STATE OF MISSOURI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

1 onution control ret (1 uone E	aw 72 300, 72 Congress) as unlended,	
Permit No.	MO-0004880	
Owner:	Department of the Army	

Address: 25201 East 78 Highway, Independence, MO 64057

Continuing Authority: Same as above Address: Same as above

Facility Name: Lake City Army Ammunition Plant (LCAAP)
Facility Address: 25201 East 78 Highway, Independence, MO 64057

Legal Description: See following page UTM Coordinates: See following page

Receiving Stream:

First Classified Stream and ID:

USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:

See following page
See following page

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Lake City Army Ammunition Plant (LCAAP) manufactures small caliber ammunition, 5.56mm, 7.62mm, 30 caliber, 50 caliber, 20mm (load assembly and pack only) and associated explosive/pyrotechnic materials. Operations include cartridge and bullet case drawing, annealing, pickling, case priming and cartridge loading, assembly and packing. Additionally, LCAAP manufactures the links for small and medium ammunition. A sanitary landfill is also located onsite, with stormwater discharging through outfall #008.

Leachate cannot be discharged under this permit. Stormwater which has come into contact with leachate is considered leachate and cannot be discharged. Leachate, and stormwater which has come into contact with leachate, must be managed in accordance with the provisions contained in the Missouri Solid Waste Management Laws, regulations, and Sanitary Landfill Operating Permit; and Hazardous Waste Program (if applicable).

This permit authorizes only stormwater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Sections 640.013, 621.250, and 644.051.6 of the Law.

April 1, 2019	Thrond B. Fallrath
Effective Date	Edward B. Galbraith, Director, Division of Environmental Quality
March 31, 2024	Chie Wiebug
Widien 31, 2027	- Vind O Morris
Expiration Date	Chris Wieberg, Director, Water Profession Program

Permit No. MO-0004880 Page 2 of 6

FACILITY DESCRIPTION (CONTINUED)

Outfall #001 - Eliminated prior to 2008. Reason unknown.

Outfall #002 - Eliminated prior to 2008. Reason unknown.

Outfall #003 - Eliminated in 2008 due to connection to industrial sewers, which discharges to the Little Blue Valley Sewer District Atherton Plant.

Outfall #004 - Eliminated in 2008 due to connection to industrial sewers, which discharges to the Little Blue Valley Sewer District Atherton Plant.

Outfall #005 - Eliminated prior to 2008. Reason unknown.

Outfall #006 - Eliminated upon issuance.

Outfall #007 - Small Arms Ammunition - SIC #3482

Stormwater runoff / no treatment.

Actual flow is dependent upon precipitation.

Legal Description: Sec. 36, T50N, R31W, Jackson County

UTM Coordinates: X= 389298, Y= 4328999

Receiving Stream: West Fire Prairie Creek (C)

First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) (3960)

Second Classified Stream and ID: Little Blue River (P) (0422) 303(d) List

USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: 10300101-0208

Outfall #008 - Small Arms Ammunition - SIC #3482

Stormwater runoff / no treatment.

Actual flow is dependent upon precipitation.

Legal Description: Sec. 32, T50N, R30W, Jackson County

UTM Coordinates: X = 392669, Y = 4329739

Receiving Stream: Tributary to Fire Prairie Creek (C) First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) (3960)

USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: 10300101-0208

Outfall #009 - Never constructed. Outfall was dependent on vertical expansion of the landfill. The department denied the vertical expansion of the landfill and the outfall was not built. All stormwater that would have discharged through this outfall will be discharged through Outfall #008.

Permitted Feature #010 - Small Arms Ammunition - SIC #3482

Constructed concrete leachate collection basin. No-discharge. Leachate hauled to on-site industrial wastewater treatment plant for pretreatment prior to discharge to the Little Blue Valley Sewer District Atherton Plant. Discharge from this structure is not authorized.

Legal Description: Sec. 01, T49N, R31W, Jackson County

UTM Coordinates: X= 389172, Y=4328070

Receiving Stream: Tributary to Little Blue River (C)
First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) (3960)

USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: 10300101-0208

Permit No. MO-0004880 Page 3 of 6

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

OUTFALLS #007, #008 Stormwater Only FINAL E

TABLE A-1 FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective on **April 1, 2019** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

Experience Dan and extende	T T	FINAL LIMITATIONS		BENCH-	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
EFFLUENT PARAMETERS	Units	DAILY MAXIMUM	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MARKS	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE Type
PHYSICAL						
Flow	MGD	*			once/quarter ◊	24 Hr Est.
Precipitation	inches	*			once/quarter ◊	measured
CONVENTIONAL						
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	*			once/quarter ◊	grab ∞
Oil & Grease	mg/L	**		10	once/quarter ◊	grab ∞
pH ***	SU	6.5-9.0			once/quarter ◊	grab ∞
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	**		100	once/quarter ◊	grab ∞
METALS						
Aluminum, Total Recoverable	μg/L	**		3500	once/quarter ◊	grab ∞
Copper, Total Recoverable	μg/L	**		60	once/quarter ◊	grab ∞
Lead, Total Recoverable	μg/L	**		188	once/quarter ◊	grab ∞
Zinc, Total Recoverable	μg/L	**		209	once/quarter ◊	grab ∞

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED QUARTERLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>JULY 28, 2019</u>. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

- * Monitoring and reporting requirement only.
- ** Monitoring and reporting requirement with benchmark. See Special Condition #4
- *** The facility will report the minimum and maximum values. pH is not to be averaged.
- All samples shall be collected from a discharge resulting from a precipitation event greater than 0.1 inches in magnitude and occurring at least 72 hours from the previously measurable precipitation event. If a discharge does not occur within the reporting period, report as no discharge. The total amount of precipitation should be noted from the event from which the samples were collected.

♦ Quarterly sampling

	MINIMUM QUARTERLY SAMPLING REQUIREMENTS						
QUARTER	QUARTER MONTHS QUARTERLY EFFLUENT PARAMETERS REPORT IS DUE						
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th				
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28th				
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28th				
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th				

C. STANDARD CONDITIONS

In addition to specified conditions stated herein, this permit is subject to the attached <u>Part I and Part III</u> standard conditions dated August 1, 2014 and March 1, 2015, respectively, and hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

Permit No. MO-0004880 Page 4 of 6

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

- 1. Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System
 - (a) Discharge Monitoring Reporting Requirements. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data via the eDMR system. In regards to Standard Conditions Part I, Section B, #7, the eDMR system is currently the only Department approved reporting method for this permit.

Programmatic Reporting Requirements. The following reports (if required by this permit) must be electronically submitted as an attachment to the eDMR system until such a time when the current or a new system is available to allow direct input of the data:

- (1) Sludge/Biosolids Annual Reports;
- (2) Any additional report required by the permit excluding bypass reporting.

 After such a system has been made available by the Department, required data shall be directly input into the system by the next report due date.
- (b) Other actions. The following shall be submitted electronically after such a system has been made available by the Department:
 - (1) General Permit Applications/Notices of Intent to discharge (NOIs);
 - (2) Notices of Termination (NOTs);
 - (3) No Exposure Certifications (NOEs);
 - (4) Low Erosivity Waivers and Other Waivers from Stormwater Controls (LEWs); and
 - (5) Bypass reporting.
- (c) Electronic Submission: access the eDMR system, via: https://edmr.dnr.mo.gov/edmr/E2/Shared/Pages/Main/Login.aspx.
- (d) Waivers from Electronic Reporting. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data and reports unless a waiver is granted by the department in compliance with 40 CFR Part 127. The permittee may obtain an electronic reporting waiver by first submitting an eDMR Waiver Request Form: http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf. The Department will either approve or deny this electronic reporting waiver request within 120 calendar days. Only permittees with an approved waiver request may submit monitoring data and reports on paper to the Department for the period the approved electronic reporting waiver is effective.
- 2. The facility's SIC code(s) or description is found in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14) and/or 10 CSR 20-6.200(2) hence shall implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) which must be prepared and implemented upon permit issuance. The SWPPP must be kept on-site and should not be sent to the Department unless specifically requested. The SWPPP must be reviewed and updated every five years or as site conditions change. The permittee shall select, install, use, operate, and maintain the Best Management Practices prescribed in the SWPPP in accordance with the concepts and methods described in: *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (EPA 833-B-09-002) published by the EPA in 2015 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-11/documents/swppp_guide_industrial_2015.pdf The purpose of the SWPPP and the Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed herein is the prevention of pollution of waters of the state. A deficiency of a BMP means it was not effective preventing pollution [10 CSR 20-2.010(56)] of waters of the state. Corrective action means the facility took steps to eliminate the deficiency.

The SWPPP must include:

- (a) A listing of specific contaminants and their control measures (or BMPs) and a narrative explaining how BMPs are implemented to control and minimize the amount of contaminants potentially entering stormwater.
- (b) A schedule for at least once per month site inspections and brief written reports. The inspection report must include precipitation information for the entire period since last inspection, as well as observations and evaluations of BMP effectiveness. Throughout coverage under this permit, the facility must perform ongoing SWPPP review and revision to incorporate any site condition changes.
 - i. Operational deficiencies must be corrected within seven (7) calendar days.
 - ii. Minor structural deficiencies must be corrected within fourteen (14) calendar days.
 - iii. Major structural deficiencies must be reported to the regional office within seven (7) days of discovery. The initial report shall consist of the deficiency noted, the proposed remedies, the interim or temporary remedies (including proposed timing of the placement of the interim measures), and an estimate of the timeframe needed to wholly complete the repairs or construction. The permittee will work with the regional office to determine the best course of action, including but not limited to temporary structures to control stormwater runoff. The facility shall correct the major structural deficiency as soon as reasonably achievable.
 - iv. All actions taken to correct the deficiencies shall be included with the written report, including photographs.
 - v. Inspection reports must be kept on site with the SWPPP and maintained for a period of five (5) years. These must be made available to Department and EPA personnel upon request. Electronic versions of the documents are acceptable.
- (c) A provision for designating an individual to be responsible for environmental matters.
- (d) A provision for providing training to all personnel involved in housekeeping, material handling (including but not limited to loading and unloading), storage, and staging of all operational, maintenance, storage, and cleaning areas. Proof of training shall be submitted upon request by the Department.

Permit No. MO-0004880 Page 5 of 6

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (CONTINUED)

- 3. Permittee shall adhere to the following minimum Best Management Practices (BMPs):
 - (a) Prevent the spillage or loss of fluids, oil, grease, fuel, etc. from vehicle maintenance, equipment cleaning, warehouse activities, and other areas and thereby prevent the contamination of stormwater from these substances.
 - (b) Provide collection facilities and arrange for proper disposal of waste products including but not limited to petroleum waste products, and solvents.
 - (c) Store all paint, solvents, petroleum products and petroleum waste products (except fuels), and storage containers (such as drums, cans, or cartons) so these materials are not exposed to stormwater or provide other prescribed BMPs such as plastic lids and/or portable spill pans to prevent the commingling of stormwater with container contents. Commingled water may not be discharged under this permit. Provide spill prevention control, and/or management sufficient to prevent any spills of these pollutants from entering waters of the state. Any containment system used to implement this requirement shall be constructed of materials compatible with the substances contained and shall also prevent the contamination of groundwater. Any spills should be noted in the SWPPP.
 - (d) Provide good housekeeping practices on the site to keep trash from entry into waters of the state.
 - (e) Provide sediment and erosion control sufficient to prevent or control sediment loss off of the property
 - (f) Ensure adequate provisions are provided to prevent surface water intrusion into the leachate storage basin and divert stormwater runoff around the leachate storage basin.
- 4. This permit stipulates pollutant benchmarks applicable to your discharge. The benchmarks do not constitute direct numeric effluent limitations; therefore, a benchmark exceedance alone is not a permit violation. Benchmark monitoring and visual inspections shall be used to determine the overall effectiveness of the SWPPP and to assist you in knowing when additional corrective action may be necessary to protect water quality. If a sample exceeds a benchmark concentration you must review your SWPPP and your BMPs to determine what improvements or additional controls are needed to reduce the pollutant in your stormwater discharge(s).

Any time a benchmark exceedance occurs, a Corrective Action Report (CAR) must be completed. A CAR is a document recording the efforts undertaken by the facility to improve BMPs to meet benchmarks in future samples. CARs must be retained with the SWPPP and be available to the Department upon request. If the efforts taken by the facility are not sufficient and subsequent exceedances of a benchmark occur, the facility must contact the Department if a benchmark value cannot be achieved. Failure to take corrective action to address a benchmark exceedance and failure to make measureable progress towards achieving the benchmarks is a permit violation.

- 5. To protect the general criteria found at 10 CSR 20-7.031(4), before releasing water accumulated in secondary containment areas, it must be examined for hydrocarbon odor and presence of sheen. If odor or sheen is indicated, the water shall be treated using an appropriate method or disposed of in accordance with legally approved methods, such as being sent to a wastewater treatment facility. Following treatment, the water shall be tested for oil and grease, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene using 40 CFR part 136 methods. All pollutant levels must be below the most protective, applicable standards for the receiving stream, found in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Tables A1-B3. Records of all testing and treatment of water accumulated in secondary containment shall be stored in the SWPPP to be available on demand to Department and EPA personnel.
- 6. The full implementation of this operating permit, which includes implementation of any applicable schedules of compliance, shall constitute compliance with all applicable federal and state statutes and regulations in accordance with §644.051.16, RSMo, and the CWA section 402(k); however, this permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), §304(b)(2), and §307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
- 7. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
- 8. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Pollutant

In addition to the reporting requirements under §122.41(1), all existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural dischargers must notify the Director as soon as they know or have reason to believe:

- (a) That an activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following notification levels:
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile;
 - (3) Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 μg/L) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol;
 - (4) One milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (5) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(g)(7); or

Permit No. MO-0004880 Page 6 of 6

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (CONTINUED)

- (6) The notification level established by the Department in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f).
- (b) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
 - (1) Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 μg/l);
 - (2) One milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony;
 - (3) Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with §122.21(g)(7).
 - (4) The level established by the Director in accordance with §122.44(f).
- 9. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period. It is a violation of this permit to report no-discharge when a discharge has occurred.
- 10. Reporting of Non-Detects
 - (a) An analysis conducted by the permittee or their contracted laboratory shall be conducted in such a way the precision and accuracy of the analyzed result can be enumerated.
 - (b) The permittee shall not report a sample result as "non-detect" without also reporting the detection limit of the test or the reporting limit of the laboratory. Reporting as "non-detect" without also including the detection/reporting limit will be considered failure to report, which is a violation of this permit.
 - (c) The permittee shall report the non-detect result using the less than "<" symbol and the laboratory's detection/reporting limit (e.g. <6).
 - (d) Where the permit contains a Minimum Level (ML) and the permittee is granted authority in the permit to report zero in lieu of the < ML for a specified parameter, then zero (0) is reported for the parameter.
 - (e) See Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, #4 regarding proper detection limits used for sample analysis.
 - (f) When calculating monthly averages, one-half of the minimum detection limit (MDL) should be used instead of a zero. Where all data are below the MDL, the "<MDL" shall be reported as indicated in item (C).
- 11. Failure to pay fees associated with this permit is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law (644.055 RSMo).

