complete Part G - Combined Sewer Systems.
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
FORM B2 – APPLICATION FOR AN OPERATING PERMIT FOR
FACILITIES THAT RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE AND
HAVE A DESIGN FLOW MORE THAN 100,000 GALLONS PER DAY

PART A – BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

1. THIS APPLICATION IS FOR:

☐ An operating permit for a new or unpermitted facility. Construction Permit #
   (Include completed Antidegradation Review or request to conduct an Antidegradation Review, see instructions)

☐ An operating permit renewal: Permit #MO-0033251 Expiration Date

☐ An operating permit modification: Permit #MO-
   Reason:

1.1 Is the appropriate fee included with the application (see instructions for appropriate fee)? □ YES  □ NO

2. FACILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>FACILITY NAME</th>
<th>TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dearborn Wastewater Treatment Facility</td>
<td>816-392-9533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS (PHYSICAL)</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ZIP CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>503 Maple Leaf</td>
<td>Dearborn</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>64438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 LEGAL DESCRIPTION (Facility Site): se ¼, nw ¼, ¼, Sec. 1, T 54 n, R 35 w COUTNY
   Platte

2.2 UTM Coordinates Easting (X): 346917 Northing (Y): 4375637
   For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)

2.3 Name of receiving stream: Bee Creek

2.4 Number of Outfalls: 1 wastewater outfalls, 1 stormwater outfalls, instream monitoring sites

3. OWNER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>EMAIL ADDRESS</th>
<th>TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Of Dearborn</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cityofdearborn@embarqmail.com">cityofdearborn@embarqmail.com</a></td>
<td>816-992-3576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ZIP CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 short St.</td>
<td>Dearborn</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>64439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Request review of draft permit prior to Public Notice? □ YES  □ NO

3.2 Are you a Publically Owned Treatment Works (POTW)? □ YES  □ NO
   If yes, is the Financial Questionnaire attached? □ YES  □ NO

3.3 Are you a Privately Owned Treatment Facility? □ YES  □ NO

3.4 Are you a Privately Owned Treatment Facility regulated by the Public Service Commission (PSC)? □ YES  □ NO

4. CONTINUING AUTHORITY: Permanent organization which will serve as the continuing authority for the operation, maintenance and modernization of the facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>EMAIL ADDRESS</th>
<th>TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Of Dearborn</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cityofdearborn@embarqmail.com">cityofdearborn@embarqmail.com</a></td>
<td>816-992-3576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ZIP CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 86</td>
<td>Dearborn</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>64439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the Continuing Authority is different than the Owner, include a copy of the contract agreement between the two parties and a description of the responsibilities of both parties within the agreement.

5. OPERATOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>CERTIFICATE NUMBER (IF APPLICABLE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timothy L. Otto</td>
<td>Public Works Director</td>
<td>8958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMAIL ADDRESS</th>
<th>TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:dearbornwater@embarqmail.com">dearbornwater@embarqmail.com</a></td>
<td>816-392-9533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. FACILITY CONTACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>EMAIL ADDRESS</th>
<th>TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Morey</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cityofdearborn@embarqmail.com">cityofdearborn@embarqmail.com</a></td>
<td>816-992-3576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ZIP CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 86</td>
<td>Dearborn</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>64439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART A - BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

7. FACILITY INFORMATION

7.1 Process Flow Diagram or Schematic. Provide a diagram showing the processes of the treatment plant. Show all of the treatment units, including disinfection (e.g. - Chlorination and Dechlorination), influents, and outfalls. Specify where samples are taken. Indicate any treatment process changes in the routing of wastewater during dry weather and peak wet weather. Include a brief narrative description of the diagram. Attach sheets as necessary.
### PART A – BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

#### 7. FACILITY INFORMATION (continued)

**7.2 Topographic Map.** Attach to this application a topographic map of the area extending at least one mile beyond facility property boundaries. This map must show the outline of the facility and the following information.

