## STATE OF MISSOURI

## **DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

## MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



# **MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT**

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92<sup>nd</sup> Congress) as amended,

Permit No.	MO-0003735
Owner:	Missouri American Water Company
Address:	727 Craig Rd., Creve Coeur, MO 63141
Continuing Authority:	Same as above
Address:	Same as above
Facility Name:	MAWC – North Plant
Facility Address:	2800 Charbonier Rd., Florissant, MO 63033
Legal Description:	Land Grant 174, St. Louis County
UTM Coordinates:	See Page 2
Receiving Stream:	Missouri River
First Classified Stream and ID:	Missouri River (P) (1604) 303(d) List
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	(10300200-180003)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

## FACILITY DESCRIPTION

<u>Outfall #001</u> - Drinking Water Treatment Plant – SIC #4941 – Settling Basin Discharge <u>Outfalls #002, 003, 004, and 005</u> - Drinking Water Treatment Plant - SIC #4941 Potable water production / Filter backwash / Settling basin discharge / Process water discharge Design flow is 12 MGD. Actual flow is 8.5 MGD.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

November 1, 2012 Effective Date

Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources

Acting Di Acting Director, Water Protection Program

March 30, 2016 Expiration Date

## <u>UTM Coordinates</u> (continued):

- 001: X = 728171, Y = 4300127
- 002: X = 728256, Y = 4300145
- 003: X = 728293, Y = 4300189
- 004: X = 728293, Y = 4300189
- 005: X = 728545, Y = 4300320

## A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

PERMIT NUMBER MO-0003735

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #001, Settling Basin						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/day	calculated
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	*		*	once/week	grab
pН	SU	*		*	once/quarter	grab
Lime	tons	**		**	once/month	reported
Outfall #002, 003, 004, & 005						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/day	calculated
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	*		*	once/week	grab
Total Residual Chlorine	μg/L	*		*	once/quarter	grab
pH	SU	*		*	once/quarter	grab
Lime	tons	**		**	once/month	reported
Iron, Total Recoverable	μg/L	*		*	once/month	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBM NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OF						HERE SHALL BE
Outfall 005-Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test	% Survival	See Spe	cial Condition	IS	twice/year	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBM	ITTED <u>ANNU</u>	ALLY; THE I	FIRST REPOR	t is due <u>jun</u>	E 28, 2013.	
<b>B. STANDARD CONDITIONS</b>						
IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS CONDITIONS DATED October 1, 1980, AND						NDARD

#### A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

\* Monitoring requirement only.

\*\* Report the tons of lime used per month in the water treatment process.

#### C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

- 1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
  - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D),
    - 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
      - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
      - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
  - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
  - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.
  - (d) Address any situation where the discharge prevents full maintenance of the beneficial or designated uses of the receiving stream. This includes violations of General Criteria, which are applicable at all times including mixing zones.
  - The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.
- 2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
- 3. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
  - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100  $\mu$ g/L);
  - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 μg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 μg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
  - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
  - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.
- (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.
- 4. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.
- 5. The discharge of any pollutant not documented in the application for this permit is not authorized. This includes any chemical, biological material, radiological material, or any other material that may affect the ability of the receiving stream to fully support its beneficial and designated uses.
- 6. There shall be no discharge of a solid waste to waters of the state.
- 7. It is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law to fail to pay fees associated with this permit (644.055 RSMo).
- 8. Submit an annual report due January 28 of each year detailing type and quantity of water treatment chemicals (other than lime and chlorine) used during the previous year. Reports will be submitted to the St. Louis Regional Office.
- 9. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test shall be conducted as follows:

SUMMARY OF ACUTE WET TESTING FOR THIS PERMIT						
OUTFALL AEC FREQUENCY SAMPLE TYPE MONTH						
005 10% twice per year grab January and May						

	Dilution Series							
4	40%	20%	10%	5%	2.5%	(Control) 100% upstream, if available	(Control) 100% Lab Water, also called synthetic water	