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FACT SHEET FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL OF MO-0004880 LAKE CITY ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of stormwater from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified for less.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)(A)2.] a factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (MSOP or operating permit) listed below. A factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

PART I. FACILITY INFORMATION

Facility Type: Industrial – stormwater

 SIC Code(s):
 3482, 4953

 Application Date:
 10/01/2018

 Expiration Date:
 03/31/2019

 Last Inspection:
 CAV, 03/05/2015

FACILITY DESCRIPTION:

Lake City Army Ammunition Plant (LCAAP) manufactures small caliber ammunition, 5.56 mm, 7.62 mm, 30 caliber, 50 caliber, 20 mm (load assembly and pack only) and associated explosive/pyrotechnic materials. Operations include cartridge and bullet case drawing, annealing, pickling, case priming and cartridge loading, assembly and packing. Additionally, LCAAP manufactures the links for small and medium ammunition.

PERMITTED FEATURES TABLE:

OUTFALL	AVERAGE FLOW	DESIGN FLOW	TREATMENT LEVEL	Effluent type
#007, #008	dependent on precipitation	NA	BMPs	Industrial Stormwater

FACILITY PERFORMANCE HISTORY & COMMENTS:

There are no inspections reported in MoCWIS for this facility; however, a site visit occurred in 03/05/2015 to determine appropriate outfall locations. There were several benchmark exceedances of copper and TSS in the last permit cycle; however, benchmark exceedances are not considered permit violations.

Emergency reporting requirements for outfall #010 were removed. This permit does not authorize discharge from the leachate basin under any circumstances. Any discharge will be considered a spill and a violation of this permit. Regulations do not authorize emergency discharges from industrial/leachate storage basins. The Department does not authorize discharge of untreated pollutants which it believes will violate water quality standards.

During the public notice of this permit, the permit writer received an application for wastewater discharges from the site; however, an antidegradation review was not finalized for this discharge. The facility determined they would like to proceed with the issuance of the stormwater only permit. A permit modification will be required to incorporate this discharge should the antidegradation review be completed and the facility decides to utilize the wastewater outfall. The outfall will route a wastewater flow which is currently discharged to LBV WWTF to discharge to surface water.

PART II. RECEIVING WATERBODY INFORMATION

RECEIVING WATERBODY'S WATER QUALITY:

The receiving waterbody has no concurrent water quality data available. No additional water quality information was found on the receiving streams.

303(D) LIST:

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires each state identify waters not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock, and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of impaired waters not addressed by normal water pollution control programs. http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/waterquality/303d/303d.htm

- ✓ Applicable; Little Blue River is listed on the 2014 Missouri 303(d) list for *E. coli*.
 - This facility is not considered a source of the above listed pollutant(s) or considered to contribute to the impairment.

TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant a water body can absorb before its water quality is affected; hence, the purpose of a TMDL is to determine the pollutant loading a specific waterbody can assimilate without exceeding water quality standards. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan or TMDL may be developed. The TMDL shall include the WLA calculation. http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/tmdl/

✓ Not applicable; this facility is not associated with a TMDL.

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

Per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015(1)(B)], waters of the state are divided into seven categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's effluent limitation table and further discussed in Part IV: Effluents Limits Determinations

✓ All Other Waters

RECEIVING WATERBODY TABLE:

OUTFALL	Waterbody Name	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES	DISTANCE TO SEGMENT	12-digit HUC
#007	West Fire Prairie Creek	С	3960	GEN, HHP, IRR, LWW, SCR, WBC-B, WWH (ALP)	0.0	10300101-0208
#008	Tributary to Fire Prairie Creek	С	3960	GEN, HHP, IRR, LWW, SCR, WBC-B, WWH (ALP)	0.0	10300101-0208

n/a not applicable

Classes are hydrologic classes as defined in 10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(F). L1: Lakes with drinking water supply - wastewater discharges are not permitted to occur to L1 watersheds per 10 CSR 20-7.015(3)(C); L2: major reservoirs; L3: all other public and private lakes; P: permanent streams; C: streams which may cease flow in dry periods but maintain pools supporting aquatic life; E: streams which do not maintain surface flow; and W: wetland. Losing streams are defined in 10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(O) and are designated on the Losing Stream dataset or determined by the Department to lose 30% or more of flow to the subsurface.

WBID = Waterbody Identification: Missouri Use Designation Dataset per 10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(Q) and (S) as 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 or newer; data can be found as an ArcGIS shapefile on MSDIS at ftp://msdis.missouri.edu/pub/Inland_Water_Resources/MO_2014_WQS_Stream_Classifications_and_Use_shp.zip; New C streams described on the dataset per 10 CSR 20-7.031(2)(A)3. as 100K Extent Remaining Streams.

Per 10 CSR 20-7.031, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission's water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses are to be maintained in the receiving streams in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)]. Uses which may be found in the receiving streams table, above:

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)1.: **ALP** = Aquatic Life Protection (formerly AQL; current uses are defined to ensure the protection and propagation of fish shellfish and wildlife, further subcategorized as: WWH = Warm Water Habitat; CLH = Cool Water Habitat; CDH = Cold Water Habitat; EAH = Ephemeral Aquatic Habitat; MAH = Modified Aquatic Habitat; LAH = Limited Aquatic Habitat. This permit uses ALP effluent limitations in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A1-A2 for all habitat designations unless otherwise specified.

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)2.: Recreation in and on the water

WBC = Whole Body Contact recreation where the entire body is capable of being submerged;

WBC-A = whole body contact recreation supporting swimming uses and has public access;

WBC-B = whole body contact recreation not supported in WBC-A;

SCR = Secondary Contact Recreation (like fishing, wading, and boating)

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)3. to 7.:

HHP (formerly HHF) = Human Health Protection as it relates to the consumption of fish and drinking of water;

IRR = irrigation for use on crops utilized for human or livestock consumption

LWW = Livestock and Wildlife Watering (current narrative use is defined as LWP = Livestock and Wildlife Protection);

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS:

For all outfalls, mixing zone and zone of initial dilution are not allowed per 10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(I)(a) and (b), as the base stream flow does not provide dilution to the effluent.

RECEIVING WATERBODY MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

No receiving water monitoring requirements are recommended at this time.

PART III. RATIONALE AND DERIVATION OF EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS & PERMIT CONDITIONS

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

✓ Not applicable; the facility does not discharge to a losing stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], and is an existing facility.

ANTIBACKSLIDING:

Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(l)] require a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions. Backsliding (a less stringent permit limitation) is only allowed under certain conditions.

- ✓ Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.
 - ✓ The Department determined technical mistakes or mistaken interpretations of law were made in issuing the permit under section 402(a)(1)(b).
 - Settleable solids was removed from this permit. No limits were required in the previous permit. The permit writer used best professional judgment to determine the permittee is adequately monitoring solids through total suspended solids.
 - The previous permit special conditions contained a specific set of prohibitions related to general criteria found in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4); however, there was no determination as to whether the discharges have reasonable potential to cause or contribute to excursion of those general water quality criteria in the previous permit. Federal regulations 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(iii) requires instances where reasonable potential (RP) to cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard exists, a numeric limitation must be included in the permit. Rather than conducting the appropriate RP determination, the previous permit simply placed the prohibitions in the permit. These conditions were removed from the permit. Appropriate reasonable potential determinations were conducted for each general criterion listed in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A) through (I) and effluent limitations were placed in the permit for those general criteria where it was determined the discharge had reasonable potential to cause or contribute to excursions of the general criteria. Specific effluent limitations were not included for those general criteria where it was determined the discharges will not cause or contribute to excursions of general criteria. Removal of the prohibitions does not reduce the protections of the permit or allow for impairment of the receiving stream. The permit maintains sufficient effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and best management practices to protect water quality. See GENERAL CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS below.
 - The previous permit's special conditions required sampling of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) under the decision model to discharge stormwater having a sheen in secondary containment. The special condition has been revised in all permits beginning in 2015 to remove TPH as 40 CFR 136 does not contain any approved methods for the TPH parameter nor are there water quality standards for TPH. This permit requires oil and grease and BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene) sampling of the potentially contaminated stormwater in secondary containment. The facility need only sample for these constituents prior to release when a sheen or petroleum odor is present.
 - The previous permit had a special condition which stated: "Any pesticide discharge from any point source shall comply with the requirements of Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 136 et. seq.) and the use of such pesticides shall be in a manner consistent with its label."
 - The permit writer has determined this special condition was outside the scope of NPDES permitting and was removed.
 - A special condition related to hazardous spill reporting was also removed as it was outside the scope of NPDES permitting; spill reporting continues to be required through standard conditions part I.
 - Emergency reporting requirements for outfall #010 were removed; however, it is the best professional judgment of the permit writer this removal makes the requirements of this permit more stringent. This permit does not authorize discharge from the leachate basin under any circumstances. Any discharge will be considered a spill and a violation of this permit. Regulations do not authorize emergency discharges from industrial/leachate storage basins. The Department does not authorize discharge of untreated pollutants which it believes will violate water quality standards.

ANTIDEGRADATION REVIEW:

Process water discharges with new, altered, or expanding flows, the Department is to document, by means of antidegradation review, if the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. In accordance with Missouri's water quality regulations for antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], degradation may be justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharge after determining the necessity of the discharge. Facilities must submit the antidegradation review request to the Department prior to establishing, altering, or expanding discharges. See http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/antideg-implementation.htm

✓ Not applicable; the facility has not submitted information proposing expanded or altered process water discharge; no further degradation proposed therefore no further review necessary.

For stormwater discharges with new, altered, or expanding discharges, the stormwater BMP chosen for the facility, through the antidegradation analysis performed by the facility, must be implemented and maintained at the facility. Failure to implement and maintain the chosen BMP alternative is a permit violation; see SWPPP.

✓ Applicable; the facility must review and maintain stormwater BMPs as appropriate.

CHANGES IN DISCHARGES OF TOXIC POLLUTANT:

This special condition reiterates the federal rules found in 40 CFR 122.44(f) and 122.42(a)(1). In these rules, the facility is required to report changes in amounts of toxic substances discharged. Toxic substances are defined in 40 CFR 122.2 as "...any pollutant listed as toxic under section 307(a)(1) or, in the case of "sludge use or disposal practices," any pollutant identified in regulations implementing section 405(d) of the CWA." Section 307 of the clean water act then refers to those parameters found in 40 CFR 401.15. The permittee should also consider any other toxic pollutant in the discharge as reportable under this condition.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

✓ Not applicable; the permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

EFFLUENT LIMITATION GUIDELINE:

Effluent Limitation Guidelines, or ELGs, are found at 40 CFR 400-499. These are limitations established by the EPA based on the SIC code and the type of work a facility is conducting. Most ELGs are for process wastewater and some address stormwater. All are technology based limitations which must be met by the applicable facility at all times.

✓ The facility has an associated ELG (40 CFR 445) but does not discharge wastewater to waters of the state; stormwater discharges are not addressed by the ELG.

GENERAL CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS:

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), effluent limitations shall be placed into permits for pollutants determined to cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or to contribute to an excursion above any State water quality standard, including State narrative criteria for water quality. The rule further states pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above a narrative criterion within an applicable State water quality standard, the permit shall contain a numeric effluent limitation to protect the specified narrative criterion. The previous permit included the narrative criteria as special conditions included in the permit absent any discussion of the discharge's reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the criterion. In order to comply with this regulation, the permit writer has completed a reasonable potential determination on whether the discharge has reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion of the general criteria listed in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). These specific requirements are listed below followed by derivation and discussion (the lettering matches the rule itself. under 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)). In instances where reasonable potential exists, the permit includes numeric limitations to address the reasonable potential. In instances where reasonable potential does not exist, the permit may include monitoring to later determine the discharges potential to impact the receiving stream's narrative criteria. Finally, all of the previous permit narrative criteria prohibitions have been removed from the permit given they are addressed by numeric limits where reasonable potential exists. It should also be noted Section 644.076.1, RSMo as well as Section D - Administrative Requirements of Standard Conditions Part I of this permit state it shall be unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri is in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any standard, rule, or regulation promulgated by the commission.

- (A) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for putrescent bottom deposits preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates putrescent wastewater would be discharged from the facility.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for unsightly or harmful bottom deposits preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates unsightly or harmful bottom deposits would be discharged from the facility.
- (B) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses.

- For all outfalls, there is no RP for oil in sufficient amounts to be unsightly preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates oil will be present in sufficient amounts to impair beneficial uses.
- For all outfalls, there is no RP for scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates scum and floating debris will be present in sufficient amounts to impair beneficial uses.
- (C) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for unsightly color or turbidity in sufficient amounts preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates unsightly color or turbidity will be present in sufficient amounts to impair beneficial uses.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for offensive odor in sufficient amounts preventing full maintenance of beneficial uses because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates offensive odor will be present in sufficient amounts to impair beneficial uses.
- (D) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life.
 - The permit writer considered specific toxic pollutants when writing this permit. Numeric effluent limitations are included for those pollutants could be discharged in toxic amounts. These effluent limitations are protective of human health, animals, and aquatic life.
- (E) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water.
 - Much like the condition above, the permit writer considered specific toxic pollutants when writing this permit, including
 those pollutants could cause human health hazards. The discharge is limited by numeric effluent limitations for those
 conditions could result in human health hazards.
- (F) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering.
 - The permit writer considered specific toxic pollutants when writing this permit. Numeric effluent limitations are included for those pollutants could be discharged in toxic amounts. These effluent limitations are protective of livestock and wildlife watering.
- (G) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for chemical changes that would impair the natural biological community because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates chemical changes are occurring impairing the natural biological community.
 - For all outfalls, there is no RP for hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community because nothing disclosed by the permittee indicates hydrologic changes would impair the natural biological community.
 - It has been established any chemical changes are covered by the specific numeric effluent limitations established in the permit.
- (H) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.
 - There are no solid waste disposal activities or any operation which has reasonable potential to cause or contribute to the materials listed above being discharged through any outfall.

GROUNDWATER MONITORING:

Groundwater is a water of the state according to 10 CSR 20-2.010(82), and is subject to regulations at 10 CSR 20-7.015(7) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(6) and must be protected accordingly.

✓ This facility is not required to monitor groundwater for the water protection program.

MAJOR WATER USER:

Any surface or groundwater user with a water source and the equipment necessary to withdraw or divert 100,000 gallons (or 70 gallons per minute) or more per day combined from all sources from any stream, river, lake, well, spring, or other water source is considered a major water user in Missouri. All major water users are required by law to register water use annually (Missouri Revised Statues Chapter 256.400 Geology, Water Resources and Geodetic Survey Section). https://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2337.htm

✓ Not applicable; this permittee cannot withdraw water from the state in excess of 70 gpm/0.1 MGD.

NO-DISCHARGE LAND APPLICATION:

Land application of wastewater or sludge shall comply with the all applicable no-discharge requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-6.015 and all facility operations and maintenance requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-8.020(15). These requirements ensure appropriate operation of the no-discharge land application systems and prevent unauthorized and illicit discharges to waters of the state. Land applications by a contract hauler on fields the permittee has a spreading agreement on are not required to be in this permit. A spreading agreement does not constitute the field being rented or leased by the permittee as they do not have any control over management of the field.

✓ Not applicable; this permit does not authorize operation of a no-discharge land application system to treat wastewater or sludge.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL (RP):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants which are (or may be) discharged at a level causing or have the reasonable potential to cause (or contribute to) an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standards. Per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4), general criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times; however, acute toxicity criteria may be exceeded by permit in zones of initial dilution, and chronic toxicity criteria may be exceeded by permit in mixing zones. If the permit writer determines any given pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for the pollutant per 40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(iii) and the most stringent limits per 10 CSR 20-7.031(9)(A).