- a. The area surrounding the treatment plant, including all unit processes.
- b. The location of the downstream landowner(s). (See Item 10.)
- c. The major pipes or other structures through which wastewater enters the treatment works and the pipes or other structures through which treated wastewater is discharged from the treatment plant. Include outfalls from bypass piping, if applicable.
- d. The actual point of discharge.
- e. Wells, springs, other surface water bodies and drinking water wells that are: 1) within ½ mile of the property boundaries of the treatment works, and 2) listed in public record or otherwise known to the applicant.
- f. Any areas where the sewage sludge produced by the treatment works is stored, treated, or disposed.
- g. If the treatment works receives waste that is classified as hazardous under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) by truck, rail, or special pipe, show on the map where that hazardous waste enters the treatment works and where it is treated, stored, or disposed.

#### 7.3 Facility SIC Code: 4952  
Discharge SIC Code: 4952

#### 7.4 Number of people presently connected or population equivalent (P.E.): 496  
Design P.E. 1,000

#### 7.5 Connections to the facility:

- Number of units presently connected:
  - Homes 464
  - Trailers 12
  - Apartments 20
  - Other (including industrial) ___
- Number of Commercial Establishments: 3

#### 7.6 Design Flow 100,000  
Actual Flow 56,000

#### 7.7 Will discharge be continuous through the year?  
Yes [✓]  
No [ ]

Discharge will occur during the following months: January Through December 7 Day A Week.

#### 7.8 Is industrial wastewater discharged to the facility?  
Yes [ ]  
No [✓]

If yes, describe the number and types of industries that discharge to your facility. Attach sheets as necessary.

Refer to the APPLICATION OVERVIEW to determine whether additional information is needed for Part F.

#### 7.9 Does the facility accept or process leachate from landfills?:  
Yes [ ]  
No [✓]

#### 7.10 Is wastewater land applied?  
Yes [✓]  
No [ ]

If yes, is Form I attached?  
Yes [✓]  
No [ ]

#### 7.11 Does the facility discharge to a losing stream or sinkhole?  
Yes [✓]  
No [ ]

#### 7.12 Has a wasteload allocation study been completed for this facility?  
Yes [✓]  
No [ ]

### 8. LABORATORY CONTROL INFORMATION

**LABORATORY WORK CONDUCTED BY PLANT PERSONNEL**

- Lab work conducted outside of plant.  
  Yes [✓]  
  No [ ]
- Push-button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, settleable solids.  
  Yes [✓]  
  No [ ]
- Additional procedures such as Dissolved Oxygen, Chemical Oxygen Demand, Biological Oxygen Demand, titrations, solids, volatile content.  
  Yes [✓]  
  No [ ]
- More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.  
  Yes [ ]  
  No [✓]
- Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph.  
  Yes [ ]  
  No [✓]
9. **SLUDGE HANDLING, USE AND DISPOSAL**

9.1 Is the sludge a hazardous waste as defined by 10 CSR 25?  
Yes [ ] No [X]  

9.2 Sludge production (Including sludge received from others): Design Dry Tons/Year 15  
Actual Dry Tons/Year 10  

9.3 Sludge storage provided: [ ] No sludge storage is provided. [X] Sludge is stored in lagoon.  
Cubic feet; 365 Days of storage; Average percent solids of sludge;  

9.4 Type of storage:  
[ ] Holding Tank  [ ] Building  
[ ] Basin  [ ] Lagoon  
[ ] Concrete Pad  [ ] Other (Describe)  

9.5 Sludge Treatment:  
[ ] Anaerobic Digester  [ ] Storage Tank  
[ ] Lime Stabilization  [X] Lagoon  
[ ] Aerobic Digester  [ ] Air or Heat Drying  
[ ] Composting  [ ] Other (Attach Description)  