#### C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (a) Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements
  - (1) Perform a MULTIPLE-dilution acute WET test in the months and at the frequency specified above. For tests which are successfully passed, submit test results using the Department's WET test report form #MO-780-1899 along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including copies of chain-of-custody forms within 30 calendar days of availability to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. If the effluent passes the test, do not repeat the test until the next test period.
    - (a) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping.
    - (b) Any and all chemical or physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% Effluent concentration in addition to analysis performed upon any other effluent concentration.
    - (c) All chemical analyses included in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources WET test report form #MO-780-1899 shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form.
  - (2) The WET test will be considered a failure if mortality observed in effluent concentrations for either specie, equal to or less than the AEC, is significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; p = 0.05) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available, synthetic laboratory control water may be used.
  - (3) All failing test results along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, INCLUDING THOSE TESTS CONDUCTED UNDER CONDITION (3) BELOW, shall be reported to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the results.
  - (4) If the effluent fails the test for BOTH test species, a multiple dilution test shall be performed for BOTH test species within 30 calendar days and biweekly thereafter (for storm water, tests shall be performed on the next and subsequent storm water discharges as they occur, but not less than 7 days apart) until one of the following conditions are met: Note: Written request regarding single species multiple dilution accelerated testing will be address by THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM on a case by case basis.
    - (i) THREE CONSECUTIVE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS PASS. No further tests need to be performed until next regularly scheduled test period.
    - (ii) A TOTAL OF THREE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS FAIL.
  - (5) Follow-up tests do not negate an initial failed test.
  - (6) The permittee shall submit a summary of all test results for the test series along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the third failed test.
  - (7) Additionally, the following shall apply upon failure of the third follow up MULTIPLE DILUTION test The permittee should contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 14 calendar days from availability of the test results to ascertain as to whether a TIE or TRE is appropriate. If the permittee does not contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM upon the third follow up test failure, a toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) is automatically triggered. The permittee shall submit a plan for conducting a TIE or TRE to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 60 calendar days of the date of the automatic trigger or DNR's direction to perform either a TIE or TRE. This plan must be approved by DNR before the TIE or TRE is begun. A schedule for completing the TIE or TRE shall be established in the plan approval.
  - (8) Upon DNR's approval, the TIE/TRE schedule may be modified if toxicity is intermittent during the TIE/TRE investigations. A revised WET test schedule may be established by DNR for this period.
  - (9) If a previously completed TIE has clearly identified the cause of toxicity, additional TIEs will not be required as long as effluent characteristics remain essentially unchanged and the permittee is proceeding according to a DNR approved schedule to complete a TRE and reduce toxicity. Regularly scheduled WET testing as required in the permit, without the follow-up requirements, will be required during this period.
  - (10) When WET test sampling is required to run over one DMR period, each DMR report shall contain a copy of the Department's WET test report form that was generated during the reporting period.
  - (11) Submit a concise summary in tabular format of all WET test results with the annual report.

#### (b) Test Conditions

- (1) Test Type: Acute Static non-renewal
- (2) All tests, including repeat tests for previous failures, shall include both test species listed below unless approved

#### C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

by the Department on a case by case basis.

- (3) Test species: Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow). Organisms used in WET testing shall come from cultures reared for the purpose of conducting toxicity tests and cultured in a manner consistent with the most current USEPA guidelines. All test animals shall be cultured as described in the most current edition of <u>Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms</u>.
- (4) Test period: 48 hours at the "Allowable Effluent Concentration" (AEC) specified above.
- (5) Upstream receiving stream water shall be used as dilution water. If upstream water is unavailable or if mortality in the upstream water exceeds 10%, "reconstituted" water will be used as dilution water. Procedures for generating reconstituted water will be supplied by the MDNR upon request.
- (6) Tests will be run with 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent, and reconstituted water.
- (7) If reconstituted-water control mortality for a test species exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun.
- (8) If upstream control mortality exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun using reconstituted water as the dilutant.
- (9) Whole-effluent-toxicity test shall be consistent with the most current edition of <u>Methods for Measuring the Acute</u> <u>Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms</u>

## Missouri Department of Natural Resources FACT SHEET FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL OF MO-0003735 MAWC - NORTH

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of storm water from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of <u>five</u> (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Major  $\Box$ , Minor  $\Box$ , Industrial Facility  $\boxtimes$ ; Variance  $\Box$ ; Master General Permit  $\Box$ ; General Permit Covered Facility  $\Box$ ; and/or permit with widespread public interest  $\Box$ .

## Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type:Drinking Water Treatment PlantFacility SIC Code(s):4941

Facility Description:

Potable water production / Filter backwash / Settling basin discharge

 Application Date: 3/11/10

 Expiration Date: 10/13/93

 Last Inspection: 9/11/96 In Compliance ∑;

 Non-Compliance □

#### **OUTFALL(S) TABLE:**

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001 - 005	18.6	Industrial	Industrial	0

<u>Water Quality History:</u> No stream surveys for this facility were found

Comments:

The applicant will be required to submit an economic feasibility analysis that evaluates methods to dispose of lime softening residuals and meet water quality standards for Total Residual Chlorine. Discharges from the outfalls are episodic with flow durations of 1 - 2 hours per 24 hour time period. Therefore, all sample types are "grab."

## Part II – Receiving Stream Information

## **APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:**

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]: Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]: Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]: Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]: Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]: Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]: All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

#### **RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:**

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	8-Digit HUC	EDU**
Missouri River	Р	1604	IRR, LWW, AQL,WBC(B), SCR, DWS, IND	10300200	Ozark/Moreau/Loutre

\* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AOL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND), Groundwater (GRW). \*\* - Écological Drainage Unit

#### **RECEIVING STREAM LOW-FLOW VALUE:**

Mean flow data was collected from USGS station number 06934500 located on the Missouri River at Hermann, MO. The 7Q10 value was calculated based on the previous 30 years of data. 7Q10 = 21,572 cfs.

#### **MIXING CONSIDERATIONS TABLE:**

MIXING ZONE (CFS)	ZONE OF INITIAL DILUTION (CFS)
[10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(III)(a)]	[10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(III)(b)]
5393	186

#### **RECEIVING STREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:**

No receiving water monitoring requirements recommended at this time.