- ✓ Not applicable; a mathematical RPA was not conducted for this facility. This permit establishes permit limits and benchmarks for stormwater. The Department has determined stormwater is not a continuous discharge and is therefore not necessarily dependent on mathematical RPAs. However, the permit writer completed an RPD, a reasonable potential determination, using best professional judgment for all of the appropriate parameters in this permit. An RPD consists of reviewing application data and/or discharge monitoring data for the last five years and comparing those data to narrative or numeric water quality criteria.
- Permit writers use the Department's permit writer's manual (https://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/manual/permit-manual.htm), the EPA's permit writer's manual (https://www.epa.gov/npdes/npdes-permit-writers-manual), program policies, and best professional judgment. For each parameter in each permit, the permit writer carefully considers all applicable information regarding: technology based effluent limitations, effluent limitation guidelines, water quality standards, stream flows and uses, and all applicable site specific information and data gathered by the permittee through discharge monitoring reports and renewal (or new) application sampling. Best professional judgment is based on the experience of the permit writer, cohorts in the Department and resources at the EPA, research, and maintaining continuity of permits if necessary. For stormwater permits, the permit writer is required per 10 CSR 6.200(6)(B)2 to consider: A. application and other information supplied by the permittee; B. effluent guidelines; C. best professional judgment of the permit writer; D. water quality; and E. BMPs. Part V provides specific decisions related to this permit.
- ✓ The permit writer reviewed application materials, DMR data, past inspections, and other site specific factors to evaluate general and narrative water quality reasonable potential for this facility. Per the permit writer's best professional judgment, based on available data and full and accurate disclosure on application materials, this facility does not demonstrate reasonable potential for excursions from the general or narrative water quality criteria. See Part IV: Effluent Limit Determinations for specific parameter RP.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, effluent limits, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit. SOCs are allowed under 40 CFR 122.47 providing certain conditions are met. A SOC is not allowed:

- For effluent limitations based on technology-based standards established in accordance with federal requirements, if the deadline for compliance established in federal regulations has passed. 40 CFR § 125.3.
- For a newly constructed facility in most cases. Newly constructed facilities must meet applicable effluent limitations when discharge begins, because the facility has installed the appropriate control technology as specified in a permit or antidegradation review. A SOC is allowed for a new water quality based effluent limit not included in a previously public noticed permit or antidegradation review, which may occur if a regulation changes during construction.
- To develop a TMDL, UAA, or other study associated with development of a site specific criterion. A facility is not prohibited from conducting these activities, but a SOC may not be granted for conducting these activities.

In order to provide guidance in developing SOCs, and to attain a greater level of consistency, the department issued a policy on development of SOCs on October 25, 2012. The policy provides guidance to permit writers on standard time frames for schedules for common activities, and guidance on factors to modify the length of the schedule.

✓ Not applicable; this permit does not contain a SOC.

SPILL REPORTING:

Per 10 CSR 24-3.010, any emergency involving a hazardous substance must be reported to the Department's 24 hour Environmental Emergency Response hotline at (573) 634-2436 at the earliest practicable moment after discovery. The Department may require the submittal of a written report detailing measures taken to clean up a spill. These reporting requirements apply whether or not the spill results in chemicals or materials leaving the permitted property or reaching waters of the state. This requirement is in addition to the noncompliance reporting requirement found in Standard Conditions Part I. http://dnr.mo.gov/env/esp/spillbill.htm

SLUDGE - DOMESTIC BIOSOLIDS:

Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment meeting federal and state criteria for beneficial use (i.e. fertilizer). Sewage sludge is solid, semi-solid, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information: http://extension.missouri.edu/main/DisplayCategory.aspx?C=74 (WQ422 through WQ449).

SLUDGE – INDUSTRIAL:

Industrial sludge is solid, semi-solid, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of industrial process wastewater in a treatment works; including but not limited to, scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; scum and solids filtered from water supplies and backwashed; and a material derived from industrial sludge.

✓ Permittee is not authorized to land apply industrial sludge. Sludge is removed by contract hauler.

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

The standard conditions Part I attached to this permit incorporate all sections of 40 CFR 122.41(a) through (n) by reference as required by law. These conditions, in addition to the conditions enumerated within the standard conditions should be reviewed by the permittee to ascertain compliance with this permit, state regulations, state statues, federal regulations, and the Clean Water Act.

STORMWATER PERMITTING: LIMITATIONS AND BENCHMARKS:

Because of the fleeting nature of stormwater discharges, the Department, under the direction of EPA guidance, has determined monthly averages are capricious measures of stormwater discharges. The *Technical Support Document for Water Quality Based Toxics Control* (EPA/505/2-90-001; 1991) Section 3.1 indicates most procedures within the document apply only to water quality based approaches, not end-of-pipe technology-based controls. Hence, stormwater-only outfalls will generally only contain a maximum daily limit (MDL), benchmark, or monitoring requirement determined by the site specific conditions, the BMPs in place, past performance of the facility, and the receiving water's current quality.

Sufficient rainfall to cause a discharge for one hour or more from a facility would not necessarily cause significant flow in a receiving stream. Acute Water Quality Standards (WQSs) are based on one hour of exposure, and must be protected at all times. Therefore, industrial stormwater facilities with toxic contaminants present in the stormwater may have the potential to cause a violation of acute WQSs if toxic contaminants occur in sufficient amounts. In this instance, the permit writer may apply daily maximum limitations.

Conversely, it is unlikely for rainfall to cause a discharge for four continuous days from a facility; if this does occur however, the receiving stream will also likely sustain a significant amount of flow providing dilution. Most chronic WQSs are based on a four-day exposure with some exceptions. Under this scenario, most industrial stormwater facilities have limited potential to cause a violation of chronic water quality standards in the receiving stream.

A standard mass-balance equation cannot be calculated for stormwater from this facility because the stormwater flow and flow in the receiving stream cannot be determined for conditions on any given day or storm event. The amount of stormwater discharged from the facility will vary based on current and previous rainfall, soil saturation, humidity, detention time, BMPs, surface permeability, etc. Flow in the receiving stream will vary based on climatic conditions, size of watershed, amount of surfaces with reduced permeability (houses, parking lots, and the like) in the watershed, hydrogeology, topography, etc. Decreased permeability may increase the stream flow dramatically over a short period of time (flash).

Numeric benchmark values are based on site specific requirements taking in to account a number of factors but cannot be applied to any process water discharges. First, the technology in place at the site to control pollutant discharges in stormwater is evaluated. The permit writer also evaluates other similar permits for similar activities. A review of the guidance forming the basis of Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) *Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity* (MSGP) may also occur. Because precipitation events are sudden and momentary, benchmarks based on state or federal standards or recommendations use the Criteria Maximum Concentration (CMC) value, or acute standard may also be used. The CMC is the estimate of the highest concentration of a material in surface water to which an aquatic community can be exposed briefly without resulting in an unacceptable effect. The CMC for aquatic life is intended to be protective of the vast majority of the aquatic communities in the United States.

40 CFR 122.44(b)(1) requires the permit implement the most stringent limitations for each discharge, including industrially exposed stormwater; and 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(i) and (iii) requires the permit to include water-quality based effluent limitations where reasonable potential has been found; however, because of the non-continuous nature of stormwater discharges, staff are unable to perform statistical Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA). Reasonable potential determinations (RPDs; see REASONABLE POTENTIAL above) using best professional judgment are performed.

Benchmarks require the facility to monitor, and if necessary, replace and update stormwater control measures. Benchmark concentrations are not effluent limitations. A benchmark exceedance, therefore, is not a permit violation; however, failure to take corrective action is a violation of the permit. Benchmark monitoring data is used to determine the overall effectiveness of control measures and to assist the permittee in knowing when additional corrective actions may be necessary to comply with the conditions of the permit.

BMP inspections typically occur more frequently than sampling. Sampling frequencies are based on the facility's ability to comply with the benchmarks and the requirements of the permit. Inspections should occur after large rain events and any other time an issue is noted; sampling after a benchmark exceedance may need to occur to show the corrective active taken was meaningful.

When a permitted feature or outfall consists of only stormwater, a benchmark may be implemented at the discretion of the permit writer, if there is no RP for water quality excursions.

✓ Applicable, this facility has stormwater-only outfalls.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k), Best Management Practices (BMPs) must be used to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: 1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; 2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of stormwater discharges; 3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or 4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA. In accordance with the EPA's *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (EPA 833-B-09-002) published by the EPA in 2015 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-11/documents/swppp_guide_industrial_2015.pdf, BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering waters of the state from a permitted facility. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure. Additionally in accordance with the Stormwater Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to 1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and 2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges. Additional information can be found in *Stormwater Management for Industrial Activities: Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices* (EPA 832-R-92-006; September 1992).

A SWPPP must be prepared by the permittee if the SIC code is found in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14) and/or 10 CSR 20-6.200(2). A SWPPP may be required of other facilities where stormwater has been identified as necessitating better management. The purpose of a SWPPP is to comply with all applicable stormwater regulations by creating an adaptive management plan to control and mitigate stream pollution from stormwater runoff. Developing a SWPPP provides opportunities to employ appropriate BMPs to minimize the risk of pollutants being discharged during storm events. The following paragraph outlines the general steps the permittee should take to determine which BMPs will work to achieve the benchmark values or limits in the permit. This section is not intended to be all encompassing or restrict the use of any physical BMP or operational and maintenance procedure assisting in pollution control. Additional steps or revisions to the SWPPP may be required to meet the requirements of the permit.

Areas which should be included in the SWPPP are identified in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14). Once the potential sources of stormwater pollution have been identified, a plan should be formulated to best control the amount of pollutant being released and discharged by each activity or source. This should include, but is not limited to, minimizing exposure to stormwater, good housekeeping measures, proper facility and equipment maintenance, spill prevention and response, vehicle traffic control, and proper materials handling. Once a plan has been developed the facility will employ the control measures determined to be adequate to achieve the benchmark values discussed above. The facility will conduct monitoring and inspections of the BMPs to ensure they are working properly and reevaluate any BMP not achieving compliance with permitting requirements. For example, if sample results from an outfall show values of TSS above the benchmark value, the BMP being employed is deficient in controlling stormwater pollution. Corrective action should be taken to repair, improve, or replace the failing BMP. This internal evaluation is required at least once per month but should be continued more frequently if BMPs continue to fail. If failures do occur, continue this trial and error process until appropriate BMPs have been established.

For new, altered, or expanded stormwater discharges, the SWPPP shall identify reasonable and effective BMPs while accounting for environmental impacts of varying control methods. The antidegradation analysis must document why no discharge or no exposure options are not feasible. The selection and documentation of appropriate control measures shall serve as an alternative analysis of technology and fulfill the requirements of antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)]. For further guidance, consult the antidegradation implementation procedure (http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/docs/AIP050212.pdf).

Alternative Analysis (AA) evaluation of the BMPs is a structured evaluation of BMPs which are reasonable and cost effective. The AA evaluation should include practices designed to be: 1) non-degrading; 2) less degrading; or 3) degrading water quality. The glossary of AIP defines these three terms. The chosen BMP will be the most reasonable and effective management strategy while ensuring the highest statutory and regulatory requirements are achieved and the highest quality water attainable for the facility is discharged. The AA evaluation must demonstrate why "no discharge" or "no exposure" is not a feasible alternative at the facility. This structured analysis of BMPs serves as the antidegradation review, fulfilling the requirements of 10 CSR 20-7.031(3) Water Quality Standards and *Antidegradation Implementation Procedure* (AIP), Section II.B.

If parameter-specific numeric benchmark exceedances continue to occur and the permittee feels there are no practicable or cost-effective BMPs which will sufficiently reduce a pollutant concentration in the discharge to the benchmark values established in the permit, the permittee can submit a request to re-evaluate the benchmark values. This request needs to include 1) a detailed explanation of why the facility is unable to comply with the permit conditions and unable to establish BMPs to achieve the benchmark values; 2) financial data of the company and documentation of cost associated with BMPs for review and 3) the SWPPP, which should contain adequate documentation of BMPs employed, failed BMPs, corrective actions, and all other required information. This will allow the Department to conduct a cost analysis on control measures and actions taken by the facility to determine cost-effectiveness of BMPs. The request shall be submitted in the form of an operating permit modification; the application is found at: https://dnr.mo.gov/forms/#WaterPollution

✓ Applicable; a SWPPP shall be developed and implemented for this facility.

TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS (TBEL):

One of the major strategies of the Clean Water Act (CWA) in making "reasonable further progress toward the national goal of eliminating the discharge of all pollutants" is to require effluent limitations based on the capabilities of the technologies available to control those discharges. Technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) aim to prevent pollution by requiring a minimum level of effluent quality attainable using demonstrated technologies for reducing discharges of pollutants or pollution into the waters of the United States. TBELs are developed independently of the potential impact of a discharge on the receiving water, which is addressed through water quality standards and water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs).

✓ Not applicable, this facility does not discharge process wastewater therefore the BPJ process for numeric TBELs were not completed.

UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL (UIC):

The UIC program for all classes of wells in the State of Missouri is administered by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources and approved by EPA pursuant to section 1422 and 1425 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) and 40 CFR 147 Subpart AA. Injection wells are classified based on the liquids which are being injected. Class I wells are hazardous waste wells which are banned by RSMo 577.155; Class II wells are established for oil and natural gas production; Class III wells are used to inject fluids to extract minerals; Class IV wells are also banned by Missouri in RSMo 577.155; Class V wells are shallow injection wells; some examples are heat pump wells and groundwater remediation wells. Domestic wastewater being disposed of sub-surface is also considered a Class V well. In accordance with 40 CFR 144.82, construction, operation, maintenance, conversion, plugging, or closure of injection wells shall not cause movement of fluids containing any contaminant into Underground Sources of Drinking Water (USDW) if the presence of any contaminant may cause a violation of drinking water standards or groundwater standards under 10 CSR 20-7.031, or other health based standards, or may otherwise adversely affect human health. If the director finds the injection activity may endanger USDWs, the Department may require closure of the injection wells, or other actions listed in 40 CFR 144.12(c), (d), or (e). In accordance with 40 CFR 144.26, the permittee shall submit a Class V Well Inventory Form for each active or new underground injection well drilled, or when the status of a well changes, to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Program, P.O. Box 250, Rolla, Missouri 65402. The Class V Well Inventory Form can be requested from the Geological Survey Program or can be found at the following web address: http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-1774-f.pdf

✓ Not applicable; the permittee has not submitted materials indicating the facility will be performing UI at this site.

VARIANCE:

Per the Missouri Clean Water Law §644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

✓ Not applicable; this permit is not drafted under premise of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the WLA is the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed to discharge into the receiving stream without endangering water quality. Two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs) are reviewed. If one limit does not provide adequate protection for the receiving water, then the other must be used per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(A).

✓ Not applicable; wasteload allocations were not calculated.

WLA MODELING:

Permittees may submit site specific studies to better determine the site specific wasteload allocations applied in permits.

✓ Not applicable; a WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

PART IV. EFFLUENT LIMITS DETERMINATIONS

Effluent limitations derived and established for this permit are based on current operations of the facility and applied per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(A). Any flow through the outfall is considered a discharge and must be sampled and reported as provided below. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions which supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit. Daily maximums and monthly averages are required per 40 CFR 122.45(d)(1) for continuous discharges (not from a POTW).