9.6 Sludge use or disposal:  
[ ] Land Application  [ ] Contract Hauler  [ ] Hauled to Another Treatment Facility  
[ ] Surface Disposal (Sludge Disposal Lagoon, Sludge Held For More Than Two Years)  
[ ] Solid Waste Landfill  
[ ] Incineration  
[ ] Other (Attach Explanation Sheet)  

9.7 Person responsible for hauling sludge to disposal facility:  
[ ] By Applicant  [ ] By Others (complete below)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>EMAIL ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ZIP CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTACT PERSON</th>
<th>TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE</th>
<th>PERMIT NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MO-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.8 Sludge use or disposal facility:  
[ ] By Applicant  [ ] By Others (Complete below)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>EMAIL ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ZIP CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTACT PERSON</th>
<th>TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE</th>
<th>PERMIT NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MO-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.9 Does the sludge or biosolids disposal comply with Federal Sludge Regulation 40 CFR 503?  
Yes [ ] No [ ] (Explain)  

END OF PART A
### Part B - Additional Application Information

#### 10. Collection System

10.1 Length of sanitary sewer collection system in miles

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2 Does significant infiltration occur in the collection system?  

- Yes [ ]  
- No [x]  

If yes, briefly explain any steps underway or planned to minimize inflow and infiltration:

#### 11. Bypassing

Does any bypassing occur anywhere in the collection system or at the treatment facility?  

- Yes [ ]  
- No [x]  

If yes, explain:

#### 12. Operation and Maintenance Performed by Contractor(s)

Are any operational or maintenance aspects (related to wastewater treatment and effluent quality) of the treatment works the responsibility of the contractor?  

- Yes [ ]  
- No [x]  

If Yes, list the name, address, telephone number and status of each contractor and describe the contractor's responsibilities. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>Telephone Number with Area Code</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Responsibilities of Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 13. Scheduled Improvements and Schedules of Implementation

Provide information about any uncompleted implementation schedule or uncompleted plans for improvements that will affect the wastewater treatment, effluent quality, or design capacity of the treatment works. If the treatment works has several different implementation schedules or is planning several improvements, submit separate responses for each.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**14. EFFLUENT TESTING DATA**

Applicants must provide effluent testing data for the following parameters. Provide the indicated effluent data for each outfall through which effluent is discharged. Do not include information of combined sewer overflows in this section. All information reported must be based on data collected through analysis conducted using 40 CFR Part 136 methods. In addition, this data must comply with QA/QC requirements of 40 CFR Part 136 and other appropriate QA/QC requirements for standard methods for analytes not addressed by 40 CFR Part 136. At a minimum, effluent testing data must be based on at least three samples and must be no more than four and one-half years apart.

### Outfall Number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE</th>
<th>AVERAGE DAILY VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (Minimum)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>S.U.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (Maximum)</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>S.U.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Rate</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td>MGD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For pH report a minimum and a maximum daily value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLLUTANT</th>
<th>MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE</th>
<th>AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE</th>
<th>ANALYTICAL METHOD</th>
<th>ML/MDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conc.</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Conc.</td>
<td>Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (Report One)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBODs</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. COLI</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>#/100 mL</td>
<td>89.15</td>
<td>#/100 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (TSS)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>45.40</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMMONIA (as N)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHLORINE* (TOTAL RESIDUAL, TRC)</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISSOLVED OXYGEN</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL and GREASE</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Report only if facility chlorinates

END OF PART B
15. ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (eDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM

Per 40 CFR Part 127 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, reporting of effluent limits and monitoring shall be submitted by the permittee via an electronic system to ensure timely, complete, accurate, and nationally-consistent set of data. One of the following must be checked in order for this application to be considered complete. Please visit http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/edmr.htm to access the Facility Participation Package.

- You have completed and submitted with this permit application the required documentation to participate in the eDMR system.
- You have previously submitted the required documentation to participate in the eDMR system and/or you are currently using the eDMR system.
- You have submitted a written request for a waiver from electronic reporting. See instructions for further information regarding waivers.