## Part III – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

#### **ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:**

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable  $\square$ ; The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

MAWC – North MO-0003735 Fact Sheet, Page 3

#### **ANTI-BACKSLIDING:**

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

⊠ - All limits in this Factsheet are at least as protective as those previously established; therefore, backsliding does not apply.

#### **ANTIDEGRADATION:**

In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)], the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. Degradation is justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharging activity after determining the necessity of the discharge.

 $\boxtimes$  - Renewal no degradation proposed and no further review necessary.

#### **COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:**

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

Not Applicable  $\boxtimes$ ;

The permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

#### **REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):**

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

Not Applicable  $\boxtimes$ ; A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

#### SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

#### Applicable $\boxtimes$ ;

The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under <u>Part E - Schedule of Compliance</u> was established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(10)].

#### VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

Not Applicable  $\boxtimes$ ;

This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

MAWC – North MO-0003735 Fact Sheet, Page 4

#### WASTE LOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable  $\boxtimes$ ;

Waste load allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(Cs \times Qs) + (Ce \times Qe)}{(Qe + Qs)}$$
(EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5)

Where C = downstream concentration

Cs = upstream concentration

Qs = upstream flow

Ce = effluent concentration

Qe = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute waste load allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID). Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

#### WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

Not Applicable  $\boxtimes$ ;

A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

#### WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

#### Applicable $\boxtimes$ ;

In accordance with the Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.

Furthermore, WET testing is a means by which the Department determines that [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D, F, & G)] are being met by the permitted facility. In addition to justification for the WET testing, WET tests are required under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4] to be performed by specialists who are properly trained in conducting the test according to the methods prescribed by the Federal Government as referenced in [40 CFR Part 136]. WET test will be required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:

- Facility is a designated Major.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH<sub>3</sub>)
- Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow  $\geq$  22,500 gpd.
- Other please justify.

MAWC – North MO-0003735 Fact Sheet, Page 5

#### 303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Applicable  $\boxtimes$ ;

This segment of the Missouri River is listed on the 2010 Missouri 303(d) List for bacteria.

 $\boxtimes$  – This facility is not considered to be a source of the above listed pollutants.

### Part IV – Effluent Limits Determination

*Outfall #001 - 005* – Main Facility Outfalls EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	BASIS FOR LIMITS	Daily Maximum	WEEKLY Average	Monthly Average	Modified	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	GPD	9	*		*		
Lime	MG/L	9	*		*		**
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL	μG/L	9	*		*		**
TSS	MG/L	9	*		*		**
PH	SU	9	*		*		**
IRON, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	μG/L	1/3	*		*		**
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST	% Survival	11	Please see WET Test in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.				
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						

\* Monitoring requirement only

\*\* Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit. N/A – Not applicable

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law
- 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)
- 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
- 4. Lagoon Policy
- 5. Ammonia Policy
- 6. Dissolved Oxygen Policy

- 7. Antidegradation Policy
- 8. Water Quality Model
- 9. Best Professional Judgment
- 10. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL
- 11. WET Test Policy
- OUTFALL #001 005 DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:
- <u>Flow</u>. In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.
- Total Residual Chlorine, pH, Total Suspended Solids (TSS), & Lime. Monitoring requirement only.
- <u>Iron</u>, monitoring requirement only. A Reasonable Potential Analysis will be performed at renewal to verify if numeric effluent limitations are appropriate for iron.

- <u>WET Test</u>. WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring*.
  - Acute

#### No less than **TWICE/YEAR**:

Facility is subject to production processes alterations throughout the year.

- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has been granted seasonal relief of numeric limitations.

Sampling is recommended in the months of January and May because these are the approximate months when turbidity in the Missouri River is highest. Higher turbidity means an increased use of water treatment chemicals and therefore higher discharge volumes from the facility.

Acute AEC% = [Design Flow / (ZID + Design Flow)] x 100 = [18.6 / (18.6 + 186)] x 100 = 9.1%

However, 10% is the minimum AEC unless diffusers are used.

## Part V – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

#### **PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:**

The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is that all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together will all expire in the same fiscal year. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the Department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE:**

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law, the Missouri Clean Water Commission, and the federal Clean Water Act, persons wishing to comment on Missouri State Operating Permits are directed to do so by a Department-approved Public Notice coversheet. This Public Notice coversheet is attached to a Missouri State Operating Permit during the Public Notice period.

(Individual permit writers may leave the below check boxes and discussion during the drafting of the Factsheet; however, once one of the boxes below is applicable, the permit writer will need to check the appropriate box and fill in the needed items. At that time the permit writer may or may not (SOP of each RO at this time) remove the unchecked boxes.

☑ - The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from August 3, 2012 to September 3, 2012. No responses received.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: JUNE 27, 2012

#### COMPLETED BY:

ALAN MOREAU, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST NPDES PERMITS UNIT PERMITTING AND ENGINEERING SECTION WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM (573) 522-2553 <u>alan.moreau@dnr.mo.gov</u>