OUTFALL #007, #008 - STORMWATER OUTFALLS

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETERS	Unit	Daily Maximum Limit	BENCH- MARK	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITS	MINIMUM SAMPLING FREQUENCY	MINIMUM REPORTING FREQUENCY	Sample Type
PHYSICAL							
FLOW	MGD	*		SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	24 HR. ESTIMATE
PRECIPITATION	inches	*	-	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	24 нг. тот
CONVENTIONAL							
COD	mg/L	*	-	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
OIL & GREASE	mg/L	**	10	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
PH ***	SU	6.5-9.0	-	SAME	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
SETTLEABLE SOLIDS				REMOVED FF	ROM MONITORING		
TSS	mg/L	**	100	*/*	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
METALS							
ALUMINUM, TR	μg/L	**	3500	*/*	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
COPPER, TR	μg/L	**	60	****	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
LEAD, TR	μg/L	**	188	****	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB
ZINC, TR	μg/L	**	209	****	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER	GRAB

- * Monitoring and reporting requirement only
- ** Monitoring with associated benchmark
- *** Report the minimum and maximum pH values; pH is not to be averaged
- **** Outfall #007 had benchmarks for these pollutants. #008 did not have benchmarks for these pollutants in the previous permit. They required monitoring only. See Derivation and Discussion of Limits below for more details.
- NEW Parameter not established in previous state operating permit
- TR Total Recoverable

DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

Benchmarks and monitoring were made identical at outfalls #007 and #008. The anticipated discharges from these outfalls is similar, and for clarity, the permit writer used best professional judgment to alter requirements to be identical.

PHYSICAL:

Flow

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification. The facility will report the total flow in millions of gallons per day (MGD).

Precipitation

Monitoring only requirement; measuring the amount of precipitation [(10 CSR 20-6.200(2)(C)1.E(VI)] during an event is necessary to ensure adequate stormwater management exists at the site. Knowing the amount of potential stormwater runoff can provide the permittee a better understanding of any specific control measures be employed to ensure protection of water quality. The facility will provide the 24 hour accumulation value of precipitation from the day of sampling the other parameters.

CONVENTIONAL:

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)

Monitoring only, continued from the previous permit. There is no numeric water quality standard for COD; however, increased oxygen demand may impact instream water quality. COD is also a valuable indicator parameter. COD monitoring allows the permittee to identify increases in COD may indicate materials/chemicals coming into contact with stormwater causing an increase in oxygen demand. Increases in COD may indicate a need for maintenance or improvement of BMPs.

Oil & Grease

Monitoring with a daily maximum benchmark of 10 mg/L, continued from the previous permit. Oil and grease is considered a conventional pollutant. Oil and grease is a comprehensive test which measures for gasoline, diesel, crude oil, creosote, kerosene, heating oils, heavy fuel oils, lubricating oils, waxes, and some asphalt and pitch. The test can also detect some volatile organics such as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, or toluene, but these constituents are often lost during testing due to their boiling points. It is recommended to perform separate testing for these constituents if they are a known pollutant of concern at the site, i.e. aquatic life toxicity or human health is a concern. Results do not allow for separation of specific pollutants within the test, they are reported, totaled, as "oil and grease". Per 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A1: *Criteria for Designated Uses*; 10 mg/L is the standard for protection of aquatic life. This standard will also be used to protect the general criteria found at 10 CSR 20: 7.031 (4). 10 mg/L is the level at which sheen is expected to form on receiving waters. Oils and greases of different densities will possibly form sheen or unsightly bottom deposits at levels which vary from 10 mg/L. To protect the general criteria, it is the responsibility of the permittee to visually observe the discharge and receiving waters for sheen or bottom deposits. The benchmark is achievable through proper operational and maintenance of BMPs and falls within the range of values implemented in other permits having similar industrial activities.

Settleable Solids (SS)

Monitoring for this pollutant is removed from this permit. Solids monitoring is continued through total suspended solids monitoring.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

Monitoring with a daily maximum benchmark of 100 mg/L. This is a new benchmark in this permit, and is added to replace the benchmark on settlable solids. Total suspended solids are often the primary pollutant of concern in stormwater discharges. There is no numeric water quality standard for TSS; however, sediment discharges can negatively impact aquatic life habitat. TSS is also a valuable indicator parameter. TSS monitoring allows the permittee to identify increases in TSS indicating uncontrolled materials leaving the site. Increased suspended solids in runoff can lead to decreased available oxygen for aquatic life and an increase of surface water temperatures in a receiving stream. Suspended solids can also be carriers of toxins, which can adsorb to the suspended particles; therefore, total suspended solids are a valuable indicator parameter for other pollution. The benchmark is achievable through proper operational and maintenance of BMPs and falls within the range of values implemented in other permits having similar industrial activities.

METALS:

Aluminum, Total Recoverable

Monitoring, with a daily maximum benchmark of 3500 μ g/L. The permit writer adds a benchmark to this parameter using best professional judgment. The DMR reports show variable discharges of aluminum. The permit writer has assessed the data and determined the permittee may need to adjust BMPs to control the discharge of aluminum from the site. If the permittee has issues meeting the benchmark, they may call the Kansas City Regional Office and request a compliance assistance visit to determine possible BMPs to address the discharges. Aluminum is a pollutant of concern in the manufacture of ammunition, as well as in landfill discharges. The benchmark was determined by calculating a 90th percentile of all available DMR data at the site from both outfall #007 and #008.

Copper, Total Recoverable

Monitoring, with a daily maximum benchmark of $60~\mu g/L$. There were several exceedances of the copper benchmark of 26~ug/L required in the previous permit cycle. The permit writer assessed DMR data and information on the BMP technologies utilized at the site, and determined a benchmark of 60~ug/L is more reflective of current BMPs and activities at the site. The benchmark was determined by calculating a 90^{th} percentile of all available DMR data at the site from both outfall #007 and #008. If the permittee has issues meeting the benchmark, they may call the Kansas City Regional Office and request a compliance assistance visit to determine possible BMPs to address the discharges. Copper is a pollutant of concern in the manufacture of ammunition, as well as in landfill discharges.

Lead, Total Recoverable

Monitoring, with a daily maximum benchmark of 188 μ g/L, continued from the previous permit. There were no exceedances of this benchmark in the previous permit cycle, indicating BMP technology is capable of meeting this benchmark. Lead is a pollutant of concern in the manufacture of ammunition, as well as in landfill discharges.

Zinc, Total Recoverable

Monitoring, with a daily maximum benchmark of 209 µg/L, continued from the previous permit. There were no exceedances of this benchmark in the previous permit cycle, indicating BMP technology is capable of meeting this benchmark. Zinc is a pollutant of concern in the manufacture of ammunition, as well as in landfill discharges.

PART V. SAMPLING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

See Standard Conditions Part I attached at the end of this permit and fully incorporated within.

ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (EDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a final rule on October 22, 2015, to modernize Clean Water Act reporting for municipalities, industries, and other facilities by converting to an electronic data reporting system. The final rule requires regulated entities and state and federal regulators to use information technology to electronically report data required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program instead of filing paper reports. To comply with the federal rule, the Department is requiring all permittees to begin submitting discharge monitoring data and reports online.

Per 40 CFR 127.15 and 127.24, permitted facilities may request a temporary waiver for up to 5 years or a permanent waiver from electronic reporting from the Department. To obtain an electronic reporting waiver, a permittee must first submit an eDMR Waiver Request Form: http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf. A request must be made for each facility. If more than one facility is owned or operated by a single entity, then the entity must submit a separate request for each facility based on its specific circumstances. An approved waiver is not transferable.

The Department must review and notify the facility within 120 calendar days of receipt if the waiver request has been approved or rejected [40 CFR 124.27(a)]. During the Department review period as well as after a waiver is granted, the facility must continue submitting a hard-copy of any reports required by their permit. The Department will enter data submitted in hard-copy from those facilities allowed to do so and electronically submit the data to the EPA on behalf of the facility.

✓ The permittee/facility is currently using the eDMR data reporting system.

SAMPLING FREQUENCY JUSTIFICATION:

Sampling and reporting frequency was generally retained from previous permit. Sampling frequency for stormwater-only outfalls is typically quarterly even though BMP inspection occurs monthly. The facility may sample more frequently if additional data is required to determine if best management operations and technology are performing as expected.

SAMPLING TYPE JUSTIFICATION:

Sampling type was continued from the previous permit. The sampling types are representative of the discharges, and are protective of water quality. Discharges with altering effluent should have composite sampling; discharges with uniform effluent can have grab samples. Grab samples are usually appropriate for stormwater. Parameters which must have grab sampling are: pH, ammonia, *E. coli*, total residual chlorine, free available chlorine, hexavalent chromium, dissolved oxygen, total phosphorus, volatile organic compounds, and others.

SUFFICIENTLY SENSITIVE ANALYTICAL METHODS:

Please review Standard Conditions Part 1, section A, number 4. The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 and/or 40 CFR 136 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when; 1) the method quantifies the pollutant below the level of the applicable water quality criterion or; 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility's discharge is high enough the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015 and or 40 CFR 136. These methods are also required for parameters listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if numeric limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive. 40 CFR 136 lists the approved methods accepted by the Department. Tables A1-B3 at 10 CSR 20-7.031 shows water quality standards.

PART VI. ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:

The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together will all expire in the same fiscal year. http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/cpp/docs/watershed-based-management.pdf. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the Department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future. Renewal applications must continue to be submitted within 180 days of expiration, however, in instances where effluent data from the previous renewal is less than two years old, such data may be re-submitted to meet the requirements of the renewal application. If the permit provides a schedule of compliance for meeting new water quality based effluent limits beyond the expiration date of the permit, the time remaining in the schedule of compliance will be allotted in the renewed permit.

- ✓ This permit will become synchronized by expiring the end of the XX quarter, 20XX.
- ✓ This permit will maintain synchronization by expiring the end of the 1st quarter, 2024.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/pn/index.html Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in or with water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing.

The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit.

For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

✓ The Public Notice period for this permit was from 02/15/2019 to 03/18/2019. No responses were received.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: 02/04/2019

COMPLETED BY:

AMBERLY SCHULZ, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST III
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
OPERATING PERMITS SECTION – STORMWATER AND CERTIFICATION UNIT
(573) 751-8049
Amberly.schulz@dnr.mo.gov



THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION REVISED AUGUST 1, 2014

These Standard Conditions incorporate permit conditions as required by 40 CFR 122.41 or other applicable state statutes or regulations. These minimum conditions apply unless superseded by requirements specified in the permit.

Part I – General Conditions Section A – Sampling, Monitoring, and Recording

1. Sampling Requirements.

- Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
- b. All samples shall be taken at the outfall(s) or Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) approved sampling location(s), and unless specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other body of water or substance.

2. Monitoring Requirements.

- a. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - i. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - ii. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - iii. The date(s) analyses were performed;
 - iv. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - v. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - vi. The results of such analyses.
- b. If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit at the location specified in the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 CFR subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reported to the Department with the discharge monitoring report data (DMR) submitted to the Department pursuant to Section B, paragraph 7.
- Sample and Monitoring Calculations. Calculations for all sample and monitoring results which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in the permit.
- Test Procedures. The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure that the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations that are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when; 1) the method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the pollutant or, 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility's discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015. These methods are also required for parameters that are listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure that the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive.
- 5. Record Retention. Except for records of monitoring information required by the permit related to the permittee's sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years (or longer as required by 40 CFR part 503), the permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.

Illegal Activities.

- a. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or both.
- b. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person or who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both. Second and successive convictions for violation under this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

Section B – Reporting Requirements

1. Planned Changes.

- a. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility when:
 - The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
 - ii. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42;
 - iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan;
- iv. Any facility expansions, production increases, or process modifications which will result in a new or substantially different discharge or sludge characteristics must be reported to the Department 60 days before the facility or process modification begins. Notification may be accomplished by application for a new permit. If the discharge does not violate effluent limitations specified in the permit, the facility is to submit a notice to the Department of the changed discharge at least 30 days before such changes. The Department may require a construction permit and/or permit modification as a result of the proposed changes at the facility.

2. Non-compliance Reporting.

a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Relevant information shall be provided orally or via the current electronic method approved by the Department, within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall be reported to the appropriate Regional Office during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) business days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.



THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION REVISED AUGUST 1, 2014

- b. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
 - Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - ii. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit required to be reported within 24 hours.
- c. The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under paragraph 2. b. of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.
- Anticipated Noncompliance. The permittee shall give advance notice to the
 Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity
 which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The notice
 shall be submitted to the Department 60 days prior to such changes or
 activity.
- 4. Compliance Schedules. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of the permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. The report shall provide an explanation for the instance of noncompliance and a proposed schedule or anticipated date, for achieving compliance with the compliance schedule requirement.
- 5. Other Noncompliance. The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs 2, 3, and 6 of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph 2. a. of this section.
- 6. Other Information. Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

7. Discharge Monitoring Reports.

- a. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the
- b. Monitoring results must be reported to the Department via the current method approved by the Department, unless the permittee has been granted a waiver from using the method. If the permittee has been granted a waiver, the permittee must use forms provided by the Department.
- Monitoring results shall be reported to the Department no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of the reporting period.

Section C – Bypass/Upset Requirements

1. **Definitions.**

- a. Bypass: the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending.
- b. Severe Property Damage: substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
- c. Upset: an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

2. Bypass Requirements.

a. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2. b. and 2. c. of this section.

b. Notice.

- Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
- ii. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 5 (24-hour notice).

c. Prohibition of bypass.

- i. Bypass is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 - Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 - 2. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 - The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 2.
 b. of this section.
- ii. The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Department determines that it will meet the three (3) conditions listed above in paragraph 2. c. i. of this section.

3. Upset Requirements.

- a. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 3. b. of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
- b. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - ii. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
 - iii. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in Section B Reporting Requirements, paragraph 2. b. ii. (24-hour notice).
 - iv. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Section D – Administrative Requirements, paragraph 4.
- Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

Section D – Administrative Requirements

- Duty to Comply. The permittee must comply with all conditions of this
 permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Missouri
 Clean Water Law and Federal Clean Water Act and is grounds for
 enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or
 modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.
 - a. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$25,000 per day for each violation. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement



THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION REVISED AUGUST 1, 2014

imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of \$2,500 to \$25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one (1) year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of \$5,000 to \$50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than three (3) years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than six (6) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can be fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.

- c. Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the EPA Director for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty assessed not to exceed \$25,000. Penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed \$125,000.
- It is unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law, or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission. In the event the commission or the director determines that any provision of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or standard, rules, limitations or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, or permits issued by, or any final abatement order, other order, or determination made by the commission or the director, or any filing requirement pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any other provision which this state is required to enforce pursuant to any federal water pollution control act, is being, was, or is in imminent danger of being violated, the commission or director may cause to have instituted a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction for the injunctive relief to prevent any such violation or further violation or for the assessment of a penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day, or part thereof, the violation occurred and continues to occur, or both, as the court deems proper. Any person who willfully or negligently commits any violation in this paragraph shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both. Second and successive convictions for violation of the same provision of this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

2. Duty to Reapply.

- a. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit.
- b. A permittee with a currently effective site-specific permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 180 days before the expiration date of the existing permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Department. (The Department shall not grant permission

- for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
- c. A permittees with currently effective general permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 30 days before the existing permit expires, unless the permittee has been notified by the Department that an earlier application must be made. The Department may grant permission for a later submission date. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
- Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense. It shall not be a defense
 for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to
 halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the
 conditions of this permit.
- Duty to Mitigate. The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize
 or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit
 which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the
 environment.
- 5. Proper Operation and Maintenance. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

6. Permit Actions.

- a. Subject to compliance with statutory requirements of the Law and Regulations and applicable Court Order, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked in whole or in part during its term for cause including, but not limited to, the following:
 - i. Violations of any terms or conditions of this permit or the law;
 - Having obtained this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully any relevant facts;
 - A change in any circumstances or conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
 - iv. Any reason set forth in the Law or Regulations.
- The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

7. Permit Transfer.

- a. Subject to 10 CSR 20-6.010, an operating permit may be transferred upon submission to the Department of an application to transfer signed by the existing owner and the new owner, unless prohibited by the terms of the permit. Until such time the permit is officially transferred, the original permittee remains responsible for complying with the terms and conditions of the existing permit.
- b. The Department may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Missouri Clean Water Law or the Federal Clean Water Act.
- c. The Department, within 30 days of receipt of the application, shall notify the new permittee of its intent to revoke or reissue or transfer the permit.
- 8. Toxic Pollutants. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
- Property Rights. This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.



THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION REVISED AUGUST 1, 2014

- 10. Duty to Provide Information. The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
- 11. Inspection and Entry. The permittee shall allow the Department, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Department), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
 - Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
 - Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Federal Clean Water Act or Missouri Clean Water Law, any substances or parameters at any location.

12. Closure of Treatment Facilities.

- a. Persons who cease operation or plan to cease operation of waste, wastewater, and sludge handling and treatment facilities shall close the facilities in accordance with a closure plan approved by the Department.
- b. Operating Permits under 10 CSR 20-6.010 or under 10 CSR 20-6.015 are required until all waste, wastewater, and sludges have been disposed of in accordance with the closure plan approved by the Department and any disturbed areas have been properly stabilized. Disturbed areas will be considered stabilized when perennial vegetation, pavement, or structures using permanent materials cover all areas that have been disturbed. Vegetative cover, if used, shall be at least 70% plant density over 100% of the disturbed area.

13. Signatory Requirement.

- All permit applications, reports required by the permit, or information requested by the Department shall be signed and certified. (See 40 CFR 122.22 and 10 CSR 20-6.010)
- b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or noncompliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months per violation, or by both.
- c. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both.
- 14. Severability. The provisions of the permit are severable, and if any provision of the permit, or the application of any provision of the permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of the permit, shall not be affected thereby.

THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION March 1, 2015

PART III – SLUDGE AND BIOSOLIDS FROM DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

SECTION A - GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 1. This permit pertains to sludge requirements under the Missouri Clean Water Law and regulation for domestic wastewater and industrial process wastewater. This permit also incorporates applicable federal sludge disposal requirements under 40 CFR 503 for domestic wastewater. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has principal authority for permitting and enforcement of the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503 for domestic wastewater. EPA has reviewed and accepted these standard sludge conditions. EPA may choose to issue a separate sludge addendum to this permit or a separate federal sludge permit at their discretion to further address the federal requirements.
- These PART III Standard Conditions apply only to sludge and biosolids generated at domestic wastewater treatment
 facilities, including public owned treatment works (POTW), privately owned facilities and sludge or biosolids
 generated at industrial facilities.
- 3. Sludge and Biosolids Use and Disposal Practices:
 - a. The permittee is authorized to operate the sludge and biosolids treatment, storage, use, and disposal facilities listed in the facility description of this permit.
 - b. The permittee shall not exceed the design sludge volume listed in the facility description and shall not use sludge disposal methods that are not listed in the facility description, without prior approval of the permitting authority.
 - c. The permittee is authorized to operate the storage, treatment or generating sites listed in the Facility Description section of this permit.
- 4. Sludge Received from other Facilities:
 - a. Permittees may accept domestic wastewater sludge from other facilities including septic tank pumpings from residential sources as long as the design sludge volume is not exceeded and the treatment facility performance is not impaired.
 - b. The permittee shall obtain a signed statement from the sludge generator or hauler that certifies the type and source of the sludge
- 5. These permit requirements do not supersede nor remove liability for compliance with county and other local ordinances.
- 6. These permit requirements do not supersede nor remove liability for compliance with other environmental regulations such as odor emissions under the Missouri Air Pollution Control Law and regulations.
- 7. This permit may (after due process) be modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable sludge disposal standard or limitation issued or approved under Section 405(d) of the Clean Water Actor under Chapter 644 RSMo.
- 8. In addition to STANDARD CONDITIONS, the Department may include sludge limitations in the special conditions portion or other sections of a site specific permit.
- 9. Alternate Limits in the Site Specific Permit.
 - Where deemed appropriate, the Department may require an individual site specific permit in order to authorize alternate limitations:
 - a. A site specific permit must be obtained for each operating location, including application sites.
 - b. To request a site specific permit, an individual permit application, permit fee, and supporting documents shall be submitted for each operating location. This shall include a detailed sludge/biosolids management plan or engineering report.
- 10. Exceptions to these Standard Conditions may be authorized on a case-by-case basis by the Department, as follows:
 - a. The Department will prepare a permit modification and follow permit notice provisions as applicable under 10 CSR 20-6.020, 40 CFR 124.10, and 40 CFR 501.15(a)(2)(ix)(E). This includes notification of the owner of the property located adjacent to each land application site, where appropriate.
 - b. Exceptions cannot be granted where prohibited by the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503.

SECTION B - DEFINITIONS

- 1. Best Management Practices include agronomic loading rates, soil conservation practices and other site restrictions.
- 2. Biosolids means organic fertilizer or soil amendment produced by the treatment of domestic wastewater sludge.
- 3. Biosolids land application facility is a facility where biosolids are spread onto the land at agronomic rates for production of food or fiber. The facility includes any structures necessary to store the biosolids until soil, weather, and crop conditions are favorable for land application.
- 4. Class A biosolids means a material that has met the Class A pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Further Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR 503.
- 5. Class B biosolids means a material that has met the Class B pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Significantly Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR 503.
- Domestic wastewater means wastewater originating from the sanitary conveniences of residences, commercial buildings, factories and institutions; or co-mingled sanitary and industrial wastewater processed by a (POTW) or a privately owned facility.
- 7. Industrial wastewater means any wastewater, also known as process water, not defined as domestic wastewater. Per 40 CFR Part 122, process water means any water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct, or waste product.
- 8. Mechanical treatment plants are wastewater treatment facilities that use mechanical devices to treat wastewater, including septic tanks, sand filters, extended aeration, activated sludge, contact stabilization, trickling filters, rotating biological discs, and other similar facilities. It does not include wastewater treatment lagoons and constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment.
- 9. Operating location as defined in 10 CSR 20-2.010 is all contiguous lands owned, operated or controlled by one (1) person or by two (2) or more persons jointly or as tenants in common.
- 10. Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) is the nitrogen that will be available to plants during the growing seasons after biosolids application.
- 11. Public contact site is land with a high potential for contact by the public. This includes, but is not limited to, public parks, ball fields, cemeteries, plant nurseries, turf farms, and golf courses.
- 12. Sludge is the solid, semisolid, or liquid residue removed during the treatment of wastewater. Sludge includes septage removed from septic tanks or equivalent facilities. Sludge does not include carbon coal byproducts (CCBs)
- 13. Sludge lagoon is part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility. A sludge lagoon is an earthen basin that receives sludge that has been removed from a wastewater treatment facility. It does not include a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge treatment units that are not a part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility.
- 14. Septage is the material pumped from residential septic tanks and similar treatment works (with a design population of less than 150 people). The standard for biosolids from septage is different from other sludges.

SECTION C - MECHANICAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

- 1. Sludge shall be routinely removed from wastewater treatment facilities and handled according to the permit facility description and sludge conditions of this permit.
- 2. The permittee shall operate the facility so that there is no sludge discharged to waters of the state.
- 3. Mechanical treatment plants shall have separate sludge storage compartments in accordance with 10 CSR 20, Chapter 8. Failure to remove sludge from these storage compartments on the required design schedule is a violation of this permit.

SECTION D - SLUDGE DISPOSED AT OTHER TREATMENT FACILITY OR CONTRACT HAULER

- 1. This section applies to permittees that haul sludge to another treatment facility for disposal or use contract haulers to remove and dispose of sludge.
- 2. Permittees that use contract haulers are responsible for compliance with all the terms of this permit including final disposal, unless the hauler has a separate permit for sludge or biosolids disposal issued by the Department; or the hauler transports the sludge to another permitted treatment facility.
- 3. Haulers who land apply septage must obtain a state permit.
- 4. Testing of sludge, other than total solids content, is not required if sludge is hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility or other permitted wastewater treatment facility, unless it is required by the accepting facility.

SECTION E - INCINERATION OF SLUDGE

- 1. Sludge incineration facilities shall comply with the requirements of 40 CFR 503 Subpart E; air pollution control regulations under 10 CSR 10; and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80.
- 2. Permittee may be authorized under the facility description of this permit to store incineration ash in lagoons or ash ponds. This permit does not authorize the disposal of incineration ash. Incineration ash shall be disposed in accordance with 10 CSR 80; or if the ash is determined to be hazardous with 10 CSR 25.
- 3. In addition to normal sludge monitoring, incineration facilities shall report the following as part of the annual report, quantity of sludge incinerated, quantity of ash generated, quantity of ash stored, and ash used or disposal method, quantity, and location. Permittee shall also provide the name of the disposal facility and the applicable permit number.

SECTION F - SURFACE DISPOSAL SITES AND SLUDGE LAGOONS

- 1. Surface disposal sites of domestic facilities shall comply with the requirements in 40 CFR 503 Subpart C; air pollution control regulations under 10 CSR 10; and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80.
- 2. Sludge storage lagoons are temporary facilities and are not required to obtain a permit as a solid waste management facility under 10 CSR 80. In order to maintain sludge storage lagoons as storage facilities, accumulated sludge must be removed routinely, but not less than once every two years unless an alternate schedule is approved in the permit. The amount of sludge removed will be dependent on sludge generation and accumulation in the facility. Enough sludge must be removed to maintain adequate storage capacity in the facility.
 - a. In order to avoid damage to the lagoon seal during cleaning, the permittee may leave a layer of sludge on the bottom of the lagoon, upon prior approval of the Department; or
 - b. Permittee shall close the lagoon in accordance with Section H.

SECTION G - LAND APPLICATION

- 1. The permittee shall not land apply sludge or biosolids unless land application is authorized in the facility description or the special conditions of the issued NPDES permit.
- 2. Land application sites within a 20 miles radius of the wastewater treatment facility are authorized under this permit when biosolids are applied for beneficial use in accordance with these standard conditions unless otherwise specified in a site specific permit. If the permittee's land application site is greater than a 20 mile radius of the wastewater treatment facility, approval must be granted from the Department.
- 3. Land application shall not adversely affect a threatened or endangered species or its designated critical habitat.
- 4. Biosolids shall not be applied unless authorized in this permit or exempted under 10 CSR 20, Chapter 6.
 - a. This permit does not authorize the land application of domestic sludge except for when sludge meets the definition of biosolids.
 - b. This permit authorizes "Class A or B" biosolids derived from domestic wastewater and/or process water sludge to be land applied onto grass land, crop land, timber or other similar agricultural or silviculture lands at rates suitable for beneficial use as organic fertilizer and soil conditioner.

5. Public Contact Sites:

Permittees who wish to apply Class A biosolids to public contact sites must obtain approval from the Department after two years of proper operation with acceptable testing documentation that shows the biosolids meet Class A criteria. A shorter length of testing will be allowed with prior approval from the Department. Authorization for land applications must be provided in the special conditions section of this permit or in a separate site specific permit.

- a. After Class B biosolids have been land applied, public access must be restricted for 12 months.
- b. Class B biosolids are only land applied to root crops, home gardens or vegetable crops whose edible parts will not be for human consumption.
- 6. Agricultural and Silvicultural Sites:

Septage – Based on Water Quality guide 422 (WQ422) published by the University of Missouri

- a. Haulers that land apply septage must obtain a state permit
- b. Do not apply more than 30,000 gallons of septage per acre per year.
- c. Septage tanks are designed to retain sludge for one to three years which will allow for a larger reduction in pathogens and vectors, as compared to other mechanical type treatment facilities.
- d. To meet Class B sludge requirements, maintain septage at 12 pH for at least thirty (30) minutes before land application. 50 pounds of hydrated lime shall be added to each 1,000 gallons of septage in order to meet pathogen and vector stabilization for septage biosolids applied to crops, pastures or timberland.
- e. Lime is to be added to the pump truck and not directly to the septic tanks, as lime would harm the beneficial bacteria of the septic tank.

Biosolids - Based on Water Quality guide 423, 424, and 425 (WQ423, WQ424, WQ425) published by the University of Missouri;

- a. Biosolids shall be monitored to determine the quality for regulated pollutants
- b. The number of samples taken is directly related to the amount of sludge produced by the facility (See Section I of these Standard Conditions). Report as dry weight unless otherwise specified in the site specific permit. Samples should be taken only during land application periods. When necessary, it is permissible to mix biosolids with lower concentrations of biosolids as well as other suitable Department approved material to reach the maximum concentration of pollutants allowed.
- c. Table 1 gives the maximum concentration allowable to protect water quality standards

TABLE 1

1					
Biosolids ceiling concentration ¹					
Milligrams per kilogram dry weight					
75					
85					
4,300					
840					
57					
75					
420					
100					
7,500					

Land application is not allowed if the sludge concentration exceeds the maximum limits for any of these pollutants

d. The low metal concentration biosolids has reduced requirements because of its higher quality and can safely be applied for 100 years or longer at typical agronomic loading rates. (See Table 2)

TABLE 2

TRUEEZ						
Biosolids Low Metal Concentration ¹						
Pollutant Milligrams per kilogram dry w						
Arsenic	41					
Cadmium	39					
Copper	1,500					
Lead	300					
Mercury	17					
Nickel	420					
Selenium	36					
Zinc	2,800					

You may apply low metal biosolids without tracking cumulative metal limits, provided the cumulative application of biosolids does not exceed 500 dry tons per acre.

e. Each pollutant in Table 3 has an annual and a total cumulative loading limit, based on the allowable pounds per acre for various soil categories.

TABLE 3

Dollutant	CEC	CEC 15+		CEC 5 to 15		CEC 0 to 5	
Pollutant	Annual	Total ¹	Annual	Total ¹	Annual	Total ¹	
Arsenic	1.8	36.0	1.8	36.0	1.8	36.0	
Cadmium	1.7	35.0	0.9	9.0	0.4	4.5	
Copper	66.0	1,335.0	25.0	250.0	12.0	125.0	
Lead	13.0	267.0	13.0	267.0	13.0	133.0	
Mercury	0.7	15.0	0.7	15.0	0.7	15.0	
Nickel	19.0	347.0	19.0	250.0	12.0	125.0	
Selenium	4.5	89.0	4.5	44.0	1.6	16.0	
Zinc	124.0	2,492.0	50.0	500.0	25.0	250.0	

¹ Total cumulative loading limits for soils with equal or greater than 6.0 pH (salt based test) or 6.5 pH (water based test)

TABLE 4 - Guidelines for land application of other trace substances ¹

Cumul	Cumulative Loading						
Pollutant	Pounds per acre						
Aluminum	$4,000^2$						
Beryllium	100						
Cobalt	50						
Fluoride	800						
Manganese	500						
Silver	200						
Tin	1,000						
Dioxin	$(10 \text{ ppt in soil})^3$						
Other	4						

- Design of land treatment systems for Industrial Waste, 1979. Michael Ray Overcash, North Carolina State University and Land Treatment of Municipal Wastewater, EPA 1981.)
- ² This applies for a soil with a pH between 6.0 and 7.0 (salt based test) or a pH between 6.5 to 7.5 (water based test). Case-by-case review is required for higher pH soils.
- Total Dioxin Toxicity Equivalents (TEQ) in soils, based on a risk assessment under 40 CFR 744, May 1998.
- Case by case review. Concentrations in sludge should not exceed the 95th percentile of the National Sewage Sludge Survey, EPA, January 2009.