16. CERTIFICATION

All applicants must complete the Certification Section. This certification must be signed by an officer of the company or city official. All applicants must complete all applicable sections as explained in the Application Overview. By signing this certification statement, applicants confirm that they have reviewed the entire form and have completed all sections that apply to the facility for which this application is submitted.

ALL APPLICANTS MUST COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING CERTIFICATION.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

PRINTED NAME OFFICIAL TITLE (MUST BE AN OFFICER OF THE COMPANY OR CITY OFFICIAL)
Timothy L. Otto Public Works Director

TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE:
816-392-8533

DATE SIGNED:
8-14-17

Upon request of the permitting authority, you must submit any other information necessary to assess wastewater treatment practices at the treatment works or identify appropriate permitting requirements.

Send Completed Form to:

Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
ATTN: NPDES Permits and Engineering Section
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176

END OF PART C
REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

Do not complete the remainder of this application, unless at least one of the following statements applies to your facility:
1. Your facility design flow is equal to or greater than 1,000,000 gallons per day.
2. Your facility is a pretreatment treatment works.
3. Your facility is a combined sewer system.

Submittal of an incomplete application may result in the application being returned. Permit fees for returned applications shall be forfeited. Permit fees for applications being processed by the department that are withdrawn by the applicant shall be forfeited.
Report on analysis of wastewater for: Dearborn

Report Date: 05/09/2017
Date samples received: 04/18/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Date Analyzed</th>
<th>Effluent</th>
<th>Influent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Analytical Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>04/18/2017</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>EPA 150.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>EPA 405.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S. Solids</td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>EPA 160.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃-N</td>
<td>05/07/2017</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>EPA 350.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Grease</td>
<td>05/02/2017</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>EPA 1664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-coli</td>
<td>04/18/2017</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>col/100mL</td>
<td>SM 10029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your next sampling date is: May 16, 2017 anytime before 1:00 pm

If you have any questions or are unable to make your sampling date, please feel free to call me directly at 660-254-2623

Respectfully submitted by

Kim Suvanto
Chemist, Testing Services
Testing Services
Wastewater Testing
26101 Hallmark Rd., PO Box 468 Maryville, MO 66468
Phone: 660-582-4111 Fax: 660-582-4115
E-mail: whitecld@unitedsky.net

Report on analysis of wastewater for: Dearborn

Report Date: 04/18/2016
Date samples received: 04/05/2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Date Analyzed</th>
<th>Effluent</th>
<th>Influent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Analytical Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>04/06/2016</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>EPA 150.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>EPA 405.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S. Solids</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>EPA 160.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃-N</td>
<td>04/18/2016</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>EPA 350.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Grease</td>
<td>04/09/2016</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>EPA 1664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecoli</td>
<td>04/05/2016</td>
<td>40.</td>
<td></td>
<td>col/100mL</td>
<td>SM 10029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your next sampling date is: May 3, 2016 anytime before 1:00 pm

If you have any questions or are unable to make your sampling date, please feel free to call me directly at 660-562-2623

Respectfully submitted by

Kim Suvanto
Chemist, Testing Services
Report on analysis of wastewater for: Dearborn

Report Date: 07/29/2016
Date samples received: 07/20/2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytical Method</th>
<th>Date Analyzed</th>
<th>Effluent</th>
<th>Influent</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>07/20/2016</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD-5</td>
<td>07/29/2016</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S. Solids</td>
<td>07/29/2016</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃-N</td>
<td>07/29/2016</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Grease</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecoli</td>
<td>07/20/2016</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td>col/100mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your next sampling date is: September 7, 2016 anytime before 1:00 pm

If you have any questions or are unable to make your sampling date, please feel free to call me directly at 660-254-2623

Respectfully submitted by

Kim Suvanto
Chemist, Testing Services