Best Management Practices - Based on Water Quality guide 426 (WQ426) published by the University of Missouri

- a. Use best management practices when applying biosolids.
- b. Biosolids cannot discharge from the land application site
- c. Biosolid application is subject to the Missouri Department of Agriculture State Milk Board concerning grazing restrictions of lactating dairy cattle.
- d. Biosolid application must be in accordance with section 4 of the Endangered Species Act.
- e. Do not apply more than the agronomic rate of nitrogen needed.
- f. The applicator must document the Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) loadings, available nitrogen in the soil, and crop removal when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) When biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
 - PAN can be determined as follows and is in accordance with WQ426
 (Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) + (organic nitrogen x 0.2) + (ammonia nitrogen x volatilization factor¹).

 Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application.
- g. Buffer zones are as follows:
 - i. 300 feet of a water supply well, sinkhole, lake, pond, water supply reservoir or water supply intake in a stream;
 - 300 feet of a losing stream, no discharge stream, stream stretches designated for whole body contact recreation, wild and scenic rivers, Ozark National Scenic Riverways or outstanding state resource waters as listed in the Water Quality Standards, 10 CSR 20-7.031;
 - iii. 150 feet if dwellings;
 - iv. 100 feet of wetlands or permanent flowing streams;
 - v. 50 feet of a property line or other waters of the state, including intermittent flowing streams.
- h. Slope limitation for application sites are as follows;
 - i. A slope 0 to 6 percent has no rate limitation
 - ii. Applied to a slope 7 to 12 percent, the applicator may apply biosolids when soil conservation practices are used to meet the minimum erosion levels
 - iii. Slopes > 12 percent, apply biosolids only when grass is vegetated and maintained with at least 80 percent ground cover at a rate of two dry tons per acre per year or less.
- No biosolids may be land applied in an area that it is reasonably certain that pollutants will be transported into waters of the state.
- j. Do not apply biosolids to sites with soil that is snow covered, frozen or saturated with liquid without prior approval by the Department.
- k. Biosolids / sludge applicators must keep detailed records up to five years.

SECTION H – CLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

- 1. This section applies to all wastewater facilities (mechanical, industrial, and lagoons) and sludge or biosolids storage and treatment facilities and incineration ash ponds. It does not apply to land application sites.
- 2. Permittees of a domestic wastewater facility who plan to cease operation must obtain Department approval of a closure plan which addresses proper removal and disposal of all residues, including sludge, biosolids. Mechanical plants, sludge lagoons, ash ponds and other storage structures must obtain approval of a closure plan from the Department. Permittee must maintain this permit until the facility is closed in accordance with the approved closure plan per 10 CSR 20 6.010 and 10 CSR 20 6.015.
- Residuals that are left in place during closure of a lagoon or earthen structure or ash pond shall not exceed the agricultural loading rates as follows:
 - a. Residuals shall meet the monitoring and land application limits for agricultural rates as referenced in Section H of these standard conditions.
 - b. If a wastewater treatment lagoon has been in operation for 15 years or more without sludge removal, the sludge in the lagoon qualifies as a Class B biosolids with respect to pathogens due to anaerobic digestion, and testing for fecal coliform is not required. For other lagoons, testing for fecal coliform is required to show compliance with Class B biosolids limitations. In order to reach Class B biosolids requirements, fecal coliform must be less than 2,000,000 colony forming units or 2,000,000 most probable number. All fecal samples must be presented as geometric mean per gram.
 - c. The allowable nitrogen loading that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. For a grass cover crop, the allowable PAN is 300 pounds/acre.
 - i. PAN can be determined as follows:
 (Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) + (organic nitrogen x 0.2) + (ammonia nitrogen x volatilization factor¹).
 ¹ Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application.
- 4. When closing a domestic wastewater treatment lagoon with a design treatment capacity equal or less than 150 persons, the residuals are considered "septage" under the similar treatment works definition. See Section B of these standard conditions. Under the septage category, residuals may be left in place as follows:
 - a. Testing for metals or fecal coliform is not required
 - b. If the wastewater treatment lagoon has been in use for less than 15 years, mix lime with the sludge at a rate of 50 pounds of hydrated lime per 1000 gallons (134 cubic feet) of sludge.
 - c. The amount of sludge that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. 100 dry tons/acre of sludge may be left in the basin without testing for nitrogen. If 100 dry tons/acre or more will be left in the lagoon, test for nitrogen and determine the PAN using the calculation above. Allowable PAN loading is 300 pounds/acre.
- 5. Residuals left within the domestic lagoon shall be mixed with soil on at least a 1 to 1 ratio, the lagoon berm shall be demolished, and the site shall be graded and contain ≥70% vegetative density over 100% of the site so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion.
- 6. Lagoons and/or earthen structure and/or ash pond closure activities shall obtain a storm water permit for land disturbance activities that equal or exceed one acre in accordance with 10 CSR 20-6.200
- 7. When closing a mechanical wastewater and/or industrial process wastewater plant; all sludge must be cleaned out and disposed of in accordance with the Department approved closure plan before the permit for the facility can be terminated.
 - a. Land must be stabilized which includes any grading, alternate use or fate upon approval by the Department, remediation, or other work that exposes sediment to stormwater per 10 CSR 20-6.200. The site shall be graded and contain ≥70% vegetative density over 100% of the site, so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion.
 - b. Per 10 CSR 20-6.015(4)(B)6, Hazardous Waste shall not be land applied or disposed during industrial and mechanical plant closures unless in accordance with Missouri Hazardous Waste Management Law and Regulations under 10 CSR 25.
 - c. After demolition of the mechanical plant / industrial plant, the site must only contain clean fill defined in RSMo 260.200 (5) as uncontaminated soil, rock, sand, gravel, concrete, asphaltic concrete, cinderblocks, brick, minimal amounts of wood and metal, and inert solids as approved by rule or policy of the Department for fill or other beneficial use. Other solid wastes must be removed.
- 8. If sludge from the domestic lagoon or mechanical treatment plant exceeds agricultural rates under Section G and/or H, a landfill permit or solid waste disposal permit must be obtained if the permittee chooses to seek authorization for onsite sludge disposal under the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law and regulations per 10 CSR 80, and the permittee must comply with the surface disposal requirements under 40 CFR 503, Subpart C.

SECTION I - MONITORING FREQUENCY

1. At a minimum, sludge or biosolids shall be tested for volume and percent total solids on a frequency that will accurately represent sludge quantities produced and disposed. Please see the table below.

TABLE 5

Design Sludge	Monitoring Frequency (See Notes 1, 2, and 3)						
Production (dry tons per year)	Production (dry Pathogens and		Nitrogen PAN ²	Priority Pollutants and TCLP ³			
0 to 100	1 per year	1 per year	1 per month	1 per year			
101 to 200	biannual	biannual	1 per month	1 per year			
201 to 1,000	quarterly	quarterly	1 per month	1 per year			
1,001 to 10,000	1 per month	1 per month	1 per week	4			
10,001 +	1 per week	1 per week	1 per day	 ⁴			

- Test total Kjeldahl nitrogen, if biosolids application is 2 dry tons per acre per year or less.
- ² Calculate plant available nitrogen (PAN) when either of the following occurs: 1) when biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
- Priority pollutants (40 CFR 122.21, Appendix D, Tables II and III) and toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (40 CFR 261.24) is required only for permit holders that must have a pre-treatment program.
- One sample for each 1,000 dry tons of sludge.

Note 1: Total solids: A grab sample of sludge shall be tested one per day during land application periods for percent total solids. This data shall be used to calculate the dry tons of sludge applied per acre.

Note 2: Total Phosphorus: Total phosphorus and total potassium shall be tested at the same monitoring frequency as metals.

Note 3: Table 5 is not applicable for incineration and permit holders that landfill their sludge.

- 2. If you own a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge lagoon that is cleaned out once a year or less, you may choose to sample only when the sludge is removed or the lagoon is closed. Test one composite sample for each 100 dry tons of sludge or biosolids removed from the lagoon during the year within the lagoon at closing. Composite sample must represent various areas at one-foot depth.
- 3. Additional testing may be required in the special conditions or other sections of the permit. Permittees receiving industrial wastewater may be required to conduct additional testing upon request from the Department.
- 4. At this time, the Department recommends monitoring requirements shall be performed in accordance with, "POTW Sludge Sampling and Analysis Guidance Document," United States Environmental Protection Agency, August 1989, and the subsequent revisions.

SECTION J - RECORD KEEPING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- 1. The permittee shall maintain records on file at the facility for at least five years for the items listed in these standard conditions and any additional items in the Special Conditions section of this permit. This shall include dates when the sludge facility is checked for proper operation, records of maintenance and repairs and other relevant information.
- 2. Reporting period
 - a. By January 28th of each year, an annual report shall be submitted for the previous calendar year period for all mechanical wastewater treatment facilities, sludge lagoons, and sludge or biosolids disposal facilities.
 - b. Permittees with wastewater treatment lagoons shall submit the above annual report only when sludge or biosolids are removed from the lagoon during the report period or when the lagoon is closed.
- 3. Report Forms. The annual report shall be submitted on report forms provided by the Department or equivalent forms approved by the Department.
- 4. Reports shall be submitted as follows:

Major facilities (those serving 10,000 persons or 1 million gallons per day) shall report to both the Department and EPA. Other facilities need to report only to the Department. Reports shall be submitted to the addresses listed as follows:

DNR regional office listed in your permit (see cover letter of permit) ATTN: Sludge Coordinator

EPA Region VII Water Compliance Branch (WACM) Sludge Coordinator 11201 Renner Blvd. Lenexa, KS 66219

- 5. Annual report contents. The annual report shall include the following:
 - a. Sludge and biosolids testing performed. Include a copy or summary of all test results, even if not required by the permit.
 - b. Sludge or biosolids quantity shall be reported as dry tons for quantity generated by the wastewater treatment facility, the quantity stored on site at the end of the year, and the quantity used or disposed.
 - c. Gallons and % solids data used to calculate the dry ton amounts.
 - d. Description of any unusual operating conditions.
 - e. Final disposal method, dates, and location, and person responsible for hauling and disposal.
 - i. This must include the name, address for the hauler and sludge facility. If hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility, sanitary landfill, or other approved treatment facility, give the name of that facility.
 - Include a description of the type of hauling equipment used and the capacity in tons, gallons, or cubic feet.

f. Contract Hauler Activities:

If contract hauler, provide a copy of a signed contract from the contractor. Permittee shall require the contractor to supply information required under this permit for which the contractor is responsible. The permittee shall submit a signed statement from the contractor that he has complied with the standards contained in this permit, unless the contract hauler has a separate sludge or biosolids use permit.

g. Land Application Sites:

- i. Report the location of each application site, the annual and cumulative dry tons/acre for each site, and the landowners name and address. The location for each spreading site shall be given as a legal description for nearest ½, ¼, Section, Township, Range, and county, or UTM coordinates. The facility shall report PAN when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
- ii. If the "Low Metals" criteria are exceeded, report the annual and cumulative pollutant loading rates in pounds per acre for each applicable pollutant, and report the percent of cumulative pollutant loading which has been reached at each site.
- iii. Report the method used for compliance with pathogen and vector attraction requirements.
- iv. Report soil test results for pH, CEC, and phosphorus. If none was tested during the year, report the last date when tested and results.

RECEIVED

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM

Water Protection Program

FORM A – APPLICATION FOR NONDOMESTIC PERMITTION Program

DATE RECEIVED

FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

FEE SUBMITTED

CHECK NUMBER

UNDER MISSOURI CLEAN WATI	ER LAV	<u> </u>		0-1-16		
NOTE: PLEASE READ THE ACCOME	PANYING	INSTRUCTIONS BEF	ORE COMP	LETING TH	HIS FORM.	
1. This application is for: (Select only one.) An operating permit for a new or unpermitted fa Renewal of an operating permit. Permit num Modification of an operating permit. Permit num	nber: MO_	MO-0004880	iction permit Expi	ration date:	March 31,2019	
1.1 Is the appropriate fee included with the applic	cation? (S	ee instructions for appr	ropriate fee.)	Yes	□No	
2. FACILITY						
NAME	THE PERSON AS	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 816-796-7159				
Lake City Army Ammunition Plant (LCAAP)		sara.b.clark4.civ@mail.mil				
PHYSICAL ADDRESS (PHYSICAL) 25201 East 78 Highway		Independence		MO	ZIP CODE 64057	
3. OWNER	and the second of		Same of the state	The second section of the second		
Department of the Army		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH 816-796-7159 EMAIL	AREA CODE			
Department of the ramy		sara.b.clark4.civ@ma	ail.mil			
MAILING ADDRESS 25201 East 78 Highway		Independence		MO STATE	ZIP CODE 64057	
3.1 Do you want to review draft permit prior to put	blic notice	? Yes		☐ No		
4. CONTINUING AUTHORITY						
NAME		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH	AREA CODE			
None		EMAIL	3			
MAILING ADDRESS		CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE	
5. OPERATOR				-		
NAME		CERTIFICATE NUMBER		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 816-796-7203		
Northrop Grumman		jim.nichols@ngc.org		T		
MAILING ADDRESS 25201 East 78 Highway		Independence		MO	ZIP CODE 64057	
6. FACILITY CONTACT						
NAME		Environmental Engineer		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 816-796-5192		
George Abbott		george.abbott@ngc.c	om			
7. ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION	- 111	g g				
7.1 Legal description of outfalls (Attach additional	sheets, if	necessary.)				
Outfall # 007 NW 1/4 NE 1/4 S UTM Coordinates Easting (X):	Sec 1	T 49N Northing (Y):	R 31W	<u>J/</u>	A County	
Outfall #008 NW 1/4 NE 1/4 S UTM Coordinates Easting (X):	North refer Sec 32		R 30W		A County	
Outfall # 010 SE 1/4 NE 1/4 S UTM Coordinates Easting (X):	Sec 32 North	T <u>50N</u> ing (Y):	R 30W	-	County	
UTM Coordinates Easting (X):	Sec	Northing (Y):	R	_	County	
7.2 Primary standard industrial classification (SIC) a 001 – SIC 3482 and NAICS and NAICS			8			

8.	ADDITIONAL FORMS AND MAPS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE APPLICATION (Con	mplete all applica	ble forms.)		
Α.	Is your facility a manufacturing, commercial, mining or silviculture waste treatment facility lf yes, complete Form C or 2F.		No 🗌		
	(2F is EPA's Application for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity.)				
В.	Is application for stormwater discharges only?	Van 🗆	No 🗷		
	If yes, complete Form C or 2F.	Yes			
C.	Is your facility considered a "primary industry" under EPA guidelines: If yes, complete Forms C or 2F and D.	Yes □	No 🔽		
D.	Is wastewater land-applied? If yes, complete Form I.	Yes 🗆	No 🔽		
E.	Are biosolids, sludge, ash or residuals generated, treated, stored or land-applied? If yes, complete Form R.	Yes □	No 🗾		
F.	If you are a Class IA CAFO, disregard Parts D and E, above, but attach any revisions to	the nutrient manag	gement plan.		
G.	Attach a map showing all outfalls and the receiving stream at 1" = 2,000' scale.				
9.	ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (eDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM				
☐ Yo☐ Yo 9.	u completed and submitted with this permit application the required documentation to partic u previously submitted required documentation to participate in the eDMR system and/or you submitted a written request for a waiver from electronic reporting. See instructions for info POWNSTREAM LANDOWNER(S) Attach additional sheets as necessary. See Instruction PLEASE SHOW LOCATION ON MAP. SEE 8(D) ABOVE.	ou currently use the mation regarding	e eDMR system.		
NAME See At	ttachment 1				
ADDRES	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE		
11.	I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this application. To the best of information is true, complete and accurate. If granted this permit, I agree to abide by the rules, regulations, orders and decisions subject to any legitimate appeal to the Missouri of the applicant under the Missouri Clean Water Law.	Missouri Clean Wa	ater Law and all		
NAME A	ND OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT)	TELEPHONE NUMBER WI	TH AREA CODE		
Dana Crow Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army, Commanding		816-796-7153	6-796-7153		
-1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		DATE SIGNED 245eP2	SIGNED 4Sep 2018		
	BEFORE MAILING, PLEASE ENSURE ALL SECTIONS ARE CALSO INCLUDE APPLICABLE ADDITIONAL FORMS Submitting an incomplete application may result in the application by HAVE YOU INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING? Appropriate fees Form I (Irrigate Form R (Slude))	 eing returned. tion), if applicable ge), if applicable 			
	 ✓ Signature ✓ Form C or 2F, if applicable ☐ Form D, if applicable 	ent management	plan, if		



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH FORM C - APPLICATION FOR DISCHARGE PERMIT MANUFACTURING, COMMERCIAL, MINING, SILVICULTURE OPERATIONS, PROCESS AND STORMWATER

FOR AGENCY USE ONLY				
CHECK NO.				
DATE RECEIVED	FEE SUBMITTED			
DATE RECEIVED	FEE SUBMITTED			

SILVICULTURE OPERATIONS, PROCES	
NOTE: DO NOT ATTEMPT TO COMPLETE THIS FORM BEFO	RE READING THE ACCOMPANYING INSTRUCTIONS
1.00 NAME OF FACILITY	
Lake City Army Ammunition Plant	
1.10 THIS FACILITY IS NOW IN OPERATION UNDER MISSOURI OPERATING PERMIT NUMB	ER
MO-0004880	
1.20 THIS IS A NEW FACILITY AND WAS CONSTRUCTED UNDER MISSOURI CONSTRUCTION PERMIT).	ON PERMIT NUMBER (COMPLETE ONLY IF THIS FACILITY DOES NOT HAVE AN OPERATING
2.00 LIST THE STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION (SIC) CODES APPLICABLE TO YO	OUR FACILITY (FOUR DIGIT CODE)
3482	
A. FIRST	B. SECOND_
C. THIRD	D. FOURTH
2.10 FOR EACH OUTFALL GIVE THE LEGAL DESCRIPTION.	
	See Attachment 1
OUTFALL NUMBER (LIST)1/41/4 SEC	TRCOUNTY
2.20 FOR EACH OUTFALL LIST THE NAME OF THE RECEIVING WATER	
OUTFALL NUMBER (LIST)	RECEIVING WATER
See Attachment 1	RECEIVING WATER
See Attachment 1	
2.30 BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE NATURE OF YOUR BUSINESS	
	n, 30 caliber, 20mm (load assembly and pack only) and associated
	nd bullet case drawing, annealing, pickling, case priming and cartridge
loading, assemble and packing. Manufacture of links for small a	nd medium ammunition.

- A. Attach a line drawing showing the water flow through the facility. Indicate sources of intake water, operations contributing wastewater to the effluent and treatment units labeled to correspond to the more detailed descriptions in item B. Construct a water balance on the line drawing by showing average flows between intakes, operations, treatment units, public sewers and outfalls. If a water balance cannot by determined (e.g., for certain mining activities), provide a pictorial description of the nature and amount of any sources of water and any collection or treatment measures.
- B. For each outfall, provide a description of 1. All operations contributing wastewater to the effluent, including process wastewater, sanitary wastewater, cooling water and storm water runoff. 2. The average flow contributed by each operation. 3. The treatment received by the wastewater. Continue on additional sheets if necessary.

1. OUTFALL NO.	2. OPERATION(S) CONTRIBUTING FLOW	3. TREATMENT		
(LIST)	A. OPERATION (LIST)	B. AVERAGE FLOW (INCLUDE UNITS) (MAXIMUM FLOW)	A. DESCRIPTION	B. LIST CODES FROM TABLE A	
007	Stormwater	est. 6.5 daily max flow MGD	None	None	
800	Stormwater	est. 17.0 daily max flow MGD	None	None	
010	Leachate collection Basin	No Discharge	None	None	
				1	

2.40 CONTINUED C. EXCEPT FOR STORM RUNOFF, LEAKS OR SPILLS, ARE ANY OF THE DISCHARGES DESCRIBED IN ITEMS A OR B INTERMITTENT OR SEASONAL? NO (GO TO SECTION 2.50) YES (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING TABLE) 4. FLOW 3. FREQUENCY B. TOTAL VOLUME (specify with A. FLOW RATE (in mgd) 1. OUTFALL NUMBER C. DURATION 2. OPERATION(S) CONTRIBUTING FLOW (list) B. MONTHS PER YEAR A. DAYS (in days) PER WEEK 4. LONG TERM 1. LONG TERM 2. MAXIMUM 3. MAXIMUM (specify (specify **AVERAGE** DAILY DAILY AVERAGE average) average. 2.50 MAXIMUM PRODUCTION A. DOES AN EFFLUENT GUIDELINE LIMITATION PROMULGATED BY EPA UNDER SECTION 304 OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT APPLY TO YOUR FACILITY? NO (GO TO SECTION 2.60) YES (COMPLETE B.) B. ARE THE LIMITATIONS IN THE APPLICABLE EFFLUENT GUIDELINES EXPRESSED IN TERMS OF PRODUCTION (OF OTHER MEASURE OF OPERATION)? YES (COMPLETE c.) **✓ NO** (GO TO SECTION 2.60) C. IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO B. LIST THE QUANTITY THAT REPRESENTS AN ACTUAL MEASUREMENT OF YOUR MAXIMUM LEVEL OF PRODUCTION, EXPRESSED IN THE TERMS AND UNITS USED IN THE APPLICABLE EFFLUENT GUIDELINE AND INDICATE THE AFFECTED OUTFALLS. 1. MAXIMUM QUANTITY 2. AFFECTED OUTFALLS (list outfall numbers) C. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. A. QUANTITY PER DAY **B. UNITS OF MEASURE** (specify) 2.60 IMPROVEMENTS A. ARE YOU NOW REQUIRED BY ANY FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL AUTHORITY TO MEET, ANY IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, UPGRADING OR OPERATION OF WASTEWATER TREATMENT EQUIPMENT OR PRACTICES OR ANY OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS THAT MAY AFFECT THE DISCHARGES DESCRIBED IN THIS APPLICATION? THIS INCLUDES, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, PERMIT CONDITIONS, ADMINISTRATIVE OR ENFORCEMENT ORDERS, ENFORCEMENT COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE LETTERS, STIPULATIONS, COURT ORDERS AND GRANT OR LOAN CONDITIONS. **✓ NO** (GO TO 3.00) YES (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING TABLE) 2. AFFECTED OUTFALLS 4. FINAL COMPLIANCE DATE 1. IDENTIFICATION OF CONDITION 3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AGREEMENT, ETC. A. REQUIRED B. PROJECTED B. OPTIONAL: YOU MAY ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS DESCRIBING ANY ADDITIONAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAMS (OR OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS WHICH MAY AFFECT YOUR DISCHARGES) YOU NOW HAVE UNDER WAY OR WHICH YOU PLAN. INDICATE WHETHER EACH PROGRAM IS NOW UNDER WAY OR PLANNED, AND INDICATE YOUR ACTUAL OR PLANNED SCHEDULES FOR CONSTRUCTION. MARK "X" IF DESCRIPTION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL PROGRAMS IS ATTACHED.

PAGE 3

MO 780-1514 (06-13)

3.00 INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTIC	CS

A. & B. SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE PROCEEDING - COMPLETE ONE TABLE FOR EACH OUTFALL - ANNOTATE THE OUTFALL NUMBER IN THE SPACE PROVIDED. NOTE: TABLE 1 IS INCLUDED ON SEPARATE SHEETS NUMBERED FROM PAGE 6 TO PAGE 7.

C. USE THE SPACE BELOW TO LIST ANY OF THE POLLUTANTS LISTED IN PART B OF THE INSTRUCTIONS, WHICH YOU KNOW OR HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE IS DISCHARGED OR MAY BE DISCHARGED FROM ANY OUTFALL. FOR EVERY POLLUTANT YOU LIST, BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE REASONS YOU BELIEVE IT TO BE PRESENT AND REPORT ANY ANALYTICAL DATA IN YOUR POSSESSION.

1. POLLUTANT	2. SOURCE	1. POLLUTANT	2. SCURCE
Total Xylenes 3.46 ug/l	Outfall 010, Sanitary Landfill		
-			
	,		

	ASON TO BELIEVE THAT ANY BIOLOGICAL TE R IN RELATION TO YOUR DISCHARGE WITHIN		BEEN MADE ON ANY OF YOUR
YES (IDENTIFY THE TEST(S) AND DE		NO (GO TO 3.20)	
3.20 CONTRACT ANALYSIS INFORMATION	ED PERFORMED BY A CONTRACT LABORATO	RY OR CONSULTING FIRM?	
	TELEPHONE NUMBER OF AND POLLUTANTS		R FIRM BELOW.) NO (GO TO 3.30)
A. NAME	B. ADDRESS	C. TELEPHONE (area code and numb	
Certified Energy Laboratory	324 NW Capital Drive Lee's Summit, MO. 64086	816-389-8400	Total Xylenes
3.30 CERTIFICATION I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LA THIS APPLICATION AND ALL ATTA FOR OBTAINING THE INFORMATIC ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR	CHMENTS AND THAT, BASED ON M ON, I BELIEVE THAT THE INFORMAT	MY INQUIRY OF THOSE INDIVIDUA FION IS TRUE, ACCURATE AND CC	LS IMMEDIATELY RESPONSIBLE IMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE
NAME AND OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT)		TELEPHO	ONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE
Dana Crow, Lieutenant Colonel,	U.S. Army, Commanding	(816)	796-7120
SIGNATURE (SEE INSTRUCTIONS))	DATESIG	15EP2018
MO 780-1514 (06-13)			PAGE 5

X

F. Nitrate - Nitrate (as N) MO 780-1514 (06-13)

FORM C TABLE 1 FOR 3.00 ITEM A AND B

SEE INSTRUCTIONS	or complete	ng aloso	pages.									TABLE	1 FOR 3.00	ITEM A AND B		
INTAKE AND EFFLUEN	NT CHAR	RACTE	RISTICS												OUTFALL NO.	
PART A - You must provide the	e results of	at least	one analysis	for ever	ry pollutant	in this table. Cor	nplete one tal	ble for eacl	h outfall. S	See instruct	ions for add	itional details.				
						2. EFFLUENT						3. UNITS (spe	cify if blank)	4. 19	TAKE (optional)	
1. POLLUTANT	A. MAXI	MUM DA	ILY VALUE	В. І	MAXIMUM 3 (if avai	0 DAY VALUE		TERM AVRO	S. VALUE	D. N	O. OF	A. CONCEN-		A. LONG TERM A	VRG. VALUE	B. NO. OF
	CONCENT	RATION	(2) MASS	CONCE	(1) NTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRA	TION	(2) MASS		YSES	TRATION	B. MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)																
B. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	2.	5	MG/L								3					
C. Total organic Carbon (TOC)																
D. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	11	8	MG/L								3					
E. Ammonia (as N)																
F. Flow	VALUE 6.5			VALUE MGD)		VALUE				3			VALUE		
G. Temperature (winter)	VALUE 42			VALUE	ees F		VALUE				1	°C		VALUE	150	
H. Temperature (summer)	VALUE 88			VALUE	ees F		VALUE				1	°C		VALUE		
I. pH	MINIMUM 7.4		7.8	MINIMU	JM	MAXIMUM					3	STANDAR	DUNITS			
PART B – Mark "X" in column 2A for pollutant. Complete one table for ea							mn 2B for each	pollutant you	u believe to	be absent. If	you mark col	umn 2A for any po	llutant, you mus	t provide the results for	at least one anal	ysis for that
	2. MAI	RK "X"					3. EFFLUENT					4	. UNITS	5.	INTAKE (option	al)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER	Α.	B. BELIEVE	A. MAXIM	UM DAIL	YVALUE	B. MAXIMUM 30 (if availa		C. LONG	if available		D. NO. O	A. CONCE			M AVRG. VALU	B. NO. OI
(if available)	BELIEVED PRESENT	ABSENT		RATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	CONCENT	TRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSE	S TRATION	B. MA	CONCENTRA	TION (2) MASS	ANALYSE
CONVENTIONAL AND NONC	ONVENTIO	ONAL PO	DLLUTANTS	6												
A. Bromide (24959-67-9)		Х				17/6/										
B. Chlorine, Total Residual		Х														
C. Color		Х														
D. Fecal Coliform		Х														
E. Fluoride		Х														

	2. MA	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INT.	AKE (optional)	
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	A. BELIEVED	B. BELIEVED	A. MAXIMUM DAI	LYVALUE	B. MAXIMUM 30 I		C. LONG TERM AV (if availab		D. NO. OF	A. CONCEN-	B. MASS	A. LONG TERM A	RG. VALUE	B. NO. OF
(II available)	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	B. MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
G. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as N)		Х												
H. Oil and Grease	X		4.4	MG/L										
I. Phosphorus (as P), Total (7723-14-0)		Х												
J. Sulfate (as SO ⁴) (14808-79-8)		Х												
K. Sulfide (as S)		Х												
L. Sulfite (as SO ³) (14265-45-3)		Х		***************************************										
M. Surfactants		Х												
N. Aluminum, Total (7429-90-5)		X												
O. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)		Х												
P. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)		Х												
Q. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)		X												
R. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)		X												
S. Magnesium, Total (7439-95-4)		Х												
T. Molybdenum, Total (7439-98-7)		Х												
U. Manganese, Total (7439-96-5)		X												
V. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)		Х												
W. Titanium, Total (7440-32-6) MO 780-1514 (06-13)		Х												PAGE 7

MO 780-1514 (06-13)

	2. MA	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. UN	IITS	5. INT/	AKE (optional)	
POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	A. BELIEVED	B. BELIEVED	A. MAXIMUM DAII	LY VALUE	B. MAXIMUM 30 C	DAY VALUE	C. LONG TERM AV (if availab	RG. VALUE	D. NO. OF	A. CONCEN-	B. MASS	A. LONG TERM AV	RG. VALUE	B. NO. OI
(ii avallable)	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	B. MA55	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSE
METALS, AND TOTAL PHEN	OLS													-
1M. Antimony, Total (7440-36-9)		X												
2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2)		Х												
3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7)		Х												
4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)		Х												
5M. Chromium III (16065-83-1)		Х												
6M. Chromium VI (18540-29-9)		Х												
7M. Copper, Total (7440-50-8)	Х		0.038	MG/L				3						
8M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)	Х		0.032	MG/L				3						
9M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)		X												
10M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)		Х												
11M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)		Х												
12M. Silver, Total (7440-22-4)		Х												
13M. Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)		X												
14M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)	Х		0.131	MG/L				3						
15M. Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination		Х							:					
16M. Phenols, Total		Х												
RADIOACTIVITY														
(1) Alpha Total		Х												
(2) Beta Total		Х												
(3) Radium Total		Х												
(4) Radium 226 Total		X												

FORM C TABLE 1 FOR 3.00 ITEM A AND B

SEEINSTRUCTIONS																
INTAKE AND EFFLUEN	IT CHAR	RACTE	RISTICS												OUTFALL NO.	
PART A – You must provide the	results of	at least o	ne analysis	for every p	oilutant	in this table. Com	plete one tab	le for each outfall.	See instruct	ions for add	litional details.			•		
				_		2. EFFLUENT					3. UNITS (s	pecify if blank)		4. 1N	TAKE (optional)	
1. POLLUTANT	A. MAXI	MUM DAIL	Y VALUE	B. MA	XIMUM 3((if availa	DAY VALUE		ERM AVRG. VALUE if available)	- 1	IO. OF	A. CONCEN-			. LONG TERM AV	RG. VALUE	B. NO. OF
	(1) CONCENT	RATION	(2) MASS	CONCENT) TRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRA	TION (2) MAS	ANA	LYSES	TRATION	B. MASS	- 1	(1) NCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
A. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)			*													
B. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	2.0)	MG/L							5						
C. Total organic Carbon (TOC)																
D. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	38	3	MG/L							5						
E. Ammonia (as N)																
F. Flow	VALUE 17			VALUE MGD			VALUE						VAI	LUE		
G. Temperature (winter)	VALUE 42			VALUE Degree	e F		VALUE					C	VAI	LUE		
H. Temperature (summer)	VALUE 88			VALUE Degree	e F		VALUE					°C	VAI	LUE		
I. pH	MINIMUM 7.2		AXIMUM 3.1	MINIMUM		MAXIMUM					STANDA	RD UNITS				
PART B – Mark "X" in column 2A for pollutant. Complete one table for ea	each polluta ch outfall. S	nt you kno ee the instr	w or have reas ructions for ad	son to believ ditional deta	e is prese ils and red	ont. Mark "X" in colur quirements.	nn 2B for each	pollutant you believe	to be absent. If	you mark col	umn 2 A for any	oollutant, you n	nust provi	de the results for a	it least one anal	ysis for that
	2. MAF	RK "X"				3	B. EFFLUENT					4. UNITS		5.	INTAKE (option	nal)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER	A. BELIEVED	B. BELIEVED	A. MAXIMI	UM DAILY V	/ALUE	B. MAXIMUM 30 (if availat		C. LONG TERM A		D. NO. O			MASS	A. LONG TERM	A AVRG. VALU	B. NO. OI
(if available)	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTE	RATION (2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSE	S TRATIC	N B.	#A33	(1) CONCENTRAT	ION (2) MAS	ANALYSE
CONVENTIONAL AND NONC	ONVENTIO	NAL PO	LLUTANTS													
A. Bromide (24959-67-9)		Х														
B. Chlorine, Total Residual		X														
C. Color		Х														
D. Fecal Coliform		Х				·										
E. Fluoride (16984-48-8)		X														
F. Nitrate - Nitrate (as N)		Х														
MO 780-1514 (06-13)																PAGE 6

	2. MA	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. UN	IITS	5. INT	KE (optional))
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	A. BELIEVED	B. BELIEVED	A. MAXIMUM DAI	LY VALUE	B. MAXIMUM 30 1 (if availab	DAY VALUE	C. LONG TERM AV	/RG. VALUE	D. NO. OF	A. CONCEN-	B. MASS	A. LONG TERM A	RG. VALUE	B. NO. OF
(ii availaule)	PRESENT	ABSENT	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	B. MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSE
G. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as N)		Х		-										
H. Oil and Grease	X		2.38	MG/D					5				_	T
I. Phosphorus (as P), Total (7723-14-0)		×												
J. Sulfate <i>(as SO⁴)</i> (14808-79-8)		×				1								
K. Sulfide (as S)		Х												
L. Sulfite (as SO ³) (14265-45-3)		Х												
M. Surfactants		Х												
N. Aluminum, Total (7429-90-5)		Х		<u> </u>										<u> </u>
O. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)		×												
P. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)		×												Ī
Q. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)		×												
R. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)		Х												
S. Magnesium, Total (7439-95-4)		Х												<u> </u>
T. Molybdenum, Total (7439-98-7)		X												
U. Manganese, Total (7439-96-5)		×												
V. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)		х												
W. Titanium, Total (7440-32-6) MO 780-1514 (06-13)		×												PAGE 7

	2. MA	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INTA	AKE (optional))
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER	A. BELIEVED	B. BELIEVED	A. MAXIMUM DAI	LYVALUE	B. MAXIMUM 30 C		C. LONG TERM AV		D. NO. OF	A. CONCEN-		A. LONG TERM AV	RG. VALUE	B. NO. OF
(if available)	PRESENT	BELIEVED	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	B. MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
METALS, AND TOTAL PHEN	OLS	•												
1M. Antimony, Total (7440-36-9)		X												
2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2)		X												
3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7)		X												
4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)		X												
5M. Chromium III (16065-83-1)		X												
6M. Chromium VI (18540-29-9)		X												
7M. Copper, Total (7440-50-8)	X		0.015	MG/D					5					
8M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)	Х		0.013	MG/D					5					
9M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)		X												
10M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)		X					1							
11M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)		Х												
12M. Silver, Total (7440-22-4)		Х												
13M. Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)		Х												
14M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)	Х		0.06	MG/D					5					
15M. Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination		X												
16M. Phenols, Total		Х												
RADIOACTIVITY														
(1) Alpha Total		X												
(2) Beta Total		:x												
(3) Radium Total		X												
(·4) Radium 226 Total		X												PAGE 8

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE. You may report some or all of this information on separate sheet (Use the same format) instead of completing these pages.

SEE INSTRUCTIONS

FORM C TABLE 1 FOR 3.00 ITEM A AND B

SEE INSTRUCTIONS														OUTFALL NO.	
INTAKE AND EFFLUEN	IT CHAR	RACTE	RISTICS											010	
PART A - You must provide the	e results of	at least o	ne analysis	for ever	y pollutant	in this table. Cor	nplete one ta	ble for each outfal	i. See instruc	tions for add	itional details.				
						2. EFFLUENT					3. UNITS (sp	ecify if blank)	4. 11	NTAKE (optional)
1. POLLUTANT	A. MAXI	MUM DAIL	Y VALUE	B. I	MAXIMUM 3 (if avai	0 DAY VALUE		TERM AVRG. VALU (if available)		NO. OF	A. CONCEN-		A. LONG TERM A	VRG. VALUE	B. NO. OF
	CONCENT	RATION	(2) MASS	CONCE	(1) NTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRA	TION (2) MAS	ANA	LYSES	TRATION	B. MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)															
B. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	<5.	.0		r	mg/l					1					
C. Total organic Carbon (TOC)															
D. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	12.	.2		ı	mg/l					1					
E. Ammonia (as N)															
F. Flow	N/A			VALUE N/A			VALUE						VALUE		
G. Temperature (winter)	VALUE 42			VALUE	ees F		VALUE	150.0			°(VALUE		
H. Temperature (summer)	VALUE 88			VALUE Degr	ees F		VALUE				°(3	VALUE		
I. pH	MINIMUM 6.1		AXIMUM 5.4	MINIMU	М	MAXIMUM				4	STANDAR	RD UNITS			
PART B - Mark "X" in column 2A for pollutant. Complete one table for ea	each polluta ech outfall. S	int you kno ee the inst	w or have rea	ason to be dditional d	lieve is presentation	ent. Mark "X" in colu quirements.	mn 2B for each	pollutant you believe	to be absent.	f you mark col	umn 2A for any po	ollutant, you mus	st provide the results for	at least one ana	llysis for that
	2. MAI	RK "X"					3. EFFLUENT					4. UNITS	5.	. INTAKE (optio	nal)
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER	A	В.	A. MAXIM	NUM DAIL	YVALUE	B. MAXIMUM 30 (if availa		C. LONG TERM (if avail		D. NO. O	A. CONCE	N		RM AVRG. VALL	JE B. NO. C
(if available)	PRESENT	BELIEVED	CONCENT	RATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATIO	(2) MASS	ANALYSE			CONCENTRA	TION (2) MAS	ANALYS!
CONVENTIONAL AND NONC	ONVENTIO	DNAL PO	LLUTANTS	S											
A. Bromide (24959-67-9)		X													
B. Chlorine, Total Residual		X													
C. Color		Х													
D. Fecal Coliform		X													
E. Fluoride (16984-48-8)		Х													
F. Nitrate - Nitrate (as N)		X													
MO 780-1514 (06-13)									-						PAGE 6

	2. MA	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INT/	AKE (optional)	
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	A. BELIEVED	В.	A. MAXIMUM DAI	LY VALUE	B. MAXIMUM 30 I (if availat	DAY VALUE	C. LONG TERM AV (if availab		D. NO. OF	A. CONCEN-	B. MASS	A. LONG TERM AV	/RG. VALUE	B. NO. OF
(ii available)	PRESENT	BELIEVED ABSENT	(1) C:ONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	B. MA33	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES
G. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as N)		×												
H. Oil and Grease		Х												
I. Phosphorus (as P), Total (7723-14-0)		Х												
J. Sulfate (as SO⁴) (14808-79-8)		×												
K. Sulfide (as S)		Х												
L. Sulfite (as SO ³) (14265-45-3)		Х												
M. Surfactants		X												
N. Aluminum, Total (7429-90-5)		Х												
O. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)		×												
P. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)		×												
Q. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)		×												
R. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)		X												
S. Magnesium, Total (7439-95-4)		×												<u> </u>
T. Molybdenum, Total (7439-98-7)		×												
U. Manganese, Total (7439-96-5)		Х												
V. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)		×												
W. Titanium, Total (7440-32-6) MO 780-1514 (06-13)		×												PAGE 7

MO 780-1514 (06-13)

	2. MAI	RK "X"			3.	EFFLUENT				4. UN	ITS	5. INT/	KE (optional)	1
1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER	A.	В.	A. MAXIMUM DAIL	LY VALUE	B. MAXIMUM 30 C	DAY VALUE	C. LONG TERM AV		D. NO. OF	A. CONCEN-		A. LONG TERM AV	RG. VALUE	B. N
(if available)	BELIEVED PRESENT	BELIEVED	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANALYSES	TRATION	B. MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	ANAL
METALS, AND TOTAL PHEN	OLS													
1M. Antimony, Total (7440-36-9)		X												
2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2)		X												
3M. Beryllium, Total (7'440-41-7)		X												
4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)		X												
5M. Chromium III (16065-83-1)		X												
6M. Chromium VI (18540-29-9)		X												
7M. Copper, Total (7440-50-8)		Х												
8M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)		Х												
9M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)		X												
10M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)		X												
11M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)		Х												
12M. Silver, Total (7440-22-4)		Х												
13M. Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)		X												
14M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)		X												
15M. Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination		X												
16M. Phenols, Total		X												
RADIOACTIVITY														
(11) Alpha Total		X												
(2) Beta Total		X												
(3) Radium Total		X												
(4) Radium 226 Total	Ί	X												



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM

eDMR PERMIT HOLDER AND CERTIFIER REGISTRATION

PART A. PERMIT HOLDER INFORMATION				
PERMIT NUMBER	FACILITY NAME			
MO- 0004880	Lake City Army Ammunition Plant			
ADDRESS	CITY STATE ZIP CODE			
25201 East 78 Highway	Independence MO		64057	
PERMIT HOLDER ACCOUNT ACTION	independence INO		04007	
New Application ✓ Revised Permit Holder or A	Account Information	Request for	Reactivation	
PART B. USER ACCOUNT INFORMATION				
USER ACCOUNT ACTION	ACCOUNT TYPE			
☑ Add ☐ Update ☐ Delete	✓ Viewer			
LAST NAME	FIRST NAME MIDD		MIDDLE INITIAL	
Clark	Sara			
JOB TITLE	EMPLOYER'S NAME			
Environmental Coordinator	Department of the	Army		
EMAIL		TELEPHONE NUM	BER WITH AREA CODE	
sara.b.clark4.Civ@mail.mil		816-796-71	59	
ADDRESS	CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE
25201 East 78 Highway	Independence		МО	64057
USER ACCOUNT ACTION	ACCOUNT TYPE Viewer	Denner	Certifier	
☑ Add ☐ Update ☐ Delete	□ Viewer ☑ i	Preparer	Certifier	
LAST NAME	FIRST NAME MIDDLE INITIA			
Abbott	George			
JOB TITLE	EMPLOYER'S NAME			
Environmental Engineer	Northrop Grumman			
EMAIL	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 816-796-5192			
george.abbott@ngc.com			816-796-5192	
ADDRESS	CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE
25201 East 78 Highway	Independence		MO	64057
USER ACCOUNT ACTION	ACCOUNT TYPE			
☑ Add □ Update □ Delete	☐ Viewer ☐ Preparer ☑ Certifier			
LAST NAME	FIRST NAME MIDDLE INITIAL			
Cross	David			
JOB TITLE	EMPLOYER'S NAME			
Manager of Safety & Environmental Engineering	Northrop Grumman			
EMAIL		TELEPHONE NUM	BER WITH AREA CODE	
david.cross@ngc.com		816-796-758	5	
ADDRESS	CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE
	Independence MO		64057	

PART C. PERMIT HOLDER REGISTRATION

I request the above identified permit holder be registered for electronic reporting and request any department initiated minor permit revisions (where no fee is required) that may be necessary to allow use of the department's eDMR system. As the permit holder, I agree the authorized representatives will follow permit requirements and the procedures for the electronic submission of DMR forms, as described in the permit holder participation package.

Please establish or revise the above user accounts in accordance with the information provided for each identified account. The person(s) identified as certifier(s) are hereby designated as the authorized representatives for all reporting purposes. I understand each person to receive a certifier account on the eDMR system must complete Part D and must sign in the presence of a Notary Public.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

PERMIT HOLDER NAME (TYPE OR PRINT)

PERMIT HOLDER SIGNATURE

DAVA COW

245EP2018

Dana Crow

OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT)
Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army, Commanding

PART D. CERTIFIER REGISTRATION

The permit holder and certifier intend to have the submission of eDMRs be the functional equivalent of the paper submissions required by a permit issued in accordance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, Chapter 644, RSMo and/or the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251, et seq. The certifier will use a validly issued PIN as a signature when submitting eDMRs. The permit holder and certifier agree not to contest the validity of eDMRs submitted under an authorized PIN based on the fact such submissions were completed electronically. The permit holder and certifier further agree the provisions of the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act, Sections 432.200 through 432.295, RSMo, shall apply, except as otherwise stated herein or within the permit holder participation package.

The permit holder and certifier agree:

- Any eDMR submitted under the PIN specific to the certifier shall be considered a "writing" or "in writing;" and any such records shall be deemed for all purposes:
 - a. To have been "signed" by the certifier.
 - b. To constitute an "original" when printed from electronic files or records.
- 2. Electronic DMRs constitute admissible evidence in any judicial or administrative proceeding.

An electronically submitted DMR will not satisfy a reporting requirement until it has been received and accepted by the department. If an electronically submitted DMR is rejected, the permit holder shall take the necessary steps to properly resubmit such DMR within 24 hours of the notice of rejection.

MO 780-2204 (Q1-17)

By signing below, the permit holder and certifier agree with the	e terms and conditions of Part D.
Certifier (must sign in the presence of Notary)	9-24-18 Date
Notary Public 1*	9-24-18 Date
Permit Holder (must sign in presence of Notary)	24502018 Date
Notary Public 2*	9-24-14 Date

* Notary public 1 is for use if both the permit holder and the certifier both sign in the presence of the same notary; however, if the notary so desires they may sign and stamp both locations.

If the certifier and the permit holder do not sign at the same time, then notary 1 is specific to the certifier and notary 2 is specific to the permit holder.

In cases when the certifier and the permit holder are not in the same location, the certifier must complete the application to the best of their ability (including signature and notary public 1) and send the document to the permit holder to be completed (including signature and notary public 2).

MO 780-2204 (01-17)

